Public Works (1896 1918) Department.

G.O., No. 755 J

Dated 11th September 1896.

[Despatch Abstract.]

Nandiraja Coon of Pedalanka Channel; Estimate of Rs. 3050 for improving the — a distributary of the Pullaru Canal, Kistna Eastern Delta, sanctioned with remarks.

D.C/M/7

[illegible]

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

IRRIGATION.

Government {1896} of Madras.

PUBLIC WORKS.

Recd. } 1896. *Enclosures*

Regd. } *Spare copies*

G.O., No. 810 I., 7th October 1896.

OCTOBER.

Conservators of Rivers

Directing that a notification be published in the Fort St. George and Gódávari District Gazettes appointing the Executive Engineers, Gódávari Eastern, Western and Central divisions, as — within the limits of jurisdiction specified.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

Irrigation.

Read—the following paper:—

From J. C. LARMINIE, Esq., Superintending Engineer, I Circle, to the Chief Engineer for Irrigation, dated Waltair, 29th August 1896, No. 1082 G.D.

With reference to paragraph 4 of G.O., No. 457 I., dated 27th May 1896, I have the honour to suggest that the Executive Engineers in charge of Gódávari Eastern, Western and Central divisions may be appointed as River Conservators, and their jurisdictions may be fixed as follows:—

(*a*) Left side of Gowtami Gódávari above and below anicut, to be under the charge of the Gódávari Eastern division officer.

(*b*) Right side of Gowtami Gódávari above anicut and right side of Vasista Gódávari below anicut, to be in the charge of the Gódávari Western division officer.

(*c*) The right side of the Gowtami Gódávari below anicut and the left side of Vasista Gódávari below anicut and the other branches of the river in the Central division below anicut, to be in the charge of the Central division officer.

2. The Collector of Gódávari in his letter No. 2542/Ver. of 12th August 1896, informed me that he had nothing to say against my proposals for the appointment of the above Executive Engineers as River Conservators.

3. I have not at present any special rules to suggest under section 22 of Madras Act VI of 1884.

Order—No. 810 I., dated 7th October 1896.

The following notification will be published in the Fort St. George and Gódávari District Gazettes in three consecutive issues:—

NOTIFICATION.

His Excellency the Governor in Council is pleased to appoint, under section 6 of Act VI of 1884, "The River Conservancy Act," the following officers as Conservators of Rivers within the limits of jurisdiction noted below:—

The Executive Engineer, Gódávari Eastern division, to be Conservator over the left side of the Gowtami Gódávari above and below the anicut.

The Executive Engineer, Gódávari Western division, to be Conservator over the right side of the Gowtami Gódávari above the anicut and the right side of the Vasista Gódávari below the anicut.

No. 810 I., 7TH OCTOBER 1896.

The Executive Engineer, Gódávari Central division, to be Conservator over the right side of the Gowtami Gódávari below the anicut and the left side of the Vasista Gódávari below the anicut and the other branches of the river in the Gódávari Central division below the anicut.

2. The submission of the report called for in paragraph 2 of G.O., No. 457 I., dated 27th May 1896, will be expedited.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) W. HUGHES,
Joint Secy. to Government,
Irrigation Branch.

To the Chief Engineer for Irrigation.
" Superintending Engineer, I Circle.
" Examiner, Public Works Accounts.
" Legislative Department.
" Revenue Department.
" Superintendent, Government Press.

Exd. T. K. Narayana Aiyangar.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT,

IRRIGATION BRANCH.

His Excellency the Governor No. 810. I. dt 7th October 1896

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

UNDER date the

READ the following

Letter from the Supg. Engr., First Circle, (H.Q. No. 1082 - G.D. dated 29 Aug. 1896)

Order thereon.

The following notification will be published in the Fort St. George and Godavari district Gazettes in three consecutive issues :—

A&H 28.9.96

Issue 1/11 1

Notification.

His Excellency the Governor in Council is pleased to appoint, under Section 6 of Act VI of 1884, "The River Conservancy Act," the following Officers as Conservators of Rivers within the limits of jurisdiction noted below :—

The Executive Engineer, Godavari Eastern division, to be Conservator over the left side of the Gowtami-Godavari above and below the Anicut.

The Executive Engineer, Godavari Western division, to be Conservator over the right side of the ~~Gowtami~~ Godavari above the Anicut and the right side of the Vasista

G.C. 26/9 R.S/P

Vasista-Godavari below the Anicut.

The Executive Engineer, Godavari Central division, to be Conservator over the right side of the Gowtami-Godavari below the Anicut, and the left side of the Vasista-Godavari below the Anicut, and the other branches of the River in the Godavari Central division below the Anicut.

2. The submission of the report called for in para 2 of G.O. No. 457-I dated 27 May 1896 will be expedited.

Revd 11/9/96

Revd 15/9/96

To The Chief Engineer for Irrigation.
" Supg. Engineer, First Circle.
" Examiner, Public Works Accts.
" Legislative Dept.
" Revenue Dept. 17/9/96
" Supt. Govt. Press.

E. I. Form No. 1—500—14-5-95.

12.5432 25/10

Public Works (1896) Department.

Current No: 8478

No. 1082 G.D.

Recd: 21.9.96

FROM

J. b. Larminie Esquire
SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER,

FIRST CIRCLE.

Enclosures. TO

THE CHIEF ENGINEER
FOR IRRIGATION.

WALTAIR, 29th August 1896.

SIR,

With reference to para 4 of G.O. No. 457 I dated 24th May 1896, I have the honor to suggest that the Executive Engineers in charge of Godavari Eastern, Western and Central Divisions may be appointed as Canal Conservators and their jurisdictions may be fixed as follows:—

(a) Left side of Gowtami Godavari above and below Anicut to be under the charge of the Godavari Eastern Dn. officers.

