

Public Works (1898) Department.

RAILWAY BRANCH.

G.O., No. 733. Ry

DATED 16th May 1898.

Includes

G.O. No. 405-406 Ry 12-3-98

Proof 100
3

[Despatch Abstract.]

Scale of Travelling Allowance to
Dist Engineers and Ry.

Govt of India letter stating that they agree
with the Govt in considering that an allowance of
Rs 105 per mensem to a Dist Eng^r in charge of Dist
without an assistant, is sufficient, and enquiring
when the revision of the rules, called for,
will be completed. Command to the Agent
and Manager.

Indoked. 19/5/98

Government {1898} of Madras.
PUBLIC WORKS.

Spare copies

MAY.

Government of India letter stating that they agree with this Government in considering that an allowance of Rs. 105 per mensem to a District Engineer in charge of a district without an assistant is sufficient, and enquiring when the revision of the rules called for will be completed, communicated to the Agent and Manager.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

Railway.

Read again the following papers:—

G.O., No. 405 Ry., dated 12th March 1898.

G.O., No. 1183 R., dated 23rd December 1897.

From—The Consulting Engineer for Railways, Madras.
 To—The Agent and Manager, Madras Railway.
 Dated—Madras, 1st November 1897, No. 3370.

With reference to your letter No. 10196/947, dated 5th October 1897, I have the honour to inform you that the tentage allowance of Rs. 175 to Mr. G. A. F. French requires the sanction of the Government of India which has been applied for.

2. I may observe that the precedent quoted by you is not quite similar to the case under reference, inasmuch as Mr. French is a first class District Engineer, while Mr. Strange was an Assistant Engineer temporarily placed in charge of a district without acting allowance or additional pay for his increased responsibilities.

3. As regards the modification of the rule printed on page 72 of the establishment lists I wish to point out that the same allowance (Rs. 175) is given to an Engineer when holding his ordinary appointment without an assistant as is given him for holding two divisions or their equivalent without an assistant.

4. This does not seem equitable, and I would suggest that the new scale should allow for—

Charge of one district with assistant	...	...	...	...	Rs.
" of " without assistant	...	...	...	...	75
" of two districts, or their equivalent, with an assistant	...	...	...	...	105
" of " " without	...	...	...	...	105
	...	...	...	...	175

5. I should be glad if you would obtain the approval of your Board to the proposed change in the rules modified as suggested in the previous paragraph. Pending their approval, I am unable to forward the proposal to the Government of India whose sanction is necessary.

From—The Agent and Manager, Madras Railway.
 To—The Consulting Engineer for Railways, Madras.
 Dated—Madras, 1st March 1898, No. 10196/ B176.

Adverting to your letter No. 3370, dated the 1st November last, I have the honour to enclose an extract paragraph 14 from my letter to the Secretary No. 2337, dated the 25th November 1897, and paragraph 9 from a letter from the Secretary No. 968, dated the 28th January 1898, on the subject of tentage allowance to Engineers.

In the scale proposed in paragraph 4 of your letter, reference is made to an Engineer in charge of two districts; but this contingency is never likely to occur as with the present length of our *districts*, an Engineer in charge of two could not satisfactorily carry on his duties. The only case therefore submitted for the Board's decision was that of an Engineer in charge of a single district without an assistant, and they have approved of his drawing at such a time an allowance of Rs. 175 per mensem, to which I request that you will kindly obtain the sanction of the Government in due course.

ENCLOSURES.

EXTRACT from Agent and Manager's letter, to the Secretary, dated 25th November 1897, No. 2337.

