Public Works (1897) Department.

A

G.O., No. 48 R

DATED 23. January

26/1/97

[Despatch Abstract.]

Assistant Engineer's bungalow at Gooty. Estimate of Rs. 10,426 for the proposed — Madras Railway, sanctioned with remarks.

70

90/2

Tc 27/1

[P.W. 28. 1,500—30-11-96.]

Indexed. 27/1

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

RAILWAY.

Government {1897} of Madras.

PUBLIC WORKS.

Recd. } 1897. *Enclosures*

Regd. *Spare copies*

G.O., No. 48 R., 23rd January 1897.

JANUARY.

Assistant Engineer's Bungalow at Gooty

Estimate of Rs. 10,426 for the proposed —, Madras Railway, sanctioned with remarks.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

Railway.

Read the following papers:—

From the Agent and Manager, Madras Railway, dated 7th Dec. 1896, No. E. 564/1105.
" " " " 15th Jan. 1897, " E. 564/53.

Order—No. 48 R., dated 23rd January 1897.

The estimate received with the above, amounting to Rs. 10,426, chargeable to Capital, for the proposed Assistant Engineer's bungalow at Gooty, is sanctioned.

2. This sanction has been registered as No. 26 R. of 1896-97.

3. Funds being available to the extent of Rs. 5,000 only from the grant for unsanctioned works (schedule B of the budget for 1896-97), provision should be made for the remainder of the estimate in the budget for 1897-98.

(True Extract.)

Offg. Under Secy. to Government,
Railway Branch.

To the Agent and Manager, Madras Railway.
" Examiner, Guaranteed Railway Accounts.

Exd. T. K. Narayana Aiyangar.

(A. & M. V. c.)

Enclosures

Madras Railway Company.
AGENT & MANAGER'S OFFICE,
Rayapuram Terminus,

No. E/564
771

Madras, 1st September 1896.

71A 2/5

From
The Ag. Agent & Manager,
Madras Railway Company.

To
The Offg. Consulting Engineer for Railways,
Madras.

Sir,

I have the honour to submit a plan and estimate (Rs. 10,426) for the construction of a permanent house for the Assistant Engineer at Gooty, and request that you will be so good as to convey to me the sanction of Government for the outlay which is debitable to Capital, and on which rent at 7½ per cent. will be recovered.

2. I desire to explain that the introduction of the District system on this Railway, and the conversion of the whole of the North West Line into one District, has necessitated the residence of the Assistant Engineer at Gooty. Up to the present, he has been occupying an old temporary bungalow, (with walls of stone in mud) which has involved periodical repairs, but owing to its position on low-lying ground and the absence of an upper storey, which has been found essential to the health of all officers residing in such a feverish place as Gooty, it has been decided to provide a new house of the standard type now adopted for District Officers.

C34/96

3. The Company's Ag. Chief Engineer states that "the bungalow at present occupied by the Assistant Engineer is situated on very low and unhealthy "site and the accommodation afforded by it is very limited. Moreover, the "bungalow has no upstair accommodation and this is almost a necessity for European Residents in a place like Gooty.

"4. The headquarters of the District too, are now permanently located at "Gooty and it is desirable that a suitable bungalow should be built there for "the Assistant Engineer."

3d class
350 to 500
2d class
550 to 700

5. The salary of Assistant Engineers rises from Rs. 550 to 700 and the

rent (Rs. 65-2-7) of the new building is equivalent to 10 per cent. nearly of the maximum figure. In the event of the occupant not drawing a salary from which this rent can be recovered, it may be practicable to arrange for him to exchange houses with the District Engineer, the senior and more highly paid officer, the rent of whose house is Rs. 45 only.

6. The provision of funds in the Budget and the date on which the sanction will lapse are entered on the estimate.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant,

Henry Welch

Ag. Agent & Manager.

3775
2/9/96

Enclo/2

Registered
GR
2/9/6

Sanctd in No 3302
20.10.96

OJ555

Sec
10/9

Form No. 21.
509—5-3-96.

EXAMINER, Regr. No. 443 4 SEP 96 RAILWAY ACCOUNTS, MADRAS.

Office of the Consulting Engineer for Railways,
Madras, 3rd September 1896.

No. 2739

Ad 4/9

OFFICE NOTE.

Letter from the Agent, Manager Madras Railway, No. E584/771 dated 1st September 1896, forwarded to the Examiner of Railway Accounts for favor of check as to allocation and budget provision.

F D Fowler

for E. R.

EXAMINER'S REPLY.

