

Public Works (1898) Department.

RAILWAY BRANCH.

G.O., No. 109 Ry.

DATED 20th Jan'y 1898.

[Despatch Abstract.]

Overpayment made to T. Appen Iyengar,
Offg. Accountant.

Sanction is accorded to the recovery of the
— of the office of the Engr. Ry. Works, Madras, of
Rs. 178-2-2 by instalments of $\frac{1}{3}$ of his pay, instead
of in full.

Indexed.

9/2/98

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
—	780	R _v —	4-6-98				
—	68	R _v —	12-1-99				

CURRENT No. 42

Order

From

The Occurrence of Ry. Beets
made as

No. 15

Sanctions the overpayment being recovered in
instalments of $\frac{1}{3}$ of the Officer's salary. CP.
says we need not refer this to Dublin

Dated $\frac{7}{10}$ January 1898

Received 10-1-98

Enclosures

Spare copies

SUBJECT.

Requesting sanction of Govt
to the overpayment of Rs. 1702
made to the offg. Accountant
J. Appan Dyngar of this office
being recovered in instal-
-ments of $\frac{1}{3}$ of his salary
instead of in full

P. W. DEPARTMENT.

RAILWAY BRANCH.

Madras, 7th January 1898.
R. E. 10th

From *Major E. A. Waller, R.E. 1/20th*

THE EXAMINER · GUARANTEED RAILWAY ACCOUNTS.

Madras,

To

The Joint Secretary to Government,
Public Works Department
Railway Branch,
Madras

SIR,

I have the honor to request the sanction of Government to the overpayment of Rs 178-2-2 made to officiating accountant J. Appan Iyengar of my office, under the circumstances explained below, being recovered in instalments of $\frac{1}{3}$ of his salary in accordance with the Civil Department procedure, as laid down in Civil Account Code paragraph 16(3), instead of in full, as required by P. W. D. Code, Volume II, Chapter II, paragraph 65.

2. Mr Appan Iyengar, whose substantive appointment is clerk IV class, 2nd grade and pay Rs 32, was, with the sanction of the Local Government, (vide G.O. N^o 565R dated 16th August 1897) promoted to

accountant 4th grade, Temporary rank, on Rs 80, during the absence, which still continues, of an accountant on leave, on Medical Certificate.

3. The promotion being in accordance with previous practice and admissible under the rules, as interpreted by the Examiner, Public Works Accounts, that officer passed claims for salary at Rs 80 per mensem from the 14th June to the end of November 1897, but under instructions from the Accountant General, P.W.D, he now intimates that officiating promotion only is admissible, that Mr Appan Iyengar is only entitled to Rs 48 per mensem, and that the excess payment of Rs 178-2-2 made from 14th June last should be retrenched.

4. As the reduced salary is a small one, and as the man will revert to the still smaller pay of Rs 32 per mensem on the return to duty of the accountant on leave, I beg to urge that sanction be accorded to the proposal made in the first paragraph of this letter.

5. I understand that the Examiner, Public Works Accounts, purposes again referring the question to the Accountant General, P.W.D, and if the latter officer is still of opinion that the interpretation hitherto

hitherto placed on the rules is incorrect I shall probably again address Government with a view to obtain sanction to the then unrecovered balance of the retrenchment, being written off, as the case is a particularly hard one, and one for which Mr Appan Iyengar is in no way responsible.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

your most obedient servant,

Lawrence Major R E

Examiner Railway Accounts,
Madras

From

THE EXAMINER,

GUARANTEED RAILWAY ACCOUNTS,

Madras,

To

No. _____

Dated _____ 189 .

No of Enclosures _____

SUBJECT.

P. W. DEPARTMENT.

Ry: Branch

No. 109. Dated 20/1/98.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

Read the following

Letter from the Exr. } H.E. No. 15 of 7th January 1898
of Ry: Accounts Section

The Examiner's proposal to recover the overpayment of Rs 178-2-2 made to officiating Accountant J. Appan Sengar by instalments of $\frac{1}{3}$ of his salary is sanctioned.

14/1/98

7/11

17/1/98

To

The Exambr. Ry. Accs

- Exr. P. W. accs

Despatched 26/1/98