

Public Works (189) Department.

RAILWAY BRANCH.

G.O., No. 1246 Ry

DATED 31st Oct, 1899

Includes G.O. No. 1092-93 Ry 73-10-99

70
14

[Despatch Abstract.]

Nilgiri Railway

Recording a letter from the Govt-
of India, stating that appointments
in connection with the maintenance
of the — need not in future
be referred for sanction.

Recording a letter from the Government of India stating that appointments in connection with the maintenance of the — need not, in future, be referred for sanction.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

Railway.

Read again the following papers:—

From—The Secretary to the Government of India, Public Works Department.
To—The Secretary to the Government of Madras, P.W. Dept., Ry. Branch.
Dated—Simla, 14th September 1899, No. 1735 R.E.

With reference to the Establishment Rolls and Working estimates of the Madras Railway for the half-year ending 31st December 1899, received with your letter No. 782 Ry., dated the 17th July 1899, I am directed to point out that the sanction of the Government of India is necessary to the appointment of the Inspector, Engineering Department, on Rs. 350 per mensem shown at page 26 of the Rolls.

Order—No. 1092 Ry., dated 3rd October 1899.

The Government of India will be addressed.

From—The Secretary to the Government of Madras, P.W. Dept., Ry. Branch.
To—The Secretary to the Government of India, Public Works Department, Simla.
Dated—Ootacamund, 3rd October 1899, No. 1093 Ry.

In reply to your letter No. 1735 R.E., dated the 14th September 1899, I am instructed to invite a perusal of clauses 6 and 6 (a) of the Nilgiri Railway Working Agreement, which went to you with my letter No. 827 Ry., dated the 18th June 1898. You will see that the agreement comes to between the owning and the working companies was that the Consulting Engineer for Railways, Madras, should be the referee in the event of the Nilgiri Railway Company, by whom all maintenance expenditure is borne, disputing any expenditure incurred on their account by the Madras Railway Company.

2. You will also see that maintenance expenditure is quite outside the percentage agreed to be charged by the Madras Railway Company for working the Nilgiri Railway, and therefore quite outside the Government of India's financial concern with the Madras Railway Company's accounts. The Government of India, moreover, are in no way concerned financially with the net, but only with the gross, receipts of the Nilgiri Railway.

3. That such is the case was taken cognizance of, after discussion at the meeting at which the Rolls were approved, and an entry to that effect was made at foot of Abstract A, page 28, of the Rolls referred to.

4. In view of the facts above brought to notice, I am instructed to enquire whether it is still the Government of India's desire that their prior sanction should be obtained to appointments of the class referred to, under Abstract A of the Nilgiri Railway Accounts.

Read also the following paper:—

From—The Secretary to the Government of India, Public Works Department.
To—The Secretary to the Government of Madras, P.W. Dept., Ry. Branch.
Dated—Simla, 21st October 1899, No. 2043 R.E.

With reference to your letter No. 1093 Ry., dated 3rd October 1899, I am directed to state that appointments in connection with the maintenance of the Nilgiri Railway need not, in future, be referred for sanction of the Government of India.

ORDER—No. 1246 Ry., dated 31st October 1899.

Recorded.

(True Extract.)

FRANCIS J. E. SPRING,
Secretary.

To the Consulting Engineer for Railways.
" Examiner, Guaranteed Railway Accounts.
" Agent and Manager, Madras Railway.

Public Works (1899) Department.

To CR. No. 2969
25/9/89

For remarks



22.9.99

Railway
CURRENT No. 1187

From The Govt of India

Adm.

Print

2P

No. 1092 Dated 3/10/89

No. 1735 R2.

The Govt of India to be addressed

W
27/1/89

Dated 14th Sept 1889

Received 21st do

Registered do

Enclosures

Spare copies

SUBJECT.

Establishment Rolls and
Working Estimates, W.R.
Pointing out that the sanction
of the Govt of India is necessary
to the appointment of the
Inspector, Engineering Dept.,
on Rs 350 per mensem shown
at page 26 of the
for 2/89.

GOVERNMENT OF INDIA.
PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.
RAILWAY ESTABLISHMENTS.

