

Public Works (1895) Department.

RAILWAY BRANCH.

G.O., No. 13 R

DATED 6th May

[Despatch Abstract.]

Through siding at Washermanpet Station.

Estimate amounting to Rs 11,091 for the proposed
— Madras Railway, Sanctioned.

RAILWAY.

Govt. of {1895} Madras.

PUBLIC WORKS.

Dated May, 21st.

Recd.

Encl.

G.O., 21st May 1895, No. 363 R.

MAY.

Bungalow

Estimate of Rs. 8,198 for a — for the use of the First Assistant Locomotive Superintendent at Perambur, sanctioned.

(A. & M. I.)

No 6449

381

MADRAS RAILWAY.

AGENT AND MANAGER'S OFFICE,

Madras, 18th April 1895.

From

To

SIR,

The Agent and Manager,

The Consulting Engineer for Railways,

Madras.

I have the honour to forward herewith an Estimate, with plan No. 3471, for a bungalow for the use of the Assistant Locomotive Superintendent at Perambur and to request that the sanction of Government may be conveyed to me for the required outlay, Rupees 8198/-, chargeable to Capital.

2. It is considered desirable that an Assistant Locomotive Superintendent should live near the Perambur Works but it is difficult to find suitable accommodation for him, and it therefore becomes necessary to build a house for him.

3. Information as to provision in the Budget and date on which sanction will lapse is given on the Estimate.

The

4/5-

1462 is a letter from the Agent and Manager of the Madras Railway, dated 18th April 1895, forwarded to the Examiner of Railway Accounts, Madras, for favor of check as to the allocation and budget provision.


1462 is a letter from the Agent and Manager of the Madras Railway, dated 18th April 1895, forwarded to the Examiner of Railway Accounts, Madras, for favor of check as to the allocation and budget provision.

1462 is a letter from the Agent and Manager of the Madras Railway, dated 18th April 1895, forwarded to the Examiner of Railway Accounts, Madras, for favor of check as to the allocation and budget provision.

MADRAS RAILWAY.

The Consulting Engineer
for Railways.
The Agent and Manager.

Form No. 21.



OFFICE OF THE CONSULTING ENGINEER FOR RAILWAYS,
Madras, 20th April 1895.

No. 1324

OFFICE NOTE.

Letter from the Agent, and Manager of Railway, No. 8449/381 dated 18th April 1895, forwarded to the Examiner of Railway Accounts, Madras, for favor of check as to the allocation and budget provision.

[Signature]

for E.R.

EXAMINER'S REPLY.

The estimate is correct as to allocation and requires the sanction of the Local Government.

Funds are available from the grant for unsanctioned works, Schedule B of Budget 1895-96 recently submitted by Agent + Manager -

[Signature]

[Signature]

AN. in series of for. Agent & Manager

14/5/95

Letter to Series 1549
14/5/95

In cases where the
rent so calculated exceeds
10 per cent of the occupant's
salary, 10 per cent only of
his salary is charged for rent.
(vide page 116 of his Lightfoot's Manual)

$50 - 42^2 = 350 - 450.$
present salary 350.

brl
4/5

Agent- Madras R^r forwarded
plan and st- for a bungalow at Perambur for
accommodation of an Agent.
See Shp^t. to vol. # ⁸¹⁹⁸¹⁻1024.

The cost of land #1024/- is not included in the Est.

The 2nd question is whether the cost of the building is not too high for the salary of occupant.

It is a general principle
that the rent should be
sufficient to cover the
guaranteed interest on
the cap. expended which
is assumed at 7% of
cost. Whether the cost of
land should be included
I am not certain but

I think not. I can't find any ruling.

assuming cost of land is not

included: 7 1/2% to 8 1/2%.

is: # 6 1/8 ~~several~~

company with ~~as under~~

10% on the salary of occupant

will vary from 50% to 60% in

case of a 1st class. And in

case of 2nd class: from

35% to 45%.

