# Public Works (1899) Department.

RAILWAY BRANCH.

**G.O., No.** 271-72 Ry

**DATED** 7th March 1899

---

[Despatch Abstract.]

Revised Estimate of Establishment for the Construction of the Negapatam Nagore Railway.

Sanction accorded to the — for the year 1898-99 & the E of I addressed as regards the sanction of the Establishments for 1899-1900.

—

The E of I addressed

[P. W. Ry. 500—1-12-98]

Indexed. 11/3/99.

## BACK PAPERS.

| G.O. | No. | Department. | Date. | G.O. | No. | Department. | Date. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## FURTHER PAPERS.

| G.O. | No. | Department. | Date. | G.O. | No. | Department. | Date. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

---

No. 83

**South Indian Railway Co., Ld.,**
AGENT'S OFFICE,
TRICHINOPOLY, 28th January 1899.

567
30-1-99

CONSULTING ENGINEER FOR RAILWAYS
RECEIVED
30 JAN. 1899
MADRAS.

*From*

The AGENT,
SOUTH INDIAN RAILWAY,

*To*

The CONSULTING ENGINEER FOR RAILWAYS,
MADRAS.

**Sir,**

With reference to the Preliminary Issue of the Capital Revised and Budget Estimates of this Railway for 1898-99-1900 forwarded with my letter No. 53 of 19th January 1899, I have the honor to forward the Capital Establishment Rolls and Proposition Statement to accompany the Budget.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant,

A. G. G. Shelley.
Captain R.E.
Agent.

2 Enclosures, herewith.

1.2.44

BACK PAPERS

From
The Agent,
South Indian Railway,
*Trichinopoly.*

To
The Consulting Engineer for Railways,
*Madras.*

Dated
Received 18 .

No.
Enclosure.

---

Form No. 33.

OFFICE OF THE CONSULTING ENGINEER FOR RAILWAYS,

*Madras,* 30th January 1899.

EXAMR. RAILWAY ACCOUNTS, MADRAS
Regr. No. 53
30 JAN. 1899

No. 308

OFFICE NOTE.

Letter from the Agent, South Indian Railway, No. 823, dated 28th January 1899, forwarded to the Examiner of Railway Accounts for remarks.

30.1.99

*for* E. R.

To E. R.
Is it necessary to have an Asst. Eng. on Rs. 350 for this; I understand there are no bridges.
2/2/99.

1/2/99

EXAMINER'S REPLY.

E. R.,

As the amount entered in the Budget estimate for 1899-1900 causes an excess over the ~~sancti~~ amount proposed for XII General Charges in the project estimate for the lin the schedule requires the sanction of the Government of India and may be submitted for sanction of that Government if E. R. considers the Establishment provided for necessary.

As the provision made in the Revised estimate for 1898-99 is covered by the sanctioned project estimate, this may be approved and passed by the local Govt.

E Wallen
E. R.
1.2.99

[500—1-12-98.]

Form No. $\frac{1}{B}$.

No. 370

Madras, 2nd Feby 1899

From

THE CONSULTING ENGINEER FOR RAILWAYS,

MADRAS,

Reminders.

To

THE AGENT, S. I. RY.

Reply No. 118
dated 8.2.99

SIR,

In reply to your letter No. 83. dated the 28th Jany. 99. I have the honour to return the enclosure thereof with the remark that in the absence of further information on the point I cannot see why you should require an Assist. Engineer on Rs 350 to superintend the laying of four or five miles of what is little more than a surface siding. It would appear that the nearest open line Assist Engr might perfectly well supervise this small work.

I have &

FKS
2/2/99

Despatched on 2/2/99

FKS

RAILWAY.

# Government (1899) of Madras.

## PUBLIC WORKS.

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| *Recd.* | 1899. | *Enclosures* |
| *Regd.* | | *Spare copies* |

## G.O., No. 134 Ry., 4th February 1899.

## FEBRUARY.

### Capital Revised and Budget Estimates, South Indian Railway

Ordered that the Preliminary Issue of the — for the years 1898-99 and 1899-1900, with a note thereon by the Examiner, Guaranteed Railway Accounts, Madras, be forwarded to the Government of India.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

**Railway.**

Read again the following paper :—

G.O., No. 2 Ry., dated 2nd January 1899.

