

8960

Public Works (1896) Department.

G.O., No. 8969

DATED 29th October 1896.

[Despatch Abstract.]

Powers of Sanction: Co 385. 28/28. May '86
Cancelled to enable Engrs. Engrs to Sanction
under all funds alterations in ^{the} Constructive details
not involving an increase of more than 5%
above the estimate.

Government { 1896 } of Madras.
PUBLIC WORKS.

Spare copies

OCTOBER.

G.O., No. 385 I, dated 28th May 1886, cancelled to enable Superintending Engineers to sanction under all funds alterations in the constructive details of works not involving an increase of more than 5 per cent. above the estimate.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

Irrigation.

READ—the following paper :—

No. 178 I.

Note by the Chief Engineer for Irrigation.

Under paragraph 61 (e), chapter III, Volume I, Government of India Public Works Department Code, Superintending Engineers can sanction alterations in constructive details not involving an increase of more than 5 per cent. above the estimate. In the case of works under "49. Productive" and "35. Protective," Superintending Engineers' powers are virtually curtailed, as under G.O., No. 385 I., dated 28th May 1886, they are still required to obtain Government sanction to all excesses over Rs. 50 in amount. As that order was passed prior to the introduction of the Government of India Code in this Presidency, it should apparently no longer be adhered to, as there is no reason whatever for the anomalous distinction now made between excesses under "49." and "35." and those occurring under other funds. The Government Order above referred to was based on paragraph 267 (d) of the Madras Code, the concluding sentence of which, viz., "except in the case of specially selected Superintending Engineers this power does not extend to the case of productive or protective," having been omitted in the corresponding paragraph of the Government of India Code quoted above, it is obvious that all Superintending Engineers can sanction under all funds alterations in the constructive details of works provided the cost is within 5 per cent. of the estimate. It is therefore recommended that G.O., No. 385 I., dated 28th May 1886, may now be cancelled.

(Signed) R. R. E. DRAKE-BROCKMAN, Col., R.E.,
30th Sept. 1896. Chief Engineer for Irrigation.

ORDER—No. 896 I., dated 29th October 1896.

In the circumstances reported by the Chief Engineer for Irrigation, G.O., No. 385 I., dated 28th May 1886, is cancelled.

(True Extract.)

Under Secretary to Government,
Irrigation Branch.

To the Chief Engineer for Irrigation.
" all Superintending Engineers.
" the Examiner, Public Works Accounts.

Exd. C. M. Thumbuswamy.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

No. 896 I of 29th October 1896.

UNDER date the

READ the following

*Note by the Chief Eng for Irrigation**No 778 of 30.9.96
Under para*

*61 (e), Chapter III,
Vol I, Govt of India
P.W.D. Code, Supp.
Engrs can sanction
alterations in con-
structive details not
involving an increase
of more than 5%
above the estimate.
In the case of works
under "49. Productive"
+ "35 Protective" Supp.
Engrs' powers are
virtually curtailed,
as under G.O. No*

*To the per favor of
consideration**Issue**W.H.**27/10**27-10-96*

385. J, of 28 May 1886, they are still required to obtain Govt sanction to all excises over Rs 50 in amount. As that order was passed prior to the introduction of the Govt of India Code in this Presidency, it should apparently no longer be adhered to, as there is no reason whatever for the anomalous distinction now made between excises under "49" & "35" & those occurring under other funds. The G.O. above referred to was based on para 267(d) of the Madras Code, the concluding sentence of which, viz, "except

in the case of specially selected Supp Exps this power does not extend to the case of production or protective" having been omitted in the corresponding para of the Govt of India Code quoted above, it is obvious that all Supp Exps can sanction under all funds alterations in the constructive details of works provided the cost is within 5% of the estimate. It is therefore recommended that G.O.

No 385. J, of 28 May 1886, may now be cancelled. Order thereon.

In the circumstances reported by the

Chart Eng for Navigation,
No. 385 J., of 28th
May/86, is cancelled.

JH
28/9
86

Recd
30/9
86

12/10/86

24/10/86

24/10/86

24/10/86

25/10/86

Public Works (1896) Department.

