1899

~~1902~~

Public Works (~~191~~) Department.

G.O. No. 663 Ry

DATED 13-6-~~191~~ 1902.

Include

G.O. No. 455 Ry dt 23-3-98
186 Ry dt 18-2-99
461-2 Ry dt 24-4-99
No. 22 RM dt 11-1-99

[Despatch Abstract.]

P. W. 2 (a)
2,000—2-10-12

Indexed.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Circular No. I Public Works.

GOVERNMENT OF INDIA.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

ACCOUNT.

General.

Calcutta, the 4th January 1889.

The Governments of Madras, Bombay, Bengal, the North-Western Provinces and Oudh, and the Punjab.
The Chief Commissioners, Central Provinces, Burma, Assam, and Coorg.
The Resident at Hyderabad.
The Agents to the Governor General for Central India, Rajputana, and Biluchistan.
The Superintendent of Port Blair and Chief Commissioner, Andaman and Nicobar Islands.
The Director General of Telegraphs.
The Accountant General, Public Works Department.
The Director General of Railways.
The Consulting Engineers to the Government of India for Railways, Calcutta, Lucknow, and Central Division.
The Comptroller General.

The following is forwarded to the Local Governments, Administrations and Officers noted in the margin for information and guidance.

By order,

R. C. B. PEMBERTON, *Colonel, R.E.,*
Secretary to the Government of India.

Government of India, P. W. Dept., letter No. 207 I., dated 29th November 1887.

To—The

I am directed to acknowledge the receipt of your letter No. 544 I. of 3rd September 1887, requesting the orders of the Government of India on the question of the debit of cost of temporary subordinate establishment specially engaged in connection with land acquisition to work under officers of the Revenue Department not placed at the disposal of the Public Works Department.

2. In reply, I am directed to state that in the cases provided for in the Civil Account Code, Rule 5, Chapter VI, *i.e.*, when the Civil Officer is made a Public Works disburser, there is no objection to any special establishment entertained by him (under orders of Government) being charged to the works concerned. But if he is not a Public Works disburser, then the charges should be brought to account in the Civil Department, and should not be debited to the Public Works Department.

Government of India, P. W. Dept., letter No. 190 I., dated 24th October 1888.

To—The

I am directed to acknowledge the receipt of your letter No. 258 Financial, dated 17th March 1888, to the address of the Secretary to the Government of India in the Finance Department, asking for a reconsideration of the orders communicated in Public Works Department letter No. 207 I., dated 29th November 1887, on the question of the debit of the cost of certain temporary subordinate establishments engaged in connection with land acquisition.

Vide [illegible] Financial Dept. G.O. 853 d/19.11.88 Flag I

2. It is stated that the ruling would at once impose on Provincial Funds a charge amounting to Rs. 7,800 annually, and that the current Provincial Contract does not contain any provision for these constantly recurring charges which should form a debit against the projects concerned.

3. In reply, I am directed to state that the duty of taking up land for Government purposes is a duty which rests upon the Revenue Department, and it is presumed that in , as in other provinces, this duty, and the cost of temporary establishments connected with it, has been borne as part of the cost of the Collector's Establishments.

4. In special cases when the operations are enough to keep a Deputy Collector and his establishment in full occupation, it is permissible to place this Officer at the disposal of the Public Works Department and to deal with him as a Public Works disburser. In this case, the expenditure incurred is audited, controlled and charged under Public Works rules.

5. It cannot be admitted by the Government of India that the charges in the present case lie outside the Provincial contract. The assignment made includes consideration of all charges for Collector's Establishments, and therefore includes all charges which, by the rules of account, have to be taken against that head. The Government of is no doubt aware that general branches of the Public Service are not permitted to charge each other for services rendered, and the Revenue Department may not charge the Public Works Department in respect of its services in taking up land for Public Works.

P. W. Dept. endorsement No. 191 I., dated 26th October 1888.

Copy of the foregoing letter forwarded to the Department of Finance and Commerce for information, with reference to that Department's No. 4399, dated 14th August 1888.

Order thereon No. 124 W d/ 18.1.89

Communicated to Officers of the P.W. depts. in continuation of G.O. No. 3799 W d/ 3.12.88

(True Extract)

Sd/ W. L. C. Baddeley
Capt. R.E.
Under Secy to Govt. P.W.D.

Note & file
D/ K.A.J.

