

Revenue Department

Prints of consultations

6th Oct 1857

Back No 6

Order no. 1008 of 30th Sept 1857

<p>  18/4/07 சமீப நாள் 20. 4. 07, பு. எ. 20. 4. 07, பு. எ. 20. 4. 07 செவ்வாய்ப்பு </p>	<p>  18/07 சமீப நாள் 20. 4. 07, பு. எ. 20. 4. 07, பு. எ. 20. 4. 07 செவ்வாய்ப்பு </p>	<p>  21/06/07 சமீப நாள் 20. 4. 07, பு. எ. 20. 4. 07, பு. எ. 20. 4. 07 செவ்வாய்ப்பு </p>
--	--	---

Witness that whereas the value of said grant has been raised in exact proportion to the value of the land, and that the whole of the grant, with the exception of about 18 acres, which the family occupy as shown in the appended grant, be continued to them. The Board are of opinion that as the service for which they were granted is no longer performed, the continuance of the land to them will be restricted to one or more lives, or that a gradually increasing quit rent should be imposed. The Government are unable to accord their sanction to these arrangements. It is most difficult to confer a more moderate title than that possessed by the late holder, or (in consideration of the large quantity of crown land which they hold) a smaller claim to indulgence than that possessed by the families. In order, however, to avoid all appearance of harshness,

the enam, with the exception of the excess in measurement which will be at once compensated with the ain lands, will be continued to the female members of the family for their lives, a proportionate increase being made in the quit rent upon the death of each; the Collector will take measures to keep himself duly informed of such events, and on the death of the last survivor, the full assessment will be imposed.

171. 172. 173.

171. Itchapore.

	Muntireddy Kundam.	Poorosollanapuram.	A.	G.	V.	C.
Extent			5	1	0	0
Excess			11	12	8	0
Total			16	13	8	0

Ruttakunze.

Entreekunde.					
Extent					

172. Itchapore.

	Muntireddy Kundam.	Kedariapuram.	A.	G.	V.	C.
Extent			10	20	4	3
Excess			3	6	2	1
Total			13	26	6	4

174. Itchapore.

	Kavety Kundam.	Sodapoottee.	A.	G.	V.	C.
Extent			10	20	4	3
Excess			3	6	2	1
Total			13	26	6	4

175. Itchapore.

	Toolasegam.	A.	G.	V.	C.
Extent		4	0	8	0
Excess		0	20	6	0
Total		4	20	14	0

	Poramboke.	A.	G.	V.	C.
Extent		0	3	0	0
Total		0	3	0	0

*176. Itchapore.

	Kavety Kundam.	Sodapoottee.	A.	G.	V.	C.
Extent			24	24	10	1
Excess			1	6	0	0
Total			25	30	10	1

177. Itchapore.

	Ovsha Kundam.	Bellapode.	A.	G.	V.	C.
Extent			28	27	9	2
Excess			31	0	2	0
Total			59	27	11	2

grant is consequently null; no term of possession can give a title when the validity of the annuit can be impeached. But besides this, nearly the whole of the enam has been alienated

6. In these cases there is no record of the grants, and the extent of the grants are unknown, the grants are unregistered and not entered in any accounts until 1852, except No. 173 which is registered in the accounts of Purly 1850 to be a very old grant. Twenty four acres are referred in excess of the alleged grants, these will be immediately resumed, and the remainder continued to the females of the family for their lives, as the term prescribed in cases 168-170.

7. This grant, amounting to A. 12, G. 26, V. 6, the Collector considers may be safely assumed to have been a service enam, it is entered in the unauthorised accounts of Purly 1830, and in the enam Register, but no grant or other documents in support are forthcoming. The whole has been alienated without obligation of the service, and will be forthwith brought under assessment.

8. Here there is only reliable evidence of possession for 33 years, and the enam will be continued to the present holder for life.

9. The antecedents of this grant are by no means satisfactory, but as the greater part of it was sold by public auction, by order of the Collector, the part so sold must be continued to the heirs of the purchasers; but they must be warned that resumption will follow alienation. The share which is proved to have been alienated for the benefit of a Pagoda, will be resumed; the remainder, to the extent of A. 2, G. 14, V. 0, C. 3, must be reported upon at the death of the present holder.

