

Public Works (1898) Department.

RAILWAY BRANCH.

G.O., No. 940 Ry

DATED 19th July 1898.

120
5

[Despatch Abstract.]

Grant of full pay to Railway Subordinates,
who are obliged to be absent from duty for
medical treatment in consequence of accidents met
with on duty. Govt of India letter, passing
orders on the question raised by the Agent & Manager,
Mys, as to whether the orders in P. W. D. letter
No. 1368 of 15-1-92, relating to the ———
~~may be~~ held to apply to the skilled artisans
employed in the Perambur Works, ^{Comm.} to
the Com. Engr for Mys, Messrs for information

Indexed.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

Railway.

Read again the following paper:—

G.O., No. 717 Ry., dated 12th May 1898.

Read also the following paper:—

From—The Secretary to the Government of India, Public Works Department.
To—The Joint Secretary to the Government of Madras, P.W. Dept., Ry. Branch.
Dated—Simla, 5th July 1898, No. 1939 G.

I am directed to acknowledge the receipt of your letter, No. 717, Railway, dated 12th May 1898, asking for a definite ruling on the question raised by the Agent, Madras Railway, as to whether the orders in Public Works Department letter, No. 136 G., dated 15th January 1892, relating to the grant of full pay to Railway subordinates, who are obliged to be absent from duty for medical treatment in consequence of accidents met with on duty, may be held to apply to the skilled artizans employed in the Perambur Workshops, or whether these men should be treated as daily labourers and dealt with under the orders in Public Works Department No. 2000 G., dated 28th July 1893.

2. In reply I am to state that the test to be applied is not so much the "daily" or "monthly" rate of pay, but the nature of the employment. If the men in question are virtually permanently employed, they may be treated as subordinates under Government of India letter, No. 136 G. of 15th January 1892; but if their employment is casual, they must be treated as daily labourers. No general ruling is considered necessary. Discretion must be exercised in dealing with individual cases.

ORDER—No. 940 Ry., dated 19th July 1898.

Communicated to the Consulting Engineer for Railways for information and guidance.

(True Extract.)

FRANCIS J. E. SPRING,
Joint Secretary.

To the Consulting Engineer for Railways.
" Agent and Manager, Madras Railway.
" Examiner, Guaranteed Railway Accounts.
" Agent, South Indian Railway.
" Agent and Chief Engineer, Nilgiri Railway.

Exd. T. K. Narayana Aiyangar.

Works
Public (1898) Department.

Railway

CURRENT No. 853

From

The Govt. of India

Order

No. 1939 G

Dated 5th July 1898

Received

Registered

Enclosures

Spare copies

SUBJECT.

Grant of full pay to Railway subordinates, who are obliged to be absent from duty for medical treatment in consequence of accidents met with on duty.

Passing orders on the question raised by the Agent M. Ry. as to whether the orders in S. P. D. letter No. 136 G. of 15-1-92 relating to the — may be held to apply to the skilled artizans employed in the Perambur Workshops, or whether these men should be treated as daily labourers.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

All communications
should be addressed
to the Secretary.

No. 1939 G.

GOVERNMENT OF INDIA.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

GENERAL.

To The Joint Secretary to the Government of Madras,
Public Works Department, Railway Branch.

Simla, the 5th July 1898.

Sir,

I am directed to acknowledge the receipt of your
letter No. 717 Railway dated 12th May 1898, asking for a
definite ruling on the question raised by the Agent, Madras
Railway, as to whether the orders in Public Works Department
letter No. 136 G. dated 15th January 1892, relating to the
grant of full pay to Railway Subordinates, who are obliged
to be absent from duty for medical treatment in consequence
of accidents met with on duty may be held to apply to the
skilled artizans employed in the Perambur Workshops, or
whether these men should be treated as daily labourers and
dealt with under the orders in Public Works Department
No. 2000 G. dated 28th July 1893.

2. In reply I am to state that the test to be applied
is not so much the "daily" or "monthly" rate of pay but
the nature of the employment. If the men in question are
virtually permanently employed they may be treated as
Subordinates under Government of India letter No. 136 G. of
15th January 1892 but if their employment is casual they
must be treated as daily labourers. No general ruling is

considered

2 717 6

18. May

18. 7. 20 - 18. 7. 20 - 18. 7. 20

18. 7. 20

18. 7. 20

Commissioner of the General Land Office
Washington, D.C.
Dear Sir:
In reply to your letter of the 18th inst. regarding the land in the name of the United States, I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of your letter and to inform you that the same has been forwarded to the proper authorities for their consideration.

Yours very truly,
J. M. Smith

Very truly yours,
J. M. Smith
Commissioner of the General Land Office
Washington, D.C.

3/