

Public Works (1898) Department.

RAILWAY BRANCH.

G.O., No. 89872

DATED 7th July 1898.

100

2

[Despatch Abstract.]

Revised Estimate

amounting to Rs 20,550/-

Sanction accorded to the ——— for the proposed
Locomotive Workshop, alteration of Locomotive
sidings and new 50 feet engine turn-table
in lieu of the existing 40 ft one at Gooty.

BACK PAPERS.

FURTHER PAPERS.

RAILWAY.

Government { 1898 } of Madras.
PUBLIC WORKS.

Recd.

Regd.

} 1898.

Enclosures

Spare copies

G.O., No. 898 Ry., 7th July 1898.

JULY.

Revised Estimate

Sanction accorded to the —, amounting to Rs. 20,550, for the proposed locomotive workshop, alteration of locomotive sidings and new 50 feet engine turntable in lieu of the existing 40 feet one at Gooty.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

Railway.

Read the following paper:—

From—The Agent and Manager, Madras Railway.
 To—The Consulting Engineer for Railways, Madras.
 Dated—Madras, 20th June 1898, No. E. 637/560.

With reference to your letter, No. 1686 of the 8th instant, I have the honour to forward herewith a revised estimate for the proposed locomotive workshop, alteration of locomotive sidings and new 50 feet engine turntable in lieu of the existing 40 feet one at Gooty, and to request that the sanction of Government may be conveyed to me for the required outlay (Rs. 20,550) chargeable in the proportion of Rs. 13,072 to Capital and Rs. 7,478 to Revenue.

2. A statement showing the details of the calculation of the Revenue portion of the estimate is also enclosed.

3. Explanation as to the necessity for the submission of the revised estimate was given in my letter, No. E. 637/488 of the 1st instant.

4. Information as to provision in the budget and date on which sanction will lapse is given on the estimate.

ORDER—No. 898 Ry., dated 7th July 1898.

Sanctioned.

2. This sanction has been registered as No. 7 Ry. of 1898-99.

(True Extract.)

FRANCIS J. E. SPRING,
 Joint Secretary.

To the Agent and Manager, Madras Railway.
 „ Examiner, Guaranteed Railway Accounts.
 „ Consulting Engineer for Railways.

Etd. T. K. Narayana Aiyangar.

(A. & M. I.)

No. 8604


488

MADRAS RAILWAY.

AGENT AND MANAGER'S OFFICE,

Madras, 1st June 1898

From



The Agent and Manager.
 M. R. 60

The Consulting Engineer for Railways.
 Madras.

With reference to G. O. No. 723
 Ry dated 14th May 1898 sanctioning
 revised estimate for alteration of
 sidings and fixing a new 50 feet
 Engine turntable at Gooty, I have
 the honor to state that the Chief
 Engineer informed me that the
 above estimate is likely to be ex-
 ceeded in consequence of the House
 charges on account of the new 50 ft
 Engine turntable being in excess of
 the estimated rate, which was based
 on the rate given in the price list
 furnished by the Director General for
 Railways these current.

It would appear, however, from
 the latest price list, that the price
 of Engine turntables had risen,
 and the

and the price charged in the General
Stores price list for the turntable re-
ceived for Gooty is entered at Rs 4154.11.8
against Rs 5,040/- provided in the
estimate

I will submit a revised
estimate when it is known
what the actual expenditure
on the work is likely to amount
to, if a revised estimate is there-
fore found to be necessary.

I have the honor to be

Sir,

Your most obed^t Servant,

Edmund.

Agent and Manager.

It would appear however, from
the latest price list, that the price
of Engines turned is less than

OFFICE OF THE CONSULTING ENGINEER FOR RAILWAYS.

Madras, 3^d June 1898.



OFFICE NOTE

Letter from the Agent, *Chicago & North Western* Railway, No. 8637
dated 1st June 1898, forwarded to the Examiner of Railway
Accounts. *for perusal of remarks.*

for E. R

EXAMINER'S REPLY.

The Agent and Manager does not ask for any sanction, and no sanction can be given to an undefined excess.

The A & M should be asked, now that it is known, that the estimate is likely to prove insufficient to submit without delay a revised estimate or, if the work has been completed or nearly so, an application for sanction to the probable excess.

Edwaller

6.6.98 }

C. R.
mg

Letter to AM
according
GMS 7

Form No. $\frac{1}{A}$

No. 1686

Madras, 8 June 1898.

From

THE CONSULTING ENGINEER FOR RAILWAYS,

MADRAS,

Reminders.

To

THE AGENT AND MANAGER, M. RY.

SIR,

Reply No. E637
500
dated 20/6/98

With reference to your letter
No. E637 of 1st June 1898, I have the
484
honour to request, now that it is known
that the estimate referred to therein
is likely to prove insufficient, to submit
without delay a revised estimate or, if
the work has been completed or nearly so,
an application for sanction to the
probable excess.

W. 7
6

Despatched on 8/6/98

No.

8637
560

MADRAS RAILWAY.

AGENT AND MANAGER'S OFFICE,

MADRAS,


20th June 1898.

From

The Agent and Manager,

Madras Railway Company,

To



SIR,

The Consulting Engineer for Railways,

Madras.

Plan N

With reference to your letter N^o. 1686 of the 8th instant, I have the honor to forward herewith a revised estimate for the proposed Locomotive Workshop, alteration of Locomotive Sidings and new 50 feet Engine turn table in lieu of the existing 40 feet one at Gooty and to request that the sanction of Government may be conveyed to me for the required outlay Rupees 20,550/- chargeable in the proportion of Rupees 13,072/- to Capital and Rupees 7,478/- to Revenue.

2. A statement showing the details of the calculation of the Revenue portion of the estimate is also enclosed.

3. Explanation as to the necessity for

2143

for the submission of the revised estimate
was given in my letter N^o. $\frac{637}{488}$ of the
1st instant

4. Information as to provision
in the Budget and date on which
sanction will lapse is given on the
estimate.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obed^t Servant.

Louis S. M. V. S.
Agent & Manager.

Enc 2
de

OFFICE OF THE CONSULTING ENGINEER FOR RAILWAYS,

Madras, 22nd June 1898.



No. 1630

OFFICE NOTE.

Letter from the Agent, and Manager, Madras Railway, No. ^{E. 637} 560, dated 20th June 1898, forwarded to the Examiner of Railway Accounts, for favour of check as to allocation and budget provision.

[Signature]
for E. R.

EXAMINER'S REPLY.

The estimate is correct as to allocation, and requires the sanction of the Local Govt. Funds are available to meet the proposed additional outlay.

[Signature]

C. R.
[Signature]

276.98.3

27/6
R for sanction
MS 28/6
Sanct. 28.0.
7/MS R.
30/6/98

MADRAS RAILWAY.

From

The Agent and Manager,

M. R. Co.,

To

The Consulting Engineer

for Railways.

Dated

188

Recd.

No.

Papers to be read in Proceedings:—

Again G.O., dated

189 , No.

Again G.O., dated

189 , No.

ER. Current No. *2916* of 189*5*, dated *21.6.98*, from *A.M.*

" "

" 189 , "

" "

" "

" 189 , "

" "

ORDER.

No 898 R₂ of 7/7/98.

Sanctioned.

2. This sanction has been registered no 7 R₂ of 1898-99.

My

OR.

*4. The report on man. hilly
- Ex. G.O. R₂ p. 11
- Comptroller for R₂*

*24/5/98
5/7/98
30/6/98*

3 copies book

Despatched on *15/7/98*

1778-79

1778-79

1778-79

1778-79