Public Works (1899 ~~191~~) Department.

G.O. No. 519 Ry

DATED 3-5-1899 ~~191~~.

Includes

G.O. No. 1018-19 Ry d/ 10-8-98.
do 326 Ry d/ 23-3-99
do 18 R.m d/ 7-1-99

[Despatch Abstract.]

P. W. 9.(a)
2,000—2-10-12

Indexed.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

(No. I.)

No. 10471

B/84

5,000—14-11-85.

MADRAS RAILWAY.

AGENT AND MANAGER'S OFFICE,

MADRAS, 28th July 1898

CONSULTING ENGINEER FOR [illegible]
RECEIVED
29 JUL. 1898
MADRAS.

3540
29.7.98

From

The Agent and Manager,
M. R. Co.

To

The Consulting Engineer for Railways,
Madras.

SIR,

I have the honour to enclose an extract para 9 from my letter to the Secretary No 2[illegible]63 dated the 17th May 1898, as well as para 6 from the Board's letter No 977 dated the 1st July 1898, received in reply, on the question whether Mr Marsh, Assistant Engineer, can be allowed to contribute to the Provident Institution. The circumstances which led to this reference to the Board are explained in my letter to them above quoted, and I request that you will kindly communicate to me the Sanction of Government to the Company's contribution in respect of Mr Marsh's Subscriptions being

Charged

charged to Capital so long as he is engaged on works of construction.

Mr Bourne whose case also is referred to in the Correspondence, is at present employed on the open line, and if he is transferred for duty on construction works, his case will be dealt with according to the ruling now submitted for sanction.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant,

Innis S Moss
Agent and Manager.

Encl.
2.

Ask:

MADRAS RAILWAY.

From
The Agent and Manager.

To
The Consulting Engineer
for Railways.

Dated 188

Recd.

No.

Form No. 33.

EXAMINER, RAILWAY ACCOUNTS, MADRAS.
Regr. No. 387
1 - AUG. 1898
Enclosures

300-30-6-

OFFICE OF THE CONSULTING ENGINEER FOR RAILWAYS,
Madras, 29th July 1898.

No 2172

OFFICE NOTE.

Letter from the Agent, & Manager, Madras Railway, No $\frac{10471}{B.684}$, dated 28th July 1898, forwarded to the Examiner of Railway Accounts, for favour of remarks.

JKR
for E. R.

DR
CMU 5/8

EXAMINER'S REPLY.

E.R.

The sanctioned rules of the Coy Provident Fund do not admit of an employé, who has been expressly engaged for and is solely employed on temporary construction works, becoming a subscriber to the Fund. Neither is there any rule which would admit of Coy contributions to the Provident Fund being treated as charges against Capital Acct.

On both points therefore the orders of the Govt of India will be required, if the concession applied for is to be granted.

E Wallace
C R 3/8/98

See G.O. under

FM

Read. extract from a letter No. 2363. of
19th May 98. from Agent Madras Ry
to his Board of Directors

" Board of Directors' reply No. 977. July 1st 98

" Agent Madras Ry. letter to Consg. Engr.
No. $\frac{10471}{B\ 684}$ dated 28th July 98.

" Note by Examiner Guaranteed Ry, Madras
Note dated 3.8.98.

Order No. 1018 Ry dated 10/8/98

The Govt. of India will be
addressed.

FWS
8/8/98

~~H.E.~~ Sr. 1019 Ry dated 10/8/98.

~~H.E. The Governor~~

~~Draft G.O. and letter for approval~~

FWS
8/8/98

R. Form No. 12.

18/

No. 1019 Ry

Government of Madras.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT,
RAILWAY BRANCH.

To

THE SECY. TO THE GOVT. OF INDIA,
P. W. DEPARTMENT.
Simla

Ooty, Augt. 10th 1898.

SIR,

I am directed to forward for the orders of the Govt. of India copies of the marginially noted letters and to recommend that sanction be accorded to the arrangement proposed by the Board of Directors of the Madras Ry.

