

1896
Public Works (~~490~~) Department.

RAILWAYS.

G.O., No. 572 R

DATED ¹⁸⁹⁶ 12-6-190 .

[Despatch Abstract.]

Charge allowance of 10 per mensem
to Hospital Assistant M. Kannan

Sanctioning a — from 6th
September 1895

Indexed

BACK PAPERS.

[illegible]

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

ARSIKERI-MANGALORE RAILWAY SURVEY,

Dated Bangalore 16 April 1896.

No. 402 Despatches 14.5.96.

GENERAL

C. 6

To The Consulting Engineer for Railways
Madras.

From Engineer-in-Chief,

BANGALORE.

Scio

I have the honour to request you
will be good enough to accord sanction
for a charge allowance of Rs 10/- per mensem
to the Hospital Assistant, Mr. Kammau, who
employed on this Railway Survey, from
the 6th September last.

This allowance is being drawn by him in terms of the letter from the Secretary to the Surgeon-General with the Government of Madras. No 6554 dated 30th September 95, Copy enclosed, and the Examiner of accounts requires sanction of Government under provisions of Art: 72. Capt. IX. Vol I of P.W.D. Code.

I have

1917
7/5/96

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedt servant

Efficient

Enc. 1.

Sanction 2/6
7/5
7/5

No 6554.

To,
2^d Class Hospital Assistant. M. Kannan
Hri the Engineer - in Chief
Bangalore.

You will be entitled to your grade pay plus
Rs 10/- per mensem charge allowance, and
free quarters or a compensation allowance
of Rs 5/- per mensem in lieu.

"By order"
(Signed) D. Simpson Surg. Capt.

Secretary, Surgeon General
Madras
with the Govt of Madras.
30 September 95.

True copy

Efficient

2597

ENG. NO. 1 TO E. P. O. NO. 1917 OF 1896
 LETTER NO. 402 OF 4.5.96
 IN. IN. CL. A. M. R. S. S.

Letter from the Engineer.
 in. Chief Asst. Commr. Transport
 Railway Survey No 402
 of 16 April 96.

SERIAL No. 7
 Letter from the Engineer.
 in. Chief Asst. Commr. Transport
 Railway Survey No 402 of
 16 April 1896.

There was a similar
 case last year which
 we sanctioned by 40.

No. 25 of 16 May 1896.

but Indian took objection
 & said that there some
 thing was necessary.

Referred to the

we then wrote our
 letter No. 60 R of
 22 January 96 (Slip B)
 to which we have
 received no reply -

Government of Madras
 for favor of formal advice
 as to whether ~~that~~ ^{may be granted}
~~to the above~~ ^{to the above}

orders are requested
 as to whether we should
 apply to Indian ^{for sanction} with
 reference to this letter.

applied for
 the allusion applied for
 should be granted to the
 above case in this Govt.

H.L.
 13/5

13/5

ER
 As per order of the Govt.

12581
 12597

1. The first of these is the
 fact that the system is
 not self-sufficient. It
 requires a constant
 supply of raw materials
 and labor from other
 countries. This makes
 the system vulnerable
 to external shocks.

The first of these is the
 fact that the system is
 not self-sufficient. It
 is dependent on the
 government for its
 maintenance and
 operation.

F.R.
 The survey of the
 Washington Socy. Washington
 General, copy of which is
 preserved by the Socy. in Dist.
 is not sufficient authority to warrant
 the issue of the statement under
 the name of the Socy.

95/5/21

[Faint handwritten notes, possibly bleed-through from the reverse side.]

April 5 60 H. y 22.196. a
 = 52 pounds the thing in
 secured the for the
 the price 2 great the thing

62581 62597

7

Letter from the Engineer
in. Chief Atkinson Grandfather
Railway Survey No. 1402 of
16th April 1896. -

no. $\frac{25}{8}$ of 16 May 1896.

Revised

Referred to the
Surgeon General with
the Government of India
for favor of formal advice
as to whether ~~the Hospital~~
~~Assistant~~ ^{may be granted}
~~to the charge allowance~~

~~Applied for~~
the allowance applied for
should be granted as the
work has been done.

2

75-

No. *J/151*
Encl.