14. *Tentage allowance to Engineers.*—On page 72 of the current half-year's establishment rolls, the allowances granted to Resident Engineers when in charge of two divisions with and without an assistant are entered. These allowances were sanctioned in 1889. Since that date the six divisions have been converted into three districts, and an alteration of the ruling is necessary. A case has recently arisen in which an Engineer has been in charge of a district without an assistant, and the Consulting Engineer was asked to sanction the grant of tentage allowance at the rate of Rs. 175 per mensem instead of Rs. 75, and I enclose copy of his reply and other correspondence which has passed on the subject. Since writing my letter of the 5th ultimo, I have come to the conclusion that it is not likely that an Engineer will ever be placed in charge of two districts, as he could not satisfactorily carry on the duties, and it only remains, therefore, to decide what allowance shall be granted to an Engineer when holding charge of one district without an assistant. With an assistant he would draw Rs. 75 per month, and I would recommend that when without an assistant he should draw Rs. 175. I shall be glad to receive the Board's instructions on the subject.

EXTRACT from the Secretary's letter, to the Agent and Manager, dated 28th January 1898, No. 968.

9. *Tentage allowance to Resident Engineers.*—In paragraph 14 of No. 2337 you refer to the subject of tentage allowance granted to Resident Engineers, and you state that the rule sanctioned in 1889 needs alteration in consequence of the six divisions having since that date been converted into three districts. A case has recently arisen in which an Engineer has been in charge of a district without an assistant, and the Government Consulting Engineer was asked to sanction the grant of tentage allowance at the rate of Rs. 175 per mensem instead of Rs. 75. In his letter to you of the 1st November, which forms part of the correspondence forwarded by you on the subject, he states that the allowance of Rs. 175 requires the sanction of the Government of India, and he suggests that the new scale should allow for—

Charge of one district with assistant	...	...	...	...	Rs.
" of " without assistant	...	...	...	...	75
" of two districts, or their equivalent, with an assistant	...	...	...	...	105
" of " " without	...	...	...	...	105
	...	...	...	...	175

With reference to the above suggestion the Chief Engineer points out that "one district" as now constituted is equivalent in length to "two divisions," each of which formed an Engineer's charge when the rules in question were originally framed, and that the same allowance should therefore be granted to an Engineer holding his ordinary appointment without an assistant, as would formerly have been given to him for holding "two divisions" without an assistant. Both you and the Chief Engineer are of opinion that it is not likely that an Engineer will ever be placed in charge of two districts, and it is hoped therefore that the Government Consulting Engineer will, on further consideration, concur in the views expressed by the Chief Engineer, and in your recommendation, of which I am to convey the Board's approval, that an Engineer when holding charge of a district without an assistant should be allowed to draw Rs. 175 per mensem, the allowance of Rs. 75 with an assistant remaining unaltered.

Order—No. 405 Ry., dated 12th March 1898.

The Government of India will be addressed.

From—The Joint Secretary to the Govt. of Madras, P.W. Dept., Railway Branch.
To—The Secretary to the Government of India, Public Works Department.
Dated—Madras, 12th March 1898, No. 406 Ry.

With reference to the concluding paragraph of my letter No. 894 R., dated 2nd October 1897, I am directed to forward copies of the correspondence noted in the margin relating to a proposed alteration of the scale of travelling allowances to District Engineers printed at page 72 of the establishment rolls of the Madras Railway Company for the current half year, a copy of which is attached for ready reference. The point at issue as will be seen from the correspondence is whether a District Engineer in charge of a district without an assistant should draw an allowance of Rs. 105 or of Rs. 175.

I am to say that this Government is disposed to adhere to the opinion expressed in the letter quoted above that an allowance of Rs. 105 is sufficient, but since the Board of Directors have sanctioned the larger amount the orders of the Government of India are sought.

ENCLOSURE.

EXTRACT from Madras Railway Company Establishment rolls and working estimates for the half-year ending 31st December 1897.

Resident Engineers	...	...	Fixed allowance, Rs. 75 per mensem.
--------------------	-----	-----	-------------------------------------

"When they are in charge of two divisions, or of a division and a large bridge, or of a division or large bridge and such other large work or works as, in the opinion of Government, render the charge equivalent to two divisions, Rs. 105 if aided by an Assistant Engineer, and Rs. 175 if not so aided." (Proceedings of Madras Government, No. 506 R., dated 7th May 1889.)

Read also the following paper:—

From—The Secretary to the Government of India, Public Works Department.
To—The Joint Secretary to the Govt. of Madras, P.W. Dept., Railway Branch.
Dated—Simla, 2nd May 1898, No. 1126 G.