1. Extract from a letter No. 2363 of 19.5.98 from Agent Madras Ry. to his Board of Directors
2. Board of Directors' reply No. 977 of July 1st /98
3. Agent, Madras Ry. letter to Consulting Engr. No. $\frac{10471}{B\ 684}$ dated 28th July /98
4. Note by Examiner Guaranteed Rys Madras dated 3.8.98.

JLd
9/8.

FWS
9/8

Despatched on 10/8/98

Documents accompanying:-

Papers noted on the margin

P. W. Ry C No. 4

Recd 3-1-99

P.W.
2194
1898

No. 985.

Madras Railway Company,
61 New Broad Street,
London, E.C., *18th November 1898.*

Sir,

Receipt of letters, &c.

I am desired by the Board to acknowledge the receipt of your letters Nos. 2,383 and 2,384, with their enclosures.

Telegrams, *re* transfer of Ennur Line.

2. I have also to acknowledge the receipt of your telegram, dated 15th inst., as follows :—

"Wire official authority transfer Ennur Line Government January."

in reply to which I this day, by order of the Board, sent you the following telegram :—

"Transfer Ennur sanctioned."

Telegrams, *re* Nilgiri Railway.

3. At the request and cost of the Nilgiri Railway Company I despatched to you on the 16th inst., the following telegram :—

"Is Nilgiri open Passengers"

and I yesterday received your reply as under :—

"See letter third instant."

This was duly communicated to the above-named Company.

Mr. W. T. Edwards appointed Registrar.

4. On the 11th inst. I informed you, with reference to para. 3 of 984, that Mr. W. T. Edwards, the Company's Accountant, had been appointed Registrar in succession to Mr. T. E. Norton, and that an alteration had therefore been made in his subscription to the Provident Fund Institution, which now amounts to £2 1*s.* 8*d.* per mensem.

Subscriptions of Home Staff to Provident Fund.

Mr. T. E. Berry appointed Accountant.

5. The total subscriptions of the Home Staff for each of the months of November and December will be £10 7*s.* 10*d.*, as per memorandum sent with my letter above referred to. Mr. T. E. Berry has been appointed Accountant to fill the vacancy caused by Mr. Edwards' promotion, but he will not commence his duties until the 1st January next, when you will be further advised as to the subscriptions of the Home Staff.

Transfers for Mr. Stoney's additional security received.

6. In continuation of para. 12 of No. 981 I have to advise you that we have received from the Union Bank of London, Charing Cross Branch, transfers to the Directors of the additional security required from Mr. Stoney on his promotion. The sum of £2 10*s.* has been paid to the London Guarantee and Accident Company for renewal premium on his policy for £1,000, which amount you will please include in your next remittance. This payment falls due annually on the 6th inst.

Mr. Stoney assumes charge as Chief Engineer.

7. The Board note from para. 8 of 2,383 that Mr. E. W. Stoney assumed charge of the office of Chief Engineer on the 3rd ulto., the date on which Mr. H. C. West retired from the Company's service. Mr. West has arrived in England.

£47 10*s.* transferred to C. C. Extension.

8. In compliance with the request in para. 4 of No. 2,383, the sum of £47 10*s.*, expended during the past half-year for the passage of Mr. Marsh, shall be transferred to the statement of withdrawals for the Calicut-Cannanore Extension.

To The Agent and Manager,
Madras Railway,
Madras.

ng that the rate of Exchange appli [illegible] Cannanore Extension Accounts for the half-year ended 30th June 1898, had been fixed at 15·3485*d.* per rupee.

It is observed that in the Net Revenue Account for the past half-year (No. 17a.) the amount of interest on Calicut-Cannanore Debentures is converted at 15·2769*d.* per rupee. Please explain the cause of the discrepancy.

10. With your No. 2,383 you forward copy of a letter (referred to in para. 9) addressed to you by Mr. West, recommending Mr. A. R. Stuart for permanent appointment. Mr. Stuart has served as Temporary Assistant Engineer since October, 1897, and Mr. Thompson, the District Engineer under whom he has worked, speaks well of his professional qualifications, and Mr. Stoney supports the recommendation for his permanent appointment, which the Board are pleased to approve. This appointment will fill the vacancy in the Engineering Staff caused by the retirement of Mr. West.

