

Public Works (1896) Department.

RAILWAY BRANCH.

G.O., No. 92-3 . R

DATED 4th February

Includes G.O. no 26 R of 10.1.96.

B.

91 R of 3.2.96

[Despatch Abstract.]

Survey Expenses— Sanction accorded to the write
off to — of Rs 223 being irrecoverable advances
made to Khalasias on the Asikere-Mangalore
Railway Survey. —

The sanction reported to the Govt
of India —

BACK PAPERS.

Date. G.O. Department Date.

2.

FURTHER PAPERS.

Department

ARSIKERI-MANGALORE RAILWAY SURVEY.

Dated Bangalore 7th January 1896.

30 JAN 1896

No.

To The Consulting Engineer for Railways
Madras.

From Engineer-in-Chief,
BANGALORE.

Sir,

I have the honor to request you will be good enough to accord sanction for charging off to "Survey Expenses" the sum of Rs 19. 6. 2, being the amount advanced to Khallasies on various occasions, who have absconded since, and consequently the amount could not be recovered from them.

The Examiner of accounts requires sanction of Government, to pass the amount finally in the accounts.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

[Signature]

No. 97
Recd. 8/1/96

(R.) Form No. 3.

30 JAN 1896

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

24 JAN 1896

No. 26 D. dated 10.1.96
PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT,
RAILWAY BRANCH.

Read the following

Letter from the Engr. } H.E. no 23 of 7 January 96
in Ch. A. M. Survey.

Sanctioned.

Full R
8/96

DESPATCHED
17 JAN 96

To
The Engr. in Ch. A. M. Survey
- For P.W. works.

ARSIKERI-MANGALORE RAILWAY SURVEY,
Dated Bangalore 18 January 1896.

No. 90

To
The Consulting Engineer for Railways
Madras.

From Engineer-in-Chief,
BANGALORE.

Sir,

I have the honour to request you will
be good enough to accord sanction for
writing off to "Survey Expenses" against
last season's works, the sum of Rs 248.14.2
advanced to khallasies who have
absconded, which represents an irrecoverable
outstanding against miscellaneous advances.

but he should quote
C. objections & trials!
ask if anything is
known from the
1

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant.

[Signature]
S. E.
Engineer-in-Chief
Arsikere Mangalore Ry. Survey.

P.S.


I am advised that this would involve
95 civil actions if I attempted to recover
by legal process on which the fees would
probably exceed the outstandings.

[Signature]
S. E.
Engineer-in-Chief
Arsikere Mangalore Ry. Survey.


Bf.
No. 26. D. dated 10.1.96

215u

22/1



Form No. 21.
500-3-6-95.



OFFICE OF THE CONSULTING ENGINEER FOR RAILWAYS,

Madras, 22nd January 1896.
23.


No. 219

OFFICE NOTE.

Letter from the Agent, *Eng-in-Chief A.M. Railway*, No. *90*
dated *18th January* 1896, forwarded to the Examiner of Railway
Accounts *for favour of remarks.*

CMR 22/1

for E. R.



EXAMINER'S REPLY.

No. 13 A dt 28 Jan 96

To E. R.

The sum of Rs. 248-14-2 referred to by the Engineer in-Chief, includes the undermentioned three items which are not advances to Khalasies.

- | | |
|--|--------|
| i. Value of receipt stamps purchased for office use | 0-14-0 |
| ii. Cash found deficient in chest in September 1895 | 1- 8-0 |
| iii. Amount paid by Mr. Farewell Executive Engineer for transport of baggage etc. from Chekkar to Uppanangadi..... | 4- 2-0 |
| | <hr/> |
| | 6- 8-0 |

Items i and ii appear recoverable from the parties concerned and item iii from Mr. Farewell.

2. The balance Rs. 242-6-2 represents advances made to

No 92 D dated 4.2.96
PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT,
RAILWAY BRANCH.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

Read the following

Letter from the Engineer-in-Chief
in: Chief A.M. Ry Sy } H.E. No. 90 of 18 Jan'y 1896

Office note by Hams }
D.W. accounts } - 134 of 28 - do -

80 - 80 - D. - 157 of 31 - do -

Sanction is
accorded to the write
off of the sum of Rs 223
to Survey expenses.

From
1/2 R

To The Eng. in Chief A.M. Ry Sy
- Hams proposals - Despd

Khalasies, who the Engineer-in-Chief states, have absconded without joining the survey party, and of this amount a sum of Rs. 19-6-2 has already been sanctioned to be charged off to survey expenses vide G.O. No. 26 R. dated 10th. January 1896.

OC 28/1/96

No 306 of 29 January 1896

Kindly enter necessary authority for write off of 223/-

Also please say why the charge of 4 1/2 for Mr. Farewell's baggage is in-
admissible - Presumably it was
not personal baggage

Thrupcott
29/1

No. 15A of 31.1.96

To R.

Local Government has power to sanction the loss under P.W.D. Code, volume III, chapter V, para 147. Please also see standing order No. 38 of 30th. January 1891.

2. From the entries in the cash book, the sum of Rs. 4-2-0 remaining unadjusted appears to be on account of Mr. Farewell's personal luggage. In his reply to audit note for September 1895 dated 24th. January 1896, the Engineer-in-Chief states that steps have been taken to recover the amount.

OC 29/1

29/1/96



13/- No. 93.2

Government of Madras.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT,
RAILWAY BRANCH.

To

THE SECY. TO THE GOVT. OF INDIA,

P. W. DEPARTMENT.

13/11/96
1896

SIR,

With reference to
P. W. D. Code, Volume III,
Chapter V para 147 as
amended by Standing
Order No 38 of 30 Jan'y 91,
I am directed to report,
for the information of
the Govt. of India, that
this Government has
sanctioned ^{to Survey expenses} the work of
Rs 242-6-2 being in
recoverable advances
made to Khalsas on
the Tirukere Bangalore
Railway Survey.

M. 1/2 R

13/-
No. 92.2 dated 4.2.96

Documents to be sent?
— lib —
Fair despatch in Office
on 5.2.96

10/2

Bf- $\frac{92}{93}$ R dated 4.2.96

10/2/96