The loss to the company will

be as under

max 6 1/8 - 50 = 11/8 } in case of 1st class

min 6 1/8 - 60 = 1/8 }

max 6 1/8 - 35 = 26/8 } in case of 2nd class

min 6 1/8 - 45 = 16/8 }

If the occupant is likely to be
as a rule a Senior 1st class. Then

is not much objection to
monthly loss being 1/8 but if

he is likely to be a 2nd class or

even a Junior 1st it seems a

question whether the building

is not too expensive and

whether we should not ask

Agent if he cannot reduce

the cost.

4/5-

Draft letter in these

terms

from 10/5

Form No. 4.

OFFICE OF THE CONSULTING ENGINEER FOR RAILWAYS,

Madras, 14th May 1895.

To

AGENT AND MANAGER, M. R.

No. 1549 Reply No. 456, dated 15-5-95

SIR,

With reference

to your letter No. E449
381

dated 18th April 1895, re-

questing sanction to an

estimate of Rs 8,198 for a

bangalore for the use of

the Assistant Locomotive

Superintendent at Ram-

pur, I have the honor

to enquire whether the

occupant is likely to

be a Senior 1st Assistant,

or a Junior 1st or 2nd As-

sistant. If the latter,

I

Reminders.

Subject.

I am of opinion that
the building proposed
is too expensive, and
to enquire whether the
estimate cannot be
reduced.

Yours $\frac{11}{5}$

81.58
7.6
57.40
61.6
5.1

(No. 11.)

No. S. 449.

456

M.

RAS RAILWAY.

AGENT AND MANAGER'S OFFICE,

MADRAS, 15th May 1895

From

Alford
Agent and Manager.

Agent and Manager.

M. R. Goy.

Consulting Engineer for Railways.

MADRAS.

SIR,

In reply to your letter No. 1549
of the 14th instant, I have the honor to
inform you that the bungalow is intended
for the occupation of the First Assistant
Locomotive Superintendent. The salary drawn
by that Officer at present is Rs 600 per
month and the maximum amount
recoverable from him for rent is Rs 60.
per month.

The assessed rent of the bungalow
calculated at 7 1/2 per cent on the estimated
outlay will be Rs 51 per month and it will
thus be seen that the full amount of the
rental chargeable will be recovered.

ER.

Rs 51 per month is correct.

D's figures were 615 per annum
error of 1000 61.5 per month

Alford

C.M. $\frac{16}{5}$

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient Servant.

Alford

Offg. Agent and Manager

2159

From The Agent and Manager.
To The Consulting Engineer
for Railways.
Dated 188
Recd.

MADRAS RAILWAY.

His Excellency the Governor.

Agent Madras Ry. Sub-
mits an estimate for
a triangular for an
est. loco. Superintendent
dent at Perambore
amounting to Rs 198/.

He was asked whether
the pay of the occupant
would cover $7\frac{1}{2}\%$ on
the cost -

Agent replies that the
pay of the present loco.
S.L. is 600/- and 10% being
the max. allowed = 60/-
per month will cover the
 $7\frac{1}{2}\%$ which = 51/- per
month -

The estimate may
with His Excellency's
approval be sanctioned

363/2
F.M. 16/5/95

Estimate Sanctioned.
Even if the value of the land be
added, it will be within the
limit.

to
20 May
F.M. 21/5/95

21/5/95

N^o 363. R dated 21.5.95

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.
RAILWAY BRANCH.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

Read the following

Letter from the Agent }
Manager Madras Ry. } No. $\frac{E 449}{387}$ of 18 April 1895
abstract a to a

to the Agent } $\frac{E 449}{486}$ of 15 May 96
abstract a forwarding for the sanction of Govt an estimate
plan for a bungalow for use of the Locomotive
Supt at Perambur. The estimate amounts

to Rs 8,198, chargeable to
Capital, for a bungalow
for the use of the First Asst.
Locomotive Superin-
tendent at Perambur is
sanctioned.

2. This sanction has been
registered as No 3. R of
1895-96.

Wm

R

To the Agent & Secy; by Mr. [unclear] 21/5/95
By Mr. Ry. Secy

RECEIVED
21

Print

A

N. 363. R. dated 21.5.95