I now send as desired three parts of an agreement, the one signed by the Directors you will please hand to Mr. Stuart, and return one copy signed by him. His entry into the permanent service of the Company will be reckoned from the 3rd October 1898.

11. I am to add that Messrs. Bourne and Marsh may be absorbed into the Company's Staff as vacancies occur.

12. I have submitted to the Board the copy of the Locomotive Superintendent's letter of the 4th ulto., received with your No. 2,383, and referred to in para. 10, recommending Locomotive Foreman O. Horrocks for a gratuity of 12 months' pay, equal to Rs. 4,200, on his retirement from the Company's service on the 31st December next. In making this recommendation, which has your support, Mr. Phipps states that Horrocks has during the whole of his long service of nearly 31 years displayed the greatest interest and energy in the Company's behalf, more especially in the training of many of our Enginemen. In consideration of Horrocks' long and useful service the Board are pleased to approve of your and the Locomotive Superintendent's recommendation, and they authorize you to apply to Government for the necessary sanction.

rking Agree-
ith Nilgiri
y.

13. With my letter of the 8th inst. addressed to the Nilgiri Railway Company, copy of which was sent to you on the 11th idem, I forwarded Extract (para. 11) from your letter No. 2,383, in which you ask several questions relative to the working agreement between that Company and the Madras Railway Company, and I stated that the Board proposed to reply to your several enquiries as follows :—

> With regard to para. 5 of the working agreement—That the Nilgiri Railway Company will pay to this Company amounts required for Capital expenditure which do not exceed Rs. 5,000, and that when the estimated expenditure is in excess of that amount application for payment will have to be made through the Nilgiri Board.
>
> As to para. 6—The Nilgiri Railway Company will arrange for payment of any balance that may be due to this Company in the even of the gross receipts not being sufficient to cover the cost of maintenanc and working expenses.

and arrangements

That the Board will approve of your suggestion with reference to the mode of dealing with the receipts from the refreshment rooms, and also the proposal to enter the note relating to Clause 18B which appears at the end of para. 11 of your letter above referred to.

Before, however, addressing you the Board said they would be glad to receive the views of the Nilgiri Railway Directors on the subject.

In a letter from the Secretary of the Nilgiri Railway Company, dated 10th inst., copy of which was sent to you by last mail, it is stated that his Directors approve of the reply proposed to be sent to your questions. They suggest, however, with regard to para. 5 that the Madras Railway Company should advance the money necessary for raising the sides of the wagons, and for petty expenses, and that you should draw on them for the sterling value of the amount so advanced, and that with regard to future expenditure that this Company should meet the same and repay themselves out of the traffic receipts. There does not appear to be any objection to the adoption of the above suggestions, and the Nilgiri Railway Company will be so informed.

You will note what is said with reference to expenditure which is estimated to exceed Rs. 5,000.

With regard to your wish to be furnished with a copy of the agreement between the Nilgiri Railway Company and the Secretary of State, it is stated that such agreement has not yet been finally settled.

You will observe that the Nilgiri Railway also state that there may be some difficulty in obtaining from Messrs. Beyer, Peacock & Co., a complete set of the drawings of the Engines owing to the fact that they are patented, but they promise to do all in their power to obtain drawings of the ordinary details, and the general arrangement of the Engines with which our Locomotive Superintendent considers it essential he should be supplied.

I am, Sir,

Your obedient Servant,

(Sd.) Julian Byrne

Secretary.

R. 4 JAN. 1899 500—18-9-93.

MADRAS

75
4-1-99

From

The Agent and Manager,
Madras Railway Company,
Madras,

To

The Consg. Engineer
for Railways
Madras

Dated 2d } January 1899.
Received }

10/18/5 R
1078

No 10471

Your No. 2571 of 14th Septr 1898
x 5

x Flag J
stating Govt. [illegible] orders have been [illegible] read

The undersigned has the honour to request the favour of an early reply to his letter No. 10471/B/684 dated 28th July 1898 on the subject of Company's Contribution in respect of Mr Marsh's Subscriptions to the Provident Institution being charged to Capital.

A. Edwards
for Agent and Manager.

fr Agent and Manager.