(b) Right side of Gowtami Godavari above anicut and Right side of Vasista Godavari below anicut to be in the charge of the Godavari Western Dn. officers

S. E. I. 500—29-2-96.
1

FROM J.C. Larmine Esq.
SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER,
FIRST CIRCLE.

CHIEF ENGINEER FOR IRRIGATION. 4 SEP 96 No. 1729

TO
THE CHIEF ENGINEER
FOR IRRIGATION.

DATED 29/8
DESPATCHED 1/9 } August 1896.
RECEIVED

No. 1082 GD.

ENCLOSURES. —

SUBJECT.

River Conservators — Suggests that Godavari Eastern, Western, and Central Divisional Officers may be appointed as ——

(C.) The Right Side of the Gowtami Godavari below anicut and the Left Side of Vasista Godavari below anicut and the other branches of the River in the Central Division below Anicut to be in the charge of the Central Division officer.

2. The Collector of Godavari in his letter no. 2542/Ur. of 12.8.96 informed me that he had nothing to say against my proposals for the appointment of the above Executive Engineers as River Conservators.

3. I have not at present any Special rules to suggest under Section 22 of Madras Act VI of 1884.

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant

J Clarmine

Superintending Engineer
First Circle
30/8

DL
30/8.

Public works (1896) department

1

Current No: 9572

Recd: 23.10.96

No. 3865 C. of 3rd November /96

Furnished.

Dep: Capt. W. Elliott R.E.
Secy: to Govt. P. W. D.

Replace in Records.
7-11-96

Returned with thanks.

C. Clarke
Head Clerk
Chief Secretariat

5 Nov 1896.

30-10-96-

Public works (1896) department

Current No: 9572

Recd: 23.10.96

No. 3865 C. of 3rd November/96

Furnished.

Dep: Campbell Scott R.E.
Secy: to Govt. P.W.D.

Returned with thanks.

5 Nov 1896.

C Clarke
Head Clerk
Chief Secretariat

30-10-96-

[G/71.]
1,000-21-8-95.

MEMORANDUM.

For Legislative C. No. 213

Requested for reference the under-mentioned papers:—

G.O. 457 I of 27 May 1896 with connected papers.

Chief Secretariat,
22nd Oct 1896.

To
The Under Secretary to Govt
Public Works Dept (Irrigation)

[illegible]
Under-Secretary.

done [illegible] 22.10

Public works (1896) Department

Current No: 9874

Recd: 3.11.96

No. 3880 C. d/ 3rd Novr 1896.

Furnished.

The requisition of the 22nd October 1896 required G.O. No. 471 I of 27-5-96, for Legislative C. No. 213, which has been supplied.

[illegible] Coll RE.
Secretary.

SECY GOVT MADRAS 5 NOV 96 REV. DEPT.

Returned with thanks

[illegible]

5 Novr 1896. Head Clerk.
Chief Secty

P. 3-11-96.

[G/71.]
1,000-21-3-95.

Reminder.

For Legislative

C. No. 213

MEMORANDUM.

Requested for reference the under-mentioned papers:—

G.O. No. 810 I., 27th October 1896
with connected papers.

N.B. The first requisition was sent on the 23rd ultimo

Chief Secretariat,
2nd November 1896.

[illegible]
Asst. Under-Secretary.

To
The Under Secretary to Govt.
Public Works Dept. (Irrigation Branch)

Appendix to G.O. No. 457 I., dated 27th May 1896.

STATEMENT of objections to the Gódávari river charts prepared under section 3 of Madras Act VI of 1884.

Number on chart.	Particulars of objection.						Abstract of final remarks of objections by		Orders of Government.
	Locality.	Name of petitioner.	Date of petition.	Number on petition.	Number of objection.	Grounds of objection.	Revenue officers.	Public Works officers.	
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
						Akhanda Gódávari above anicut.			
IV	Mulakallanka.	Mr. L. C. Miller, Manager under the Court of Wards, on behalf of the Raja of Pithápuram.	29th January 1892.	V. Paragraph 1.	1	The northern boundary of Mulakallanka is incorrectly entered.	The words "boundary disputed" should be marked on the boundary line.	No objection to the addition proposed.	The words "boundary disputed" will be marked accordingly.
"	Do.	Do.	Do.	V. Paragraph 2.	2	The area of the various lankas appertaining to Mulakallanka village as shown on the chart is much less than what was given in the old accounts. The higher portions of sandy shoals should be distinctly excluded from the river bed.	The chart represents the state of the river and its adjacent land correctly as it was at the time of the survey. Mr. Somasundara Shastriar who succeeded Mr. Miller has withdrawn the objection without giving up any rights to subsequent accretions to the lanka. This calls for no action.	No action seems necessary.	Noted.
"	Prakkilanka.	Inuganti Venkatarajagopala Butchi Tammayya Garu, Proprietor.	28th January 1892.	I. Paragraph 1.	3	A portion of west of Hussanijani uta and the whole of the north thereof, the east and west of Garikigedda, north and south of Reddidanigedda, west and south of Nagulalanka were formerly cultivated and enjoyed by him for a long time, but they were recently eroded by the river just before the preparation of the charts.	The two acres of cultivated land to the east of and touching the river and north-west of the boundary stone in Hussanijani uta should be marked on the chart as such, leaving it to the Conservator to take necessary steps to acquire it should it be required.	The fact that what is shown as sandy river bed was once cultivated does not necessitate alteration of the chart.	No alteration need be made.
"	Do.	Do.	Do.	I. Paragraph 2.	4	A portion of lanka towards the south of the Hussanijani uta shown as overgrown with nanal grass is under cultivation.	This piece of land should be excluded from the river bed and the cultivated portion should be demarcated to prevent further encroachment.	Chart may be altered.	The Superintending Engineer, I Circle, will see that the alteration referred to is carried out on the chart.
"	Do.	Do.	Do.	I. Paragraph 3.	5	A portion of the lanka towards the north of Regullanka shown as overgrown with grass is under cultivation and enjoyed by him.	*Vide* remark against objection No. 4.	There is nothing to show that the portion of lanka referred to was cultivated when the survey was made. If proved it may be resurveyed and shown on the chart.	The Superintending Engineer will, in communication with the Collector, ascertain the fact and arrange if necessary for a resurvey of the portion and deal with it under section 10 of the Act.

