Revenue Department

Minutes of Consultation

5th October 1857

Pages Nos 21 - 22

Order No 67 5th Oct 1857

பாதுகாப்பு பிரிவு - 1

அனுப்புபவர் பெயர் R. சுவாமிநாதன்

அனுப்புவதற்காக எடுக்கப் 12/2006
கொள்ளப்பட்ட நாள்

அனுப்பிய முடித்த நாள் 02/2007.

தணிக்கை . தணிக்கை . நிர்வாக
துறை மு.நி.பா.எ. உட்துணைச்
அலுவலர் கடலூர். அலுவலர்
கடலூர்

Fort Saint George, Revenue Consultation of 6th October 1857.

No. 21. Read the following letter from J. D. SIM, Esq., Acting Secretary to the Board of Revenue; to H. FORBES, Esq., Acting Secretary to Government Revenue Department, dated Fort Saint George, 15th September 1857, No. 3087.

SIR,

In para. 17 of their despatch dated 11th June 1856, No. 5, received with Extract Minutes of Consultation of 16th October following, the Honorable Court desired to be informed of the result of the suit ordered to be instituted in the Supreme Court at Madras for obtaining payment of the interest on a bond left by one B. Sunkariah Shastry for the endowment of a choultry in the Cavetnugger Zemindary in North Arcot.

No. 1098, *Revenue Department.*

2. In reply, I am directed by the Board of Revenue to submit, for the information of Government, the accompanying letter from the Collector of that district, explaining why the intention of instituting the suit in question has no as yet been carried into effect.

30th July in Cons. 15th Sept. 1857, *No.* 3087.

3. Meenatchee Ummal has lately adopted measures to put the building in repair, and as the law costs in the Supreme Court would amount to a considerable sum, the Collector, under the advice of the Honorable Company's Solicitor has thought it unadvisable to resort to legas proceedings at present.

4. The Collector proposes to inspect the choultry on his next visit to the Western Zemindaries, in order to ascertain whether the repairs have been completed.

5. The Board are of opinion that under the circumstances detailed in the correspondence submitted by the Collector he has acted judiciously in refraining from instituting the suit he was authorized to bring against Meenatchee Ummal.

No. 22. ORDER THEREON, No. 1016; dated 5th October 1857.

Under the circumstances represented by the Collector of North Arcot, the Right Honorable the Governor in Council approves of his having refrained from instituting the suit which he was authorized to bring against Meenatchee Ammal in the Supreme Court at Madras.

Ordered that the above letter and its accompaniments be brought to the notice of the Honorable Court, with reference to para. 17 of their despatch dated 11th June, 1856, No. 5.

(Signed) H. FORBES,
Acting Secretary to Government.

Com. Pl. Oct. Term 1870

Thos. Frame Esqr. Plff
Def'ts Cert. of Pl. 1700.
in Cash: 10 Dec

Mch. 1871

Com. Pl. Nov. Sess.
Def'ts Cert.
No. 1085

Thos. Frame Esqr.
vs.
The Penna. R.R. Co.

Def'ts Motion for
Judgment
Cert. 6

No. 3087

Motion on the part of the Def't for a Rule to show cause why a Writ of Error should not be issued from the Supreme Court to bring up the record in this case, and why the Judgment entered herein should not be stayed upon the filing of a Bond with Security to be approved by the Prothonotary in the sum of $2000 conditioned according to Law.

Rule to show cause.

Dec. 6th 1871

Com. Pl. No. 1076

Thos. Frame Esqr.
vs.
The Penna. R.R. Co.

Rule to show cause why a Writ of Error should not be issued from the Supreme Court to bring up the record in this case, and why the Judgment entered herein should not be stayed upon the filing of a Bond with Security to be approved by the Prothonotary in the sum of $2000 conditioned according to Law.

And now, to wit, Dec. 11th 1871, Rule granted, returnable on the first Monday of January next, to the Prothonotary's Office.

By the Court,
S. Hay, Secretary.

And now, to wit, Dec. 11th 1871, Rule granted, returnable on the first Monday of January next, to the Prothonotary's Office.

By the Court,
S. Hay, Secretary.

And now, to wit, Jan. 8th 1872, Rule discharged, and the record remanded to the Court of Common Pleas.

By the Court,
S. Hay, Secretary.

Jan. 8th 1872