

1896
Public Works (~~190~~) Department.

RAILWAYS.

G.O., No. 572 R

DATED ¹⁸⁹⁶ 12-6-190 .

[Despatch Abstract.]

Charge allowance of 10 per mensem
to Hospital Assistant M. Kannan
Sanctioning a — from 6th
September 1895

SERIAL

FURTHER PAPERS.

ARSIKERI-MANGALORE RAILWAY SURVEY,

Dated Bangalore 16 April 1896.

No. 402

Despatches 4.5.96

No... 6

To The Consulting Engineer for Railways
Madras.

From Engineer-in-Chief,

BANGALORE.

Seis

I have the honour to request you
will be good enough to accord sanction
for a charge allowance of Rs 10/- per mensem
to the Hospital Assistant, M. Kammur, who
employed on this Railway Survey, from
the 6th September last.

This allowance is being drawn by him in terms of the letter from the Secretary to the Surgeon-General with the Government of Madras, No 6554 dated 30th September 96, Copy enclosed, and the Examiner of accounts requires sanction of Government under provisions of Art: 72. Capt. IV. Vol I of P & D Code.

I have



I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedt servant

Officer
S. E.

Engineer-in-Chief
Arsikere Mangalore Ry. Survey.

Enc. 1.

Sanction 2/6.

~~Not~~

7/5

SERIAL

No 6554.

To,

2^d Class Hospital Assistant. M. Kammam

Thri The Engineer-in-Chief
Bangalore.

You will be entitled to your grade pay plus
Rs 10/- per mensem charge allowance, and
free quarters or a compensation allowance
of Rs 5/- per mensem in lieu.

"By order"

(Signed) D. Simpson Surg. Capt.

Secretary, Surgeon General

Madras

with the Govt of Madras.

30 September 95.

True copy

Officer

S. E.

Engineer-in-Chief
Arsikere Mangalore Ry. Survey.

2597

ENG. NO. 1 TO E. R. C. No. 1917 OF 1896
 LETTER NO. 402 OF 4.5.96
 FROM En. in. cl. A. M. R. S. S.

Letter from the Engineer.
 in. Chief Asst. Commr. Transport
 Railway Survey No 402
 of 16 April 96.

SERIAL
 No. 7
 Letter from the Engineer.
 in. Chief Asst. Commr. Transport
 Railway Survey No. 402 of
 16 April 1896.

There was a similar
 case last year which
 we sanctioned by G.O.
 but Indian took objection
 & said that there some
 sanction was necessary.
 We then wrote our
 letter No. 60 R of
 22 January 96 (Slip B)
 to which we have
 received no reply -
 orders are requested
 as to whether we should
 apply to Indian with
 reference to this letter.

No. 25 of 16 May 1896.
 Referred to the
 Surgeon General with
 the Government of Madras
 for favor of formal advice
 as to whether ~~that of two~~
~~Assistant~~ ~~may be granted~~
~~to the above~~
~~applied for~~
 the allowance applied for
 should be granted as in
 150th Part of this Govt.

ER
 On 8th of June 1896
 PTO

C
 13/5

192581
 192597

ENC. No. 1 TO E. R. C. No. 1917 OF 1896
 LET. ER No. 402 OF 4. 5. 96
 FROM En. in. cl. A. M. R. Say.

[Faint, mostly illegible handwritten text, likely bleed-through from the reverse side of the page.]

18520
 18521

SERIAL
 No. 7

Letter from the Engineer
 in. Chief Atsikere Navigation
 Railway Survey No. 1402 of
 16th April 1896.

No. 25 of 16th May 1896.

Requies

Referred to the
 Surgeon General with
 the Government of Madras
 for favor of formal advice
 as to whether ~~the~~ ^{may be granted}
~~Assistant~~
~~to the charge of~~
~~applied for~~
 the allowance applied for
 should be granted to the
 Staff Rail of the Govt.

C

18/5

No: 25
0 a/16 May 1896

No. 4/157
Encl.

OFFICE OF SURGEON-GENERAL
WITH THE GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS,
Cotacamund 18 May 1896

From

SURGEON-MAJOR-GENERAL C. SIBTHORPE, F.R.C.P.J.