10. This case is a forcible illustration of the gross abuses entailed by the absence of a Revenue survey. The whole enam amounts to 38 acres; but 31 acres more, on which however a quit rent is paid, are enjoyed in excess; these latter will of course be brought under assessment. The enam is said to have been granted in 1772 to 2 Darweshes; but this is 4 years after the date prescribed for the Northern Circars in Regulation XXXI. of 1802; the whole grant is consequently null; no term of possession can give a title when the validity of the annuit can be impeached. But besides this, nearly the whole of the enam has been alienated

in some cases dealing both by sale and gift. The Government proposed to pass orders upon the demand of each of the shares as at present held.

11. The first two held by those who are said to be descendants of the original grantees, will be continued to them for their lives.

12. Nos. 3-5 and 6 are alienations of recent date, and will be immediately resumed. No. 6 was not really alienated from the family of the so called original grantees, but was borrowed by the purchaser as a Pagoda. This will likewise be resumed, as will No. 7 which was alienated by gift.

13. No. 8 will be treated as proposed by the Collector and Board, but their recommendation is in favor of No. 2, as inadmissible. Even could it be allowed that the donor Allah Ali's title was really a disposal by him of his share by gift, subject for the rights of Government.

14. Next, as to the shares which have been in the possession of the family of Juggie Pannabai. The last four have been repeatedly alienated, and since after the last holder's death in 1840. Nothing was given the present holders a title under those circumstances, and the shares should at once be resumed. No. 9 was bought at a public auction and must be continued to the purchaser, but it will be resumed on failure of direct heirs, and cannot be further alienated by him. No. 10 was a share alienated, first by will, and secondly, by purchase, subsequently to 1818. It will be resumed from the date of its purchase, as will also No. 7, as recommended.

15. This enam was granted in a recent form of maintenance, and was hereditary and inalienable. It has all however been alienated, but about 74 acres, and will be resumed, except the portion in the possession of an alleged blood descendant of the original grantees which will be continued, subject to report on the present holder's death.

	A.	G.	V.	C.
Extent	14	21	10	3
Excess	3	12	6	3
Total	17	33	16	6

16. No. 170 was granted for maintenance and was consequently inalienable. The enam therefore as at present held, is inalienable and must be resumed; but besides this, the grant specifies one village while the parties holding on the strength of the grant enjoy their enam in another. This violates all the principles of the grant, and the Government Council directs that the whole be brought under assessment, except the shares at present in the possession of those who are said to be the descendants of the original grantees, which will be continued to them for their lives.

	A.	G.	V.	C.
Extent	118	4	13	3

17. The origin, and almost all the circumstances connected with this grant are involved in obscurity. Shares 1, 2 and 3 may be treated as proposed by the Board, and be continued to the present holders for life, they being represented as relations of the original grantees. The portions 4 and 5 acquired by purchase, will be resumed, there being no reliable evidence of long possession in any, and in some there is evidence of recent alienation. In the case No. 2, it is observed title is supposed to have been acquired and transmitted by means of an adoption and will; an adoption among Mohammedans is invalid, and a will is powerless under any circumstances to bar the rights of descent to Government. In No. 3, a purchase is stated to have taken place, and a deed to have been filed in the Principal Saah Ameen's Court in Viragapatam, on which account the Collector states the date of purchase cannot be ascertained. The Government would have thought that registry would have rather tended to perpetuate a deed than to render the particulars undecipherable. Mr. Koor is requested to explain his meaning, and meanwhile no orders will be passed on the share in question.

18. In this case, the share of the deceased holder, and the others by measurement, as well as the 6 shares said to have been purchased by Ghulam Hussain and Meorad Ali, and No. 7 for which no documents can be shown, will be at once resumed, and the rest as a matter of grace and favor will be continued to the present holders for their lives.

	A.	G.	V.	C.
Extent	97	6	7	0

[illegible][illegible][illegible]

St. This may be explained in the middle of the year 1900. In column 3, Mr. Knapp wrote that the name of the grantee, and date of the grant are unknown, and in fact that the deceased was the original grantee. The name should have been provided by the deceased and should be reported upon immediately.