Read also the following paper :—

From—The Agent, South Indian Railway.
To—The Consulting Engineer for Railways, Madras.
Dated—Trichinopoly, 19th January 1899, No. 53.

ORDER—No. 134 Ry., dated 4th February 1899.

Ordered that the Preliminary Issue of the Capital revised and budget estimates of the South Indian Railway for the years 1898-99 and 1899-1900, with a note thereon by the Examiner, Guaranteed Railway Accounts, Madras, be forwarded to the Government of India.

[Here enter No. 135 Ry., dated 4th February 1899.]

(True Extract.)

FRANCIS J. E. SPRING,
Joint Secretary.

To the Agent, South Indian Railway.
,, Examiner, Guaranteed Railway Accounts, Madras.
,, Consulting Engineer for Railways.

Exd. W. D'Moss.

---

RAILWAY.

# Government {1899} of Madras.

## PUBLIC WORKS.

*Recd.* } 1899. *Enclosures*
*Regd.* } *Spare copies*

## Letter, No. 135 Ry., 4th February 1899.

## FEBRUARY.

**Capital Revised and Budget Estimates, South Indian Railway**

Forwarding the Preliminary Issue of the — for the years 1898-99 and 1899-1900, with a note thereon by the Examiner, Guaranteed Railway Accounts, Madras.

## PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

**Railway.**

Letter—from

Francis J. E. Spring, Esq., C.I.E.,
*Joint Secretary to the Government of Madras,*
*Public Works Department,*
*Railway Branch,*

To

The SECRETARY to the GOVERNMENT of INDIA,
Public Works Department.

Sir,

With reference to paragraph 2 of your letter No. 694 A.R., dated 21st December 1898, I am directed to forward herewith the Preliminary Issue of the Capital Revised and Budget Estimates of the South Indian Railway for the years 1898–99 and 1899–1900, with a note thereon by the Examiner, Guaranteed Railway Accounts, Madras.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,
Francis J. E. Spring,
Joint Secretary.

Fort St. George,
4th February 1899.

Exd. W. D'Mose.

---

PRELIMINARY ISSUE.

## CAPITAL BUDGET ESTIMATE—WORKS.

# PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

### CAPITAL EXPENDITURE ON PUBLIC WORKS NOT CHARGED AGAINST REVENUE.

## 48. STATE RAILWAYS—CONSTRUCTION.

## REVISED AND BUDGET ESTIMATES
OF
**CONSTRUCTION WORKS**
ON THE
**SOUTH INDIAN STATE RAILWAY**
FOR
1898-99 & 1899-1900.

### MEMORANDUM.

| | RS. |
|---|---|
| Grant provisionally sanctioned by Government of India No. 694 A.R., dated 21st December 1898 ... ... ... | 8,55,000 |
| Programme approved— | |
| Cash outlay ... ... ... ... ... ... ... ... | 2,15,000 |
| Value of stores to be drawn from stock ... ... ... | 6,40,000 |
| Total ... | 8,55,000 |

## CAPITAL Revised and Budget Estimates of Construction Works on the South Indian State Railway for 1898–99 and 1899–1900.