10/9

The cost of the building seems high in comparision with the salary of the officer who is to occupy it. Please see Code Vol. IV., chap III., para 215 and Vol I. chap: X., paras 17 & 28 regulating the cost of construction of quarters for superior officers on State Railways.

On this basis the building proposed to be constructed by the Company should not exceed

Rs 6.720 as under:—

	average Salary.
Assistant Engineer.	
3rd class Rs 350-500	425.
2nd class 550-700	625.
	2 ⌊ 1.050
average Rs	525.

8% on above = Rs 42 per mensem or Rs 504 per ~~mensem~~ annum. cost of building should not exceed:-

7½ : 504 : 100

= Rs 6.720.

If the existing temporary building is to be abandoned its original cost should be debited to Revenue by credits to Capital.

E.W. Walker

C.R.
9.9.96.

ER.—

I should propose the new (Rent 65) bungalow above, be [illegible] for the Senior Engineer, & the present Engineer bungalow (Rent 45) be reserved for the Junior Engineer.

CMM 11/9.

To A.M.

No 2942
18/9/96

Sir
with ref. to your letter No. E 562/771 d. 1/5/96

I have the h. to enclose copy of a note of C.R. and to suggest that ~~the rest we~~ ~~[illegible] would seem to be~~ it would seem advisable to reserve the present District Engineer's bungalow rent 45/ for the Assist. and to transfer the proposed new bungalow rent 65/- to the Dist Eng.

F.W.F.
14/9

Despatched on 18/9/96

5000-15-3-88.

(No. I.)

No. E564

873.

MADRAS RAILWAY.

AGENT AND MANAGER'S OFFICE,

MADRAS, 2d October 1896

CR

From

The Ag Agent and Manager,
Madras Railway Company,

To

The Consulting Engineer for Railways.
Madras

SIR,

With reference to your letter No. 2942 of 18th September 1896 enclosing copy of a Note by the Government Examiner in regard to the proposed new Bungalow for the Assistant Engineer at Gooty, I have the honor to inform you that it has been hitherto customary to fix the rent of quarters for officers at 10 percent of the maximum, and not the mean, pay of the occupant. It was on this principle that the estimated cost of the house for the Deputy Locomotive Superintendent at Perambur and the District Traffic officer at Jalarpet was based and recently sanctioned, and it is not considered advisable that the officers of the Engineering Department should be

G.O. 2153 R / 18.9.91 &
G.O. 362 R / 21/5/95
+
899 R / 24/12/95

be in a worse position in regard to accommodation provided for them.

2. I desire to point out that it is very essential that the house to be built at Gooty should possess an upper storey and it is mainly on this account that the cost is apparently high. By, however, reserving the present District Engineer's Bungalow for the Assistant, and transferring the proposed new bungalow to the District Engineer, as suggested by you, the difficulty as to rent is finally disposed of, and I hope that under the explanation now given, sanction will be accorded to the Estimate (Rs 10,426) as submitted.

3. With reference to the temporary bungalow which the Government Examiner appears to be unaware was built at the cost of Revenue, I am of opinion that the value of all such materials as can be utilized after it has been demolished should be credited to Revenue, ~~and debited to capital~~ and I shall be glad to know if you concur with me in this view.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obdt. Servant,

Ag. Agent & Manager

Enclˢ

C. No. 4313
Recd. 3/10/96

sanctd in No 3302 d/ 20.10.96

FORM No. 21.
509-5-3-96.

EXAMINER, RAILWAY ACCOUNTS, MADRAS.
Regr. No. 517
5 - OCT. 96
Enclosures

JBW 5/10/96

OFFICE OF THE CONSULTING ENGINEER FOR RAILWAYS,

Madras, 5th October 1896.

No. 3134.

OFFICE NOTE.

Letter from the Agent, & Manager, Madras Railway, No. E. 564/873, dated 2nd October 1896, forwarded to the Examiner of Railway Accounts, with reference to his remarks on this offices office Note No: 2739 dated 3rd September 1896

for E. R.

EXAMINER'S REPLY.

13/10

In the case of the Dy: Loco: Superintendents quarters sanctioned in 1891, it was shown that 10 % of the minimum pay attached to that appointment would more than cover the assessed rental.

2. The bungalow for an Asstt: Traffic Manager at Jolarpet, would, it was stated, be occupied by either a 2nd or 1st grade District officer and in this case 10 % of the mean salary was sufficient to cover 7½ per cent on the proposed capital outlay.

3.

3. It is obvious that incorrect conclusions would be arrived at if the maximum salary of the highest class of officer be taken as the basis in calculating rent when officers of more than one class are likely at different times to be tenants of the proposed quarters.