Statement of objections to the Gódávari river charts prepared under section 3 of Madras Act VI of 1884—*cont.*

Number on chart.	Particulars of objection.						Abstract of final remarks of objections by		Orders of Government.
	Locality.	Name of petitioner.	Date of petition.	Number on petition.	Number of objection.	Grounds of objection.	Revenue officers.	Public Works officers.	
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
						Akhanda Gódávari above anicut—cont.			
IV.	Prakkilanka.	Inuganti Venkatarajagopala Butchi Tammayya Garu, Proprietor.	28th January 1892.	I. Paragraph 4.	6	Lanka to the north of Garikigedda and north and west of Dorappagedda shown as overgrown with nanal grass is under cultivation with a fence all round it.	The chart should be altered leaving it to the Conservator to take steps under the Act should he find it necessary to prohibit cultivation.	*Vide* remark against objection No. 5.	*Vide* orders on objection No. 5.
,,	Do.	Do.	Do.	I. Paragraph 5.	7	A portion of the lanka to the east of Regullanka shown partly as sand bed and partly as overgrown with grass and the lanka to the west of Reddidanigedda shown as sand bed are for a long time in his enjoyment and known as Pulliganigedda.	The Zemindar says that the places referred to were once under cultivation. No alteration of the chart is required.	Nothing further is necessary.	No alteration need be made.
,,	Do.	Do.	Do.	I. Paragraph 6.	8	Regullanka should be shown as Nagulalanka.	The necessary correction may be made.	There is no objection to alter the name.	The Superintending Engineer will have the alteration carried out.
V.	Do.	Do.	Do.	I. Paragraph 7.	9	The lanka in the proprietor's enjoyment between the river and its embankment and south of Udugu kalva is not shown.	The lanka is shown as "Low lanka." This objection calls for no action.	Nothing further required.	No alteration need be made.
,,	Do.	Do.	Do.	I. Paragraph 1.	10	The portion north and east of that portion of Barugalanka where there are houses and where there are no houses is shown as sand bed, while it was for a long time in his enjoyment but were eroded by the river just before the preparation of the chart.	No alteration is required but cultivation may be allowed with the previous permission of the Conservator.	*Vide* remark against objection No. 3.	No alteration need be made.
,,	Sandy lanka, east of 17th mile stone right bank of the river.	Sri Raja Kocherla Kota Ramachendra Venkata Krishna Row, Zemindar of Pólávaram.	29th January 1892.	II. Paragraph 6.	11	The lanka is shown as entirely sand, while portions south-east of 17th mile stone are under cultivation.	The portion of the high ground having now been marked by a black line and denoted as "Low lanka", no further action is necessary.	The Revenue officer's remark is concurred in.	The alteration is approved.
,,	Sitanagaram.	Sri Vadrevu Venkappa Garu, Zemindar of Vangalapudi Muttah.	27th September 1891.	III. Paragraph 2.	12	The boundary line between Sitanagaram and Prakkilanka is not correctly shown. The name of the village to which the lanka marked No. 1 appertains is not noted. This lanka is shown as containing dubbu grass while it is under tobacco cultivation.	The words "boundary disputed" may be marked along the boundary line. The omission of the name of the village to which the lanka belongs is immaterial. The insertion of tobacco cultivation has since been made.	It may be noted on the chart that the boundary line is under dispute.	The words "boundary under dispute" will be entered along the boundary line. The insertion of tobacco cultivation is approved.
,,	Do.	Do.	Do.	III. Paragraph 5.	13	Judgegeddalanka, a portion of which is under cultivation and the rest is under dubbu plantation is omitted and its place is shown as sand bed.	The necessary correction having since been made, the party has withdrawn his objection.	Nothing further is required.	The correction made is approved.
,,	Chigurulanka.	Do.	Do.	III. Paragraph 6.	14	The boundary line between Chegurulanka and Prakkilanka is not correctly shown. The Chegurulanka under tobacco cultivation is wrongly shown as sand bed.	Do. do. ..	Do. do. ..	Do. do.
,,	Do.	Do.	Do.	III. Paragraph 7.	15	A part of the lanka shown as belonging to Prakkilanka belongs to Sitanagaramlanka.	The word "Prakkilanka" may be erased and the proprietors may be left to establish their claims in Court.	The Collector's proposal is agreed to.	The word "Prakkilanka" will be erased.
,,	Do.	Do.	Do.	III. Paragraph 8.	16	Below the river bank and on the south and south-east of Mattavarilanka there is an extensive growth of dubbu grass. A portion of this is also fit for cultivation. The whole of this is shown on the chart as sandy.	A large plot of lanka grass has since been shown on the chart and the water course that runs close past the Nadimilanka houses has been expunged. The plot is too large and the water course should not have been expunged.	The whole of the plantation is an undoubted encroachment on river bed and the Zemindar's claim to it should not be admitted.	The chart will be re-altered omitting the plantation and marking the water course.
,,	Do.	Do.	Do.	III. Paragraph 9.	17	Some portions in the middle of Chigurulanka shown as sandy or under cultivation every year.	The necessary corrections having since been made, the party has withdrawn his objection.	Nothing further is required.	The corrections made are approved.
,,	Do.	Do.	Do.	III. Paragraph 10.	18	Tobacco is cultivated on the lands to the north-west and north-east of Mattavarilanka shown as dubbu grass.	The tobacco cultivation having since been shown, the party has withdrawn his objection. Owing to an extension of this lanka the river has swept away almost the whole of that large portion of Sitanagaramlanka lying due south of it and marked on the chart with the letters A.M. The chart may be corrected.	Very considerable changes have taken place in the lankas and river bed. It is for Government to decide whether a fresh survey should be made.	The alterations made are approved. The Superintending Engineer will arrange for a fresh survey being made which will be dealt with under section 10 of the Act.
,,	Sitanagaram.	Do.	Do.	III. Paragraph 13.	19	The small lanka under cultivation in the middle of the sandy lanka lying to the east of the lanka attached to Sitanagaram is omitted.	The necessary correction has since been made. No further action is necessary.	....	The correction is approved.
,,	Do.	Do.	Do	III. Paragraph 18.	20	The piece of lanka land from south of Saigavaram downwards up to nearly 14th mile stone below the river bank is partly planted with dubbu grass and partly under cultivation, while the whole is shown as sandy.	The necessary corrections have since been made.	Nothing further seems necessary.	The corrections made are approved.
,,	Low lanka below the river bank at Gutala village.	Sri Manyam Mahalakshmama, Zemindarni of Gutala.	26th September 1891.	IV. Paragraph 2.	21	The lankas between the 20th and 19th mile stones east of Gutala are omitted.	The Low lanka has been marked distinct from the high river bank.	Nothing further required.	The alteration made is approved.