*General Abstract.*

| | 1 | 2 | 3 | | | 4 | | | 5 | 6 | 7 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Main heads of expenditure. | Actuals to end of 1897–98. | Budget grant, 1898–99. | Revised estimate, 1898–99. | | | Budget estimate, 1899–1900. | | | Total probable outlay to end of 1899–1900 (columns 1, 3 and 4). | Required for lines under construction only. | |
| | | | Cash. | Stores. | Total. | Cash. | Stores. | Total. | | Remaining to be spent in future years. | Sanctioned estimate. |
| *Works and Services.* | RS. | RS. | RS. | RS. | RS. | RS. | RS. | RS. | RS. | RS. | RS. |
| I. Preliminary expenses .. .. .. | 4,79,451 | 14,167 | 12,696 | .. | 12,696 | 29 | .. | 29 | 4,66,784 | .. | .. |
| II. Land .. .. .. .. | 4,01,393 | 7,030 | 1,43,971 | * 198 | 1,44,169 | 27,193 | * 594 | 27,787 | 5,73,349 | .. | .. |
| III. Formation .. .. .. .. | 30,52,979 | 4,09,703 | 4,16,566 | 466 | 4,17,032 | 21,475 | 598 | 22,073 | 26,58,020 | .. | .. |
| IV. Bridge-work .. .. .. | 64,98,488 | 7,61,721 | 7,66,429 | 3,621 | 7,62,808 | 13,484 | 47,975 | 61,459 | 57,97,139 | .. | .. |
| V. Fencing .. .. .. .. | 2,44,287 | 6,860 | 24,739 | 15,341 | 9,398 | 24,972 | 26,605 | 51,577 | 2,86,466 | .. | .. |
| VI. Electric Telegraph .. .. .. | 26,433 | 780 | 2 | 306 | 308 | .. | 1,421 | 1,421 | 28,162 | .. | .. |
| VII. Ballast and permanent-way .. .. | 65,70,238 | 4,18,731 | 4,75,967 | 54,326 | 4,21,641 | 26,537 | 1,00,829 | 1,27,366 | 62,75,963 | .. | .. |
| VIII. Stations and buildings .. .. | 22,55,335 | 26,617 | 1,56,797 | 66,050 | 90,747 | 93,086 | 1,75,664 | 2,68,750 | 24,33,338 | .. | .. |
| Total, Construction Accounts .. | 1,95,28,604 | 15,76,755 | 17,09,221 | 1,39,376 | 15,69,845 | 2,06,776 | 3,53,686 | 5,60,462 | 1,85,19,221 | .. | .. |
| IX. Plant .. .. . .. | 4,43,817 | 48,969 | 59,124 | 17,528 | 41,596 | .. | 26,795 | 26,795 | 4,29,016 | .. | .. |
| X. Ferries, &c. .. .. .. .. | .. | .. | .. | .. | .. | .. | .. | .. | .. | .. | .. |
| XI. Rolling-stock .. .. .. | 55,54,508 | 1,40,000 | 15,500 | 73,908 | 58,408 | .. | 2,58,018 | 2,58,018 | 58,70,934 | .. | .. |
| XII. General charges .. .. .. | 22,52,831 | 2,53,276 | 2,52,332 | 711 | 2,51,621 | 8,224 | 1,501 | 9,725 | 20,10,935 | .. | .. |
| Total, Expenditure on Final Heads .. | 2,77,79,760 | 17,39,000 | 20,36,177 | 2,31,523 | 18,04,654 | 2,15,000 | 6,40,000 | 8,55,000 | 2,68,30,106 | .. | .. |
| Purchase of line .. .. .. .. | 4,69,25,570 | .. | .. | .. | .. | .. | .. | .. | 4,69,25,570 | .. | .. |
| Overdrawn capital .. .. .. .. | .. | .. | .. | .. | .. | .. | .. | .. | ... | .. | .. |
| Loss by exchange .. .. .. .. | 2,42,360 | .. | .. | .. | .. | .. | .. | .. | 2,42,360 | .. | .. |
| Miscellaneous advances .. .. .. | 4,286 | .. | .. | .. | .. | .. | .. | .. | 4,286 | .. | .. |
| Total .. | 7,49,51,976 | 17,39,000 | 20,36,177 | 2,31,523 | 18,04,654 | 2,15,000 | 6,40,000 | 8,55,000 | 7,40,02,322 | .. | .. |
| *Deduct*— | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Receipts on Capital Account .. .. | .. | .. | .. | .. | .. | .. | .. | .. | .. | .. | .. |
| Cash and stores taken over from Company on purchase of line .. .. .. | 39,26,434 | .. | 4,500 | .. | 4,500 | .. | .. | .. | 39,30,934 | .. | .. |
| Grand Total, Works and Services .. | 7,10,25,542 | 17,39,000 | 20,40,677 | 2,31,523 | 18,09,154 | 2,15,000 | 6,40,000 | 8,55,000 | 7,00,71,388 | .. | .. |

* The store charges under the head "Land" are due to the cost of constructing a latrine for salt peons on the land to be acquired and given up to the Salt Department in lieu of the land and latrine to be taken over from that department at Negapatam.