OFFICE OF SURGEON-GENERAL

WITH THE GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS,
Cotacamund 18th May 1896

From

SURGEON-MAJOR-GENERAL C. SIBTHORPE, F.R.C.P.J.,

SURGEON-GENERAL WITH THE

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS,

SERIAL
No. 8

To

THE *Consulting Engineer for Railways*
Cotacamund.

SIR,

In reply to your memorandum
No. *25* dated the *16th* May 1896, the
enclosures of which are herewith returned,
I have the honor to inform you that the
hospital Assistant is entitled to a charge
allowance of Rs 10 and free quarters or
compensation in lieu thereof at the rate
of Rs 5 per mensem where quarters are not
provided as per G.O. No. 1001 Financial
dated the *7th* May 1895 and No. 154 L
dated the *24th* January 1895 respectively.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient Servant,

Surgeon-Major-Genl.,

Surgeon-General with the Govt. of Madras.

no: *25* of *16th* May 1896.

(1896) DEPARTMENT.

From
SURGEON-MAJOR-GENL. C. SIBTHORPE, F.R.C.P.I.,
SURGEON-GENERAL WITH THE
GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS,

Madras.

No. 1151

Dated 18.
Received } J. M. B. 1896.

Subject.

Stating that Hospital
Assistant Mr. Kannan
of the Railway Survey is
entitled to a charge
allowance of Rs 10 a free
quarters or compensation
in lieu thereof.

(R.) Form No. 3.

8

13/

No 512. L. date 12. 6. 96
PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT,
RAILWAY BRANCH.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

READ the following

Letter from the Engr. in-
Chief, Arakkonam Railway } N.E. No. 402 of 16 April '96
Railway with encls.

Endorsement from the
Comptroller for Rys. } -- -- -- 25/6 of 16 May '96

Letter from the Surgeon
General with the Govt. of } -- -- -- 1/157 of 18 June '96
Madras.

A charge allowance
of Rs 10 per mensem to Hos-
pital Assistant Mr. Kannan
is sanctioned with effect
from 6th September 1895.

J.H. 10/6

for R

To The Engr. in Ch. A.M. B. B. B.
- H.P.W. accd.
- Surgeon General with the
Govt of Madras with
Public Receipt

ET E
11/1

Bf No 572-D dated 12.6.96

10.1.2000

025 227

Ad 7/7/97

G.O., No. 574 R

DATED 12 June

vide 80 346 R of 13-5-97
80 863-4 R of 8-8-98

[Despatch Abstract.]

Railway Extension - Certain petitioners praying for — from Pegunda to Masulipatam informed that the Govt. of India has decided to defer the consideration of the proposed extension until the effect of the opening of the East Coast Railway on the course of trade is more apparent.

SERIAL
No. 74

Ans: 6.5296

The Collector,
Tistna

To
The Chief Secretary to
Government,
Railway Branch
Madras.

Dated 9th April 1895.

1-11-93

Enclosures nil

Ref no $\frac{2460}{\text{Ure}}$ 7/6.

Subjects

Engineering of the Memorial
submitted, has been received

to R
ann $\frac{5}{5}$
01570

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
	655	D	8-7-96				
	1296	D	14-9-96				

Kistna Collecting Office
Masulipatam
Dated 9th April 1896

From,
J. A. Atkinson Esquire
Collector Kistna

To The Chief Secretary to Government.
Railway Branch
Madras
Sir,

With my letter Disposal number 389
ver. 7
1896 dated 3^d February 1896, I forwarded
to Government a memorial from the inhabi-
tants of the Bandar and Gudivada
Taluk, requesting that Railway Commu-
-nication may be sanctioned between
Berwada and Masulipatam; I have the
honor respectfully to enquire if the
same has been received.

2. At the risk of wearying Government
I would beg that the importance of this
line of Railway, short as it will be, be
continuously pressed upon the attention
of the Government of India.

2
It is not once in a way but constantly, almost daily, that I hear Complaints from the mercantile and agricultural communities of the inconvenience they suffer in being cut off from the high ways of trade. ^{while} The General public of the District have good cause to complain of the inaccessibility of all the principal Government Officers in the District

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your most obedient
Servant

For collector

PR 27/4.

Bezwada Manubhatra Ry. Extension

SERIAL

Appendix 2

- (1) H.E. in Govt.
- (2) Amul 2nd Bazar
- (3) Amul 1st Bazar

I think the attention of the Govt.
of India might be called to our
letter of 17.3.96.