Statement of objections to the Gódávari river charts prepared under section 3 of Madras Act VI of 1884—*cont.*

Number on chart.	Particulars of objection.						Abstract of final remarks of objections by		Orders of Government.
	Locality.	Name of petitioner.	Date of petition.	Number on petition.	Number of objection.	Grounds of objection.	Revenue officers.	Public Works officers.	
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
						Akhanda Gódávari above anicut—cont.			
VI.	Pólávaram lanka.	Sri Raja Kocherla Kota Ramachendra Venkata Krishna Row, Zemindar of Pólávaram.	29th January 1892.	II. Paragraph 1.	22	The eastern boundary of Pólávaram lank is not correctly marked. The portion shown as sandy should be marked as cultivated, although it has since been washed away to a great extent.	The chart was correct at the time it was prepared. No more is necessary.	No remarks.	No alteration need be made.
,,	Do.	Do.	Do.	II. Paragraph 2.	23	The proprietor claims the lanka extending as far north as Mahamandashwaram temple and marked on the chart in pale green colour.	The chart was not intended to show details above the river embankment which goes only so far as the Kadamma hill.	The chart was not intended to show details above the starting point of river bank. Nothing further is required.	Do. do.
,,	Ootalanka of Purushottapatnam.	Do.	Do.	II. Paragraph 3.	24	The strip of lanka, 40 or 50 yards wide between 19 and 19½ mile stones along the river side south of Purushottapatam under cultivation is omitted.	The necessary alterations having since been made; the party has withdrawn his objection.	Nothing further is required.	The alterations made are approved.
,,	Rámachandrapuram lanka.	Do.	Do.	II. Paragraph 4.	25	The cigar-shaped lanka opposite 17th mile stone on left bank, under cultivation, is shown as a grass lanka.	The necessary alteration having since been made; the objection has been withdrawn.	....	The alteration made is approved.
						2. The small detached lanka, south-east of Rámachandrapuram lanka, belongs to Pólávaram estate and not to Sitanagaram Zemindar as noted on the chart.	2. The words "Sitanagaram Zemindar" may be omitted, the Zemindars being left to settle their dispute in a Civil Court.	2. The words "boundary disputed" may be entered on the chart.	2. The words "Sitanagaram Zemindar" will be omitted.
,,	Pattisam lanka.	Do.	Do.	II. Paragraph 5.	26	The strip of sand-bed shown down the centre of this lanka is not correct, as there has never been any piece of land uncultivated in this lanka.	Necessary alteration may be made.	The strip shown as sand should be coloured green and included in Pattisam lanka.	The proposed alteration is approved.
,,	Do.	Do.	Do.	II. Paragraph 7.	27	Enquires whether it is necessary to note objections in respect of the portion lying north of Pólávaram village and beyond the termination of the embankment.	It is not necessary	*Vide* remark against objection No. 23.	No action is necessary.
,,	All lankas belonging to Pólávaram estate.	Do.	Do.	II. Paragraph 8.	28	The lankas have not been surveyed in the presence of the Zemindar's officials.	Immaterial, his objections having been enquired into.	....	It is immaterial.
,,	Sitanagaram and Gutala lanka.	Sri Vadrevu Venkappa Garu, Zemindar of Vengalapudi Muttah.	27th September 1891.	III. Paragraph 11.	29	The boundary line between Sitanagaram and Gutala lankas, as already fixed by the two points—Kistnavaram and Gujjichinta—is omitted.	The boundary line having since been marked, the objection has been withdrawn.	....	The boundary line, as marked, is approved.
,,	Gutala lanka.	Do.	Do.	III. Paragraph 12.	30	The lanka land, about 50 acres in extent, partly cultivated with tobacco, east of the river, opposite Gutala, belongs to Sitanagaram and not to Gutala. The portion of the lanka which remained waste is omitted.	The necessary corrections having since been made; the objection has been withdrawn.	No further action is necessary.	The corrections made are approved.
,,	Vengalapudi lanka.	Do.	Do.	III. Paragraphs 14 and 15.	31	The lanka attached to Vengalapudi village extending from opposite B.S. in 15th mile 4th furlong on the left bank to northern extremity of Gutala Oota lanka is omitted. The whole being shown as Gutala lanka.	The lanka is already shown on the chart. No further action is necessary.	....	The chart needs no alteration.
,,	Gutala Ootalanka, opposite 16th mile stone on left bank.	Do.	29th November 1894.	III-A. Paragraph 4.	32	The excavated channel, 320 yards, south of the 16th mile stone, is omitted. Instead of showing separately the two lankas—Vengalapudi and Gutala—in their proper places below the river bank at Vengalapudi village, the whole is shown as Gutala Dubbu lanka.	The chart appears to be in error. The river bed at this place may be resurveyed.	Gutala Venka lanka is wrongly shown. This part of the lanka may be resurveyed.	The Superintending Engineer will arrange for the river-bed and the lanka at this place being resurveyed and the resurvey being dealt with under section 10 of the Act.
,,	Vengalapudi lanka.	Do.	27th September 1891.	III. Paragraphs 16 and 17.	33	The Gutala and Pattisam lankas do not extend up to Vengalapudi lanka. The lanka to the south of the boundary line between the two lankas is omitted. It is surrounded by dubbu grass.	The lanka omitted should be inserted.	A resurvey of this part may be made.	The Superintending Engineer will arrange for this part being resurveyed and the resurvey being dealt with under section 10 of the Act.
						2. The boundaries of the Vengalapudi lanka are not correctly marked.	2. The boundaries between rival zemindaries are not necessary to be marked for the purpose of river conservancy.	2. The Zemindar's claim to river-bed on the ground that there was once land which belonged to him cannot be entertained.	No alteration need be made.
,,	Rámachendrapuram lanka.	Do.	29th January 1894.	III-A. Paragraph 7.	34	The portion of the lanka opposite the boundary between Rámachandrapuram and Vengalapudi belonging to Vengalapudi is not shown as such. The boundary line between Gutala and Pattisam lankas does not extend so far as the high ground (Mirakavaddu).	Boundary lines disputed should either be omitted or the words "under dispute" entered. The insertion of the new boundary line requested by the Vengalapudi Proprietor is a lien to the subject in hand.	The boundary line may be marked as "under dispute."	The words "under dispute" will be entered along the boundary lines referred to.