TRICHINOPOLY,
19th January 1899.

V. MUTHUVELU CHETTI,
*for* Accountant in charge,
Dy. Exmr. of Ry. Accts., Trichinopoly.

E. BAILEY,
*for* Chief Auditor, S.I.Ry.

T. H. LEMESURIER,
*for* Agent, South Indian State Ry.

ABSTRACT of General Charges for 1898-99 and 1899-1900.

| Sub-heads of establishment. | Revised estimate, 1898-99. | Budget estimate, 1899-1900. | Distribution. | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | | Capital. | | Revenue. | |
| | | | 1898-99. | 1899-1900. | 1898-99. | 1899-1900. |
| | RS. | RS. | RS. | RS. | RS. | RS. |
| Direction or General... | 99 | 601 | 99 | 601 | ... | ... |
| Engineering ... ... | **2,51,800** | 8,104 | **2,51,800** | 8,104 | ... | ... |
| Stores ... ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... |
| Audit and Accounts ... | 80 | 1,020 | 80 | 1,020 | ... | ... |
| Medical and Sanitation. | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... |
| Locomotive ... ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... |
| Traffic ... ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... |
| Total ... | **2,51,621** | 9,725 | ***2,51,621** | 9,725 | ... | ... |

* Includes credits of Rs. 2,52,326 on account of Gudur-Nellore section transferred to Bezwada-Madras Railway and Rs. 696 on account of sale-proceeds of Villupuram-Dharmavaram Railway stores and construction bungalow.

---

PRELIMINARY ISSUE.

# PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

## CAPITAL EXPENDITURE ON PUBLIC WORKS NOT CHARGED AGAINST REVENUE.

## CAPITAL ACCOUNT OF INDIAN RAILWAY COMPANIES.

## REVISED AND BUDGET ESTIMATES

OF

STORES TRANSACTIONS

ON THE

SOUTH INDIAN STATE RAILWAY

FOR

1898-99 & 1899-1900.

## MEMORANDUM.

| | RS. | RS. |
|---|---|---|
| Grant provisionally sanctioned by Government of India No. 694 A.R., dated 21st December 1898 ... ... ... ... ... ... ... | | **3,55,000** |
| The budget estimate contemplates a total expenditure of Rs. 30,03,411 as under— | | |
| Cash outlay on stores ... ... ... ... ... ... ... | | 20,09,689 |
| Expenditure in England including exchange ... ... ... ... | | 9,93,722 |
| | | 30,03,411 |
| and is provided for as follows :— | | |
| Credits for stores issues to Capital works ... ... | 6,40,000 | |
| Do. do. to Revenue ... ... ... | 25,70,000 | |
| Transfers and sales ... ... ... ... ... | 1,48,411 | |
| | | 33,58,411 |
| Balance being net capital grant required as above ... ... ... | | **3,55,000** |