R. Form No. 12.

No. 18 RM

Government of Madras.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT,

RAILWAY BRANCH.

To

The Secy. to the Govt. of India,
P. W. Department.

7th January 1899.

Sir,

I am directed to enquire when a reply may be expected to my letter No. 1019 Ry dated the 10th August 1898, requesting sanction to the Madras Railway Company's contribution in respect of Mr Macbeth's subscription to the Provident Institution being charged to Capital so long as he is engaged on works of construction.

D. R.
5.1.99.

Despatched on 7/1/99

5.1.99

Ans.

To Agent and Manager.

Extr from a letter from the Secretary, no 988 of 13-1-99.

Subordinate Staff C. C[illegible]tension to subs[illegible]ibe to Provident Institution.

6. Replying to para. 12 of 2,392, I am directed to inform you that, subject to the approval of Government, the privilege of subscribing to the Provident Institution may be extended to the Subordinate Staff transferred to the construction of the Calicut-Cannanore line, and the outlay will be a charge to Capital.

Consulting Engineer.

Form No. 38.

(No. II.)

No. 11510

B. 157

MADRAS RAILWAY.

AGENT AND MANAGER'S OFFICE,

Madras, 10th February 1899

CONSULTING ENGINEER FOR RAILWAYS
RECEIVED
11 FEB. 1899
MADRAS.

789
11.2.99

From

The Agent and Manager,
M. R. Co.
Madras.

To

The Consulting Engineer for Railways,
Madras.

Sir,

E

In continuation of my letter no 10471/B684 of the 28th July last, I have the honour to enclose an extract, para 6, from a letter from the Secretary, no 988 dated the 13th January 1899, in which the Board approve of the proposal to extend the privilege of Subscribing to the Provident Institution to the Subordinate Staff transferred to the construction of the Calicut-Cannanore line, the outlay being chargeable to capital. I request that the Sanction of Government may be communicated to the proposal being given effect to.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant,

for Agent and Manager.

Encl: One.

OMB

MADRAS RAILWAY.

From
The Agent and Manager.

To
The Consulting Engineer
for Railways.

Dated 188

Recd.

No.

OFFICE OF THE CONSULTING ENGINEER FOR RAILWAYS,

Madras, ~~14th~~ 15th February 1899.

EXAMINER
Regr. No. 86
17 FEB. 1899
Enclosures.........
RAILWAY ACCOUNTS, MADRAS

No. 516

OFFICE NOTE.

Letter from the Agent & Manager Madras Railway, No. 11510/13157, dated 10th February 1899, forwarded to the Examiner of Railway Accounts. for remarks.

Please see papers in linked file
Flag B

JLd
for E. R.
14.2.99

EXAMINER'S REPLY.

Flag D

The Agent and Manager should, in reply to his letter, be furnished with a copy of Government of India Resolution No. 216 R. E. dated 31st ultimo, which contains instructions on the conditions under which Companies Open line employes temporarily transferred to Construction may continue to subscribe to the Provident Fund.

EWAllen
C. R.
17.2.99.

17.2.99

Form No. 1/A.

No. 598

Madras, 21st February 1899.

From

THE CONSULTING ENGINEER FOR RAILWAYS,
MADRAS,

Reminders.

To

THE AGENT AND MANAGER, M. RY.

SIR,

In reply to your letter No. 11510/B157 of 10.2.99, I have the honour to refer you to Govt of India P.W.D. Resolution No 216.R.E of 31. Jan 1899 which contains instructions as to the conditions under which Company's Open line Employés temporarily transferred to Construction may continue to subscribe to the Provident Fund.

F/RS
18/2/99

Reply No.
dated

F. copy sent with G.O. No. 198 Ry of 21-2-1899

Despatched on 21/2/99

RAILWAY.

Government (1899) of Madras.

PUBLIC WORKS.

Recd. } 1899. *Enclosures*

Regd. *Spare copies*

G.O., No. 198 Ry., 21st February 1899.

FEBRUARY.

Railway Provident Fund

Communicating to the Railway Administrations concerned resolution of the Government of India containing the conditions under which officers of Companies' lines on the permanent establishment temporarily transferred to Construction may be permitted to subscribe to the —.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

Railway.

