

1895
Public Works (190) Department.

RAILWAYS.

G.O., No. 519A

DATED 13-8-1895 190-.

[Despatch Abstract.]

Increased allowance of Rs 950 to Mr. T. N. K. Acting Chief Auditor, Madras

Railway Sanctioning the dis-
bursement of the — from 12.3.1895

[illegible]

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

No. 650

CENTRAL

Madras,

Madras, 18th July 1895

From

Office Agent and Manager.
Harbor Railway

To

The Consulting Engineer for Railways.

SOL

With reference to minute no
N^o 18, Schedule K of the Official Meeting and
Stores Committee held on 27th March last,
I have the honor to send you an
extract from the Board's letter N^o 920
of the 21st ultimo, para 19, in which
approval is given to Mr. Wake drawing
Rs 950/- per mensem from 12th March
last as Acting Chief Auditor.

I have the honor to be,

84.

Your most Obedient Servant

A. B. Leonard!


for Offg. Agent & Managers



3154

17795

Form No. 21.



SERIAL

No. 6

OFFICE OF THE CONSULTING ENGINEER FOR RAILWAYS,
Madras, 20th July — 1895.

No. 2345

OFFICE NOTE.

Letter from the Agent, *Madras Railway*, No. 650
dated 18th July — 1895, forwarded to the Examiner of Railway
Accounts for *favor of Review No.*

[Signature]

for E.R.

EXAMINER'S REPLY.

24/7 *17* *in their letter N° 2858 G dated 7th October 1889*
embodied in Madras G.O. N° 1118 R dated 19th idem,
the Government of India sanctioned a fixed
salary of Rs 1200 for the appointment of chief
auditor.

2. The Board in appointing Mr Dunsterville
to the post fixed his salary at Rs 1000/- for the
first year, Rs 1100 for the second year and
Rs 1200 thereafter (vide para 8 of Board's letter
N° 879 dated 17th February 1893)

3. Mr Dunsterville took charge of the appointment on the 12th March 1893, drew his first increment of Rs. 100 from 12th March 1894, and proceeded on 12 months sick leave, (subsequently extended by 5 months) from 5th May 1894, when Mr Wake was appointed acting Chief Auditor on: —

$$\frac{700 (\text{his own pay}) + 1100}{2} = \text{Rs. } 900.$$

4. Mr Dunsterville while still on leave, became entitled to Rs. 1200 from 12th March 95 from which date it is proposed to increase the acting officers allowance by Rs. 50 is under: —

$$\frac{700 + 1200}{2} = \text{Rs. } 950.$$

5. The Board, in reply to a reference by the Company, state that it was intended that the salary of the Chief Auditor should be incremental up to Rs. 1200 and at the same time approve of Rs. 950 being passed to Mr Wake from the 12th March 1895.

6. Under para. 13 of the General Leave Rules of Guaranteed Railways as sanctioned by the Secretary of State, Mr Wake would be fully entitled to the acting allowance now proposed to be given viz $\frac{Rs. 700 + 1200}{2} = \text{Rs. } 950$ i.e. the combined substantive pay of the two appointments. But under the special modification of these

these rules as adapted on the Madras Railway under the sanction of Madras G.O. No. 5732 dated 1st October 1880 and printed on page 63 of the Establishment Rolls, Mr Wake is entitled only to $\frac{Rs. 700 + 1100}{2}$ (mean salary of appointment) = Rs. 900.

7. As to the Local Modification of the General Leave Rules above referred to has been sanctioned under a Madras Government Order only, and as the acting allowance proposed to be given is not in excess of that admissible under the unmodified General Leave Rules, I consider that a reference to the Government of India in the case is not required, and that it is within the competency of the Madras Government to sanction the increase of allowance should they see fit to do so.

8. At the same time it seems advisable that either the rule in its original form which admits of an officer's acting allowance being calculated on the actual substantive pay of the officer for whom he acts, or the modified rule which requires the acting allowance to be calculated on the mean pay of the post in which he acts, should be consistently followed in all cases without exception, and that a practice should not be allowed to grow up of permitting acting officers to exchange one rule for the other whenever it may be to their interests to do so.

can be sanctioned subject to Secy. of State's approval

it seems to me that these are not many cases where the rule would be taken into account & no action need be taken

W. J. Allen

10.2

Read the following

under the rules sanctioned [Govt. of India.
an officer acting for another absent or unable to
Such an officer draws half the combined substantive pay
in this case

$$\frac{700 + 1200}{2} = 950$$

but under the rule passed by Madras Govt. (see Pro.
Gracis salaries) he draws the means of the salaries
at present enjoyed at the time: thus the same actual
salary might be

$$\frac{700 + 1200}{2} = 950$$

$$\frac{700 + 1100}{2} = 900 \times$$

$$\text{or } \frac{700 + 1000}{2} = 850$$

Let the Madras Govt. rule he draws 900 in all cases
As the minimum rate system has been abolished such
cases will not be likely occur in future the Madras
Govt. may subsidise their own rule in this case
and sanction the 450 allowed under Madras rule

Draft go. for H.E. approval

Letter from the Agent Manager H. E. No: 650 of 18 July 1895
 Office note by the Examiner } H. E. No: — of 24 —
 Railway Accounts, Madrid.

