Public Works (1896) Department.

G.O., No. 1020 I.

DATED 1. December 1896

[Despatch Abstract.]

Petition from Muthooswamy Kothan and others of Srivaikuntam praying that the local Engineer may be directed to engage them on contract for the rough stone revetment work of the left flood bank of the Tambraparni river recorded, a similar petition having already been sent to the S.E. for disposal.

T.C.
1/12.

INDEXED. 1/12

[P.W. 23.
2,000—23-10-96.]

Public Works (1896) Department.

G.O., No. 1020. I.

DATED 1. December 1896

[Despatch Abstract.]

Petition from Muthooswamy Kothan and others of Srivaikuntam praying that the local Engineer may be directed to engage them on Contract for the rough stone revetment work of the left flood bank of the Tambrapurni river recorded, a similar petition having already been sent to the S.E. for disposal.

T.C.
1/12.

[P.W. 25.
2,000—23-10-96.]

INDEXED. 1/12

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Public Works

Revenue (1896) Department.

CURRENT No. ~~8220~~ 10618

Encl. 1

Recd: 21.11.96

Order No. 4634 of 17 Nov. 1896

Transferred to the P. W. Dept

Ag Secretary to Govt. R. D.

KD
16.11.96

No. 1020 B/W d/1-12-96

Recorded.

Recorded

A similar petition has already been sent to the S.E. V. for disposal

Papers BR 26-11-96

20/11

BR
26.11.96

RK

From Muthooswamy Kothan and others of Srivaikuntam.

No.

Dated No date.

Received 11th November 1896

Registered 14th November 1896

Enclosures

Spare copies

SUBJECT.

Requesting that the Local Engineer may be directed to engage them on Contract for the rough Stone revetment work of the left flood bank of the Tambrapurni River.

Ja
18/11

BACK PAPERS

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

TWO RUPEES 2 R. COURT FEE INDIA

CHIEF SECRETARY
6 NOV. 1896
GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

PETITION
1597
11 NOV 96
DEPARTMENT

To
The Government of Madras

The humble Petition of (1) Muthooswamy Kothan son of Sadalamuthoo Kothan (2) Perumal Kothan son of Samboova Kothan (3) Vyravan Kothan son of Vykunto Kothan (4) Maruppasawmy Kothan son of Muthoo Kothan residing at Sreevaikuntam

Most humbly Showeth

That the breaches in the Tambraparni River left flood bank above the Sreevaikuntam Anicut near Sreevaikuntam temple having been closed the rough stone revetment work was given to some piece workers As the houses of Masons as well as some of the Government building such as the Taluq Kutcherry Munsiff's Court are close to the bank and many houses of the Masons and the Compound walls of the above mentioned Government buildings were destroyed by the late flood; the petitioners sent in petitions 27-8-96 to the Executive Engineer Tinnevelly to the Superintending Engineer Trichinopoly and to Sub Division officer Palamcotta requesting to be given the revetment work to be done to the Tambraparni River left flood bank above three kinds of work on the promise that they would do the above work and granted for the rate allowed in the Estimate for revetment work only.

That they received an order No $\frac{1769}{10-10-96}$ from the Sub Division officer stating that they would be given the work that they are requested to appear before him on the 11th Instant with a deposit of Rs 150 each and sign the necessary bonds. Accordingly the Petitioners appeared before him on the 11th Instant, but that day being Sunday the money was not received. So they deposited Rs 600 20 per cent and executed security bond on 12-10-96. They were also ordered to take the stones now carted to the site by the present piece workers. But on the 26th Instant they received an order No $\frac{1850}{25-10-96}$ from the Sub Division officer stating that the Executive Engineer Tinnevelly has in his No $\frac{4619}{22-10-96}$ ordered the refund of deposits made by them on the ground that their petition regarding for the work was received too late to be given effect to and they are requested to appear in the Sub Division office [illegible] immediately to receive payment of their deposits.

That the ground on which the refund of deposits Rs 600 is

to be made are not applicable for (1) they might have been given before the petitioners were required to give Security deposit (2) for the benefit of some persons such as the previous Contractors the interest of the Government as well as the safety of the town especially that of the masons should not be neglected (3) the petitioners have advanced Rs 1000 and have carted stones to the site

That as the houses of the petitioners (3) are very close to the masons bank and the petitioners only have [illegible] Rs ~~1000~~ 10,000 loved a great loss amounting to [illegible] by the late flood, they have [illegible] sacrifice of this [illegible] through [illegible] revetment work ground and paid for the rate same [illegible] for revetment [illegible] for the benefit [illegible] to strengthen the bank [illegible] sum of Rs of the public on the last stand [illegible] with chunam 2200 for the work of revetting [illegible] the work there is a gain of Rs 290 [illegible] the later sanction it will be long standing [illegible] for finishing the work sanction of Rs 9000 [illegible] Rs 810. Altogether there is also a gain of [illegible] there is a gain of

1080 Rs to the Gov[illegible]ment

The petitioners therefore humbly beg your Honour to be gracious enough to make the local Engineer allow them to do the revetment work and thus strengthen the bank and protect the town

M. [illegible] Perumal Aiy
Pleader

A. Muthawauttu Perumal
Pillai

M. Thangapperumal Davar

N. Ramanathan [illegible]

V. Devasakayam

T. Sathak Pillai

P. S. Venkataramaiyer

S. Subramoney aiyar

J. Natarajan [illegible]
Pleader

F. Rungasawmy Iyengar

3. 11. 96

OW [illegible] 68
10688/56