

Public Works (1897) Department.

SERIAL
No. 129

G.O., No. 554 R

DATED 3: August 1897

[Despatch Abstract.]

Exchange Compensation Allowance: Letter to the
Govt of India enquiring whether the contracts
which employes of the Madras Railway are re-
quired to enter into on accepting service with the
Company are such as fall within the meaning
of Rule 7 (b) of the new rules for the grant
of ~~it~~ and requesting the favour of an authority
to ~~the~~ ruling on the subject.

J.C.
178

18/8/97

[illegible]

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
	58-59	Ry	11. 1. 58				

SERIAL
No. 129

The Secy to the Govt of India
P. W. Department

Mr. Francis 4th Dec. 7. Auditor
Barnfield Only 2000 Transferred
" Fielding Under a Fallow

It will be seen from para 4 of the Form of Agreement that the contracts are for a period of

18/10/87

four years, and from para 5 that the allowance
to be drawn during that period are dependently fixed,
(under para 10)
Although a general provision is also made for the
further continuance of the employee's service with the
Co., and a doubt arises whether such contracts
can, during the currency of the first fixed period
of 4 years, be rightly considered to be preliminary
to employment in one of the regular services of the
Co., and therefore exempt from the operation
of Rule 1 (b) of the Exchange Compensation Allowance
Rules.

I have &c

FMS

7/8/97

Documents accompanying:-

3. Agreements referred to

13/8/97

R. Form No. 12.

No.

Government of Madras.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT,
RAILWAY BRANCH.

To

THE SECY. TO THE GOVT. OF INDIA,

P. W. DEPARTMENT.

189

SIR,

I am directed to
forward in original (for
favour of return) the
enclosed agreement between
the Madras R. Co. and the
following officers of the Co.
Mr. Francis 4th asst. auditor
.. Banfort Chief loco driver
.. Fielding Engineer & fitter

The agreements are typical
of a number of instances
in which the Agent-
General Madras R. Co. has
submitted applications for
S.C.A. from officers appointed
in England.

Some doubt is felt as to
whether contracts of this
nature are to be held as
falling within Rule 1 (b).

of the new E.C. rules
or not. The order of
the Govt. of India are
therefore requested as to
whether or no exchange
Compensation allowance
is admissible in view
of the Order in question

JST
3/8/87

on below issue

ER

I think we might explain a little more
fully the grounds of the difficulty & suggest
an alternative draft (put up)

W
CR 6/8/97

SM
after amended draft
JW 7/8