Draft sent to Govt.
approved

* From the special appearance
of the letter, the signature and the
fact that it has no number
or office stamp some doubt
was felt as to its being genuine
having issued from the Collector's Office

18/6/96

18/6 - 9.5.96 R 23/5/96
18/6 - 27/5/96 R 4/6

A special letter purporting
to come from the Collector of
Kistna and reminding the
Dept. that he had forwarded a
Memorial about this project, and
again urging its completion, is
put up for order.

The Memorial was sent to
the Govt of India in N 245 R
of 17.3.96. It is perhaps rather
early to remind India about
it.

The question of gauge comes
in 2 in principle later in the
case, and for H.E.'s information
the following remarks are submitted -

The line would be 50 miles long.
The M.Ry. now operates 2. away it in
1891 & they returned 95 at mile
cost Rs 3000 per mile on the Board
Gang & Rs 10000 per mile on the
mixed gauge. (23 1/4 lakh approx.)

In 1893 the S.M.Ry Co. offered to
make it on the Melin gauge for Rs 5500/
per mile (28 lakh approx) saving the
money expenditure on certain conditions
set forth in their application.

The Govt of Madras, ^{formerly considered the} ~~unsuccessful~~
this gauge would lose much of its
value as a gauge protection with

It is continued in the B.C.
and the Gov. of India recognized
the suggested mixed gauge (see
G.O. 1326 R of 6.6.91) Sep. 2

The present Manager ECRy
(Mr. Kerstin) strongly advised
the M.G. (or even mixed gauge)
and ^{proposed} ~~the~~ ^{the} is the plan not
to make it all than made after
the B.C. but Mr. Spring (General
Engin. Chief ECRy) strongly urged
the M.G. & General Mr. Arnold
then Colonel of Victoria.

The present view of the Madras
Gov. on the S.M.Ry proposal is
given in N 1050 D of 16.9.94 to
Gov. of India Sep. 2.

[The particular attention of
H.E. the Gov. is invited to the
proposal of the S.M.Ry (Sep. D)
which seems to be the best of
the M.Ry might be better
(were they more enterprising) for
the Calicut Comm. Extension]

CRW
9/5/96

No. 47 Railway of 1895.
GOVERNMENT OF INDIA.
PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.
RAILWAY CONSTRUCTION.

To
THE RIGHT HONOURABLE H. H. FOWLER,
Her Majesty's Secretary of State for India.

Simla, the 2nd July 1895.

SIR,

With Lord Kimberley's despatch No. 70 Railway, dated 3rd August 1893, copies of certain letters from the Board of the Southern Mahratta Railway Company, relating to an offer to construct a railway on the metre gauge from Bezvada to Masulipatam, were forwarded for our consideration and such action as might be desirable.

2. Before coming to any decision on the proposals of the Company, we considered it advisable to invite the opinion of the Government of Madras thereon, and to obtain further information both as to the prospective traffic of the proposed branch line and in regard to the capabilities of Masulipatam as a port.

3. We have now the honour to forward a copy of the reply of the Madras Government giving the information required by us. It will be observed that an opinion is expressed by that Government that, even if the terms proposed by the Southern Mahratta Railway Company for the construction and working of the line, as detailed in the schedule accompanying railway despatch No. 70 of the 3rd August 1893, were otherwise approved, the conditions mentioned in paragraphs 13, 14 and 15 could not be accepted. In that opinion we concur.

4. Irrespective, however, of the suitability or otherwise of the terms referred to in the preceding paragraph, and the doubts expressed by the Government of Madras as to the reliability of the traffic estimates now furnished, we would remark that we do not regard the construction of the Bezvada-Masulipatam railway as a matter of urgency, and in view of the possibility that its construction might have the effect of checking the development of traffic on the East Coast railway, and of creating demands, which it might be difficult to satisfy, for expenditure on the improvement of the port of Masulipatam, we would prefer to wait till the projects for the connection of the East Coast railway with Calcutta and Madras, now under consideration, are more advanced and their effect on the course of trade more apparent, before deciding how the needs of the Masulipatam delta may best be served, or committing ourselves to the construction of the proposed branch as a part of the Southern-Mahratta railway system.