SURGEON-GENERAL WITH THE

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS,
Madras,

To

THE Consulting Engineer for Railways
Cotacamund

SIR,

In reply to your memorandum No. 25 dated the 16th May 1896, the enclosures of which are herewith returned, I have the honor to inform you that the hospital Assistant is entitled to a charge allowance of Rs 10 and free quarters or compensation in lieu thereof at the rate of Rs 5 per mensem where quarters are not provided as per G.O. No. 1001 Financial dated the 7th May 1895 and No. 154 L dated the 24th January 1895 respectively.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient Servant,

C. Sibthorpe

Surgeon-Major-Genl.,

Surgeon-General with the Govt. of Madras.

(1896) DEPARTMENT.

From

SURGEON-MAJOR-GENL. C. SIBTHORPE, F.R.C.P.I.,

SURGEON-GENERAL WITH THE

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS,

Madras,

No.

8/151

Dated

Received

Subject.

Stating that Hospital
Assistant Mr. Kauman
of the Railway Survey is
entitled to a charge
allowance of Rs 10 a free
quarters or compensation
in lieu thereof.

(R.) Form No. 3.

No 512. D. date 12. 6. 96
PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT,
RAILWAY BRANCH.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENTAL

No. 11

Read the following

Letter from the Engr. in-
Chief, Arakkere Mangalam
Railway with encls.

M.S. No. 402 of 16. April '96

Endorsement from the
Comptroller for Rs. —

— " — 25 of 16 May '96

Letter from the Surgeon
General with the Encl. of
reasons —

— " — 1/157 of 18 June '96

A charge allowance

of Rs 10 per mensem to Hos-
pital Assistant Mr. Kauman
is sanctioned with effect
from 6th September 1895.

10/6

for R

To The Engr. in-Ch. A.M. B. K. ✓
— " — H. P. W. a. c. ✓
— " — Surgeon General with the
Encl. of reasons with
the receipt

ER C

24/1

8

Bf No 572 - D dated 12.6.96

025 227

Ad 7/7
1997

G.O., No. 574 R

DATED 12 June

vide 80 346 R of 13-5-97
80 863-4 R of 8-8-97

[Despatch Abstract.]

Railway Extension - Certain petitioners praying for — from Bezwa de Masulipatam informed that the Govt. of India has decided to defer the consideration of the proposed extension until the effect of the opening of the East Coast Railway on the course of trade is more apparent.

The Collector,
Teistna

To
The Chief Secretary to
Government,
Railway Branch
Madras.

Dated 9th April 1896.

Enclosures nil

Ref no $\frac{2460}{\text{Vmr}}$ 7/6

Subject

Engineering of the Memorial
submitted, has been received

to R 5/5
ann 5/5
05575

Kistna Collector's office
Masulipatam
Dated 9th April 1896

From,

J. A. Atkinson Esquire
Collector Kistna

To

The Chief Secretary to Government.

Sir,

Railway Branch
Madras

With my letter Disposal number 389 Ver. of
1896 dated 3^d February 1896, I forwarded
to Government a memorial from the inhabi-
tants of the Bandar and Gudivada -
Taluk, requesting that Railway Commu-
-ication may be sanctioned between
Bezavada and Masulipatam; I have the
honor respectfully to enquire if the
same has been received.

2. At the risk of wearying Government
I would beg that the importance of this
line of Railway, short as it will be, be
continuously pressed upon the attention
of the Government of India.

2
It is not once in a way but constantly, almost daily, that I hear Complaints from the mercantile and agricultural communities of the inconvenience they suffer in being cut off from the high ways of trade. ^{while} The General public of the District have good cause to complain of the inaccessibility of all the principal Government Offices in the District

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your most obedient
Servant

For collector

PR 27/4.

Bezwada Municipality Ry. Extension

SERIAL

73

* Why "purporting"?

Appendix 2

- (1) H.E. in Govt.
- (2) Amer. Int. Bazar
- (3) North Ind. Bazar

I think the attention of the Govt.

of India might be called to our letter of 17.3.96.

