

Public Works (1898) Department.

RAILWAY BRANCH.

G.O., No. 277 *dy*

DATED 18th Feb 1898

[Despatch Abstract.]

Objectionable Items
Orders on the ^{Statement of} — on the accounts of
Assikari - Mangalore Railway Survey for
October 1896

ke 7/3

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

16. This statement, as also the extract referred to above, will be accompanied by an abstract of the objectionable items unremedied in Form No. 62B.

Submitted to the Secretary to the Government
of Madras (Railway Branch)
in the Public Works Department.



Statement of Objectionable items in the accounts of Executive

Item No.	Circle, Division and Description of work.	Month in the accounts of which objectionable expenditure first appeared.	COMPARISON WITH ESTIMATE.		
			Amount of estimate.	Expenditure up to date.	Excess over estimate.
			Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
41	Miscellaneous Expenditure Imperial Arisekeri Mangalore Railway Survey				
1.	Arisekeri Mangalore Railway Survey. Total	Oct 2 '96	179920	182066	2146
2.	Establishment	2 ds.	141920	151612	9692

Engineers remaining unremedied to end of November 1897.

COMPARISON WITH APPROPRIATION.			Miscellaneous irregularities.	Expenditure during the month.	Opinion of the Superintending Engineer with final remarks by the Examiner.	Orders by local Government or Administration.
Amount of appropriation.	Expenditure of the year.	Excess over appropriation.				
Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.		
					1. Report called for from the General Superintendent, P. W. Stores is still awaited. Reminders this day sent. The Excess outlay may be still further reduced should the General Superintendent take over a valuation the articles sent to him by the Superintendent of Stationery or if they are disposed of by auction a further credit of Rs 205 is expected in the Civil exchange account for 3 Prismatic Compasses retained by the Superintendent of Stationery.	Noted
					2. Brought to notice of Government.	Noted

12.3.20. Mr. Dalid
20. Jan'y. 98.
Noted.

25/1/98

NOTES.

Reference to P. W. D. Code.

Chapter XIV, section ii, paras. 61 to 63 and 65.

P. W. D. Form No. 62 A.

Railway Survey PROVINCE.

BRANCH— Arsikeri Mangalore
Railway Survey

FUND— 41 Miscellaneous Railway
Expenditure

MONTH— December 1897

Statement of Objectionable items in the
accounts of Executive Engineers
remaining unremedied to end
of the month.

No.

Dated the 14th February 1898.

Submitted to the Secretary to the Government
of Madras (Railway Branch)

in the Public Works Department.

Sp. 277. Dy. dated 18/2/98.

1. The object of this Return is to place before Government an analysis of all shortcomings in matters of financial regularity in the operations of each month, with a view to their being remedied. It should be submitted to the local Government on the 1st day of the third month succeeding that to which the accounts relate, and should be drawn up separately for each fund and branch.

2. The statement will be posted from the Divisional Statements of Objectionable Items (Form No. 39) and Schedules of the Divisions concerned. The statement need not be delayed in order to embody the remarks of the Superintending Engineers if these do not reach the office in time. It is desirable, however, that the Superintending Engineer's opinion should be recorded, as far as may be practicable, and in order to ensure this being done, the Divisional Statement of Objectionable items should be checked immediately on receipt and sent to the Superintending Engineer for his remarks before the audit of the other accounts is proceeded with.

3. All Executive Officers who have failed to submit their Divisional Statements of Objectionable Items with their Monthly Accounts, as well as those whose statements are generally incomplete, should be specially brought to the notice of Government under "General Remarks" at the end of the statement.

4. Items of irregular expenditure, which are left uninclosed by Executive Engineers in their Divisional Statements of Objectionable Items, should be distinctly noted as such, either in a separate supplementary statement or in the original statement in red ink.

5. Columns under all the heads should be filled up in each case, so as to bring out clearly where estimate or appropriation exists, and where it does not.

6. In the case of large projects such important features as the following should be stated with precision:—

- A. Lump sum estimate. (1. Submitted to Chief Engineer.
- B. Abstract detail estimate by sub-heads, and so on. (2. Submitted to Supdg. Engineer.
- (3. Not yet submitted.

7. A large work which is proceeded with on merely a lump sum sanction is still objectionable till an abstract and detail estimate by sub-heads is submitted and sanctioned for it by competent authority.

8. Special mention should be made of works being carried out in portions by authorities, beyond whose powers of sanction it would be to sanction the expenditure as a whole.

9. An important point to notice is the duration of a serious irregularity.

10. Voluminous masses of detail, when their practical significance could be given in a few lines, should, as a rule, be avoided. If, for instance, the state of matters as to sanction in one month does not materially differ from that of a preceding month, it will answer all practical purposes to say so, instead of sending another full report.