In acknowledging the receipt of your letter No. 406 Ry., dated the 12th March 1898, forwarding copies of correspondence relating to a proposed alteration of the scale of travelling allowances to District Engineers, I am directed to state that the Government of India agree with the Government of Madras in considering that an allowance of Rs. 105 per mensem to a District Engineer in charge of a district without an assistant is sufficient.

2. In this connection I am to invite attention to Government of India, Public Works Department letter, No. 2589 G., dated the 23rd September 1897, and to ask when the revision of the travelling allowance rules therein called for is likely to be completed.

ORDER—No. 733 Ry., dated 16th May 1898.

Communicated to the Agent and Manager, Madras Railway. The existing scale of travelling allowances admissible to District Engineers should now be amended to accord with the alteration sanctioned by the Government of India.

2. The Agent is requested to report when the revised travelling allowance rules called for in G.O., No. 783 R., dated 7th October 1897, may be expected.

(True Extract.)

FRANCIS J. E. SPRING,
Joint Secretary.

To the Agent and Manager, Madras Railway.
" Examiner, Railway Accounts.
" Consulting Engineer for Railways.

Exd. Shaik Karim.

SERIAL

No. 43

OFFICE OF THE CONSULTING ENGINEER FOR RAILWAYS

Madras, 1st Nov 1897

To

AGENT AND MANAGER, M. R.

No. 3370 Reply No. ¹⁰¹⁹⁶_{B/176}, dated 1/3/98

SIR,

with ref. to your letter No.

¹⁰¹⁹⁶₉₄₇ d. 5/10/87 I have the h.

Reminders.

to inform you that the Vantage allowance of Rs 175 to Mr. S.A.F. Frank requires the sanction of the Govt. of India which has been addressed.

I may observe that the precedent quoted by you is not quite similar to the case under reference, inasmuch as Mr. Frank is a first class Dist. Engineer while Mr. Straup was an Asst. Supr. temporarily placed in charge of a district without acting allowance or additional pay for his increased responsibilities.

Subject.

P. T. O.

3. As regards the modification of the rule printed on p. 72 of the Statute Book I would suggest wish to point out that the same allowance (£175) is given to an Engineer when holding his ordinary appointment without an assistant as is given him for holding two ~~sub~~ divisions or their equivalent without an asst.

4. This does not seem equitable and I would suggest that the new ^{rule} ~~rule~~ should allow for the charge of one dist. with asst. — 75-
 " " " " without " — 105-
 " " two dist. or their equivalent, with an asst. — 105-
 " " " " without " — 175-

5. Before sending on these proposals to the Govt. of India I should be glad if you would obtain the approval of the Board to the proposed change in the rule ^(modified as suggested in the previous para) without their approval. I am much obliged to you for the proposal to the Govt. of India whose sanction is necessary.

F.H.T.

20/10/97

F.H.T.

21/10

Noted on 1/11/97

Print

24/9

Extract from letter from the Secretary no. 968 of 28-1-98

Tentage allowance to Resident Engineers.

9. In para. 14 of No. 2,337 you refer to the subject of tentage allowance granted to Resident Engineers, and you state that the rule sanctioned in 1889 needs alteration in consequence of the six Divisions having since that date been converted into three Districts. A case has recently arisen in which an Engineer has been in charge of a District without an Assistant, and the Government Consulting Engineer was asked to sanction the grant of tentage allowance at the rate of Rs. 175 per mensem, instead of Rs. 75. In his letter to you of the 1st November, which forms part of the correspondence forwarded by you on the subject, he states that the allowance of Rs. 175 requires the sanction of the Government of India, and he suggests that the new scale should allow for—

Charge of one District with Assistant	...	Rs. 75
Charge of one District without Assistant	...	105
Charge of two Districts, or their equivalent, with an Assistant	...	105
Charge of two Districts, or their equivalent, without an Assistant	...	175