4. The Madras Ry is not bound by the Government rules quoted in my previous note, but they have been applied as far as possible to estimates for officers' quarters on that line.

5. It is however for E.R to decide, in each case, to what amount the expenditure should be limited, and as the Agent and Manager agrees that the new house shall be occupied by the District Engineer and his present quarters transferred to the Asst. Engineer there is no probability of the full rent not being recovered.

6. As the existing temporary building is said to have been built at the cost of revenue, Agent & Manager may be told that revenue should obtain credit for the value of materials recovered on its being dismantled.

This perhaps to A.M. when sanction is communicated
ER
CMU 13/10

JGK 17/10/96

Secy: C. R

No 3302
20/10/96

To A.M.

Sir,

in reply to your letter No. E 564/873 d. 2/10/96 I have the h. to sanction the Est. amounting to Rs 10.426 forwarded with your letter No. E. 564/776 d. 1/9/96, as under the arrangement proposed there is no probability of the building rent being recovered.

2. As regards the cases quoted by you it was shown that 10% on the minimum pay attached to the appointment would more than pay for the rental assessed on the quarters sanctioned in 1891 while 10% on the mean salary of the occupants was sufficient to cover 7½% on the cap. cost of the quarters sanctioned in 1895 at Jalarpet.

3. The existing tempy. building having been built at the cost of Rev credit should be given to Rev for the value of materials recovered from it on its being demolished.

JH 16/10

Despatched on 20/10/96

E.R.:

Under G.O. no 948 R of 18.12.86 side A Special Accts Manual the estimate ~~referred~~ sanctd in E.R's no 3302 dt 20/10/96 exceeds the Cons Engr's powers of sanction and requires to be sanctd by the Local Govt. A draft asking Agt. for the revised estimate giving proper allocation is accordingly submitted.

24/10

Form No. 4.

OFFICE OF THE CONSULTING ENGINEER FOR RAILWAYS,

Madras, 26th October 1896.

To

AGENT AND MANAGER, M. R.

No. ~~3301~~ 3388 Reply No. 1105, dated 7/12/96

Reminders.

3388/1 dt 4.12.96

4/12

no need to remind 4/12

Subject.

SIR,

With reference to para 1 of my letter No 3302 dated 20/10/96, I have the honour to request that you will be so good as to furnish this office with a revised estimate ~~in terms of para 3 of this office~~ letter ~~No 3302 of 20th Oct 1896~~ for the Assistant Engineer's bungalow at Gooty and consider the sanction in para 1 thereof cancelled.

24/10

R 26/10

Rd

24/10

Despatched on 26/10/96

H78/12

(A. & M. I.)

No E564

1105

MADRAS RAILWAY.

AGENT AND MANAGER'S OFFICE,

Madras, 7th December 1896.

From

The Ag: *Agent and Manager.*
Madras Railway.

To

The *Consulting Engineer for Railways.*
Madras.

Sir,

With reference to your letter No 3388 dated 26th October 1896 and the telephone message of the 2nd ultimo, I have the honor to forward herewith for the sanction of Government a revised Estimate amounting to Rs 10,907, chargeable to Capital, for the proposed Assistant Engineers bungalow at Gooty.

2. In connection with this Estimate the Chief Auditor writes:-

"A sum of Rs 2100 being the cost of the existing "temporary building originally paid for out "of Revenue has been included in the Estimate "and allocated to "Capital" in accordance with "Government of India No 535 R. C. dated 8th May "1895 and Madras G. O. No 353 R of 15th idem. This "amount will be credited to "Revenue" on receipt "of sanction.

Flag H

The

reference

"The estimated value of the materials in "the Kutcha building, viz Rs 1619, has been deducted "from the Estimate and the difference between "this sum and the original stores expenditure "of Rs 989 is explained by the Chief Engineer "to be the value of materials which were "purchased locally."

3. Information as to provision in the Budget and date on which sanction will lapse is given on the Estimate.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant

Ag Agent & Manager.

(Enclo/one Estimate)

S. No. 5362
Recd. 8/12/96

MADRAS RAILWAY.
From The Agent and Manager.
To The Consulting Engineer for Railways.
Dated
Recd.
No.

FORM No. 21.
500—8-6-59.

EXAMINER
No. 633
- DEC 96
RAILWAY ACCOUNTS, MADRAS

OFFICE OF THE CONSULTING ENGINEER FOR RAILWAYS,
Madras, 8th December 1896.

No. 3926.

Wd 9/12

OFFICE NOTE.