Government of India Central Printing Office No. 747 P. W. D—7-1-89—960.

To The Consulting Engineer for Rys

Public Works (1898) Department.

Railway

CURRENT No. 351

~~Note~~

Please see serial 20 & 22(a) Case 34 of 93 put up

Order

From The Examiner, P. W. Accounts, Madras

No. 319 A/B

Dated 10th March 1898

Received 11.3.98

Enclosures -

Spare copies

SUBJECT.

Special Deputy Collectors appointed to acquire lands for the extension of the Madras Railway — Soliciting definite orders as to the status &c of the ——

I think we had an exactly similar point raised in connection with the Depy Collectors who acquired lands for the Ennur & B M Rly. Put up papers.

CWM 12/3.

Dr/ Please see P.O. 674 R 10.12.94 (B) & Serial 22(a) in case 34/93 put up.

JAJ 15/3

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

N.B.—In any future communication on this subject, the number and date of this letter should be quoted, and the communication should be addressed to the Examiner, Public Works Accounts, Madras, and not to any officer by name.

[E. No. 94.]
4,000—7-2-96.

From E. A. DENNYS, Esq.,
EXAMINER of P. W. ACCOUNTS,
MADRAS and COORG,

To

The Joint Secretary to Government,
Public Works Department, Railway
Branch, Madras.

No. 319 A/B   *Madras*, 10th March 1898.

Sir,

Flag E {G O No 462 Revenue d/ 28th January 1898}
{G.O.No.I89 R dated 2nd. February I898}
Flag A {G. O. No 461 Revenue d/ 28th January 1898}
{G.O.No.I98 R dated 3rd. February I898}

In the orders quoted in the margin two Deputy Collectors have been specially deputed to acquire land for extensions of the Madras Railway.

2. It is not, however, clear which Department or Branch they should look to for being placed in funds and for the disbursement of their pay and allowances.

3. The special Deputy Collector who has been appointed to acquire land for the extension of the Railway from Calicut to Cannanore reports that he assumed charge of his duties on the 7th. ultimo, and that his bill for the salary of that month was refused by the Treasury Officer at Calicut under orders from the Accountant General, Madras who directed the Deputy Collect to apply to the Examiner, Guaranteed Railway Accounts, Madras who in his turn transferred the papers to me under the impression that the charges in question would ordinarily come under my audit.

4. Unless these officers' services are placed at the ~~disposa~~ disposal of the P.W.D., and it be distinctly ordered that they ar

to be treated as P.W. disbursers, it is not apparent why they should be regarded as being under my audit vide P.W. Code Volume I, Chapter IX, para 25 IA. E

5. Under these circumstances, I would ask to be favored with early and definite orders of Government as to the exact position of these Deputy Collectors (1) whether they are to be treated as P.W. disbursers under the rule quoted above, (2) whether their pay and allowances to-gether with those of their Establishments are to be under my audit and (3) whether they are to be placed in funds by me according to the rules in the P.W. Department.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Examiner, P.W. Accounts, Madras.

10/3/98

B/f

No. 455, Ry dated 23/3/98

Progs: of the Madras Govt. P.W.D.

Read the following:-

Letter from the Examr. P.W. Accounts — H.E. No 319 A/B. dy 10th March 1898.

Flag K

Flag B For precedent vide G.O. 674 R / 10.12.94.

521 & also Pro of Board of Revenue No 391 dy 25.10.95

C34/93

Serial 20 C34/93

The Deputy Collectors specially appointed to acquire lands for the Calicut Cannanore and Arcot Ranipet Extension of the Madras Railway are, by virtue of their appointments, Public Works Disbursers vide Rules 2 & 3 of the Govt of India Finance and Commerce Department, Resolution No 2209 A dated 10 May 1895. — Arrangement will be made by Examiner P.W. Accounts for placing these officers in funds according to the rules of the P.W. Dept.

2. The pay and allowances of these Special Depy Collectors together with those of their Establishments will be under the Audit of the Examiner P.W. Accounts.

15/3

The pay & allowances to the Depy Collector who acquired land for the Cannanore Rly were paid for from the Capl acct of that Rly in this case however under the audit ~~[illegible]~~ audit of Co. As the land charges in the case of the Madras Rly Extension comes under the audit of the Examiner P.W. Accts. that officer should therefore also audit the salaries.

15/3

16/3/98

To Rev. Secy for [illegible] of [illegible]

17/3/98

To The Exr P.W. Accts.