With reference to the above suggestion the Chief Engineer points out that "one District" as now constituted is equivalent in length to "two Divisions," each of which formed an Engineer's charge when the rules in question were originally framed, and that the same allowance should therefore be granted to an Engineer holding his ordinary appointment without an Assistant, as would formerly have been given to him for holding "two Divisions" without an Assistant. Both you and the Chief Engineer are of opinion that it is not likely that an Engineer will ever be placed in charge of two Districts, and it is hoped therefore that the Government Consulting Engineer will, on further consideration, concur in the views expressed by the Chief Engineer, and in your recommendation, of which I am to convey the Board's approval, that an Engineer when holding charge of a District without an Assistant should be allowed to draw Rs. 175 per mensem, the allowance of Rs. 75 with an Assistant remaining unaltered.

Cons. Engrs.

ENC. No. 1
 LETTER No. B/176
 FROM A
 1062
 1.3.98
 8

(A. & M. I.)

No 10196
B/176

MADRAS RAILWAY.

AGENT AND MANAGER'S OFFICE,

Madras, 1st March 1898



From

Please see para 4 of
 Serial 44 printed into GO.
 1183 R Serial 47

The Agent and Manager,
M.R. Coy.

The Consulting Engineer for Railways,
Madras.

SIR,

43 3370 dated the 1st November last, I have
 the honour to enclose an Extract para.
 14 from my letter to the Secretary N^o 2337
 dated the 25th November 1897 and para: 9
 from a letter from the Secretary N^o 968 dated
 the 28th January 1898, on the subject of
 Lentage allowance to Engineers.

In the scale proposed in
 para: 4 of your letter, reference is made
 to an Engineer in charge of two districts;
 but this contingency is never likely to occur
 as with the present length of our Districts,
 an Engineer in charge of two could not
 satisfactorily carry on his duties. The
 only case therefore submitted for the Board's
 decision

MADRAS RAILWAY.

The Agent and Manager.

The Consulting Engineer

for Railways.

188

No.

Dated

Recd.

From

To

2 108
 decision was that of an Engineer in charge of a single district without an Assistant, and they have approved of his drawing at such a time an allowance of Rupees 175/- per mensem, to which I request that you will kindly obtain the sanction of the Government in due course.

I have the honour to be,
 Sir,
 Your most obed^t Servant,
 J. M. V. S.
 Agent & Manager.

Encl².

Ans.

Extract from a letter dated 2337 of 25-11-98

Tentage allowance to Engineers.

Case 34/96

14. On page 72 of the current half-year's Establishment Rolls, the allowances granted to Resident Engineers when in charge of two divisions with and without an Assistant are entered. These allowances were sanctioned in 1889. Since that date the six divisions have been converted into three districts, and an alteration of the ruling is necessary. A case has recently arisen in which an Engineer has been in charge of a district without an Assistant, and the Consulting Engineer was asked to sanction the grant of tentage allowance at the rate of Rs. 175 per mensem instead of Rs. 75, and I enclose copy of his reply and other correspondence which has passed on the subject. Since writing my letter of the 5th ultimo, I have come to the conclusion that it is not likely that an Engineer will ever be placed in charge of two districts, as he could not satisfactorily carry on the duties, and it only remains, therefore, to decide what allowance shall be granted to an Engineer when holding charge of one district without an Assistant. With an Assistant he would draw Rs. 75 per month, and I would recommend that when without an Assistant he should draw Rs. 175. I shall be glad to receive the Board's instructions on the subject.

Case 34/96

Papers to be read in Proceedings :—

Again G.O., dated 23 December

1897, No. 1183 R

Again G.O., dated

189, No.

Letter from
Current No.

of 189 , dated

, from

„ „ 1062 „ 189 D, „ 2 Woodruff, „ Agent-Manager M.B.

” ” ” 189 ” ” ”

ORDER

E R. No. 405. Dated 12/3/98.
The Government of
India will be addressed.

R

H. E. No. 406. Ly dated 12/3/98.

MADRAS RAILWAY.

The Agent and Manager.

The Consulting Engineer

for Railways.