25. The share in this land held by the grand daughter of the original grantee must not be disturbed. It will be open to consideration at her death. That portion which fell to the late D. Ramiah's share by public sale must now be continued to his family, but it will be resumed if alienated.

26. This is said to be a service man,
the service not being rendered or required,
it will be resumed at once.

27. The recommendation in this case is wholly unsustainable. The grandson of the original grantee is said to have sold the entire grant to one Subahdar Abdul Hussain 40 years ago; but the amount and date of the sale are not known. No documents are extant. There is nothing therefore to prove the Subahdar's title; and consequently purchases from him can be "partition" enjoyed by the late Jagannath Row continued to his widow for her life, after deducting the excess by measurement. No information furnished as to who has enjoyed the rest of

* A. C.
12 35

28. This will be treated forthwith as recommended. It is not a Pashai grass, but was obtained by Major Evans, who rented Pashai lands in 1910.

3. In most of the cases now reported upon, a considerable excess over the extent of the work stipulated in the original grants is performed at the same time, rent free or rented very favourably.

111. The Government have attempted to lay down rules for the disposal of lapsed sums and the Legislature has provided a regulation which is the basis of the rules. Such being the case it is a matter of regret that the Revenue authorities offer recommendations systematically flouting the rules. Not only so, some inconsistency is observable in these recommendations. In case 183 for instance, the sum is recommended for remission as the holder can show no income; while in case 184, under the same circumstances, the remission of the sum is recommended. The substance of the 2nd and 3rd portions of No. 184 is also urged, though it need be referred to here later.

V. In the case numbered 177 in describing the last or 7th portion, Mr. Knox mentions that one Nathaniel Doe has been enjoying it since 1834, paying the Custom-duty, and adds that the extent of this portion cannot be ascertained. The reason is not however clear. In case 1, end of vol. 2, the following sentence occurs, "the remark in No. 170 is applicable to this case also," but as there is nothing to show which remark is alluded to, the sentence is of no practical use.

(Signed) H. FORBES,
Acting Secretary to Government.

Para 12 case 177. I submit that the rule
in which situations by purchase have
received consideration, are
I have held in part, in which
the great result
it is I have held without doubt that the
which I think nothing whatever is to be done
to liquidate the party by the law
which case, as above mentioned
as not in a position to find that
outside there is no title. But also, where
I have held that the law is
I have held without title, and one
to identify a person's alienation by them
and will be doubly manifested
At the same time I am not sure
that they positively that purchase

Similarly, I have held that
consideration is not a necessary
any such. A man cannot sell
what is not his own. The
I share of the amount of this
consideration, with the parties
from the present holder of
the alienated shares, and the
rentless had no title to the same
but the holder have come
the above mentioned, I consider that the
I have held that the law is
in the case of the parties to the
by that they were alienated from
the parties to the original purchase
the parties to the original purchase
and the parties to the original purchase

It could be admitted that
the front were yet in the
first instance

Further, I say both that there are
several many precedents in assuming
assurances of much lower standing
than that of S. & and Co.

It is also purchased from a
member of the Pantan family, but
not by one, so that it cannot
be regarded in the light of a
transfer from one member of the family.

There is also an argument in favor of
assuming to be a precedent to look
back upon the transaction. There
are many precedents for assumption.

There is no deed. There is no deed.
Graham. Graham's purchase.
of Choral. It is not a member
of the family, and
there is no deed of purchase.

purchase
of the purchase of the
member of the family.
There is no deed of purchase.
There is no deed of purchase.
There is no deed of purchase.
There is no deed of purchase.

There is no deed of purchase.
There is no deed of purchase.
There is no deed of purchase.
There is no deed of purchase.
There is no deed of purchase.
There is no deed of purchase.