AS4

22.5.96

Draft put up for
approval

27/5/96

* From the special appearance of the letter, the signature and the fact that it has no number or office stamp some doubt was felt as to its being genuine having issued from the collector's office

27/6/96

HE - 9.5.96 R 23/5/96
LHM - 27/5/96 } R 4/6
main BHE

A special letter purporting to come from the Collector of Krishna and reminding the Dept. that he had forwarded a memorial about this project, and again urging its completion, is put up for order.

The memorial was sent to the Govt. of India in N 245 R of 17.3.96. It is perhaps rather early to remind India about it.

The question of gauge comes to 2 in principle later in this case, and for H.E.'s information the following remarks are submitted -

The line would be 50 miles long. The M.Ry. now operates to survey it in 1891 & they estimated it would cost Rs 83,000 per mile on the broad gauge & Rs 1,06,500 per mile on the mixed gauge. (28 1/4 lakh altogether)

In 1893 the S.M.Ry. Co. offered to make it on the Metn gauge for Rs 55,500 per mile (28 lakh altogether) saving the money contribution in certain conditions set forth in their application. See Sheet

The Govt. of Madras, ^{formerly considered the} ~~proposed~~ this gauge would lose most of its value as a gauge protection work, if

It is continued in the B.C.
 and the Gov. of Madras recognized
 the suggested mixed gauge (see
 G.O. 1326 R of 6.6.91) Sep C

The present Manager E.C.R.
 (Mr. Kerulim) strongly advised
 the M.C. (or some mixed gauge)
 and ^{proposed} ~~and~~ ^{the} it had been not
 in mind at all than made clear
 the B.C. but Mr. Spring (formerly
 Eng'g in Chief E.C.R.) strongly urged
 the M.C. & further Mr. Arnold
 then Collector of Kistna.

The present view of the Madras
 Gov. on the S.M.R. proposal is
 given in No 1059 A of 16.9.94 &
 Gov. of Madras Sep E.

[The particular attention of
 H.E. the Gov. is invited to the
 proposal of the S.M.R. (Sep D)
 which seems to be the best of
 things the M.R. might propose
 (were they more enterprising) for
 the Calicut Cochin Extension]

CRW

9/5/96

No. 47 Railway of 1895.

GOVERNMENT OF INDIA.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

RAILWAY CONSTRUCTION.

To

THE RIGHT HONOURABLE H. H. FOWLER,
 Her Majesty's Secretary of State for India.

Simla, the 2nd July 1895.

SIR,

With Lord Kimberley's despatch No. 70 Railway, dated 3rd August 1893, copies of certain letters from the Board of the Southern Mahratta Railway Company, relating to an offer to construct a railway on the metre gauge from Bezvada to Masulipatam, were forwarded for our consideration and such action as might be desirable.

2. Before coming to any decision on the proposals of the Company, we considered it advisable to invite the opinion of the Government of Madras thereon, and to obtain further information both as to the prospective traffic of the proposed branch line and in regard to the capabilities of Masulipatam as a port.

3. We have now the honour to forward a copy of the reply of the Madras Government giving the information required by us. It will be observed that an opinion is expressed by that Government that, even if the terms proposed by the Southern Mahratta Railway Company for the construction and working of the line, as detailed in the schedule accompanying railway despatch No. 70 of the 3rd August 1893, were otherwise approved, the conditions mentioned in paragraphs 13, 14 and 15 could not be accepted. In that opinion we concur.

4. Irrespective, however, of the suitability or otherwise of the terms referred to in the preceding paragraph, and the doubts expressed by the Government of Madras as to the reliability of the traffic estimates now furnished, we would remark that we do not regard the construction of the Bezvada-Masulipatam railway as a matter of urgency, and in view of the possibility that its construction might have the effect of checking the development of traffic on the East Coast railway, and of creating demands, which it might be difficult to satisfy, for expenditure on the improvement of the port of Masulipatam, we would prefer to wait till the projects for the connection of the East Coast railway with Calcutta and Madras, now under consideration, are more advanced and their effect on the course of trade more apparent, before deciding how the needs of the Masulipatam delta may best be served, or committing ourselves to the construction of the proposed branch as a part of the Southern-Mahratta railway system.

