

Public Works (189) Department.

RAILWAY BRANCH.

G.O., No. 418 Ry.

DATED 17th March 1898.

80/2

[Despatch Abstract.]

Gratuity to Mrs Logan, widow of late
Mr D. Logan, Chief Engineer, S. & R.

Government of India letter, stating that they
are unable to comply with the Board's
recommendation, which is supported by
the Govt. of Madras, to the grant of a
_____ of Rs 10,908, communicated
to the Agent, S. & R.

Indexed. 18/4/98.

Government { 1898 } of Madras.
PUBLIC WORKS.

Spare copies

G.O., No. 418 Ry., 17th March 1898.

MARCH.

Gratuity to Mrs. Logan, widow of late Mr. D. Logan, Chief Engineer,
South Indian Railway

Government of India letter stating that they are unable to comply with the Board's recommendation, which is supported by the Government of Madras, to the grant of a — of Rs. 10,908, communicated to the Agent, South Indian Railway.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

Railway.

Read the following paper:—

From—The Secretary to the Govt. of India, P. W. Dept.
 To—The Joint Secretary to the Govt. of Madras, P. W. Dept., Ry. Branch.
 Dated—Calcutta, 5th March 1898, No. 607 G.

With reference to correspondence ending with your letter, No. 104 R., dated 20th January 1898, on the subject of the proposed grant of a gratuity of Rs. 10,908 to Mrs. Logan, in recognition of the valuable services extending over a period of 35 years, rendered by the late Mr. Logan, Chief Engineer, South Indian Railway, I am directed to state that the Government of India, while fully recognising the long and valuable services rendered by Mr. Logan and regretting the loss occasioned by his death, are unable to comply with the Board's recommendation which is supported by the Government of Madras.

2. In conveying the above orders on this application, I am to observe that there is a great distinction, and one which is fully recognised in Government rules and the precedent cases bearing on such matters, between granting a bonus to an employé on retirement after long and distinguished service, and granting the same or a similar bonus to his widow on his death in the service; and grants of the latter description are not considered admissible save in rare cases when they are occasionally given as compassionate allowance in cases of destitution.

ORDER—No. 418 Ry., dated 17th March 1898.

Communicated to the Agent, South Indian Railway.

(True Extract.)

FRANCIS J. E. SPRING,
 Joint Secretary.

To the Agent, South Indian Railway.
 „ Examiner, Guaranteed Railway Accounts.
 „ Consulting Engineer for Railways.

Exd. Md. Pahlavan.

Public Works (1898) Department.

His Excellency the Governor Railway
Honble Sir Henry Bliss, K.C.S.I. CURRENT No. 361.
Honble Mr. J. Franco, C.S.I.

A

29/3/98

From

The Govt. of India

Order No. 418 Ry. dated 17/3/98.

Communicated
to the Agent S.I. Ry.

No. 607 G.

Dated 5th March 1898

Received } 14.3.98

Registered

Enclosures

Spare copies

SUBJECT.

Gratuity to Mrs. Logan.

Passing orders on
 the subject of the
 proposed grant of a

Agent S.I. Ry. - *Wm. J. Spring*
Exam. Gt. Ry. Account
Cons. Eng. for Ry.

Recd. 19/4/98

18/3/98
 21.3.98
 29.3.98

BACK PAPERS.

FURTHER PAPERS.

No.	Date.
-----	-------

No.	Date.
-----	-------

All communications
should be addressed
to the Secretary.

Printed

No. 607 G.

GOVERNMENT OF INDIA.
PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.
GENERAL.



To

The Joint Secretary to the Government of Madras,
Public Works Department, Railway Branch.

Calcutta, the 5th March 1898.

Sir,

Serial 14 With reference to correspondence ending with your letter No.104 R., dated 20th January 1898, on the subject of the proposed grant of a gratuity of Rs.10,908 to Mrs.Logan, in recognition of the valuable services extending over a period of 35 years, rendered by the late Mr.Logan, Chief Engineer, South Indian Railway, I am directed to state that the Government of India, while fully recognising the long and valuable services rendered by Mr.Logan and regretting the loss occasioned by his death, are unable to comply with the Boards recommendation which is supported by the Government of Madras.

2. In conveying the above orders on this application, I am to observe that there is a great distinction, and one which is fully recognised in Government rules and the precedent cases bearing on such matters, between granting a bonus to an employe on retirement after long and distinguished service, and granting the same or a similar bonus to his widow on his death in the service; and grants of the latter description are

not

not considered admissible save in rare cases when they are
occasionally given as compassionate allowance in cases of
destitution.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant.

G. D. Walther

Under Secy. to the Govt. of India.

Documents accompanying:-

Nil.