Letter from the Agent, Manager, Madras Railway, No. $\frac{C.564}{1105}$, dated 7th December 1896, forwarded to the Examiner of Railway Accounts, for favour of check as to allocation, and budget provision.

8/12

for E. R.

8/12

EXAMINER'S REPLY.

12.12

The ruling on which the Chief Auditor bases the debit to Capital of the cost of the temporary building, refers to temporary works required in connection with main works i.e. to temporary structures needed to facilitate construction, or for any other reason, while some other work is in process of erection.

This ruling obviously does not apply to the present case where a temporary building was erected without

reference

reference to any other work, and being subsequently found unsuitable is to be substituted by an improved and permanent building. Here the ordinary rules affecting cases of substitution must be adopted i.e. Revenue should pay for the abandoned work, but as it has already done so, no adjustment is necessary, excepting that that account should receive credit for materials removed from the dismantled building.

E W Allen

C. R

Form No. 4.

OFFICE OF THE CONSULTING ENGINEER FOR RAILWAYS,
Madras, 15th Decr 1896.

To

AGENT AND MANAGER, M. R.

No. 4000 Reply No. 58, dated 15/1/97

Reminders.

Encs. 2. C.R.'s note & the Esti. ref'd to

Subject.

SIR,

With reference to your letter No. $\frac{\text{E5bu}}{1105}$ of 7th Decr 1896, submitting for sanction a revised estimate for the proposed Asstt Engineer's bungalow at Gooty, I have the honour to forward herewith copy of a note ~~by~~ thereon by the Examiner Railway Accounts Madras for favour of necessary action.

2. The estimate in question ~~forwarded~~ ~~therewith~~ is returned for revision.

[illegible] 14/12

12/12

12/12

Despatched on 15/12/96

(No. II.)
No. E564
53

[illegible] 16/1/97

MADRAS RAILWAY.

AGENT AND MANAGER'S OFFICE,

Madras, 15th January 1897.

From

The Ag: Agent and Manager.
Madras Railway.

To

The Consulting Engineer for Railways.
Madras.

SIR,

With reference to your letter No. 4000 of the 15th ultimo, I have the honor to retransmit for the sanction of Government the Estimate for the proposed Assistant Engineers' Bungalow at Gooty which has been revised in accordance with the views of the Government Examiner.

2. Information as to provision in the Budget and date on which sanction will lapse is given on the Estimate.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant,

Ag Agent & Manager.

Enclos/1

C. R.
18.1.97.

C. No. 246
Recd. 16.1.97

MADRAS RAILWAY.

From
The Agent and Manager.

To
The Consulting Engineer
for Railways.

Dated 188
Recd.

No.

Consg. Engr.'s No. 13.

48/1

RAILWAY ACCOUNTS, MADRAS
28
1 7

No. 197 Enw 18/1

OFFICE OF CONSULTING ENGINEER FOR RAILWAYS,
Madras, 16th January 1897.

MEMORANDUM.

Letter from the Agent & Manager Madras Railway No. E 564/53 dated the 15th January 1897 forwarded to the Examiner of Railway Accounts Madras for favor of check as to allocation and budget provision.

F. D. Fowler
for E R
16.1.97.

Vd 16/1

C.R.

The estimate as now revised is correct as to allocation and requires the sanction of the Local Government.

Funds to the extent of Rs 5.000 ~~from~~ are available from the Grant for unsanctioned works, Schedule B of the Budget for 1896-97 and provision should be made for the remainder of the estimate in the budget for 1897-98.

20.1 Sanction
F.D.F. 20/1/97

E Wallace
C.R.
18.1.97.

No. 48. R dated 23.1.97

DEPARTMENT.

Af

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

READ the following

Indt 1 SP Letter from the Agent & Man. Madras Ry } H. E. No: $\frac{E 5b_4}{1105}$ d/ 7 Decr 96

Indt 2 SP Letter from do — " — " $\frac{E 5b_4}{53}$ d/ 15 Jany 97

3 P

The ~~revised~~ estimate received with the above amounting to Rs 10,426 chargeable to Capital for the proposed Assistant Engineer's bungalow at Gooty is sanctioned. —

Print

2. This sanction has been registered as No. 26. R of 1896-97

To

The Agent & Man: Ma Ry

— " Govt Co. Ry Accts:

Issued 23/1/97

3. Funds being available to the extent of Rs 5000 only from the grant for unsanctioned works (Schedule N) [illegible] for 1896-97, provision should be made

[P. W. 40. / 1,000—11-11-95]

for the remainder of
the estimate in the budget
for 1897-98. –

21/1/97

Print

A/
No. 48 R dated 23.1.97

20/1/97