Read the following paper :—

Resolution of the Government of India, Public Works Department (Railway Establishments), dated 31st January 1899, No. 216 R.E.

Conditions under which officers of Companies' lines on the permanent establishment, temporarily transferred to Construction, may be permitted to subscribe to the Railway Provident Fund.

Read—

Letter No. 911 G., dated 18th March 1892, to Consulting Engineer, Lucknow.
" " 2439 G., " 25th September 1896, to Consulting Engineer, Lucknow.
Despatch from Secretary of State, No. 15 Ry., dated 25th February 1897.
" to Secretary of State, No. 53 Ry., dated 1st June 1897.
Letter No. 1627 G., dated 19th June 1897, to the Govt. of Bombay, P.W.D., Ry. Branch.
Letter No. 2661 G., dated 30th Sept. 1897, to the Govt. of Bombay, P.W.D., Ry. Branch.
Letter No. 785 Ry., dated 6th June 1898, from the Govt. of Madras, P.W.D., Ry. Branch.
Letter No. 3475 R.E., dated 21st Dec. 1898, to the Govt. of Madras, P.W.D., Ry. Branch.

Resolution—The Government of India have had under consideration the question of the conditions under which the permanent staff of Companies' lines temporarily transferred from open line to construction works, may be permitted to continue their subscriptions to the Railway Provident Fund.

They are now pleased to rule that any member of the permanent staff temporarily employed on construction may be permitted to subscribe to the Provident Fund and receive the usual bonus as if still employed on the open line, the bonus in all such cases being charged to Capital. In cases where an officer draws a higher salary while so employed, both subscriptions and bonus should, however, be calculated on his open line pay, and not on the increased salary.

These orders, so far as the limit of bonus is concerned, will not apply to individuals who, prior to the issue of the orders, may have been permitted, under orders of the Government of India, to receive a bonus in excess of the amount now fixed; that is, calculated on their increased pay.

Order.—Ordered, that a copy of this resolution be communicated to the Local Governments and Administrations and to the officers noted in the margin for information and guidance.

The Governments of Madras, Bombay and Burma, Public Works Department, Railway Branch.
The Chief Commissioner of Assam, the Resident at Hyderabad, and the Consulting Engineers to the Government of India for Railways, Calcutta, Lucknow and Assam.

Ordered, also, that a copy be forwarded to the Department of Finance and Commerce for information.

(Signed) G. H. D. WALKER,
Under Secy. to the Govt. of India.

To the Joint Secretary to the Government of Madras, Public Works
Department, Railway Branch.

Order—No. 198 Ry., dated 21st February 1899.

Communicated to Railway Administrations for information and guidance.

(True Extract.)

Francis J. E. Spring,
Joint Secretary.

To the Agent and Manager, Madras Railway.
" Agent, South Indian Railway, in continuation of G.O., No. 18 Ry., dated 4th January 1899.
" Examiner of Guaranteed Railway Accounts.
" Consulting Engineer for Railways.

Exd. T. K. Narayana Aiyangar.

Public Works (1899) Department.

Railway
CURRENT No. 338

Regr. No. 148
13 MAR 1899
Enclosures
RAILWAY ACCOUNTS, MADRAS

No: 856
11.3.99

Order

To CK for remarks.

a/B
Jr. ER

From The Govt. of India

No. 394 R.E.

Dated 1st March 1899.
Received }
Registered } 8.3.99.
Enclosures
Spare copies

SUBJECT.

Provident Fund

Passing orders on the subject of the eligibility of Messrs J. G. R. Marsh and J. M. Bourne, Asst. Engineers, Madras Railway to subscribe to the Company's

BACK PAPERS.

No.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

No.	Date.

All communications should be addressed to the Secretary.

No. 394 R.E.

GOVERNMENT OF INDIA.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT

RAILWAY ESTABLISHMENTS

JOINT SECRETARY TO GOVT. OF MADRAS RYS.
RECEIVED
8 MAR 1899

To

The Joint Secretary to the Government of Madras,
Public Works Department, Railway Branch.

Simla, the 1st March 1899.