Statement of objections to the Gódávari river charts prepared under section 3 of Madras Act VI of 1884—*cont.*

Number on chart.	Particulars of objection.						Abstract of final remarks of objections by		Orders of Government.
	Locality.	Name of petitioner.	Date of petition.	Number on petition.	Number of objection.	Grounds of objection.	Revenue officers.	Public Works officers.	
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
						Akhanda Gódávari above anicut—cont.			
VI	Gutala lanka.	Manyam Mahalatchumamma, Proprietrix.	26th September 1891.	IV. Paragraph 1.	35	The waste lanka with dubbu grass adjoining the west of Gutala lanka is omitted.	The dubbu grass should be shown leaving it to the Conservator to take steps under section 12 of the Act, should he consider the removal of the grass necessary.	There is grass which is not shown in chart. No grass should be allowed here.	The dubbu grass will be shown on the chart and the Conservator will take steps for removing it under section XII of Act.
	Gutala Vuta lanka, left bank, 16th mile stone.	Do.	19th November 1894.	IV-A. Paragraph 4.	36	A large extent of waste Dubbu lanka near the high ground at Vengalapudi is omitted.	*Vide* remark against objection No. 32.	*Vide* remark against objection No. 32.	*Vide* orders on objection No. 32.
	Gutala lanka.	Do.	Do.	IV-A. Paragraph 5.	37	A small extent of land in her lanka is shown as belonging to Vangalapudi village.	*Vide* remark against objection No. 33.	*Vide* remark against objection No. 33.	*Vide* orders on objection No. 33.
						Gowtami Gódávari below anicut.			
I & II	Veravaram lanka.	Mr. L. C. Miller, Manager under the Court of Wards, on behalf of the Raja of Pithápuram.	29th January 1892.	V. Paragraph 3.	38	The land belonging to the village of Kadiam between the northern boundary of Veeravaram lanka and the southern boundary of Vemagiri lanka is omitted.	The words "Veeravaram lanka" in the extreme north-east corner of chart No. 2 and the words "Vadeparru lanka" in the extreme south-east corner of chart No. 1 should be removed.	The course recommended by the Revenue officer is correct, except that the word Veeravaram should be Vemagiri.	The words "Vemagiri lanka" in the extreme north-east corner of chart No. 2 and the words "Vadiparru lanka" in the extreme south-east corner of chart No. 1 will be removed.
,,	Do.	Do.	Do.	Do.	39	The western boundry of the Veeravaram lanka is incorrectly shown.	The boundry dispute having since been settled by the surrender to Government of the land on the Vadiparru side as an accretion to the lands of that village, Mr. P. Somasundara Shastriar who succeeded Mr. Miller has withdrawn the objection.	No action is necessary ..	Noted.
IV & V	Mandapalli lanka.	Do.	Do.	Do.	40	Some extent of waste land between the cultivated portions of Mandapalli lanka and the water course is omitted.	Mr. P. Somasundara Shastriar who succeeded Mr. Miller having withdrawn the objection, nothing need be done.	No action necessary.	Noted.
,,	Do.	Do.	Do.	Do.	41	A portion of the cultivated lanka of Mandapalli and part of the village site are erroneously included in the river bed.	The colour-washing of these two charts is defective, no explanation being given of the meaning of plain brown colour-washing. The place where cultivation has not been marked on the chart is the small portion of Mandapalli lanka, a colour-washed brown below the Mandapalli and Kamaraju lanka boundary punta shown at the foot of chart No. 4, opposite the 17th mile stone. This portion is cultivated similarly to the continuation of the same field colour given under chart No. 5. The village site of Mandapalli is not colour-washed, but is left white. It should be washed, given to show clearly that it is a portion of the lanka, and not of the river bed.	No alteration is required. Plain brown wash is used in all the charts to denote the high level ground or margin between the river bank and the river bed. The portion referred to was probably not under cultivation when the survey was made.	No alteration need be made.
VI	Kapileswarapuram lanka.	Balusu Ramalachmama Garu, Proprietrix.	24th January 1892.	VI.	42	The lands belonging to the estate were not properly surveyed and shown on the charts.	It has been ascertained that the objection is confirmed to the eastern boundary of the portion near the centre of chart No. 5 marked off from Tatapudi lanka as belonging to Kapileswarapuram. The boundary may be marked as "under dispute."	The words "under dispute" may be entered on the portion referred to.	The words "under dispute" will be entered accordingly.
VII	Kotepalli Perugu lanka.	Chaganti Bapaya Pantulu, Tanadar of the Maharaja of Vizianagram.	29th January 1892.	VII.	43	The lands to the north of the Kotepalli Perugu lanka and some other lankas of the estate were erroneously shown as sandy, although they were cultivated before 1889 and after 1890.	The objection having been withdrawn, it calls for no action.	....	Noted.
VIII & IX	Kesanakurru.	Balusu Pattabhiramaya, Proprietor.	24th January 1892.	VIII.	44	The lands of Amampalli Godilanka and Konkudurn were not correctly surveyed.	The objection has been withdrawn as it is impossible to point out the lands, some having been washed away by the river and some having been covered with sand.	No action necessary ..	Noted.
						Vasista Gódávari below anicut.			
VII	Gannavaram lanka.	Mr. L. C. Miller, Manager under the Court of Wards, on behalf of the Raja of Pithápuram.	2nd February 1892.	V-A. Paragraph 1.	45	Half of Hurapaya lanka between Mondipu lanka and Putsala lanka, belongs to Gunnavaram and not to Mondipu lanka as shown on the chart. This objection seems to refer to the portion from field No. 182 of Mondipu lanka down to field No. 156 of the same village.	It should be noted on the chart that the exact boundary between Government and the Pithápuram estate land has not yet been settled. This should be altered so as to agree with the tracing marked A of the plan prepared under Mr. Tate's supervision. The words "Tunga Badava lanka" on a portion of the old river bed and the line separating that portion from the rest of the bed should be removed.	The corrections may be approved.	The Superintending Engineer will see that the additions and alterations suggested by the Revenue officer are carried out.