25/3/98

Revenue

~~Public Works~~ (1899) Department.

~~Railway~~ 269

CURRENT No. ~~51~~

JOINT SECRET
RECEI
10 JAN. 1899
GOVT. OF MA

From

The Examiner P.W. Accounts,

Madras & Coorg

Endt. ~~Order~~ ~~No. 89 of 17th Jany 1899~~

7/1/99

No. 32 B/2

Dated 9th January 1899.

Received } 12. 1. 99
Registered

Enclosures –

Spare copies –

Referred to the Acct General for early remarks as to whether there is any objection to debiting the salary of M.R.R. Sita Rao Pantulu to "Provincial" and that of M.R.R. Gharayana Swami Ayyar who has been posted to act for him to "Imperial" during the period the former is ~~engaged~~ employed on land acquisition work

17.1.99

SUBJECT.

M. R. Ry P. Sita Row Pantulu Additional Deputy Collector – Requesting early orders as to the pay and allowances admissible to ——

To the Acct General Dis. 19-1-99

18.1.99

H.C. 12.1.99

BACK PAPERS.

No.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

No.	Date.

E. No. 27.
1,000—16-5-98.

KEEP-WITH

CURRENT No.

dated 189 .

GOVT. OF MADRAS. } 1898 9 { P.W. DEPT.

EXAMINER, P. W. ACCOUNTS.

Madras, 9th January 1898 9

OFFICE NOTE No. 32 B/E

To

R.

Flag H

In G.O. Revenue Department Mis No.4242 dated Ist: November 1898 -- communicated with G.O.No.I396 Ry dated the I2th: Idem, the appointment of an additional temporary Deputy Collector, with an Establishment on the same scale as that allowed to the officer now employed in acquiring lands for the Calicut Cannanore Railway has been sanctioned but the officer posted to this duty (M R Ry P.Sita Rao Pantulu vide Fort St: George Gazette dated Ist November 1898, part I page I032) -- appears to be on the permanent Establishment of Deputy Collectors, holding Sub pro-tem rank as 5th: class.

2. The pay of the additional Deputy Collector sanctioned for the work has not been specified in the G.O and if as appears to be the case, the intention is to count M R Ry P Sita Row Pantulu against this temporary appointment under Article 4I0 of Civil Servic

Flag Q

Regulations and allow him pay as Deputy Collector 5th: class Sub-Pro-Tem at - Rs: 300/- per mensem, I would point out that the confirmation of the Government of India to the temporary appointment - will be necessary under Article 95 of -- Civil Service Regulations, the cost -- being Imperial. Flag K

3. I would also point out that if the temporary appointment is expected ~~to~~ to last or does last for more than I2 months, the sanction of the Secretary of State will have to be obtained under -- Article 97 of Civil Service Regulations. Flag K

4. M R Ry P. Sita Row Pantulu, has - asked that a pay slip to enable him to draw pay and allowances as noted below, be sent to him-

Pay as Deputy Collector 5th: class	Rs: 300/-
Deputation allowance @ 20 per cent under Article 98 of Civil Service Regulations	60/-
Fixed tentage	II-I-9
	371-I-9

He has been informed that the claim to deputation allowance could not be admitted, unless and until it is sanctioned by competent -- authority (vide Articles 95 to 97 of Civil Service Regulations). As regards fixed tentage of Rs: II-I-9 which is admissible to Deputy Collectors in the - Land Revenue Department vide Articles Flag L II42 and II43 and Appendix No.23 item 4 of Civil Service Regulations, orders Flag K Flag L

are requested as to whether it should be continued to him, while attached to the P W. Department.

5. I would request the favor of very early orders on this reference, to enable me to regulate the pay and allowances admissible to the Deputy Collector.

R. L 9.1.99

For C.

No. 22 RM dated 11. Jany 1899

Referred to the Revenue Department for favour of remarks.

Under Secy: Ry Br.
11.1.99

Of. 475
Ay. N. 89/R

9855
17.1.99

Revenue (1899) Department.

CURRENT No. 269.

ACCOUNTANT GENERAL'S OFFICE ✤ MADRAS ✤
20 JAN 99

From Examiner, P. W. Accts.
The ~~Board of Revenue~~
~~(Land Revenue.)~~
Madras & Coorg.

~~Forest~~ No. 32 B/E.