Sanction is accorded
to the disbursement of
the increased allowance
of Rs 950 to Mr. T. Leake
Acting Chief Auditor ^{Mr. P.} from
12th March 1895.

His sanction is in contravention of the rules laid down in Madras G.O. Oct. 17, 1890 - & shd. therefore be circulated.
573 R.
At the same time I shd. think it be as well to bring our rule into conformity with the General Service Rules sanctioned by the Sec. of S. as regards progressive salaries.

G.O. may come and ~~they~~ ^{we} will see what
may be ~~the result~~ after ~~treatment~~
~~the~~ conform to general rule $\frac{2}{5}$

The Agents & Managers Harrold &
Ex Rs Accts

From R
26
7
26-7-50

is exceeding the former
in approval.

5,000-15.3.88

7571

699

SERIÁL

No.

7

AGENT AND MANAGER'S OFFICE,

Madras, 3^d August 1853

From

The Agent and Manager,

M. R. Co.,

To

The Consulting Engineer for Railways.

Madras.

415.

Mr Geo H. Brown, now at
St Lawrence via Boston,
has been elected as
he acts as Chief Justice
of the court.

579R

In continuation of my letter
No 600 dated 12/18th ultimo, I have
the honour to enquire if the Atty:
Chief Auditor may draw £20 -
increased pay. viz £20 700 fees -
onwards, sanctioned by the Board.

9 km. N. Havana Del.

വിഷം

your most obedient servant,

Henry C. Wick

Offg. Agent & all agents.

فيلسوف

1535
1796

Ballad	Recd.
1	1870
2	1871
3	1872
4	1873
5	1874
6	1875
7	1876
8	1877
9	1878
10	1879
11	1880
12	1881
13	1882
14	1883
15	1884
16	1885
17	1886
18	1887
19	1888
20	1889
21	1890
22	1891
23	1892
24	1893
25	1894
26	1895
27	1896
28	1897
29	1898
30	1899
31	1900
32	1901
33	1902
34	1903
35	1904
36	1905
37	1906
38	1907
39	1908
40	1909
41	1910
42	1911
43	1912
44	1913
45	1914
46	1915
47	1916
48	1917
49	1918
50	1919
51	1920
52	1921
53	1922
54	1923
55	1924
56	1925
57	1926
58	1927
59	1928
60	1929
61	1930
62	1931
63	1932
64	1933
65	1934
66	1935
67	1936
68	1937
69	1938
70	1939
71	1940
72	1941
73	1942
74	1943
75	1944
76	1945
77	1946
78	1947
79	1948
80	1949
81	1950
82	1951
83	1952
84	1953
85	1954
86	1955
87	1956
88	1957
89	1958
90	1959
91	1960
92	1961
93	1962
94	1963
95	1964
96	1965
97	1966
98	1967
99	1968
100	1969

5.

From

MADRID RAILWAY

The Agent and Manager,

The Consulting Engineer

Dr. J. J. Williams.

५

[illegible]

1960.11.12.12.12.12

No. 519A of 13 Aug 195

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT,
RAILWAY BRANCH.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

Read the following

Letter from the Agent and Manager, Madras Railway, No. 650
dated 19th July 1905-

Office Note from the Examiner Guaranteed Railway Accounts,
Madras, dated 24th July 1907.

Order thereon, No. R, dated

Sanction is accorded to the
disbursement of the increased allow-
ance of Rs. 2500 to Mr. T. Wako, Acting
Chief Auditor, Madras Railway, from --
12th March 1895.

2. Rule (2) proposed in para 10 of the Consulting Engineer's Endorsement No. 212 A dated 1st September 1990 and -- sanctioned in G.O.No. #73 R, dated 1st October 1990 will be modified as under to conform to the General Leave rules of Guaranteed Railways as sanctioned by the Secretary of State.

" An officer acting for another on

a progressive salary shall draw half -
of his own salary (whether it include
personal allowance or not and whether
it be a progressive salary or not) with
half of the actual substantive pay --
attached to the post in which he acts".

Mr. 1

Mr. Bliss

Mr. Jones

*for information with regard
to the modification of G.O.
573 R of 1880 to conform
to the general leave rules
sanctioned by the Sec. of State.*

A
No. 579 R of 13-87

*recd
to Mr. Jones
for file*

*To
The Agent & Man. Genl.
- E. S. Ry. Secy
8/15/87*

Recd 8/15/87