## SOUTH INDIAN RAILWAY.

*Details of the Estimated Transactions under the head "Stores."*

**Revised** Estimate for 1898–99 and Budget Estimate for 1899–1900.

| Particulars of debits. | Revised estimate, 1898–99. | Budget estimate, 1899–1900. | Particulars of credits. | Revised estimate, 1898–99. | Budget estimate, 1899–1900. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | RS. | RS. | | RS. | RS. |
| Balance at commencement of the year. | 22,43,745 | 20,80,899 | Credits during the year— | | |
| | | | Issues to Capital works— | | |
| Debits during the year— | | | Land .. .. .. | 198 | 594 |
| Local purchases— | | | Formation .. .. .. | 466 | 598 |
| Firewood .. .. | 2,14,059 | 1,50,000 | Bridge-work .. .. | 3,621 | 47,975 |
| Oil .. .. | 1,84,768 | 1,82,353 | Fencing .. .. .. | 15,341 | 26,605 |
| Coal .. .. | 6,50,689 | 7,00,000 | Electric telegraph .. .. | 306 | 1,421 |
| Timber .. .. | 2,81,921 | 2,54,120 | Ballast and permanent-way .. | 54,326 | 1,00,829 |
| Running and miscellaneous stores .. .. .. | 1,47,163 | 1,93,216 | Stations and buildings .. | 66,050 | 1,75,664 |
| | | | Plant .. .. .. | 17,528 | 26,795 |
| | | | Rolling-stock .. .. | 73,908 | 2,58,018 |
| Total .. | 14,78,600 | 14,79,689 | General charges .. .. | 711 | 1,501 |
| London stores— | | | Total .. | 2,31,523 | 6,40,000 |
| For Capital purposes— | | | Issues to Revenue .. .. | 24,40,000 | 25,70,000 |
| Plant and machinery .. .. | 9,300 | 21,982 | Transfers and sales .. .. | 1,02,495 | 1,48,411 |
| For Revenue purposes— | | | | | |
| Rolling-stock .. .. | 2,62,900 | 3,53,600 | Total credits during the year .. | 27,74,018 | 33,58,411 |
| Permanent-way materials .. | 2,18,072 | 1,64,500 | | | |
| Miscellaneous stores .. .. | 1,69,900 | 5,03,640 | Balance expected at close of the year .. .. .. | 20,80,899 | 17,25,899 |
| Total .. | 6,60,172 | 10,43,722 | | | |
| Manufactures— | | | | | |
| Quarrying .. .. .. | 7,500 | 8,000 | | | |
| Brick manufacture .. .. | 5,000 | 5,000 | | | |
| Lime " .. .. | 2,500 | 3,000 | | | |
| Ballast .. .. .. | 5,000 | 4,000 | | | |
| Total .. | 20,000 | 20,000 | | | |
| Workshop manufacture— | | | | | |
| Works in progress ..<br>New works .. .. | 4,52,400 | 4,60,000 | Grand Total .. | 48,54,917 | 50,84,310 |
| Total debits during the year .. | 26,11,172 | 30,03,411 | | | |
| Grand Total .. | 48,54,917 | 50,84,310 | Net debit or credit to stores transactions being Capital grant required .. .. .. | 1,62,846 | 3,55,000 |

E. BAILEY,
*for* Chief Auditor, South Indian Railway.

Trichinopoly,
19th January 1899.

T. H. LeMESURIER,
*for* Agent, South Indian Railway.

V. MUTHUVELU CHETTI,
*for* Accountant in charge,
Dy. Examiner, Railway Accounts, Trichinopoly.

## APPENDIX A.

STATEMENT showing details of the sum set aside for expenditure in England on the South Indian Railway during 1899–1900.

| Particulars. | Amount. RS. |
|---|---|
| Probable amount of outstanding indents of the previous year ... | 80,000 |
| Probable amount of indents to be sent home for compliance during the year ... ... ... ... ... ... ... ... | 10,13,722 |
| | 10,93,722 |
| *Deduct*— | |
| Probable amount of outstanding indents at the close of the year ... ... ... ... ... ... ... ... | 1,00,000 |
| Estimated net capital outlay in England ... ... ... ... | 9,93,722 |

E. BAILEY,
*for* Chief Auditor, South Indian Railway.

T. H. LEMESURIER,
*for* Agent, South Indian Railway.

TRICHINOPOLY,
19th January 1899.

V. MUTHUVELU CHETTI,
*for* Accountant in charge,
Dy. Examiner, Railway Accounts, Trichinopoly.

---

NOTE by the Examiner, Guaranteed Railway Accounts, on the Capital revised and budget estimates of the South Indian Railway for 1898–99 and 1899–1900.

### *Revised Estimate*, 1898–99.

1. The revised estimate has been prepared for a net credit of Rs. 19,72,000, or Rs. 83,000 more than proposed in the first preliminary estimate.

