

RAILWAY BRANCH.

G.O., No. 524 R


DATED 6th October

[Despatch Abstract.]

*Land required at Mustahadu for the East Coast
Railway quarry, Kistna district. — notification
for the acquisition of certain —, ordered to be
published in the Fort St. George gazette.*

[illegible]

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.



(Land Revenue),

Dated 18th January 1894

No. 242.

Mis.
' ~~Routine.~~

READ

Letter from J. A. Atkinson Esq., of: Collector
of Kistna, dated 6 January 1894. C. Ref: on C. 10978
of 1893. 24

Resolution:- Referred to the Government Heads,
Madras ^{for} advice as to the proper course to be pursued
in the Circumstances reported by the Collector.

2. A fee of Rs 100 to the local government pleader is sanctioned.

The Govt. Secy, Madras,
 with Col^l's letter & 6 Encls:
 (to be returned)

Coll^y of Krishna.

Copy to the Sec^y - Genl:

/ True extract //

Signed H. S. Brewer
Secretary.

/ True copy /

Secretary.


1894.
Board of Revenue
(Land Revenue),
MADRAS.

To

True copy of Board
Proceedings, dated 18th January 1894
No. 242. Mis.
Routine.

Subject.

Pls. 1
1536/84



Proceedings of the Board of Revenue
(Land Revenue),

Dated 21st February 1894
No. 887. Mis.
Routine.

READ. Letter from Dewan Bahadur S. Subramania
- Infirmal, of Government Pleader, Madras,
to the Secretary to the Commissioners of Land
Revenue, Madras, dated 14th February 1894,
No. 104.

Resolution:- Communicated to the Collector
of Krishna for information and guidance.

2. In view of the Government Pleader's
opinion, the Collector's letter of 7th January
1894 will be forwarded to the Joint Secretary
to Government P.W.D., Railway Branch, for
the issue of the statement therein referred to.

/ True extract

The Joint Secretary to Govt. P.W.D. &
(Railway Branch) with the Collector's
letter of 7th January & copy of B.P. 242 mis. 1894
as well as two letters & 5 enclos. in orig.
(to be ret.)
Secretary.

P. H. C. No. 1536

1894.

Board of Revenue

(Land Revenue),

MADRAS.

Let Board of Revenue
be advised in assuming
not only a correction notification
for the land notified for temp.
acq. in the Gazette is req^d, as
we read Rev. Pleaders opinion
to advise issue of fresh
notifications for both

MSB
26/2/94

To
Asst
23/2/94

The Joint Secretary to
Government, P.W.D.
(Railway branch)

Recd: 27.2.94

Encl 9

Proceedings, dated 21 Feb 1894
No. 887 Mis. Routine

Subject.

Communicating to the Col^r of District
Government Pleader's opinion regard-
ing the inadvisability of preferring an
appeal to the High Court in a Compem-
tation Case relating to the acqui-
sition of the Pottigattu or Conical
hill belonging to the Limunda of Nayyar
and forwarding a letter from the Col^r
of District to the Joint Secretary to
Government P.W.D. (Railway branch)
for the issue of an erratum to
the notification relating to that
hill published at page 1259 of the
Fort St. George Gazette of 11-10-92.

DI
Sir

I most respectfully
submit that further
pleaders opinion is
to issue a fresh notification
for the land notified for
permanent acquisition
as the the - mistake of
fact, the District Officer
made an award on
the erroneous information
furnished to him by the Dyk.

(2)
The erratum is only is
required in the case
of the land notified
for temp. acquisition.

necessary
Action under 1st rule
be taken by the Collector
who will submit a request for it
and on the 2^d, an erratum
will have to be issued
to R branch.

At

OF THE CONSULTING ENGINEER FOR RAILWAYS,

dras, 3rd March 1894

To

The Secy to the
Commissioners of Land Rev.
Bd. of Rev.

Reply No. 1576 his dated 20.3.94

SIR,

With ref to B. Pro. 887

of 21.2.94. I have
the honor to enquire
if I am correct in
assuming that only
a correction notification
for the land notified for
temp. acquisition in
the Gazette is required
as I read the Joint
Pleaders opinion to
advise issue of fresh
notifications for both

2. As regards the land ^{already} notified as
required for permanent acquisition
I do not understand what is
required. The original notification
was correct and a fresh one ^{could}
be identical with that published.

[75]

Reference *Sub Reg. No. 787* of 1894

In replying the above should be quoted.



(Land Revenue.)

READ

Letter from the Consulting Engineer for Railways, Madras, dated 3rd March 1894, No. 741.

Reference thereon dated 10-3-94.

To enable the Board to reply to the above letter the Consulting Engineer is requested to return the original letters and enclosures forwarded with B.P. 887
21-2-94.

W. W. W. W. W.
Secretary

I.

The Consulting Engineer for Railways.

W. W. W.

Madras, 14th March 1894.

Railway Department.

To


The Secy. to the Commission
of Land Revenue.No. 854 Reply No. 1516 ^{this} dated 20. 3. 94

SIR,

With ref to ~~B.P. No.~~
 your S.R. No. 781 of 10.3.94
 I have the honor
 to return ^{herewith} the original
 letter and enclosures
 forwarded with O.P.
 No. 887 of 21. 2. 94.

MM. $\frac{13}{3}$

Reminders.