[illegible]

that right thought is
undervalued or supported,
as that very service was
performed by the person who
was related. The whole business is therefore
undervalued on account of the
of the information given
the person for which
it was originally granted.
It appears
that the grandchild of
the supposed original
grantee, who held the
business 40 years ago
died, and left 3 daughters
who were engaged it
after him jointly;
and the estate, about
20 years of it was
engaged by the children
of

of the first and second
daughters, 5 acres
were sold to a certain
habitant, and are
now possessed by
his son, as also two
more acres, the acqui-
sition of which
is not explained,
the said land has been
alienated at different
periods to various
other parties.
The Court
advised earlier with
the Acting Collector
and the Board in
their opinion that
the purchase having
been bona fide, the
land should be
left

This image shows a blank, aged, cream-colored page, likely an endpaper or flyleaf of a book. The paper has a slightly textured appearance with some minor creases and discoloration, characteristic of old paper. There are faint, illegible markings scattered across the surface, which appear to be ink bleed-through from the reverse side of the page. The overall tone is a warm, off-white or light beige.

1940

illustrated to me a number
 times, so that a gradually
 increasing good result
 should be proposed in
 the Commissionaire
 unable to add to the
 sanction to these new
 arrangements. It is
 indeed difficult to con-
 ceive a more slender
 titled than that proposed
 by the late Colonel,
 or (in consideration
 of the large quantity
 of Enam land which
 they hold for small
 claims to indulgence
 than that proposed
 by his family. I
 order however to avoid
 all appearance of
Ravobuff

7/30 The morning
 having been very warm
 with the exception of
 the early morning
 frost which will
 be at once dispersed
 with the sun's heat,
 with the exception
 to the present moon
 have up the family
 you think it is a pro-
 portionate increase
 being made in the
 good seed upon the
 ground of which the
 harvest will be
 increased to three
 times of itself beyond
 of what it was, and my
 friends of the family
 are now, they are all
 much better than in the

in various interesting
 but as the greater part
 of it was sold by the
 auction by order of the
 estate, the part
 sold must be returned
 to the heirs of the first
 owners, but they must
 be warned that any
 loss will follow aliena-
 tion. The same action
 is proved to have been
 obtained for the bene-
 fit of a Begonia, which
 be received, the remain-
 der to the extent of
 \$1,000, must be
 reported upon at the
 death of the present
 holder.

Success is a gift
 illustration Cuba

Cuba
 Dillingham
 Patent 2227-222
 2227-222
 2227-222

illustration of the great
 shown particularly by the
 of a person living, but
 whole thing, however, is
 more but it was more
 which person, and
 he, and he was not in
 large letters with of
 brought under
 The person is not to
 have been in the
 which, but this is a
 after the death of
 in the Northern States
 illustration of the
 whole thing is
 with a letter of
 can and a little
 which of the
 in the United States
 not much the value of the
 illustration of the

later by half and yet the
Government thought for
high order upon the disposal
of all of the shares in at
least half.

The first two held
the share was not held to be
a dividend of the company
granted with the dividend
to them as shareholders.

At the next meeting
of the directors of the company
and the shareholders
present at the meeting
decided to give the same
to the so-called original
owners but were beaten
by the Government on a point
that would be decided by the
court, and would give the
share to a dividend by the
Government.

It will be treated
as a dividend.

At the next meeting
of the directors of the company
and the shareholders
present at the meeting
decided to give the same
to the so-called original
owners but were beaten
by the Government on a point
that would be decided by the
court, and would give the
share to a dividend by the
Government.

As the Government thought the shares
were a dividend of the company
granted with the dividend
to them as shareholders.
The Government thought the shares
were a dividend of the company
granted with the dividend
to them as shareholders.

At the next meeting
of the directors of the company
and the shareholders
present at the meeting
decided to give the same
to the so-called original
owners but were beaten
by the Government on a point
that would be decided by the
court, and would give the
share to a dividend by the
Government.

The Governor on Council
 considered that this is an
 act of war of necessity and the
 Government of India should
 be held responsible for the
 action taken by the Government
 of India. The Governor on Council
 has also recommended
 that the Government of India
 should be held responsible for the
 action taken by the Government
 of India.

22. The 3 and 4 May 1857
 continued to read the
 for the 1st of May 1857.
 The 3 and 4 May 1857
 continued to read the
 for the 1st of May 1857.
 The 3 and 4 May 1857
 continued to read the
 for the 1st of May 1857.

