

Public Works (1897) Department.

G.O., No. 476 R.

DATED 20 July 1897

[Despatch Abstract.]

Excess outlay: Letter to the Govt of India
stating that the grant of Rs. 50,000 sanctioned
for the Arsitec maulabou railway survey for
1896-97 has been exceeded by Rs. ~~5000~~ 4,229
and requesting sanction to the —.

FURTHER PAPERS.							
G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

16. This statement, as also the extract referred to above, will be accompanied by an abstract of the objectionable items unremedied in Form No. 62B.

Due
Ow 4449/2

in the Public Works Department.

Arcoeri Mangalore Railway

Statement of Objectionable items in the accounts of Executive

Item No.	Circle, Division and Description of work.	Month in the accounts of which objectionable expenditure first appeared.	COMPARISON WITH ESTIMATE.		
			Amount of estimate.	Expenditure up to date.	Excess over estimate.
			Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
1	41 Miscellaneous Railway Survey Expenditure Imperial Arcoeri Mangalore Railway Survey Excess over Estimate Arcoeri Mangalore Railway Survey Total	Oct '96	149920	184214	4294
2	Establishment Excess over Appropriation.	Do	141920	151448	9528
3	Arcoeri Mangalore Railway Survey	Do	9528	9528	0000

Proceedings of the Madras Govt. P.W. Dept. Railway Branch
Read the following:-
Engineers remaining unremedied to end of March 1897.

COMPARISON WITH APPROPRIATION.			Miscellaneous irregularities.	Expenditure during the month.	Opinion of the Superintending Engineer with final remarks by the Examiner.	Orders by local Government or Administration.
Amount of appropriation.	Expenditure of the year.	Excess over appropriation.				
Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.		
					1. Brought to notice of the Government, a sum of Rs 2542 being value of Mathematical Instruments returned to the Mathematical Instrument Department Calcutta was credited to this Railway Survey during the month. A further credit of Rs 310 is expected.	Order 12 387. Dated 22 June 1897. noted
				2542	2. Brought to notice of Government	noted
50000	54229	4229		125	3. As the official year has expired, sanction of Government to admit the excess outlay over appropriation is awaited.	Sanctioned (True Extract) W. H. Under Secy. Examiner, P. W. Accounts. 4/5/97

E. A. Dunning

Examiner, P. W. Accounts.

P. W. D., RAILWAY BRANCH.

OFFICE OF THE CONSULTING ENGINEER FOR RAILWAY.

Madras, 13th May 1897.

No 1645.

OFFICE NOTE.

From E. R.

To C.
 Your O. J. statement
 AMR survey for March 1897.
 It is believed that Mr. Aldrich
 anticipated a credit of ^{about} Rs 4000
 for the ^{provisionally} monuments. ~~but~~
 Please say if the credit
 of Rs 310 expected in addition
 to that of Rs 2582 already
 rec^d from the M. L. Dept^t
 Calcutta, is all that is
 coming in.

[Signature]
 ba ch

Despatched on 13/5/97

[Signature]
 12/5/97

EXAMINER,
P. W. ACCOUNTS.

14 MAY. 1897

NO.

P. W. D., RAILWAY BRANCH.

OFFICE OF THE CONSULTING ENGINEER FOR RAILWAYS.

Madras, 13 May 1897.

No 1645

OFFICE NOTE.

From E. R.

To C

Your objectionable Item
Statement, Mysore Mangalore
Railway Survey for March 1897.
It is believed that Mr. Gilchrist
anticipated a credit of about
Rs 4,500 for the Mathematical
instruments.

Please say if the credit
of Rs 310 expected in addition
to that of Rs 2592 already re-
ceived from the Mathematical
Instrument Department, Cal-
cutta, is all that is coming
in.

M. S. C. S.
for Offg. Cons. Eng. for Ry.

No 164274 dated 22 Dec 1897

To E.R.

A credit of Rs.3149 (Rs.557 in January and Rs.2592 in March 1897) has already been received from the Mathematical Instrument Department, Calcutta, which together with the expected credit of Rs.310 will make a total of Rs.3459. The value of one Theodolite has still to be credited by the above department as also the value of instruments sent to the Superintendent of Stationery, Madras, who has afforded no credit up to date.



CD 2321
C.

10/12/97

Mr R
I suppose Mr. Cansaulin
has been our official

To D.R.

11/15

11/15

139

Form No. 34.

P. W. D., RAILWAY BRANCH.

OFFICE OF THE CONSULTING ENGINEER FOR RAILWAYS

Madras, 10th June 1897.

No 1924

OFFICE NOTE.

From E. R.

To C

In the statement of
objectionable items for the
A.M.R. Survey for March 1897
under item 3 "Excess over
an
appropriation" the expenditure
of Rs 4,229 is shown as having
been incurred in excess of the original
grant for 1896-97.
It is stated that the sanction
of Govt to admit the excess
outlay over the appropriation
is requested. Please quote
the authority under which
the excess can be sanctioned.

Despatched on 10/6/97


10/6/97

10/6/97

10/6/97

for P.W.D.
5-6-97

Form No. 34.



P. W. D., RAILWAY BRANCH.

OFFICE OF THE CONSULTING ENGINEER FOR RAILWAY.

Madras, 10th June 1897.

No 1924

OFFICE NOTE.

From E. R.

To C.

In the statement of Objection-
able Items for the Arsikere Mangalore
Railway Survey for March 1897 under -
Item 5 "Excess over appropriations"
an expenditure of Rs:4229 is shewn
as having been incurred in excess of
the original grant for 1896-97 and it
is stated the sanction of Government
to admit the excess outlay over appro-
priation is awaited. Will you please
quote the authority under which the
excess can be sanctioned.

Churchill

For Offg: Consulting Engineer for Rys:

CN: 1748 *2/A* dated 18th June 1897



To E.R.

Please see Code II, IV, 135(g)
as also your No. 3044 dated 25th. September 1896.

22/10-6-97

C.

AT 18/6/97

Telegram from ER to
in which A.M.R. 8 of 10293C
of 22-9-96.

"93C x your application
for letter of credit 5000 Rs x
examiner says balance
of grant avail. available
is 1800 in round number
he has been instructed
to give credit to this extent
Please submit revised
estimate early in
order that application
for increased grant
may be made to India

No 3044 dt 25-9-96
copy forwarded to C.M.

R Form No. 12.

No. *476 R*

Government of Madras.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT,
RAILWAY BRANCH.

To

THE SECY. TO THE GOVT. OF INDIA,

P. W. DEPARTMENT.

Sir,

Got confirmed the 20th July 1897.


SIR,

With reference to the final
issue of the revised and budget esti-
mates of the Arsikere-Mangalore Rail-
way survey for the years 1896-97 and
1897-98 forwarded with my No. 249 R.,
dated 12th April 1897, I am directed
to state that the grant of Rs. 50,000
sanctioned for 1896-97 has been exceed-
ed by Rs. 4,229 and to request the
sanction of the Govt. of India to the
excess outlay.

15/7/97

Documents accompanying:-

4302



2044
25.9.96

Application for letter of Credit for Rs. 5000/- for
A.M. Survey for Oct 96..

7 more copies of E.R.'s Tel to A.M. Survey

Encl C No 93 C of 22-9-96. r

A.R.
Will you kindly have the Assurances Mangalore
Cases looked up for above & send the papers
here.

10528
11/12/96

I have not got the papers. They must be
in Madras. Please look up. Date 20/6/97
all this paper sent
to me for
11/5