2. The increase of Rs. 83,000 is made up as under:—

| | RS. |
|---|---|
| Increase on— | |
| Purchases of Indian stores ... ... ... ... ... ... | 60,000 |
| *Add*—Decreased sales ... ... ... ... ... ... | 677 |
| Decrease in issues to Revenue ... ... ... ... | 80,000 |
| | 1,40,677 |
| *Less*—Decreased cash outlay on Works ... ... ... | 57,677 |
| Net increase ... | 83,000 |

3. The increase under purchases of Indian stores is due chiefly to the reduction of the budget programme proposed in the preliminary revised estimate having been too high.

The existing grant, including the allotment of Rs. 1,07,000 made in Government of India letter No. 653 A.R., dated 5th December last, for the Nagore-Negapatam Railway, is Rs. 17,37,000, and a sum of Rs. 2,35,000 may therefore be withdrawn.

### *Budget Estimate*, 1899–1900.

The budget estimate has been prepared for the amount provisionally allotted in Government of India letter No. 694 A.R., dated 21st December 1898, and the following programme is proposed:—

| | RS. | RS. | RS. |
|---|---|---|---|
| *A.—Works and Services.* | | | |
| (i) Cash ... ... ... ... ... ... | | 2,15,000 | |
| (ii) Stores ... ... ... ... ... ... | | 6,40,000 | 8,55,000 |
| *B.—Stores.* | | | |
| *Debits*— | | | |
| (i) Cash—Indian ... ... ... ... ... | | 20,09,689 | |
| (ii) English outlay including exchange ... ... | | 9,93,722 | |
| | | 30,03,411 | |
| *Credits*— | | | |
| (i) Issues to Works ... ... ... | 6,40,000 | | |
| (ii) Issues to Revenue ... ... ... | 25,70,000 | | |
| (iii) Sales ... ... ... ... ... | 1,48,411 | 33,58,411 | **3,55,000** |
| | | | 5,00,000 |

Provision has been made for purchases of stores in India to the extent of Rs. 8,689 in excess of the expenditure during the current year, and the issues to Revenue are estimated at Rs. 1,30,000 in excess of those during 1898–99.

Provision of £65,213 has been made for expenditure in England on stores, and exchange has been calculated at the rate of $15\frac{3}{4}$*d.* the rupee.

MADRAS,
23rd January 1899.

E. A. WALLER, Major, R.E.,
Examiner, Guaranteed Railway Accounts.

South Indian Railway.

Copy of a letter, No. B/6210A/290, dated 6th February 1899
from the Chief Engineer, South Indian Railway
to the Agent, South Indian Railway.

---

Capital Revised and Budget Estimates
1899-1900 — South Indian Railway.
Capital Establishment Rolls.
Your letter No. M 1243/92/187 of 4th February 1899.

With reference to the letter of the Consulting Engineer on the appointment of an Assistant Engineer on Rs 350/- for the Nagore Extension, I regret I cannot agree in the opinion expressed. The line is a short one certainly and if the work were merely that of throwing up a little earthwork and the laying of 4 or 5 miles of rails I would not have appointed an Assistant Engineer even on the low pay of Rs 350/- but there is a good deal of work to be done in addition to earthwork and platelaying. Excluding the cost of Permanent Way there is an expenditure of about Rs 70,000 to be watched.

The Sub-Division of Division A is 160 miles long and the Assistant Engineer in addition to Maintenance of this length has many items of construction to look after. It is impossible that he can give to the construction of the extension anything like the attention that it requires and I could not hold him responsible for rascality of Contractors nor for mistakes. If an Engin[illegible]t appointed, I must put on a Construction Inspector

---

AGENT'S OFFICE,
TRICHINOPOLY,
8th February 1899.

True copy.
H. LeMesurier
for Agent.

Accompaniment to the Agent's letter
No. 118 of 8-2-1899.

Copy continued.

Inspector and a man fit for the post could not be obtained for less than Rs 200/- per mensem so that for the additional expenditure of about Rs 2000/- for the twelve months the risk of bad work and bad measurements is to be incurred.

