

Public Works (1897) Department.

G.O., No. 387 R

DATED 2 June 1897.

[Despatch Abstract.]

Objectionable Items: Orders on Statement of
— in the Accounts of the Assikere manufacture
Railway Survey for March 1897.

BACK PAPERS.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Public works (1897) Department.

NOTES.

Reference to P. W. D. Code.

Chapter XIV, section ii, paras. 61 to 63 and 65.

1 The object of this Return is to place before Government an analysis of all shortcomings in matters of financial regularity in the operations of each month, with a view to their being remedied. It should be submitted to the local Government on the 1st day of the third month succeeding that to which the accounts relate, and should be drawn up separately for each fund and branch.

2. The statement will be posted from the Divisional Statements of Objectionable Items (Form No. 39) and Schedules of the Divisions concerned. The statement need not be delayed in order to embody the remarks of the Superintending Engineers if these do not reach the office in time. It is desirable, however, that the Superintending Engineer's opinion should be recorded, as far as may be practicable, and in order to ensure this being done, the Divisional Statement of Objectionable items should be checked immediately on receipt and sent to the Superintending Engineer for his remarks before the audit of the other accounts is proceeded with.

3. All Executive Officers who have failed to submit their Divisional Statements of Objectable Items with their Monthly Accounts, as well as those whose statements are generally incomplete, should be specially brought to the notice of Government under "General Remarks" at the end of the statement.

4. Items of irregular expenditure, which are left unincorporated by Executive Engineers in their Divisional Statements of Objectionable Items, should be distinctly noted as such, either in a separate supplementary statement or in the original statement in red ink.

5. Columns under all the heads should be filled up in each case, so as to bring out clearly where estimate or appropriation exists, and where it does not.

6. In the case of large projects such important features as the following should be stated with precision :—

A. Lump sum estimate.	{	1. Submitted to Chief Engineer.
B. Abstract detail estimate by sub-heads, and so on.		2. Submitted to Supdg Engineer.
		3. Not yet submitted.

7. A large work which is proceeded with on merely a lump sum sanction is still objectionable till an abstract and detail estimate by sub-heads is submitted and sanctioned for it by competent authority.

8. Special mention should be made of works being carried out in portions by authorities, beyond whose powers of sanction it would be to sanction the expenditure as a whole.

9. An important point to notice is the duration of a serious irregularity.

11. Too much stress can never be laid on the fact that this statement is not to take the place of Special reports, then and there, of works on which serious irregular expenditure is being largely incurred, either without estimate or appropriation.

12. The entries in the statements should be made by Circles and Divisions so as to enable the form for Divisional registers of objectionable expenditure to be done away with.

13. Serious delays in the submission of completion reports should also be reported in this statement.

14. In the column "Orders of Local Government" in the office copy of the statement, against those items which have been remedied, the number and date of the order or sanction removing the objection should be entered.

15. An extract from this statement of all the more serious irregularities should be submitted to the Accountant General, Public Works Department, and all those items which have to be specially brought to his notice should have marked against them in the column "Orders of Local Government," in the office copy, "Accountant General, Public Works Department," in red ink.

16. This statement, as also the extract referred to above, will be accompanied by an abstract of the objectionable items unremedied in Form No. 62B.

P. W. D. Form No. 62 ^A _{H, E.}

Current-No: 4449

Rackway, Surrey PROVINCE.

BRANCH— *Procteri Mangalore Railway Survey*

FUND—A Miscellaneous Railway Expenditure

MONTH— *March 1897* *See Duple*

Acad: 5-5-92

Statement of Objectionable items in the
accounts of Executive Engineers
remaining unremedied to end
of the month.

No.

Dated the 4th May 1897.

Submitted to the Secretary to the Government
of Madras (Railway Branch)

in the Public Works Department.

Bf-

No 387. R dated 2.6.97

Archeri Mangalore Railway

Survey
PROVINCE.

Proceedings of the Madras Govt.
P. W. D. FORM No. 62 A
(Outer sheet.)
Read the following:-

Statement of Objectionable items in the accounts of Executive

Engineers remaining unremedied to end of *March* 1897.

Item No.	Circle, Division and Description of work.	Month in the accounts of which objectionable expenditure first appeared.	COMPARISON WITH ESTIMATE.		
			Amount of estimate.	Expenditure up to date.	Excess over estimate.
			Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
	<i>41 Miscellaneous Railway Survey Expenditure</i> <i>Imperial</i> <i>Archeri-Mangalore Railway Survey</i> <i>Excess over Estimate</i>				
1	<i>Archeri-Mangalore Railway Survey</i> <i>Total</i>	<i>Octr '96</i>	<i>179920</i>	<i>184214</i>	<i>4294</i>
2	<i>Establishment</i> <i>Excess over Appropriation</i>	<i>do</i>	<i>141920</i>	<i>151448</i>	<i>9528</i>
3	<i>Archeri-Mangalore Railway Survey</i>	<i>do</i>			

COMPARISON WITH APPROPRIATION.			Miscellaneous irregularities.	Expenditure during the month.	Opinion of the Superintending Engineer with final remarks by the Examiner.	Orders by local Government or Administration.
Amount of appropriation.	Expenditure of the year.	Excess over appropriation.				
Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.		
					1. Brought to notice of Government, a sum of Rs 2,572 being value of Mathematical Instruments returned to the Mathematical Instrument Department Calcutta was credited to this Railway Survey during the month, a further credit of Rs 310 is expected	<i>Order No 387. Dated 23 June 1897</i> <i>Noted</i>
				2542	2. Brought to notice of Government	<i>Noted</i>
50000	<i>54229</i> <i>24229</i>	<i>4229</i>		-125	3. As the official year has expired sanction of Government to admit the excess outlay over appropriation is awaited.	<i>DR</i> <i>Sanctioned</i> <i>11/5/97</i> <i>DR</i>

E. A. Dunning

Examiner, P. W. Accounts.

24/1/97

AK

Mr. Schmitt anticipated a credit
of I think \$4500 for his instrumen-
ments etc. Apparently he has
only a credit for M.I.D of
2592. If the further credit
\$210 is all that is coming
in there will be a considerable
deficit. Ask C. if this
is the case

W. 8/5/57

W. 8/5/57
W. 8/5/57