Statement of objections to the Godávari river charts prepared under section 3 of Madras Act VI of 1884—*cont.*

Number on chart.	Particulars of objection.						Abstract of final remarks of objections by		Orders of Government.
	Locality.	Name of petitioner.	Date of petition.	Number on petition.	Number of objection.	Grounds of objection.	Revenue officers.	Public Works officers.	
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
VII	Gannavaram lanka.	Mr. L. C. Miller, Manager under the Court of Wards, on behalf of the Raja of Pithápuram.	2nd February 1892.	V-A. 2nd objection.	46	Gadderampampu, a triangular piece of land lying to the west of Mondepu lanka was wrongly marked No. 182 and was shown as belonging to Mondipu lanka instead of to Gannavaram lanka.	The tracing referred to in the remark against objection No. 45 is correct.	This tracing is correct ..	The chart will be altered so as to agree with the tracing referred to.
„	Do.	Do.	Do.	V-A. 3rd objection.	47	The area of Padamate lanka of Gannavaram which appears from the chart to be about 14 acres was formerly about 300 acres in extent. The greater part of this was washed away by the action of the river, but the lanka is now increasing since the preparation of the chart. The chart should be altered so as to show the area at present under occupation.	Mr. Somasundara Shastriar who succeeded Mr. Miller has withdrawn the objection admitting that the chart shows more lanka than that which existed at the time of inspection. (2) It would be well if the limits of the Pithápuram land were clearly marked on the chart and the boundaries ti invesgated and settled.	No action necessary .. (2) As soon as the proper boundary has been determined by the Revenue Department it can be surveyed and transferred to the chart by this department.	Noted. (2) Orders will be issued in the Revenue Department for investigating and settling the boundaries referred to. The Superintending Engineer will then arrange for survey being taken of the portion. The survey will be dealt with under section 10 of Act VI of 1884.

8

No. 457 I., 27TH MAY 1896.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

Irrigation.

READ—the following papers :—

G.O. No. 760 I., dated 17th September 1895.

From A. H. GARRETT, Esq., Executive Engineer in Charge, I Circle, to the Chief Engineer for Irrigation, dated Waltair, 26th February 1896, No. 2712 G.D.

With reference to G.O. No. 760 I., dated 17th September 1895, on Resolution of the Board of Revenue of the 17th August 1895, No. 4107, and its enclosures herewith returned as per attached list, I have the honour to state that my remarks against each objection are entered in red ink in the last columns of the two reports received with other enclosures direct from the Board of Revenue.

2. Replies to paragraph 3 (1) and (2) of the Resolution of the Board of Revenue quoted above are contained in my remarks entered against the items concerned.

3. As regards 3 (3) of the above resolution, of course the charts should represent the river bed as it existed at the time the survey was made.

ORDER—No. 457 I., dated 27th May 1896.

His Excellency the Governor in Council, on consideration of the several objections raised to the charts of the Gódávari river in the Gódávari district prepared under section 3 of Act VI of 1884 and the remarks made by the officers of both the Revenue and Public Works Departments, is pleased to pass orders on each of the objections as noted in the last column of the appended statement.

2. The Superintending Engineer, I Circle, will, in communication with the Collector of the Gódávari district, see that the additions and alterations approved in the appended statement are duly carried out on the charts. On receipt of the report of this having been done, the final approval of Government to the charts will be accorded.

3. The Superintending Engineer will also arrange for a copy of the charts being made so as to be suitable for taking copies by photozincography, all the symbols used for denoting the different features of the country, &c., being clearly explained. Before printing the survey registers the Superintending Engineer will see that an explanatory note of the terms used therein is added.