I do not propose to add anything to the duties of the Engineer on Division A, they are heavy enough, but that all work in connection with this extension should be dealt with in direct communication with myself.

I have, therefore, to request the sanction to the appointment.

The enclosures are herewith returned.

AGENT'S OFFICE,
TRICHINOPOLY,
8th February 1899.

True copy.
W. Le Mesurier
for Agent.

Accompaniment to the Agent's letter
No. 118 of 8-2-1899. M.A.D.

provision contemplated in the Estimate.

I have & 7/4

From
THE AGENT,
South Indian Railway,
*Trichinopoly,*

To
THE CONSULTING ENGINEER FOR
RAILWAYS,
*Madras.*

Dated } 18 .
Received }

No.

ENCLOSURE.

---

|  | 1898-99 | 1899-00 | Total | Estimate | Excess | Budget Deficiency |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| [illegible] | 99 | 601 | 700 ✓ | 700 | — | — |
| Engineers | 350 | 4200 | 4550 |  |  |  |
|  | 50 | 600 | 650 |  |  |  |
| Office | 122 | 1464 | 1586 |  |  |  |
| Allowances | 700 | 1840 | 2540 |  |  |  |
| Total Eng. | 1222 | 8104 | 9326 | 7510 | 1816 |  |
| ~~Gd Total~~ | ~~1321~~ | ~~8765~~ | ~~10026~~ |  |  |  |
| Audit | 80 | 1020 | 1100 | 530 |  | 430 |
|  | 1401 | 9725 | 11126 | 740 | 1386 |  |

An excess of Rs 1816 under General Charges Engineering against a saving of Rs 430 under " " Audit.
The budget anticipates the full staff being employed for 13 months.

JLd
14.2.99.

189 9.

...ing to

... Cltg

... [illegible] R.

was only Rs 7510, ~~[illegible]~~ under [illegible] ... Estimate. [illegible] however, deduced from your budget forecast for "General Charges Engineering", comes to Rs 9326, or including Audit to Rs 11,126. You cannot expect me to pass over without comment an increase of 14 to 24 per cent in an important item of the Estimate, especially as I can only presume that the facts referred to in the enclosures of your letter under reply were as well known to your Cltg Engineer then as now.

2.- I have no objection to your employing a special [illegible] Engineer for this work, ~~[illegible]~~ but as it requires the Govt of India sanction, I must be in possession of at least some information as to whether you intend to exceed your own Estimate figures for General Charges, or whether you will make good the excess in savings elsewhere and so not exceed the total expenditure contemplated in the Estimate.

I have &c. F.W.S.

Despatched on 15/2/99

[illegible]

# South Indian Railway.

Copy of a letter, No. S.T.6210/379 , dated 20th Febry 1899
from the Chief Engineer
to the Agent, South Indian Railway.

---

Your No $1657\frac{20}{242}$ of 17th February 1899

Negapatam Nagore Extension

Temporary Superior Staff

..................

As it may take some time to hand over theland I may not be able to get into the full swing of work at once I have thought it better to provide Establishment for 13 months instead of 12 months of the Estimate. I have added a Sub-Inspector who will not be employed unless found necessary in the interest of the work . There will be a saving on Instruments.

With these two items I have no doubt I can reduce expenditure on ~~several~~ General? charges to about the total Estimate, but I would sooner be allowed to obtain sanction to the ~~[illegible]~~ employment of the Sub-Inspector.

By the use of the 68 lb Rail for the Permanent Way sanctioned by your letter No $1657\frac{20}{2048}$ of 8-12-98 there will be a considerable saving under the head of Permanent Way, as pointed out in my letter No C6210/2464 of 29-11-98.

---

AGENT'S OFFICE,
TRICHINOPOLY,
21st February 1899

True copy.
W. Le Mesurier
for Agent.

Accompaniment to the Agent's letter
No. 166 of 21 Feby 1899. Regd.