We have the honour to be,

SIR,

Your most obedient and humble Servants,

(Signed) ELGIN.

- " GEO. S. WHITE.
- " ALEX. EDW. MILLER.
- " H. BRACKENBURY.
- " J. WESTLAND.
- " A. MACKENZIE.
- " A. C. TREVOR.

SERIAL
No. 56

No. 707 R.C.

GOVERNMENT OF INDIA.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

RAILWAY CONSTRUCTION.

All communications
should be addressed to
the Secretary.

To

The Joint Secretary to the Government of Madras,
Public Works Department, Railway Branch.

Simala, the 18th May 1906.

Sir,

I am directed to acknowledge the receipt of your letter No. 245 R., dated 17th March 1906, forwarding a petition from the inhabitants of Kanyakumari praying for the construction of a railway from Bazvada to Kanyakumari; and urging the project for the favourable consideration of the Government of India.

2. In reply I am to forward for the information of the Government of Madras a copy of a despatch to the Secretary of State No. 47 Railway, dated 2nd July 1905, which contains the views of the Government of India regarding this project and to say that the Government of India, whilst holding the opinion that the line should probably be made eventually, do not consider that the situation has altered appreciably since the Secretary of State was addressed.

3. The Government of India therefore before further considering the question of the construction of the proposed line, would prefer to wait till the effect of the opening

of

4812

of the East Coast State railway on the course of trade is more apparent.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

J. M. Bisset

Colonel, R.E.,

Secretary.

J. M. B.

Document accompanying:-

Copy of despatch from the Government of India to the Secretary of State No. 47 Railway, dated 1st July 1895.

Encl 10/1

Recd: 27.5.96

Circulate to-

- (1) H.E. the Governor
- (2) Hon Mr. V. S. S. S.
- (3) Hon Mr. S. S. S.

sum. 23.5.96

8/6/96

K

9/7/96

Besada. Manipalata R. S. S. S.

Letter from Govt. of India
No. 707 R.E. of 18/5/96

Please say if a copy may be
sent to the petitioners in some of the
letters.

18/6/96

for

9/6

Government of Madras.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT,
RAILWAY BRANCH.

To

THE SECY. TO THE GOVT. OF INDIA,

P. W. DEPARTMENT.

189 .

SIR,

I am directed to invite attention to my N^o 245 R of 17.3.96 forwarding a petition from the inhabitants of Marulipattanam for a railway to the town, and to request whether any decision has been arrived at upon the subject.

(2) As already stated, it is the opinion of this Government that unless the State is prepared to provide funds for the early construction of the line, it would be desirable to take advantage of the offer of the S.M. Ry. & construct it.

CMT

27/5/96

Circulated 2^d3 H^{rs}. in Govt.

1. Letter Mr. P. S. S.

2. Letter Mr. S. S.

for approval

C
27/5/96JG
1/6/96S.S.
27/5/96

A reply to Madras Govt. Letter N^o 245 R (707) Re. 1/18th May) having been received this need not now issue

JH 6/6/96

S.S.
7/6/96

Bequa-ha-Masulipattam
Railway Extension

22-5-96 R. 8/6
22-5-96 R 9/6

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

Read the following

Endorsement from the } H.E. Davis No. 821 of 25-2-96
 Revenue Depto: }
 Letter to India No 24512 dated 17/3/96
 - do - from Collector of Kistna No 2460 of 9.4.96
 Letter - do - India No 707 R.C. of 15th May 1896

The petitioners will
 be informed that their
 Memorial dated 22nd Jan'y
 1896 praying for the ex-
 tension of Railway com-
 munication from Bezonda
 to Masulipatam was
 forwarded for the favor-
 able consideration of
 the Government of India
 but that ^{that} Government,
 whilst holding the opinion
 that the line should
 probably be made
 eventually, has decided

to

to defer the considera-
tion of the proposed
extension until the
effect of the opening of
the East Coast State Ry
on the commerce of the
is more apparent. -

H. 10/6

C

26/6/66

amty

Bf

No 574 Dated 12.6.96

Mrs' Revenue Dept

To The Substantants of
the Board of Enormous
Valuys Krotin District
Muro' Collector of Krotin

17/6 2/1/6

OL 5234