4. The Superintending Engineer will, in communication with the Collector, suggest proposals for appointing river conservators and fixing their jurisdictions and will submit such rules as may, under section 22 of Act VI of 1884, be considered necessary for carrying out the provisions of the Act.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) R. R. E. DRAKE-BROCKMAN, Colonel, R.E.,
Secretary to Govt., P.W.D.,
Irrigation Branch.

To the Chief Engineer for Irrigation.
" Superintending Engineers, I and II Circles.
" the Examiner, Public Works Accounts.
" the Revenue Department.
" the Legislative Department.

Exd. T. K. Narayana Aiyangar.
Spare Copies

IRRIGATION
24 MAR. 96
33a

IRRIGATION.

Govt. of {1896} Madras.

PUBLIC WORKS.

Dated May, 27th.

Recd. *Encl.*

G.O. No. 457 I., 27th May 1896.

MAY.

Charts of the Godavari River

Passing orders on the objections raised to the — in the Gódávari district, prepared under section 3 of Act VI of 1884.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

Irrigation.

READ—the following paper :—

Proceedings of the Board of Revenue (Land Revenue), dated 17th August 1895, Mis. No. 4107.

Read—again Board's Proceedings, dated 10th July 1895, No. 1704, Routine.

Read—the following papers :—

Letter from W. J. H. LE FANU, Esq., Collector of Gódávari, to the Secretary to the Commissioners of Land Revenue, dated 22nd March 1895, Ref. No. 91/Ver.

Memorandum from the Acting Under Secretary to Government, Revenue Department, dated 22nd April 1895, No. 46 R., and Reference thereon, dated 23rd May 1895.

Letter from V. A. BRODIE, Esq., Collector of Gódávari, to the Secretary to the Commissioners of Land Revenue, dated 22nd May 1895, No. 39/Ver.

Reference on the above, dated 15th June 1895.

The Collector is requested to expedite the transmission of charts V and VI promised to be despatched separately in the list of enclosures that accompanied the letter read above, as also of the charts and plans called for in Board's reference, dated 23rd May 1895, on General and Sub-register Nos. 187 and 1589 of 1895.

Letter from V. A. BRODIE, Esq., Ag. Collector of Gódávari, to the Secretary to the Commissioners of Land Revenue, dated 1st July 1895, No. 1827/Ver.

Resolution—dated 17th August 1895, Mis. No. 4107.

The Collector's reports read above and their enclosures will be submitted to Government, with reference to its order dated 8th June 1894, Mis. No. 2250.

2. The Board, having considered the several objections raised to the charts, &c., prepared by the Irrigation Department, and also the opinions of the Sub-Collector and the Special Assistant Agent who inspected the disputed localities, agrees generally with the Collector in his remarks recorded thereon as well as in his suggestions as to the alterations to be made in the charts.

3. A few points, however, call for notice as indicated below :—

(1) The words *Veeravaram Lanka*, appearing in the third line of the Sub-Collector's remarks with reference to the third objection against charts I and II of Gowtami Gódávari below anicut, is apparently an error for *Vemagiri Lanka*.

(2) In his remarks on objection I against chart VII of Vasishta Gódávari below anicut, the Sub-Collector has stated that the land on both banks of *Ura Poyi* from a line just below field No. 157 of Mondepu Lanka belongs to Government ; but,

according to the revised plan and tracing said to have been prepared under special instructions, the parting line above referred to begins only from below No. 156 and not below No. 157. It should be stated which of these descriptions is correct.

(3) With reference to the first objection raised against chart VI of the Gódávari river above anicut, the Collector has recorded his opinion that the planting of grass is objectionable, and no alteration seems necessary in the chart which shows as sandy a narrow strip of the bed on which grass has been grown by the Polavaram estate; whereas, in two other cases apparently of a similar nature, viz., objection No. I of Gutala estate to chart VI and objection No. I of Prakkilanka to chart IV (above anicut), the Collector remarks, "all that we have now to see is whether the whole of the river bed is represented in the charts as it stands on the ground;" he adds that, if the removal of the grass is deemed necessary or the cultivation is objectionable, it is for the Conservator to take steps under section 12 of the Act. The Board considers that in the former case also the portion of the bed grown with grass should be shown in the chart as such.

4. The charts, being bulky, have been retained in the Board's office and will be submitted to Government if required. If not required, they will be forwarded direct to the Public Works Department on receipt of orders from the Revenue Department.

(True Copy and Extract.)

(Signed) L. M. WYNCH,
Secretary.

Mis. No. 3436, Revenue.

Transferred to the Public Works Department.

OOTACAMUND,
24th August 1895.

(Signed) E. GIBSON,
Secretary to Government.

ORDER—dated 17th September 1895, No. 760 I., Public Works.

Before passing orders on the charts and registers of the Gódávari river prepared under Act VI of 1884, "River Conservancy Act," the objections raised to the charts and registers by the parties interested and the suggestions made by the Collector of Gódávari will be referred to the Superintending Engineer, I Circle, for early remarks.

2. The Board of Revenue will be requested to forward the charts direct to the Superintending Engineer, I Circle.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) R. R. E. DRAKE-BROCKMAN, Colonel, R.E.,
Joint Secy. to Govt., P.W.D.,
Irrigation Branch.

To the Superintending Engineer, I Circle,
with 24 enclosures.
" Chief Engineer for Irrigation.
" Revenue Department.
" Board of Revenue.

Exd. T. K. Narayana Aiyangar,
Spare Copies

725 h IRRIGATION.

Govt. of {1895} Madras.

PUBLIC WORKS.

Dated September, 17th.

Read. *Encl.*

G.O., 17th Sept. 1895, No. 760 I.