Enc. No. 1 TO E. R'y. C. No. 986 of 1899
Letter No. 166 of 21. 2-99
FROM A

No. 166

South Indian Railway Co., Ld.,
AGENT'S OFFICE,
TRICHINOPOLY, 21st February 1899.

986
22.2.99

From
The AGENT,
SOUTH INDIAN RAILWAY,

To
The CONSULTING ENGINEER FOR RAILWAYS,
MADRAS.

Sir,

B

With referrence to your letter No. 531 dated 15th February 1899 I have the honor to forward for your information a copy of the Chief Engineer's letter No. S. T./$\frac{6210}{349}$ dated 20th February 1899. I have discussed your letter under reference with that officer and I understand from him that it is not likely that there will be any excess over the total estimate, and that it is very probable the provision under General Charges will be only slightly if at all exceeded. It appears that there may be some delay in handing over land and it is this contingency which may run up the expenditure on Establishment.

1 Enclosure

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obedient Servant
A. D. G. Shelley
Capt. R.E.
Agent.

[500—1-12-98.]

From
The Agent,
South Indian Railway,
Trichinopoly,
To
The Consulting Engineer for
Railways,
Madras.

Dated 18
Received

No.

Enclosure.

---

Form No. 33.

Office of the Consulting Engineer for Railways,
Madras, 23rd February 1899.

EXAMINER, RAILWAY ACCOUNTS, MADRAS
Regr. No. 111
24 FEB. 1899
Enclosures

No. 654

## OFFICE NOTE.

Letter from the Agent, South Indian Railway, No. 166, dated 21st February 1899, forwarded to the Examiner of Railway Accounts for remarks.

JLd
for E. R.
24.2.99

## EXAMINER'S REPLY.

27/2.

The Company propose no modification in the proposition Statements of Establishment for 1898-99 and 1899-1900, and the action suggested in my remarks on your Office note No. 308 dated 30th ultimo may now be taken, if E. R. agrees that no reduction should be made.

Yes, ask India's sanction to the increase ~~under "General Charges"~~ & sanction Revised Estimate
JLd
27/2/99.

EWallen
C. R.
24.2.99.

[500—1-12-98.]

Form No. 1

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT,

RAILWAY BRANCH.

Papers to be read in Proceedings :—

E Ri current No. 567 of 1899 of 30-1-99 from A
Office note by C R dated 1-2-99
E Ri letter to A dated 2-2-99 No. 370
Again G. O. No. 134-5 Ry of 4-2-99
E Ri current No. 750 of 1899 of 8-2-99 from A
E Ri letter to A dated 15-2-99 No. 531
E Ri current No. 986 of 1899 of 22-2-99 from A

B. as per Hy instructions

ORDER. No. 271. Ry dated 7/3/99

Sanction is accorded to the revised detailed estimate of Establishment ~~amounting to Rs 1401 of General Charges~~ of the Negapatam Nagore Railway for the year 1898-99.

2. As regards the detailed Establishment Estimate for 1899-1900 The Govt of India will be addressed.

To The Agent S. I. R.
" Examiner Gl. Ry Accts, Madras
" Cons: Engr for Ry

Despatched on 8/3/99

(H. E. No. 272. Ry of 7th March 1899

To

The Secretary
to the Govt of India
P.W.D.

Sir,

In forwarding a copy of Proceedings No. 271. Ry of 7th March 1899, I am directed to request the sanction of the Govt of India to the detailed estimate ~~[illegible]~~ Establishment ~~[illegible] of General charges~~ of the Negapatam Nagore Railway for the year 1899-1900 herewith

herewith enclosed, which is in excess of the ~~[illegible]~~ provision for General Charges in the Project estimate as shewn below:-

Amount proposed in the revised estimate for 1898-99 Rs 1,401

" in the Budget estimate for 1899-1900 " 9,725

~~[illegible]~~ Rs 11,126

Amount sanctioned in the Project estimate – " 9,740

Excess Rs 1,386

It is expected that this excess under General Charges will be covered by savings under other sub-heads of the Project Estimate and an application for sanction to the necessary transfers between subheads will be submitted later on.

2/3/99.

Despatched on 10/3/99

1.3.99