Dated _____

Recd.

26

From

No.

Government of Madras.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT,
RAILWAY BRANCH.

To

THE SECY. TO THE GOVT. OF INDIA,

P. W. DEPARTMENT.

Calcutta.

Madras, 12th March 1898.

Sir,

with reference to the con-
cluding para. of my letter No.
Slap A+W 854 R d 2/10/87 I am directed
to forward copies of the corres-
pondence noted in the margin
relating to a proposed alteration
of the scale of travelling allowances
to District Engineers printed
at p. 72 of the Establishment Rolls
of the Madras R. Co. for the current
half year, a copy of which is
attached for ready reference.
The point at issue as will be seen
from the correspondence is whether
a District Engineer in charge
of a District without an ass't.
should draw an allowance of
105/- or of 175/-

I am to say that this Govt. is
disposed to adhere to the opinion
expressed in the letter quoted above that
~~an allowance of 105/- is sufficient for~~
the same an allowance of 105/-

Recd. & Mem. M.R. No. 10196/947
d 5/10/87 entered in S.O. 1183 R d 22/1/11

S.R. No. 3370 d 1/10/97

Agent Mem. No. 10196 d 1/3/88

B 176
Extract from AM's letter to Secy. B. & D. No. 2937
d 28/1/88

Extract from letter from Secy. B. & D. to AM No. 565/28/1/88

Copy of rule 72 of Establishment Rolls

is sufficient, but since the
Board of Directors has sanctioned
the larger amount the orders
of the Govt of India are sought

JP
10/3/88
FMS
10/3/88

Documents accompanying:
Papers noted on the margin

Public Works (1898) Department.

Railway
CURRENT No. 584

Order

From

The Govt of India

No. 1126 G

Dated 2nd May 1898

Received } 9.5.98

Registered

Enclosures

Spare copies

SUBJECT.

Travelling allowances.

stating that the Govt of
India agree with the Govt
of Madras, in considering
that an allowance of Rs 105 per
mensem to a District Engg
in charge of a District
without an Assistant is
sufficient & inquiring
when the revision of the
above rules called for in its
No. 2589 G dt 23-9-97 is likely
to be completed.

Despatched

14/3/98

BACK PAPERS.

FURTHER PAPERS.

No. 1126 G.

GOVERNMENT OF INDIA.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

GENERAL.

To

The Joint Secretary to the Government of Madras,
Public Works Department, Railway Branch.

Simla, the 2nd May 1898.

Sir,

Sir,

In acknowledging the receipt of your letter No. 406 Ry., dated the 12th March 1898 forwarding copies of correspondence relating to a proposed alteration of the scale of travelling allowances to District Engineers I am directed to state that the Government of India agree with the Government of Madras in considering that an allowance of Rs.105 per mensem to a District Engineer in charge of a District without an Assistant is sufficient.

2. In this connection I am to invite attention to Government of India, Public Works Department letter No. 2589 G., dated the 23rd September 1897 and to ask when the revision of the Travelling Allowance rules therein called for is likely to be completed.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

C. H. Walker

Under Secy.to the Govt.of India.

Documents accompanying:-

Nil.

M.L.M.

Papers to be read in Proceedings:—

Again G.O., dated

12th March

1898, No.

405-6 R₁

Again G.O., dated

189 , No.

Print
 P.W. Current No. 584 of 1898, dated 9.5.98, from the Govt of India
 Print
 " " " 189 " " " "
 " " " 189 " " " "

ORDER.

No 733. Dy dated 16/5/98
 Communicated

to the Agent & Manager, Madras R₁. The existing scale of travelling allowances admissible to District Engineers ^{should now} ~~will~~ be amended to accord with the alteration sanctioned by the Govt of India.

2. The Agent is requested to report when the revised T.A. rules called for in G.O.

K No 783 R dt 7.10.97 may be expected.

Agent & Manager Madras R₁ ^{Dated 16/5/98}
 Home Railway Acct &
 Cons Insp for Railways

J M

14/7/98 R

Recd.
 Despatched on 20/6/98