

8774
Public Works (1896) Department.

G.O., No. 906 J

DATED 30th October 1896

[Despatch Abstract.]

Revenue Inspector. Pro. Revenue Depart.
ment sanctioning with remarks the inter-
tainment of certain additional — & peons
in the Godavari Dist. Court to S.E. I Circle.

B

[Handwritten signature]
9/10

ht

To The Chief Eng: for Injns:
" The Supp Eng: & Circle.

Spare copies

174

2

2^o 307/10791

SUBJECT.

Sanctioning, with remarks,
the entertainment of certain
additional Revenue Inspect-
tors and plous in the Godavari
Dist.

BACK PAPERS.

FURTHER PAPERS.

Ques. 1
8774/96

Resolution: - - - -

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE
(Land Revenue)
No. 2780 Misc., dated 15th Feb. 1896.

Read letter from V. A. Brodie Esq., Collector of Godavari, to the Secretary, to the Commissioners of Land Revenue, No. 1247, Ver. of '96, dated 14th June 1895.

Resolution:

In the letter read above the Collector of Godavari reports that the number of Revenue Inspectors in the Godavari taluks of Ramachandrapur, Narsapur, Amalapur, Tanuku, Bhimsavaram as well as in the Godavari District is too small for the requirements of the Revenue Administration and recommends the permanent establishment of nine additional Revenue Inspectors to be distributed as shown in the margin.

The existing staff of Revenue Inspectors was sanctioned so long ago as 1885. The Collector reports that the land revenue of the district especially that of the Godavari Division is falling very much since that date, that these taluks consist for the most part of irrigated lands and as the use of Government water is at present optional on the part of the cultivator, there is great room for loss and the existing staff of Revenue Inspectors is unable to cope with the increase of work. When the monsoon happens to fall in the month of August and September, and when rain is late, water on all lands for their dry crops, the presence of a sufficient number of Revenue Inspectors is very necessary for the maintaining of such irrigation. Before all traces of the drought- no reliance can be placed on the ill-paid village officers, especially in Godavari villages, and the bulk of the work of inspecting and maintaining the irrigated fields falls on the Revenue Inspectors. The Collector believes that to the inadequacy of the number of Revenue Inspectors, a great amount of fields in each village escape water every year and that if his proposals for increase should be sanctioned, they would certainly result in the recovery of much concealed cultivation, the water-rate on which would more than compensate the extra cost involved.

3.

Taluk	No. of Revenue Inspectors proposed	Revenue of Taluk	Kistna.	
			Taluk	No. of Revenue Inspectors proposed
Ramachandrapur.	6	183529	Repalli	7
Narsapur	6	128057	Gudivada	6
Amalapur	5	137041	Bapatla	5
Tanuku	5	141103	Bandar	5
Bhimsavaram	5	108125		
Cocanada	2	30162		

The marginal statement compares the average basis for each firka in the six taluks for which an increase in the number of Rev. Inspectors is proposed with the average in each firka of each taluk in the

Kistna district. At the time when the number and pay of Revenue Inspectors were settled in accordance with Mr. Garstin's scheme, no numerical increase was allowed in the taluks in question although the number of Revenue Inspectors in the Repalli, Gudivada and Bapatla taluks of the Kistna district was raised from 5, 4, and 4 to 7, 6, and 5 respectively.

In the circumstances set forth above, the Board considers that the Collector has made out a good case for the increase applied for. The additional staff proposed may, however, be sanctioned temporarily, subject to report after 2 years.

The Collector further states that even with the help of the additional staff now proposed the amazing of the second crop cannot be done satisfactorily, as that work comes on at a time when the Revenue Inspectors' hands are full with jamabandi and collection work, and as it has to be done within the last quarter of the gasli before the marks of cultivation are effaced. The Collector therefore recommends that ten temporary men, to be called Assistant Revenue Inspectors, on Rs. 30 per mensem should be employed on this work in the taluks noted in the margin for 3 months in every year, i. e., from 15th March to 15th June.

Ramachandrapur	4	Two temporary Revenue Inspectors were sanctioned for this purpose in the Tanuku taluk for the past fasli vide Govt. Order No. 823 Misc., dated 25th February 1896. The Board
Amalapur	2	
Tanuku	2	
Narsapur	2	
Total	10	

considers that the proposal for the periodical employment of 10 Assistant Revenue Inspectors may be approved.

Lastly the Collector observes that a peon on Rs. 6 is necessary for each of the nineteen additional Revenue Inspectors, referred to in para 5 being employed only for 3 months.

The extra cost involved in the Collector's proposals is worked out in the statement accompanying his letter and amounts to Rs. 5688 per annum. It is in the Board's opinion required in the interests of the revenue. The charge can be met from General savings under 3 Land Revenue.

The Collector is requested to submit a proposition statement for transmission to Government.

(True Extract)

Signed. R. G. C. CARR,
Ag. Secretary.

Ch

92

Ques 2
8.774/56

(positive end)

Signed, R. G. O. GARR.

20040818. yA

6. The Collector is requested to submit a proposal to be met from General savings under 3 Land Revenue. The surplus can also be required in the interests of the revenue. The surplus can also be met from the Board's fund and amounts to Rs. 5588 per annum. It is in the Board's opinion that it is worked out in the statement accompanying his letter. The extra cost involved in the Collector's proposal is Rs. 1000 per annum. It is in the Board's opinion that it is necessary for each of the nineteen additional Revenue Inspectors, referred to in para 5 being employed only for 3 months. Lastly the Collector observes that a person on Rs. 1000 per annum is necessary for each of the nineteen additional Revenue Inspectors, referred to in para 5 being employed only for 3 months. The Collector is requested to submit a proposal to be met from General savings under 3 Land Revenue. The surplus can also be required in the interests of the revenue. The surplus can also be met from the Board's fund and amounts to Rs. 5588 per annum. It is in the Board's opinion that it is worked out in the statement accompanying his letter. The extra cost involved in the Collector's proposal is Rs. 1000 per annum. It is in the Board's opinion that it is necessary for each of the nineteen additional Revenue Inspectors, referred to in para 5 being employed only for 3 months. Lastly the Collector observes that a person on Rs. 1000 per annum is necessary for each of the nineteen additional Revenue Inspectors, referred to in para 5 being employed only for 3 months.

of 10 Assistant Revenue Inspectors ~~xxxxxxxxxxxx~~ may
considers that the proposal for the periodical entertainment
Total 10 Mins., dated 24th February 1898. The Resara
be approved.

4. In the circumstances set forth above, the Board considers that the Collector has made out a good case for

question although the number of Revenue Inspectors in the scheme, no numerical increase was allowed in the taluka in and Inspectors were settled in accordance with Mr. Garstin's Kistna district. At the time when the number and pay of Rev-

128057	Gudivada	5	118080
137041	Bapatla	5	155888
141103	Bandar	5	58539

[illegible]

2. The marginal statement compares the average per-