Dated 9th Jany. 99.
Received } 12th do
Registered }
Enclosures
Spare copies.

~~Order~~

Endt. R. No. 89. d/17th Japy. 1899.

Referred to the Accountant-General for early remarks as to – whether there is any objection to debiting the salary of M. R. Ry. Sita Rao Pantulu to "Provincial" and – that of M. R. Ry. G. Narayanaswami – Aiyar who has been posted to act for him, to "Imperial" during the – period the former is employed on land acquisition work.

(By Order)

N.E.Marjoribanks

As. Under Secy. to Government.

To the Acctt-Genl.,

SUBJECT.

Requesting early orders as to the pay and allowances admissible to M. R. Ry. P. Sita Rao Pantulu, Additional Dy. Collector.

REVENUE DEPT.
No. 1
740

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

1
REVENUE D.
Encl. No. 2
C. No. 740

Revenue (1899) Department.

CURRENT No. 740

Order

From
The Accountant General
Madras

4
25/1

No. 336

Dated 27th January 1899
Received 29/1
Registered 30/1
Enclosures 2
Spare copies

SUBJECT.

Stating that, only, the Salary of M.R.Ry. P. Sita Rao Pantulu and not that of the officer acting for him, can be debited to "Public Works Department".

[illegible]

142
3/2/99

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
G.G.	373		25-1-98
〃	369		25-1-98
〃	1645		13-4-98
〃	3043		20-7-98
〃	3373		15-8-98
〃	3958		4-10-98
〃	3153		7-9-98 (confl)

G.O. 4242 d/1-11-98

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

18

Gazetted Audit DEPARTMENT.

FORT ST. GEORGE,
Accountant-General's Office,
27th January 1899.

No. 336

From

T. HESKETH BIGGS ESQ.
ACCOUNTANT-GENERAL TO THE
GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS,

To

THE Secretary to Government, Revenue Department.

SIR,

With reference to your endorsement No. 89, dated the 17th of January 1899, I have the honour to state that as M.R.Ry.P.Sita Rao Pantulu is actually employed on special duty for acquiring lands for the Calicut-Cannanore Railway his salary, and not that of the officer acting for him, can only be debited to "Public Works Department."

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant.

Hesketh Biggs
Accountant-General.

am

D/4 1,000—8-4-97.

REVENUE DEPARTMENT.

C. No. 740 of 99

From the Accountant General
No 336

Dated 27th January 1899.

FOR ORDERS.

G.O. $\frac{4242}{1.\ XI.\ 98}$ A

To Under Secy

In November last the Govt decided that an additional officer should be appointed to expedite the work of acquiring lands for the Calicut-Cannanore Railway and MRR. P. Sita Rao Pantulu, then Dy Collector, 6th grade and Sub pro tem in the 5th was selected for the appointment. For Sita Rao's place a temporary appointment in the sixth grade was created and MRR. G. Narayanaswami Ayyar appointed thereto and posted to South Canara. Sita Rao was subsequently confirmed in the 5th grade (1 Rs 300).

FOR ORDERS.

2 In January last the Examiner of P.W. accounts enquired whether it was intended that the whole of Sita Rao's salary viz Rs 300 should be debited "to the land acquisition work" and pointed out that if so, the sanction of the Govt of India and (in case the appointment was to last for more than a year) of the Secretary of State should be obtained thereto. The matter having been referred by the P.W.D to the Rev: for remarks, the Acct General was consulted as to whether there was any objection to Sita Rao's salary being debited to "Provincial" and Narayanaswamy Aiyar's to 'Imperial' during the employment of the former on the special work.

vide Endt.
No 89 Rev: E
17.1.99

3. The Accountant General now reports that Sita Rao being "actually employed on special duty acquiring lands for the

Calicut

"Calicut-Cannanore Railway "his salary, and not of the "officer acting for him, can "only be debited to Public Works "Dept"

4 From page 4 of the notes stitched with G.O.
B No 4242 Rev: dt 1st Nov 1898 it will be seen that Sita Rao was selected for the work in the absence of a better officer who knew the West Coast. Mr Dance however has recently pointed out that Sita Rao's service on the West Coast is confined to South Canara and that he has very little knowledge of the complicated tenures of Malabar. To this however it may perhaps be said that in North Malabar, where the work is to be carried on, the tenures are not so complicated as in the South- and that a thorough knowledge of any such tenures is un[illegible]sary for the application

