

Public Works (1899) Department.

G.O., No. 1042 9

DATED 11th Dec 1899.

[Despatch Abstract.]

Prosecution of the ryots of Peria Alangalam,
Madura Dt.

The payment of Rs 100 to the Vakil
who conducted the — sanctioned

BACK PAPERS.

FURTHER PAPERS.

No.	Date.
R 3152	13.7-99.
K 5122	7 11-11-98.

No.	Date.

Copy of communication, dated 11th October 1899 from Mr. P. R. Muthusami Aiyar B.A. B.L., High Court vakil, now Special Government prosecutor, Sankaranainarcoil, to the Collector of Madura.

With reference to your letter R.C.C. No. $\frac{2564}{91}$ of 1899, dated 15th September last, I have the honour to inform you that I was engaged in the case referred to therein by the Asst. Engineer, Tirumangalam Division, on the understanding that I should be paid Rs 25 per diem.

In that case I put in appearances as detailed below:—

1. 28th February 1899. Presented the complaint with the vakalat sworn statement taken and case posted to 11th March 1899—
2. 11th March 1899—went to court, Sub-Magistrate was absent, case adjourned to 20th March 1899.
3. 20th March 1899—Attended court, waited till the case was taken up. Summoned to

to accused 1 and 2 not returned. Adjourned to 6 April 1899 -

4. 6 April 1899 - Accused 1 and 2 absent. Adjourned to 17 April 1899

5. 17 April 1899 - Warrant ordered to first accused. Adjourned to 29 April 1899.

6. 29 April 1899 - Same accused absent. Adjourned to 8 May 1899.

7. 8 May 1899 - All accused present. Examined 6 prosecution witnesses. 6 other witnesses absent, Warrants ordered; adjourned to

8. 15 May 1899 - Remaining three witnesses were examined and the statements were taken from all accused,

Case argued, charge framed, posted for defence evidence to 29 May 1899 -

9. 29 May 1899 - Defence witnesses were examined, Case argued and closed Judgment on

10. 1 June 1899 - Judgment not ready, judgment was pronounced on 2 June 1899 committing all accused,

In

In my first letter to the Assistant Engineer. I claimed Rs. 250. But he wrote to me to say that he believed a fee of Rs 25 would be charged for the days on which the case was actually gone into. Hence I subsequently charged Rs 100 for the 4 days in which the case was actually gone into.

In those 4 days, I was solely engaged in the case and I cannot now state for how many hours I had to wait each day in court, before the case was taken up; So I have roughly estimated my remuneration for the other days to be Rs 50 -

I have the honour therefore to request you to be good enough to sanction payment of Rs 150 - to me at an early date.

(Sd) Mr. Tirumalai Gillep

For Collector.
7-10-99.

(True copy)

A. V. No. 111 Lt. Col. R. L.

Supg: Engineer, Circle

16/11/99

From L. L. Buckley Esquire, Ag. Collector
of Madura to the Executive Engineer,
Madura Division D. Dis No: 2047 of 99
Rev: Rev:
dated 6 November 1899 -

In reply to your letter letter
No: 614 M, dated 28.8.99, I have the honour
to enclose copy of Mr. P. V. Muthuswami
aiyars letter to me, dated 11-10-99, giving
particulars of the work done in connection
with the case against the Tambakulam
ryots and to state that a fee of Rs 25-
for each of the three days (8th, 15th, & 29th
of May 1899) occupied in the hearing
of the case may be sanctioned besides
an additional fee of Rs 25- for filing
the complaint and for the vakils appearance
for short periods on the days on which
adjournments were given owing to
the absence of the accused &c. I consider
that on the whole only a fee of Rs 100
may be sanctioned for the vakils fee
in the case.

Encl
P.W. 9597/99

NOV 1899
1106 M.D.

2. The Vakil's letter received with the reference quoted above is also enclosed.

(True copy)

J. P. Nandy Lt. Col. R.L.

Supg. Engineer, 6th Circle.

16.11.99.

[Signature]

P.W. 9597/99

18 NOV 1899
2/106 m.d.

No. 1719 m.d.

OFFICE OF THE SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER,

6TH CIRCLE,

Trichinopoly, 16 November 1899.

917-

From

Lt. Col. G. V. Boddy R.L.
Superintending Engineer,
6th Circle.

To

The Chief Engineer,

for Irrigation.

18 NOV 1899

106 m.d.

SIR,

2 ENCLOSURES.

With reference to G.O. Nos. 886 D. & 587 D., dated respectively 11 November 1898 and 12 July 1899, I have the honour to forward herewith copy of letter No. 2047 of 99, dated 6 Nov. 1899, with copy of its enclosure, from the Collector of Madura to the address of the Executive Engineer, and to request that Sanction of Government may be obtained to the payment of Rs 100 to the vakil who conducted the prosecution of the ryots of Peria alangulam.

2.

It will be observed from the pleader's letter to the Collector that he claims a fee of Rs 150-, but the latter officer recommends only a

Sum

Sum of Rs 100-

I have the honour to be,
Sir,

Your most obedient Servant.

J. V. Madley Lt. Col. R.E.

Supg. Engineer, Circle