CURRENT No. 8968

Order within

From

The Chief Engineer
for Navigation

No. 17 & 1

Dated 30 Sept 1896

Received 7-10-96

Enclosures

Spare copies

SUBJECT.

Powers of Supp Engineer
to sanction alterations
in constructive details
not involving an increase
of more than 5% above
the estimate under "H 9
Productive" + "35 Protective

To The Chief Eng for J.
all Supp Engrs.
Sd J. W.

LCB
26/9

E. I. Form No. 14-500-14-5-95

1371

Circ 28/10 R 27/10

O. L. S. 1880

FURTHER PAPERS.

IRRIGATION.
ACCOUNT.

Gobt. of {1886} Madras.

PUBLIC WORKS.

Dated May, 28th.

Recd.

Encl.

G.O., 28th May 1886, No. 385 I.

MAY.

Upar Odai Dam

The Examiner authorized to pass the excess outlay of Rs. 2 incurred in providing falling shutters for four bays of the —, and, in future, to pass excesses within a limit of Rs. 50 on estimates for productive works without a reference to Government in each case.

28TH MAY 1886, No. 385 I., PUBLIC WORKS.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

Irrigation.
Account.

READ—the following papers:—

Completion Report for fixing falling shutters for four bays of Upar Odai dam.

Endorsement on the above.

No. 17 T.N.

Submitted for the sanction of Government under paragraph 278 (e) of the code.

2. Paragraphs 374 (c) and 278 (e) of the code appear inconsistent with one another, as the special provision with regard to excesses on productive works is made by the latter clause to apply to all excesses, but by the former it does not apply to excesses of not more than Rs. 500.

3. In any case there seems room for alteration in a rule that requires a reference to Government on account of an excess of two rupees.

CUMBUM,
15th April 1886.

(Signed) J. PENNYCUICK, Lieut.-Col., R.E.,
Superintending Engineer, VI Circle.

Office note to the Examiner of Public Works Accounts, dated 4th May 1886, No. 111.
Do. from do. do. do. " 8th " " " 106.
Do. to do. do. do. " 10th " " " 120.
Do. from do. do. do. " 14th " " " 127.

Endorsement on the above.

No. 72 I.

Submitted to Government.

2. With reference to the inconsistency pointed out by the Superintending Engineer in paragraph 2 of his endorsement, the Examiner of Public Works Accounts reports that opportunity has been taken in the reprint of the code to modify paragraph 374 (c) so as to agree with paragraph 278 (e).

3. To obviate the necessity for referring to Government in future for sanction to excesses of small amounts, it is recommended that the Examiner may be authorized to pass excesses provisionally up to Rs. 50 in each case, with the Superintending Engineer's approval, without further reference. Such excesses will be embodied in the statement of excesses and savings submitted by the Examiner annually to Government for final sanction.

OOTACAMUND,
19th May 1886.

(Signed) J. O. HASTED, Colonel, R.E.,
Chief Engineer for Irrigation.

To the Joint Secretary to Government,
Public Works Department, Irrigation Branch.

ORDER—dated 28th May 1886, No. 385 I., Public Works.

The Examiner of Public Works Accounts is authorized to pass the expenditure incurred in providing falling shutters for four bays of the Opar Odai dam, the excess outlay of Rs. 2 being met from the balance of the provision available for unforeseen works of the Srivaikuntham ancient system, which will then be reduced to Rs. 5,802.

2. This sanction has been registered as No. 26 I. of 1886-87.

3. The procedure suggested by the Chief Engineer for Irrigation with regard to excess below Rs. 50 each is approved and will be adopted by the Examiner of Public Works Accounts in future, provided that the total amount of the sanctioned estimate for works for each project, the Capital expenditure on which is not charged against Revenue, is not exceeded.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) J. O. HASTED, Colonel, R.E.,
Joint Secy. to Govt., P.W.D.,
Irrigation Branch.

To the Superintending Engineer, VI Circle.
„ other Superintending Engineers.
„ the Chief Engineer for Irrigation.
„ the Examiner, Public Works Accounts.
„ the Revenue Department.

Exd. P. L. Pereira.
Spare Copies