[illegible] Papers appear to be still with [illegible] P.W. Dept the Land acquisition Act. On the whole however there does not appear to be ~~any~~ dispection to ~~[illegible]~~ much option between Sita Rao Pantulu and Narayanaswami Ayyar and if the latter be sent to Malabar instead of the former, the work is, it is submitted, not likely to suffer much and the matter in any case will not have to go up to the Secretary of State. It may be mentioned here that ~~Rama~~ Sita Rao has not yet actually entered on his Land acquisition work proper and that he is now employed on clearing off the "arrears left behind "by the establishment attached "to M.R.Ry. Rama Rao". But whether Sita Rao is to continue on special duty or not the Govt of India will have to ~~[illegible]~~ be addressed as his pay is more than ~~[illegible]~~ Rs 500 & as it is an Imperial ~~[illegible]~~ charge. Vide Article 95 of the C.S.R.

4. The Examiner in his note also refers to Sita Rao's claim for deputation

Docket of Govt. No 369 C
25.1.99

Of course not; he has no title to any extra pay beyond his grade pay

Please see copies of messages slipped D

deputation allowance. If a special officer on Rs 250 ~~a~~ had been appointed for the work he would not draw ~~any~~ such allowance and it is therefore not clear why Sita Rao should get any.

5 Orders are solicited

9.2.99

Secy

When Sita Rao was proposed it was thought that his pay would be no objection as when the first Dy Collector ~~Rama~~ was to be deputed the P.W.D. (Ry) intimated that they ~~[illegible]~~ had no objection to the pay of Rs 600 p.m. of Mr Pinto who was suggested.

Now that the objection is raised it is submitted that India ~~[illegible]~~ may be addressed to sanction the deputation of Sita Rao for a year. If it is considered that he shd be replaced by 250 Rs officer, the Ex difficulty in selecting one arises.

In any case, no deputation allowance seems admissible.

9/2/88

Draft to India

To Under Secy

The letter has to issue from the P.W.D here to the P.W.D. ~~[illegible]~~ of India. All the correspondence on this subject has not been communicated to the R.D. For precedents the P.W.D has to be referred to if the No. and date of India's letter are to be quoted. ~~[illegible] [illegible] [illegible] are drafted in the [illegible]~~. A rough draft is however submitted. The Special Dy Colln. ~~[illegible]~~ 9.2.99 progress reports and the Cof's letters are not also available in R.D. ~~Without~~ ~~[illegible]~~ deputation letter

Perhaps it is unnecessary to refer to these. A rough draft is therefore submitted.

Secy

In the circs, it is submitted the P.W.D (Ry) may be asked to address India if that Dept thinks there is no objection retaining Sita Rao. It may be stated for their information that it would be difficult to replace him by another qualified officer & further this would probably be undesirable now that Sita Rao must be getting into the work.

10/2/88

The draft below may go to P.W.D for issue after such alteration as they may find necessary

10/2/89

10/2/

2

Telephone

Form No. 20.

TELEGRAM

Urgent
Ordinary
Deferred

From E.R.

To AM

Please let me know at a very early date the probable period for which the services of ~~two Special D^y Collectors~~ the Special D^y Collector referred to in G.O No. 1396 R^v of 12th Nov^r /98 will be required. The information is required urgently for the Gov^t of India.

JLR
11/2/99

Desp^d
8hr
11/2/99.

8

TELEPHONE.

From A.M.
To E.R.
Dated 16-2-99. Time

Your telephone message of 11th Engineer-in-Charge "Calicut - Cannanore Extn" wires as follows.—

"From past results and work still to be done Temporary Deputy Collector referred to in G.O. No. 1396 will probably be required up to the end of September next."

8hr
16/2

REPLY.

From
To
Dated Time

---

at the time was not

Rev Dept

(For issue in the P.W.D.)

No. 186 K dated 18/2/99

To

The Govt. of India
P.W.D.

Sir,

To be verified in the P.W.D.

× a Dy Coll^r on Rs 250 p.m.

Estab: for outdoor work cost Rs 224 p.m.

do for office work cost Rs 139 p.m.

Vide 3373 Rev / 15-8-98 ?

flag 5 F

also 3958 / 4-10-98 G

In ~~Nov~~ Oct last, the Madras Railway authorities having complained of the slow progress made by the Special ~~officer~~ [illegible] establishment× entertained to acquire the land required for the Calicut-Cannanore extension of the Company's South West line, H.E. the G in C. decided on doubling the establishment and of deputing an additional officer possessing special knowledge of the West Coast ~~with~~ the view of the work being expedited.