Sir, I

With reference to your letter No.1019 Railway, dated 10th August 1898, on the subject of the eligibility of Messrs J.G.R.Marsh and J.M.Bourne, Assistant Engineers, Madras Railway to subscribe to the Company's Provident Fund, I am directed to state that the matter may be dealt with under the orders contained in Public Works Department Resolution E No.216 R.E., dated 31st January 1899.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

G M Walker

Under Secy. to the Govt. of India.

Documents accompanying.
Nil. Reg

K.P.G.

E. R.

1487
20-3-99

Mr. J. G. R Marsh was specially engaged for, and ever since his appointment has been employed on, the Calicut Cannanore Extension; the concession granted in Govt of India Resolution No. 216 R. E. dated 31st January last, does not therefore apply to him.

Mr. J. M. Bowie has also been appointed in connection with the Extension, but for the present he is attached to the open line, although now eligible to subscribe to the Provident Fund; he will, apparently, under the resolution quoted, cease to be eligible for membership on his transfer to construction duties, since he is a temporary Assistant Engineer and not on the permanent staff of the open line.

It is doubtful whether the Govt.

D.A.R

The whole question hinges on the words underlined, but what authority has C.R. for saying these officers were specially engaged for construction works. What is the term of their agreement? Is anything said in it about the works they are to be engaged on

15/3/99

D1

Please see Serial Nos 6 & 9A of the Case "Calicut Cannanore Railway Staff" linked to this one – and Extracts in this case flagged M & K. We have no copies of the agreements but A.M. can be asked to send them for reference if necessary.

16/3/99

ovt: of India intend that these officers should not be admitted to membership if their cases are not covered by the present ruling; and if the Govt: approve, a further reference may be de to the Govt: Indi the peculiarities of the cases as above explained being ~~already~~ clearly indicated, and attention being invited to the statement, made in Board's No 977 dated 1.7.98, that both officers are likely to be eventually absorbed in the Company's (permanent) staff.

FGW 14/3/99

C.R.
14.3.99.

No. 24.

nders.

y No.

ated

No.

Madras, ______ 189 .

From

THE SENIOR GOVERNMENT INSPECTOR OF RAILWAYS,
MADRAS,

To

THE AGENT AND MANAGER, M. RY. ✓
" " ~~S. I. RY.~~
" " ~~H. H. THE N. G. S. RY.~~
" " ~~AND CHIEF ENGR. N. RY.~~
" " ~~S. M. RY.~~
" ~~ENGR.-IN-CHIEF, B. M. RY.~~
" ~~MANAGER, E. C. RY.~~
" SECRETARY TO THE GOVT. OF INDIA.

SIR,

In reply to your No 10471 dt 28th July /98 asking for sanction to Messrs Marsh & Bourne subscribing to the Provident Fund I have the honor to inform you that the Govt of India have ruled that the matter may be dealt with under the orders contained in P.W.D Resn No 216 R.E dt 31.1.99. This rules that any member of the permanent staff temporarily employed on construction may be permitted to subscribe to the Provident fund. It is not known in this office if Messrs Marsh & Bourne were specially engaged for work on the Calicut extension or whether their services were engaged simply on account of the extra work ~~involved~~ anticipated for the staff as a whole due to the extensions being put in hand. In the former case I consider they are ineligible. In the latter if the officers have been appointed in ~~the lowest~~ a grade & are in every way ~~which~~ in the same position as an Assistant Engineer who would be appointed to fill a vacancy caused by the retirement of a senior officer I consider they are eligible. I shall be glad if you will inform me whether Messrs. Marsh & Bourne fall under the latter category

JLA
17.3.9.

C. R
for C. E.

1486
28.3.99

Slip E

To E.R.
Undoubtedly Messrs. Bourne & Marsh are not entitled to subscribe to the Provident fund if they are temporary Engineers as shown in the M. Ry. Gazette & I doubt if India will sanction the rules being broken in their case.

JLA
21.3.99.

E. R.

On further consideration I am not prepared to advise the issue of this draft.

However G. M. might reply, I don't think Messrs Marsh and Bourne can be brought under India Res. 216 R.E. d/. 31.1.99, as they do not appear to be members of the permanent staff, which I take to mean the sanctioned scale of establishment ordinarily employed on the railway.

