

Public Works (1897) Department.

G.O., No. 1044-45-10

DATED 29th Nov. 1897

[Despatch Abstract.]

Carriage of Matches by railway

Ordered that the Govt. of India be informed that there is no difference made on the Madras & the S. Mys between "Lucifer" & "Safety" matches in regard to packing & conditions of carriage.

Govt. of India informed accordingly

Y.C.L. / W.P.

6/12/97

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

1050

CM

4995

15/11/97

With reference to G. O. N^o 860ⁿ of the 27th ultimo, I have the honor to inform you that on this Railway, no difference has been made in the treatment of "Safety" and other matches, which have all been required to comply with the conditions of packing, etc, laid down in the Explosive Rules.

So many of the so-called "Safety" matches we now receive are anything but "safe," that I do not recommend that they should be exempted from the ordinary packing regulations, though Bryant and May's Safety matches no doubt could, without any risk, be carried as ordinary goods.

I have the honor to be,

SH

Your Most Obedt Servt.

Louis. S. M. V.

Agent & manager

Week

No. 1111

SOUTH INDIAN RAILWAY CO. LTD.
AGENT'S OFFICE,
TRICHINOPOLY, 18th November 1897



From
The AGENT,
SOUTH INDIAN RAILWAY,

To
The CONSULTING ENGINEER FOR RAILWAYS,
MADRAS.



Sir,

SN 37

With reference to G. O. N^o. 860 R dated 27th October 1897, I have the honor to inform you that "safety" matches are considered as coming under the definition of "lucifer" matches and that I do not advocate the introduction of any differential treatment.

I have the honor to be,
Sir

Your most obedient servant
A. D. G. Shelling
Captain, R.E.
Agent

M.D.

Awaiting reply from
the Ch. of Commerce.
Please say if remittance
may be sent.
yes
CMM

From
MADRAS RAILWAY.
To
The Agent and Manager.
The Consulting Engineer
for Railways.
Dated
188
Recd.
No.

Public Works (1897) Department.

Railway Branch
CURRENT No. 121.

Order

From

The Chairman.
Chamber of Commerce
Madras.

No. a.

Dated 22nd November 1897

Received }
Registered } 24. 11. 97.

Enclosures

Spare copies

SUBJECT.

Explosive rules

stating that the
Chamber does not consider
that any grounds exist
for the differential
treatment of "safety"
+ other matches in regard
to packing + conditions
of carriage.

From
The Agent,
South Indian Railway,
Trichinopoly.
To
The Consulting Engineer for
Railways,
Madras.
Dated
Received
No.
189

ENCLOSURE.

Madras, 22nd Nov 1897.

The Hon'ble Mr. L. G. Roberts
CHAIRMAN, CHAMBER OF COMMERCE,
MADRAS.

The Under Secretary to Government,
P. W. D. (Railway Branch)
Repault.

Sr.

With reference to the Proceedings
of the Madras Government, P.W.D. (Railway
Branch) dated the 27th ultimo, I
have the honour to inform you that
this Chamber does not consider that
any grounds exist for the differential
treatment of "Safety" and other matches
in regard to packing and conditions
of carriage. Theoretically, there ought
to be a difference, but, speaking
generally, the class of "Safety" matches
imported into India has greatly

deteriorated in recent years, with the
consequence that as a rule they are
little, if at all, superior to other
matches such as "Trendstickers".

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

George G. Munford &

Chairman.

N^o 1044 R dated 29/11/97

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT,

RAILWAY BRANCH.

18-

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

again
Read the following

P.O. no 860 R dated 27th Oct-1897.

Read also.

Letter from the Agent & Manager
Madras Rly } N^o 9634 of 12th Nov 1897.
1050

Letter from the Agent S. I. R. N^o 1111 of 18th Nov 1897.

Letter from the Chairman Chamber
of Commerce Madras } N^o - of 22nd Nov 1897.

Ordered that the
Govt of India be addressed

H.2. N^o 1045. R dated 29.11.97

To The Agent Madras Rly
S. I. R.
Chairman Chamber of
Commerce Madras.
& Comy Eng^r on Railways

4/12/97

24.11.97

No

Government of Madras.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT,
RAILWAY BRANCH.

To

THE SECY. TO THE GOVT. OF INDIA,

P. W. DEPARTMENT.

Calcutta

Madras, Tue 29th Nov. 1897.

SIR,

In reply to your letter no 748 N, dated the 5th Oct 1877. I am directed to state that on the Madras and S. I. Ry "safety" matches are considered as coming under the definition of "Lucifer" matches and no difference is made between them in regard to packing and conditions of carriage.

2. The administrations
of the railways refused to, &
the Chamber of Commerce has
recommended that different treatment in their favor
~~be introduced~~
~~in the case of any differential~~
~~treatment~~ be not introduced.

25/11/97

Phil -

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

Under date the

again
READ the following

G. O. N^o 860 R, dated 27th October 1897

Read also

Letter from the Agent and Manager, Madras Railway, N^o 9654
dated 12th November 1897. 1050

With reference to G. O. N^o 860 R of the 27th ultimo, I have the honour to inform you that on this Railway, no difference has been made in the treatment of "safety" and other matches, which have all been required to comply with the conditions of packing, etc., laid down in the Explosive Rules.

To many of the so-called "safety" matches we now receive are anything but "safe" that I do not recommend that they should be exempted from the ordinary packing regulations, though Bryant and May's safety matches no doubt could, without any risk, be carried as ordinary goods.

Letter from the Agent, South Indian Rly, N^o 1111, dated 18th Nov^r 1897.

With reference to G. O. N^o 860 R, dated 27th October 1897, I have the honour to inform you that "safety" matches are considered as coming under the definition of "lucifer" matches and that I do not advocate the introduction of any differential treatment.

Letter from the Chairman Chamber of Commerce, Madras, N^o —
dated 22nd November 1897.

With reference to the Proceedings of the Madras Govt, P. W. D. (Ry. Branch) dated the 27th ultimo, I have the honour to inform you that this Chamber does not consider that any grounds exist for the differential treatment of "safety" and other matches in regard to packing and conditions of carriage. Theoretically, there ought to be a difference, but speaking generally, the class of "safety" matches imported into India has greatly deteriorated in recent years, with the consequence that as a risk they are little, if at all, superior to other matches such as "Kamdotickers".

Order

Order thereon N^o 1044 R, dated 29th November 1897.

Ordered that the Government
of India be addressed.

/ True Extract /

Offy: Under Secretary.

To.

The Agent and Manager, Madras Ry.

" Agent, South Indian Ry.

" Chairman Chamber of Commerce, Madras.

" Chief Engineer for Railways.