The only junior officer possessing the necessary qualifications availa at the time was M

2

P. Sita Rao Pantulu Garu
a Dy Collector 5th grade (sub pro tem)
with a salary of Rs 300
per mensem ~~and~~ & since confirmed in due course of seniority on that, & this officer
was accordingly placed on
special duty in connexion
with the above work, a
temporary Dy Coll? on a
salary of Rs 250 per men-
sem taking his place in
the regular line of the
service. ~~It is regretted that~~
By an oversight at the time the pro-
visions of art. 95 of the C.S.R.
were overlooked as well as
~~and~~ the fact that the
salaries of the two ~~cannot~~ officers
could not be adjusted ~~by~~
between 'Imperial' and
'Provincial', & it is regretted that ~~were overlooked~~
~~at the time, and~~ accordingly the spe-
cial sanction of the Govt
of India to Mr.R. Sita
Rao's deputation ~~were~~
was not ~~therefore~~ applied
for. It would, it will be
seen, have been within the
sanctioning powers of ~~the~~ H.E. in C
if instead of ~~deputing~~
Mr.R.

Flag X

Despatched on 18/2/99

See attached Telephone message.

× To be filled in by the P.A.D.

Of course he won't get any special allowances
subst. 8/2

Issue
FM
14/2

9.2.99

3

Mr.R. Sita Rao, ~~it had been~~ his substitute on Rs
250 per mensem had been deputed to under-
take the work, ~~the value~~
~~cost would be the remaining~~ the same
~~in both cases.~~ I am in
these circumstances to
request that the Govt
of India will be pleased
~~to~~ confirm the action
taken by this Govt in the
matter

2 ~~I am to add state~~
~~that it is not anticipated~~
The period for which Mr.R.
Sita Rao's special duty will
~~would~~ last cannot now
be definitely ~~forecasted~~ fixed but
~~that~~ it is not anticipated
that it ~~would~~ will exceed a year ×.

~~I am also to add that during the period of his special duty it is not proposed to grant Mr.R. Sita Rao any deputation allowance.~~

FRS
8/2
10/2/9
14/2

Public Works (1899) Department.

Railway

CURRENT No. 439

[illegible]sition sent for on 17/4/1899
Original papers put up in linked file

From The Govt. of India

Order

No. 490 R.E.

Dated 17th March 1899

Received
Registered 27. 3. 99

Enclosures

Spare copies

SUBJECT.

Deputation of M.R.Ry. P. Sita Rao Pantulu Garu. Dy. Collector, for the acquisition of lands reqd. for the Calicut Cannanore Ry.

Inviting attention to circular 1 P.W. dt. 4-1-99 and requesting to state whether the charge on a/c of salary and allowances of the Dy. Collector & his establishment should under that circular be debited to Provincial or Imperial.

BACK PAPERS.

No.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

No.	Date.

All communications should be addressed to the Secretary.

JOINT SECRETARY TO GOVT. OF MADRAS RYS.
RECEIVED
27 MAR 1899

No. 490 R.E.

GOVERNMENT OF INDIA.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

RAILWAY ESTABLISHMENTS.

To

The Joint Secretary to the Government of Madras,
Public Works Department, Railway Branch.

Simla, the 17th March 1899.

Sir,

I am directed to acknowledge the receipt of your letter No.186 M Railway., dated 18th February 1899 on the subject of the deputation of M.R.Ry.P.Sita Rao Pantulu Garu Deputy Collector 5th Grade on a salary of Rs.300 per mensem for the work of acquiring lands required for the Calicut Cannanore Extension of the Madras Railway.

2. In reply I am to draw your attention to Circular No.1 Public Works dated 4th January 1889 and to request that it may be stated whether the charge on account of salary and allowances of the Deputy Collector and his establishment should under that circular be debited to Provincial or Imperial.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant.

G M Walker

Under Secy.to the Govt.of India.

Documents accompanying :-
Nil.

J.B.M.

Rev Dept.

To Under Secy

The P.W.D forwards for counterinitials the draft of a letter which it proposes to send to India (in reply to a reference made by the latter) reporting that the salary of M.R.Ry. P. Sita Rao Pantulu, addl Dy Collector appointed to acquire lands for the Calicut - Cannanore Railway, should be debited to 'Imperial' and not 'provincial'

There is, it is submitted, no objection to the ~~order~~ letter being counterinitialled

19.4.11

Secy

19.4.11

19/4/

D.P.W.