This view is borne out by

(i) the M. Ry. Engineering Dept. Gazette of 31.1.99, in which both gentlemen are shown as temporary;

(ii) Board's letter 985, para 11, stating that they "may be absorbed into the Company's staff as vacancies occur", which implies that they are not at present members of the permanent staff.

I would recommend therefore that India be again addressed, pointing out that Messrs Marsh and Bourne do not fulfil the conditions of Reso 216 R.E. of 31.1.99, and requesting that under the special circumstances of the case, which have been already explained, they may be allowed to subscribe to the Provident Institution.

J.G.H.
20/3/99

C.R.

E

R. FORM No. 12.

No. 326. Ry

Government of Madras.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT,
RAILWAY BRANCH.

To
THE SECY. TO THE GOVT. OF INDIA,
P. W. DEPARTMENT.

Madras, the 23rd Mar 1899.

SIR,

I am directed to acknowledge the receipt of your letter No. 394-R.S. dated the 1st March 99, in which permission is given to apply the ~~ruling~~ orders contained in P.W.D. Resolution No. 216.R.S. dated 31st Jany. 99 to the case of Messrs J.G.R. Marsh and J.M. Bourne. As however it is not quite clear that the Resolution was intended to cover cases like those of the two gentlemen referred to I am instructed to ask for further orders, with the following explanation.

2.— Messrs Marsh and Bourne were engaged for 3 years as Temporary Engineers specially for construction works, with the intention that their salaries should be debitable to Capital. As a matter of fact the latter of the two officers is now employed on Revenue works, but nevertheless both were engaged specially for the Calicut Cannanore extension.

3.— In para 11 however of the Madras Ry. Board of Directors' letter to their Agent, No. 985. dated the 18th Nov. 98. permission is given to absorb them both into the Company's Staff as vacancies occur.

4.— With this explanation the Govt. of India will see that the case cannot well be dealt with under the Resolution referred to. I am therefore instructed to ask for further orders, and to recommend that under the special circumstances of the case Messrs J.G.R. Marsh and J.M. Bourne may be permitted to subscribe to the M. R. Prov. Fund.

I have &c. J.H.S. 23/3/99

Despatched on 24/3/99

Documents accompg.
— Nil —

Public Works (1899) Department.

(Misc) Railway Branch

CURRENT No. 594

Rk

Read the following
G. O. No. 1018-191 Rly d 10-8-98
~~Remove part of file~~ 198 Ry d 21-2-99
P. W. C. No. 338 d 8-3-99
Rep
26/4/99
Read also the following
Ry Letter No. 326 Ry d 23-2-99
P. W. C. No. 591 d 28-4-99.

From The Govt of India

Order No. 519 Ry dated 2/5/99

Communicated to the Agent and Manager M. Ry for information.

No. 699 E.

JHS

ER
28.4.99

E. R.

Dated the 18th April 1899

Received " 26th " —

Registered } 28. 4. 99

Enclosures

Spare copies

To AM
CR
E.R.
} Draft two memos of

28/4/99

SUBJECT.

Contribution to the Provident Fund, Indy.

Passing orders on the — by Messrs Marsh & Bonn Tempy. Asst Engrs on Construction work of the Railway

BACK PAPERS.

No.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

No.	Date.

Recd 26/4/99

No. 699 R.E.

GOVERNMENT OF INDIA.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

RAILWAY ESTABLISHMENTS.

All communications should be addressed to the Secretary.

To

The Joint Secretary to the Government of Madras
Public Works Department Railway Branch.

Simla, the 18th April 1899.

Sir,

With reference to your letter No.326 E Railway dated the 23rd March 1899, I am directed to state that Messrs J.G.R. Marsh and J.M. Bourne Assistant Engineers, Madras Railway, may be regarded as permanent Staff engaged temporarily on construction and their cases dealt with under the orders contained in Public Works Department Resolution No.216 R.E. dated 31st January 1899.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant ,

G H D Walker

Under Secy. to the Government of India.

Documents accompanying:-
Nil.

D.R.