11. Too much stress can never be laid on the fact that this statement is not to take the place of Special reports, then and there, of works on which serious irregular expenditure is being largely incurred, either without estimate or appropriation.

12. The entries in the statements should be made by Circles and Divisions so as to enable the form for Divisional registers of objectionable expenditure to be done away with.

13. Serious delays in the submission of completion reports should also be reported in this statement.

14. In the column "Orders of Local Government" in the office copy of the statement, against those items which have been remedied, the number and date of the order or sanction removing the objection should be entered.

15. An extract from this statement of all the more serious irregularities should be submitted to the Accountant General, Public Works Department, and all those items which have to be specially brought to his notice should have marked against them, in the column "Orders of Local Government," in the office copy, "Accountant General, Public Works Department," in red ink.

16. This statement, as also the extract referred to above, will be accompanied by an abstract of the objectionable items unremedied in Form No. 62B.

Railway Surveys

Statement of Objectionable items in the accounts of Executive

Item No.	Circle, Division and Description of work.	Month in the accounts of which objectionable expenditure first appeared.	COMPARISON WITH ESTIMATE.		
			Amount of estimate.	Expenditure up to date.	Excess over estimate.
			Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
41	Miscellaneous Railway Expenditure Imperial, Indian Asikarai Inaugaloni Rail- way Survey Excess over estimate				
1	Asikarai Inaugaloni Rail- way Survey Total	Oct 96	1799 20	1820 66	21 46
2	Establishment	Do	1419 20	1516 12	96 92

PROVINCE.

Proceedings of the Madras Govt.
P.W. Dept. by Mr. [Name]
Read the following:-
Engineers remaining unremedied to end of December 1897.

COMPARISON WITH APPROPRIATION.			Miscellaneous irregularities.	Expenditure during the month.	Opinion of the Superintending Engineer with final remarks by the Examiner.	Orders by local Government or Administration.
Amount of appropriation.	Expenditure of the year.	Excess over appropriation.				
Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.		
					1 The General Superintendent, P.W. Stores, having intimated that the instruments retained by him are valued at Rs 1244. he has been instructed to credit the amount to this Railway Survey. This amount together with the credit of Rs 205 expected from the Civil Department for 3 Prismatic Compasses retained by the Superintendent of Stationery will reduce the excess to Rs 697. which, it is suggested, may be passed by Government.	Order No. 277. 18th February 1898. The excess of Rs 697 is sanctioned.
					2. Brought to notice of Government.	Noted

S. a. Olinings

RAILWAY.

Government {1898} of Madras.
PUBLIC WORKS.

Recd.

Regd.

1898.

Enclosures

Spare copies

Letter, No. 134 R., 24th January 1898.

JANUARY.

Revised and Budget Estimates, Arsikeri-Mangalore
Railway Survey

Forwarding the Preliminary Issue of the — for the years 1897-98 and 1898-99.

No. 134 R., 24TH JANUARY 1898.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

Railway.

LETTER—from

F. J. E. SPRING, Esq., C.I.E.,

Joint Secretary to the Government of Madras,

Public Works Department,

Railway Branch,

To

THE SECRETARY TO THE GOVERNMENT OF INDIA,

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

SIR,

With reference to your telegram, No. $\frac{62}{A}$ R., I am directed to forward herewith the Preliminary Issue of the Revised and Budget Estimates of the Arsikeri-Mangalore Railway Survey, for the years 1897-98 and 1898-99.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

FRANCIS J. E. SPRING,
Under Secretary.

FORT ST. GEORGE,
24th January 1898.

Enl. T. K. Narayana Aiyangar.

PRELIMINARY ISSUE.

ARSIKERI-MANGALORE RAILWAY
SURVEY.

41. MISCELLANEOUS RAILWAY.

EXPENDITURE—IMPERIAL.

REVISED ESTIMATE FOR 1897-98

AND

BUDGET ESTIMATE FOR 1898-99.

Arsikeri-Mangalore Railway Survey.

Main and sub-heads of accounts.	Sanc- tioned estimate.	Actual expendi- ture incurred to end of 1896-97.	Actual Expendi- ture incurred during 1896-97.	Budget estimate for 1897-98.	Revised estimate for 1897-98.	Budget estimate for 1898-99.
	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.
<i>I. Preliminary Expenses.</i>						
Survey expenses	1,79,920	1,84,068	54,083	Nil.	—3,452	Nil.
Plant						
Establishment (including salaries, allowances and contingencies)						

NOTE.—The survey was completed during 1896-97, but credits on account of sales and transfers of plant, furniture, &c., that remained on hand at the close are being adjusted.

184068
3452
184068
179920
697
180617