674 R
6.12.94
65
9/5/99

18/- I. B. FORM No. 12.

Read the follg papers.

G.O. No. 566 Rev of 10. 8. 1895
Procs Board of Revenue Land Rev No. 391 of 25.10.95
G.O. No 455 Ry of 23. 3. 1898.
" " 186 Ry of 18. 2. 1899.
P.W.C. Cur. 439 of 27. 3. 1899.

Order No. 461 Ry dated 24/4/99
The Govt of India will be addressed.

4 B 454
10/4

EXAMINER, P. W. ACCOUNTS
No. 282 Ry.
10 APR. 1899
No. ....

Government of Madras,

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT,

RAILWAY BRANCH.

To

THE SECY. TO THE GOVT. OF INDIA,
P. W. DEPARTMENT

Ooty, the 24th April 1899.

D.C.

SIR,

I am directed to acknowledge the receipt of your letter No. 490 R.E. dated 17th March 1899, enquiring whether the charges on account of salary and allowances of M.R.Ry. T. Seta Rao Pantulu Garu, deputy collector for acquiring lands required for the Calicut - Cannanore Extension, and his establishment should under Circular No. I Public Works dated 4th January 1887, be debited to Provincial or Imperial.

2. In reply, I am to forward copy of Proceedings of this Government No. 455 Ry dated 23rd March, 1898, in connection with the appointment

-ment ~~of~~ 4th January 1898 of M.R.Ry. P. Rama Rao, as temporary Deputy Collector for acquiring lands for the same Extension, from which it (would) appear that these Special Deputy Collectors by virtue of their appointment are Public Works disbursers in the sense of Circular No. I Public Works dated 4th January 1889 and as such their pay and allowances and that of their establishments will be debited to ~~I~~ Imperial.

will

J.H.A.
10/4/99.

Fd 10/4.

Revenue Depts &
The Examiner P.W. Acct. } for C.I.

N.R. 11/4.
C
11/4/99 R.C. 11.4.99

11/4/99

Despatched on 24/4/99

19/4

B/(Misc)

Public Works (1899) Department.

P.W. Ry

CURRENT No. 755.

Read.

Office note from the Exr P.W. Accounts. No 32 BE, dt 9.1.99.
Letter from the Acctt Genl, Madras, No 336, dt 27.1.99.
" to the Govt of India No 186 Ry, dt 18.2.99.
" from " No 490 RE, dt 17.3.99.
G.O. No 461-2 Ry dt 24.4.99.
Letter from the Govt of India, No 1011 RE dt 30.5.99.

From The Govt. of India

No. 1011 RE.

Dated 30th May 1899
Received 8th June 99.
Registered — do —.
Enclosures
Spare copies

Order No 663 Ry dated 13/6/99

Communicated to the Examiner, P.W. Accounts, with reference to his Office Note No. 32 BE, dated 9.1.99.

J.H.A.
10.6.99.

Despatched on 13/6/99

To The Exr P.W. Accts (with Sub Office)
" Revenue Depts.
" Consg Engr for Rys } Despd

SUBJECT.

M.R.Ry. P. Sita Rao Pantulu, Deputy Collector 5th grade.

Sanctioning the temporary deputation of ______ for a period not exceeding a year, for the purpose of acquiring lands for the Calicut-Cannanore Extension

BACK PAPERS.

No.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

No.	Date.

No. 1011 R.E.
GOVERNMENT OF INDIA.
PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.
Railway Establishments.

All communications should be addressed to the Secretary.

To
The Joint Secretary to the Government of Madras,
Public Works Department, Railway Branch.

Simla, the 30th May 1899.

Sir,

With reference to correspondence ending with your letter No.462 D. Ry. dated 24th April 1899, I am directed to communicate the sanction of the Government of India, to the temporary deputation, for a period not exceeding a year, of M.R.Ry.P.Sita Rao Pantalu Garu, Deputy Collector 5th grade, on a salary of Rs.300/- per mensem, for the purpose of acquiring lands for the Calicut-Cannanore Extension of the Madras Railway.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant.

Under Secy. to the Govt. of India.

Documents accompanying.
Nil.

A.C.B