SEPTEMBER.

Charts and Registers of the Godavari river prepared under the " River Conservancy Act"

The objections raised to the — by the parties interested and the suggestions made by the Collector of Gódávari, referred to the Superintending Engineer, I Circle, for early remarks.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

Irrigation.
Establishment.

READ—the following paper :—

From Major W. L. C. BADDELEY, R.E., Superintending Engineer, II Circle, to the Chief Engineer for Irrigation, dated Bezwada, 28th September 1894, No. 1462 K.S.

With reference to paragraph 2 of G.O. No. 420 I. of the 29th May 1894, I have the honour to bring to your notice that the following proposals were made to the Collector of Kistna for the appointment of Conservators for the Kistna river and fixing their jurisdictions :—

(*a*) Executive Engineer, Kistna Northern division, to be Conservator and have jurisdiction over the whole of the Kistna river above the anicut including the embankments on the right and left banks.

(*b*) Executive Engineer, Kistna Western division, to be Conservator and have jurisdiction over the whole of the Kistna river below the anicut and the embankment on the right bank.

(*c*) Executive Engineer, Kistna Eastern division, to be Conservator and have jurisdiction over the embankment on the left bank of the river below the anicut.

2. It is not proposed at present to frame any rules under section 22 of Madras Act VI of 1834.

3. The Collector of Kistna, in his letter No. 3199-Ver. of the 15th instant, informed me that " the proposals therein * contained are suitable and that I have no remarks to offer." I request, therefore, that the River Conservators may be appointed under section 6 and necessary orders issued for carrying out the provisions of the Act.

* My letter No. 570 K.S., dated 12th June 1894.

4. From my inspection of the river sets, I am convinced that liberal grants and systematic work are essential if we wish to preserve the Bank canal, Western delta; and if, as I hope it will be, the Bank canal, Eastern delta, is extended to the river opposite to the island of Divi, the same necessity exists for preserving the lunkas between the left flood bank and the river. In the Western delta some excellent work has been done and the object of placing the whole of the river below the anicut in charge of the Executive Engineer, Kistna Western division, is to avoid the possibility of the Conservators working against each other from want of knowledge as to what has been done on the other side.

5. On the return of Messrs. Malet and Smith, proposals will be framed regarding the establishment and boats required.

ORDER—dated 7th November 1894, No. 847 I., Public Works.

The following notification will be published in the Fort St. George and Kistna District Gazettes in three consecutive issues :—

His Excellency the Governor in Council is pleased to appoint, under section 6 of the River Conservancy Act (VI of 1884), the following officers as Conservators of Rivers within the limits of jurisdiction noted below :—

Executive Engineer, Kistna Northern division, to be Conservator over the whole of the Kistna river above the Bezwada anicut including the embankments on the right and left banks.

Executive Engineer, Kistna Western division, to be Conservator over the whole of the Kistna river below the Bezwada anicut and the embankments on the right bank.

Executive Engineer, Kistna Eastern division, to be Conservator over the embankment on the left bank of the Kistna river below the Bezwada anicut.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) R. R. E. DRAKE-BROCKMAN, Colonel, R.E.,
Joint Secy. to Govt., P.W.D.,
Irrigation Branch.

To the Chief Engineer for Irrigation.
" Superintending Engineer, II Circle.
" Examiner, Public Works Accounts.
" Legislative Department.
" Revenue Department.
" Superintendent, Government Press.

Exd. C. A. Munisawmy.
Spare Copies

817 N

IRRIGATION.
ESTABLISHMENT.

Govt. of {1894} Madras.

PUBLIC WORKS.

Dated November, 7th.

Recd.

Encl.

G.O., 7th Nov. 1894, No. 847 I.

NOVEMBER.

Conservators of the Kistna River

The appointment of the Executive Engineers, Kistna Northern, Western and Eastern divisions, as — within the limits of their jurisdiction, notified.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

Irrigation.

READ—the following paper:—

Proceedings of the Board of Revenue (Land Revenue), dated 7th April 1894, Mis. No. 1869.

Read—the following letter from J. N. ATKINSON, Esq., Acting Collector of Kistna, to the Secretary to the Commissioners of Land Revenue, dated Masulipatam, 22nd January 1894, Ref. on C. No. 3837-Ver.:—

Under section 7 of the Madras River Conservancy Act No. VI of 1884, I have the honour to forward to your address the charts and registers prepared by the Superintending Engineer, II Circle, for the Kistna river in this district.

2. A notice was published in the District Gazette, dated 14th July 1893, informing the public that charts and registers had been prepared and lodged in the Collector's office and that they were available for inspection during office hours for a period of 90 days from the date of the publication of the notice in the Gazette. The public were also informed that the Collector was prepared to receive objection petitions from any person or persons desiring to raise objections to the boundaries or land marks set forth in the above charts. A few ryots inspected the charts and registers, but none presented objection petitions.

3. I have, therefore, the honour to request that the Board will be good enough to forward the charts and registers to Government.

Reference thereon, dated 26th February 1894.

The Collector is requested to forward the registers and charts referred to in the letter read above, as they have not yet been received in this office.

Read—the following letter from J. N. ATKINSON, Esq., Acting Collector of Kistna, dated 10th March 1894, Dis. No. 858-Ver. :—

Adverting to Board's reference on Subte. No. 556 of 1894, dated 26th February 1894, I have the honour to report that the registers and charts will be sent on board the next steamer in three packets.

2. They have been delayed by the carpenter who is making the cases.

3. The keys of the chart, 3 in number, are also forwarded in a registered cover.

Read—the following letter from the same officer, dated 21st March 1894, Dis. No. 1003-Ver. :—

I have the honour to forward, herewith, the original of the invoice I received from the Port officer regarding the charts and registers referred to in my Dis. No. 858-Ver. of 10th March 1894.