23. These will be resumed
 as proposed, having been
 alienated by further not to be
 tried to do so.

24. This money has
 continued to the widow
 for her life and on
 for

The 3 and 4 May 1857
 continued to read the
 for the 1st of May 1857.
 The 3 and 4 May 1857
 continued to read the
 for the 1st of May 1857.

184. Etchapaon Ex. K.
 185. Etchapaon Ex. K.
 186. Etchapaon Ex. K.
 187. Etchapaon Ex. K.

188. Etchapaon Ex. K.
 189. Etchapaon Ex. K.
 190. Etchapaon Ex. K.
 191. Etchapaon Ex. K.

192. Etchapaon Ex. K.
 193. Etchapaon Ex. K.
 194. Etchapaon Ex. K.

The 3 and 4 May 1857
 continued to read the
 for the 1st of May 1857.
 The 3 and 4 May 1857
 continued to read the
 for the 1st of May 1857.

The 3 and 4 May 1857
 continued to read the
 for the 1st of May 1857.
 The 3 and 4 May 1857
 continued to read the
 for the 1st of May 1857.

Family put it with her
 interest of subordinated

26 This ^{was} to be a service
 in the service not
 being considered a requirement
 it
 It will be recommended
 once

27 This recommendation 190 Stohapoor
 in this case is wholly un-
 sustainable. The position
 of the original grant
 is said to have sold

the entire grant to one
 Subahdar Abdul Hussain
 40 years ago, but the amount
 and date of the sale are
 not known. No documents
 are to hand. There is nothing
 therefore to prove the
 Subahdar's title; and
 consequently purchases
 from him can have no
 validity

190 Stohapoor
 Kasaty &
 Chumbaroon
 Est 7-19-11-1
 Inc 5-8-8-0
 Total 7-20-11-1

190 Stohapoor
 Kasaty &
 Chumbaroon
 Est 10-27-11-0
 Inc 5-11-10-5
 Total 10-1-11-5

190 Subahdar
 Chumbaroon
 Kasaty &
 Est 3-8-0-0
 Inc 3-00-0-0
 Total 3-8-0-0

Validly a claim to be made
 about the position enjoyed
 by the late Subahdar
 claim will be combined
 to his under for his life
 after deducting and
 among the best by in
 the case of the
 information has been
 furnished with who has
 enjoyed the rest of the
 time

28 This will be recommended
 particularly recommended
 that and a Subahdar's grant
 but was allocated by
 major cases, who ~~was~~
~~had~~ ~~was~~ Subahdar
 in 1800

29 A pursuit of the
 above case has led to the
 Government

have attempted to lay
down rules for the dis-
posal of deposit bonds,
and the Legislature has
provided a regulation
which is the basis of
the Rules. In the
case, it is matter of
regret that the Bureau
authorities often recom-
mendations systematic-
ally disregarding these
Rules. That moreover some in-
consistency is observable
in these recommendations.
In case 182 for instance,
the bond is recommen-
ded for redemption as the
holder can show no title
deeds; while in case 180,
under the same circumstances,
the

dispossession of the bond
is recommended. The
consistency of the Rules
is further of note, and
is urged though the dis-
possession is to have been
lost.
The Bureau
which the State has
is entitled to be
in the hands of the
by the State and
of 1881 to find
the State to make the
recommendations
and being given
the more successful
of the State the last
part of the State
that was the same
has been enjoying the
and paying the

and adds that the extent
of this portion cannot be
ascertained. The reason
is not however clear. In
case 178 end of col 2, the
following sentence occurs
"the remarks in No 179 is
applicable to this case
also" but as there is nothing
to show which remark is
alluded to, the sentence
is of no practical use.

VII The delay in re-
porting these cases is
such that it would
appear difficult to in-
vestigate it. The party in
charge seems to have made
it his business to pur-
chase all the business
which was in power that

Suggests Pambola

It is very good that
the whole report shall be
done from year to year
through the hands of the
Bible, the same is
not good
B.S.

Report of the Board
in the report of the Board

1867
Revenue 1867-1868
Asst. Com. R. S. S. S.

1868

Revenue 1868-1869
Asst. Com. R. S. S. S.

Reviewing the Annual
Report of Capt. S. S. S.
Garrison for the Second
Quarter of 1868.

1868

1868