We have the honour to be,

SIR,

Your most obedient and humble Servants,

(Signed) ELGIN.

" GEO. S. WHITE.

" ALEX. EDW. MILLER.

" H. BRACKENBURY.

" J. WESTLAND.

" A. MACKENZIE.

" A. C. TREVOR.

SERIAL
No. 56

No. 707 R.C.

GOVERNMENT OF INDIA.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

RAILWAY CONSTRUCTION.

All communications
should be addressed to
the Secretary.

To

The Joint Secretary to the Government of Madras,
Public Works Department, Railway Branch.

Simla, the 18th May 1896.

Sir,

I am directed to acknowledge the receipt of your letter No. 245 R., dated 17th March 1896, forwarding a petition from the inhabitants of Masulipatam, praying for the construction of a railway from Bezvada to Masulipatam; and urging the project for the favourable consideration of the Government of India.

2. In reply I am to forward for the information of the Government of Madras a copy of a despatch to the Secretary of State No. 47 Railway, dated 2nd July 1895, which contains the views of the Government of India regarding this project and to say that the Government of India, whilst holding the opinion that the line should probably be made eventually, do not consider that the situation has altered appreciably since the Secretary of State was addressed.

3. The Government of India therefore before further considering the question of the construction of the proposed line, would prefer to wait till the effect of the opening of

Correct copy

418

of the East Coast State railway on the course of trade is more apparent.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

H. B. Sisset

Colonel, R.E.,

Secretary.

Document accompanying:-

Copy of despatch from the Government of India to the Secretary of State No. 47 Railway, dated 2nd July 1895.

Encl 1

Recd: 27.5.96

Besada. Manipalata R. Extram

*Letter from Govt. of India
No. 707 R.E. of 18/5/96*

Circulate to

- (1) H.E. the Governor*
- (2) Hon Mr. V. S. S. S.*
- (3) Hon Mr. S. S. S.*

Sum. A. S. H. 7.6.96

8/6/96

9/7/96

S.R.

Please say if a reply may be sent to petitioners in some of the cases.

9/6

Government of Madras.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT,
RAILWAY BRANCH.

To

THE SECY. TO THE GOVT. OF INDIA,

P. W. DEPARTMENT.

189 .

SIR,

I am directed to invite attention to my N 245 R of 17.3.96 forwarding a petition from the inhabitants of Masulipatam for a railway to the town, and to request whether any decision has been arrived at upon the subject.

(2) An enquiry states, it is the opinion of this Government that unless the State is prepared to provide funds for the early construction of the line, it will be desirable to take advantage of the offer of the S.M. Ry. to construct it.

CVR

27/5/26

Circulated 2^a

3 Hq. in Govt.

1. Lf. in Mr. Robin

2. Lf. in Mr. Grou

for approval

C

27/5/26

JG

1/6/96

J.G.H.

11.6.96

A reply to Madras Govt. letter No. 245 R (707 R. 1/18th May) having been received this need not now issue

J.G.H. 6/6/96

J.G.H. 7.6.96

Requada Masulipatam
Railway Extension

42-6/6/96-R. 8/6
11.6.96 R 9/6

No 574 dated 12.6.96

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT,
RAILWAY BRANCH.SERIAL
No. 76

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

Read the following

Endorsement from the } H.E. Davis No. 821 of 25.2.96

Revenue Depto:

Letter to India No 24512 dated 17/3/96

- do - from Collector of Kistna No 2460 of 9.4.96

Letter - do - India No 707 R.C. of 15th May 1896

The petitioners will

be informed that their
Memorial dated 22nd Jan'y
1896 praying for the ex-
tension of Railway com-
munication from Beypore
to Masulipatam was
forwarded for the favor-
able consideration of
the Government of India
but that ^{that} Government,
while holding the opinion
that the line should
probably be made
eventually, has decided

to

to defer the considera-
tion of the proposed
extension until the
effect of the opening of
the East Coast State Ry
on the commerce of the
is more apparent. -

Hf 10/6

C

26/6/96

amty

Bf

No 574 Dated 12.6.96

His Revenue Dept

To The Substantants of
the Board of Enormous
Valuys Krotina District
His Collector of Krotina

17/6 2/1/96

025234