The ^{8.} Joint Secretary to the Government of Madras,
Public Works Department Railway Branch.

Simla, the 14th September 1899.

Sir,

With reference to the Establishment Rolls and Working estimates of the Madras Railway for the half year ending 31st December 1899, received with your letter No. 782^H Railway dated the 17th July 1899, I am directed to point out that the sanction of the Government of India is necessary to the appointment of the Inspector, Engineering Department, on Rs. 350 per mensem shown at page 26 of the Rolls.

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Edna Walker

Under Secretary to the Govt. of India.

Documents accompanying :-

Nil.

E.H.

The question was raised at the official meeting when the rolls were under discussion, and the conclusion came to that Nilgiri Railway abstract A was not subject to Government sanction. See foot note on page 28 of the rolls. ^{W.D.}

Para 6(a) of the working agreement provides for the estimate for ordinary maintenance of works being settled by the two companies, E. R. being referred to only in case of disagreement. The actual expenditure on maintenance of works is debitable to the Nilgiri Ry. and is quite apart from the percentage charged by the Madras Railway for working.

These points may be brought before the Govt. of India, and enquiry made whether that government still considers that a reference was necessary in connection with the Inspector.

J.H. 26⁹/₉₅

C.S.

26⁹/₉₉

Ans

27.9.99

1246 R.

No. 1093 Ry

Government of Madras,

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT,

RAILWAY BRANCH.

To

The Secretary to the Government of India,

Public Works Department,

S I M L A.

Copy, the 3rd Oct 1899.

Sir,

In reply to your letter No. 1735 R.E., dated the 14th September 1899, I am instructed to invite a perusal of Clauses 6 and 6 (a) of the Nilgiri Railway Working Agreement, which went to you with my letter No. 827 Ry dated the 18th June 1899. You will see that the agreement came to between the owning and the Working Companies was that the Consulting Engineer for Railways, Madras, should be the referee in the event of the Nilgiri Railway Company, by whom all maintenance expenditure is borne, disputing any expenditure incurred on their account by the Madras Railway Company.

2. You will also see that maintenance expenditure is quite outside the percentage agreed to be charged by the Madras Railway Company for working the Nilgiri Railway, and therefore quite outside the Government of India's financial concern with the Madras Railway Company's Accounts. The Government of India, moreover, are in no way concerned financially with the net, but only with the gross, receipts of the Nilgiri Railway.

3. That such is the case was taken cognizance of, after discussion at the Meeting at which the rolls were approved, and an entry to that effect was made at foot of Abstract A, page 28, of the Rolls referred to.

4. In view of the facts above brought to notice, I am instructed to enquire whether it is still the Government of India's desire that their prior sanction should be obtained to appointments of the class referred to, under Abstract A, of the Nilgiri Railway Accounts.

Despatched on 3/10/99

B. S. V.

7/10/99
R.

Public Works (1899) Department.

RAILWAY BRANCH.

CURRENT No. 1350

A

Read the following

G.O. No. 1092-93 Ry d 8th Oct 1899

P.W.C. current No 1350 d 30.10.99.

From

The Govt of India

Order

1246 Ry d 31/10/99

Recorded

No. 2043 R.G.

Dated 21st October 1899

Received

Registered

Enclosures

Spare copies.

SUBJECT.

Nilgiri Railway.

Stating that appointments in connection with the maintenance of the — need not in future, be referred for sanction of the Govt of India.

Despatched on 17/11/99

This is important. Print it.

7/11

To

The Consulting Engineer

a Examiner G.O. Ry d

about and manager only

FURTHER PAPERS.

GOVERNMENT OF INDIA.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

RAILWAY ESTABLISHMENTS:

To

The Secretary to the Government of Madras,

Public Works Department, Railway Branch.

Simla, the 21st October 1899.

Sir,

With reference to your letter No.1093 Railway dated 3rd October 1899, I am directed to state that appointments in connection with the maintenance of the Nilgiri Railway need not, in future, be referred for sanction of the Government of India.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

C. H. Waller

Under Secy. to the Govt. of India.

Documents accompanying:-

Nil.