Proceedings of the Board of Revenue

(Land Revenue),

Dated 20 March 1894,

No. 1576 Mis

READ again Board's Proceedings 18-1-94
242 Mis
referring to the Govt Pleader Madras
for advice, letter from the Collector of
Kistna requesting that an appeal
may be preferred against the decision
of the District Judge Kistna in a
Compensation case re: acquisition of
a hill in the Mustabada village,
hamlet of Vedurupavalur and
sanctioning the payment of a fee
of Rs 100 to the local Govt Pleader
in the case.

- (2) again Board's Proceedings 21-2-94
887 Mis
Communicating to the Collector of
Kistna Govt Pleader's opinion regard-
ing the inadvisability of preferring
an appeal to the High Court in a
Compensation case relating to the
acquisition of the Pottighattu or Conical
hill

hill belonging to the Zemindars of
Urignoor and forwarding a letter from
the Collector of Kistna to the Joint
Secretary to Govt. P. W. D. (Railway Branch)
for the issue of an erratum to the
notification relating to that hill
published at page 1259 of the Fort
Saint George Gazette of 11 October 1872.
(3) letter from the Consulting
Engineer for Railways dated 3 March
1874 No 741 and reference thereon
dated 10 March 1874.
(4) also letter from the same officer
dated 14 March 1874 No 854.

Resolution.

The Government Pleader's
opinion is to the effect that in order
to acquire permanent rights over
the smaller portion of the hill first
notified a fresh notification must
issue, the original notification
having become exhausted by the
acquisition of temporary rights;
and that the notification for the
remainder of the hill which has
not yet been acquired should be
amended by the substitution of
the word ~~present~~ ^{permanent} for temporary.
The

Q The simplest plan will be to cancel
this latter notification and issue a
fresh one for the whole hill.

(True Instruct)

L. J. J. J.
Secretary

To The Consulting Engineer for Railway


To

The Consulting Engineer
for Railways

Proceedings, dated 20 March 1894
No. 1516, Mis. Enclosures.
Routine.

Subject.

Informing the Consulting Engineer for Railways that the Govt. Pleader is of opinion that the smaller portion of the Conical hill in the Kistna Dist. first notified should be acquired by fresh notification & that an erratum to the notification for the remainder of the hill is sufficient & considering that the simplest plan will however be to cancel the latter notification & issue a fresh one for the whole hill.



Proceedings of the Board of Revenue

(Land Revenue),

Dated 20 March 1894,

No. 1516 Mis

READ again Board's Proceedings 18-1-94
242 Mis
referring to the Govt. Pleader Madras for advice, letter from the Collector of Kistna requesting that an appeal may be preferred against the decision of the District Judge Kistna in a compensation case re: acquisition of a hill in the Mustabad village, hamlet of Vedurampavalur and sanctioning the payment of a fee of Rs 100 to the local Govt. Pleader in the case.

- (2) again Board's Proceedings 21-2-94
887 Mis
(3) the following letter from the Consulting Engineer for Railways dated 3rd March 1894 N-741

With reference to Board's Proceedings N-887 dated 21 February 1894, I have the honor to enquire if I am correct in assuming that only

a correction notification for the land notified for temporary acquisition in the Gazette is required, as I read the Government Pleader's opinion to advise issue of a fresh notification.

2. As regards the land already notified as required for permanent acquisition, I do not understand what is required. The original notification was correct and a fresh one could only be identical with that published.

(4) the following reference therein dated 10 March 1894.

To enable the Board to reply to the above letter the Consulting Engineer is requested to return the original letters & enclosures forwarded with Board's Proceedings 887
21-2-94

(5) also the following letter from the same officer dated 14-3-94 No 854

With reference to your A. R. No 781 dated 10 March 1894, I have the honor to return, herewith, the original letters and enclosures forwarded with Board's Proceedings No 887 dated 21 February 1894.

Resolution

Resolution ..

The Government Pleader's opinion is to the effect that in order to acquire permanent rights over the smaller portion of the hill first notified a fresh notification must issue, the original notification having become exhausted by the acquisition of temporary rights, and that the notification for the remainder of the hill which has not yet been acquired should be amended by the substitution of the word ^{permanent} ~~present~~ for temporary. The simplest plan will be to cancel this latter notification and issue a fresh one for the whole hill.

(True copies Extract)

L. M. V. V. V.
Secretary

To The Joint Secretary to Govt.
Railway Branch with the
2 letters & enclosures in
original to be returned.

P. W. G. No. 2460
1894.

Board of Revenue

(Land Revenue)

MADRAS.

To

The Joint Secretary to Govt
Railway Branch

Encls: 8

Recd: 28.3.94

Proceedings, dated 20 March 1894
No. 1576, Mis. Routine. Enclosures.

Subject.

Informing the Consulting Engineer for Railways that the Govt Pleader is of opinion that the smaller portion of the conical hill in the Kistna Dist. first notified should be acquired by fresh notification & that an erratum to the notification for the remainder of the hill is sufficient & considering that the simplest plan will however be to cancel the latter notification & issue a fresh one for the whole hill.

Form No. 10.

Railway Department.

OFFICE OF THE CONSULTING ENGINEER FOR RAILWAYS,

Madras, 1894.

To

The Secy to the
Commr. of Land Revenue

No.

Reply No.

, dated

SIR,

With ref to B.P. no 1576
nos of 20.3.94. I have
the honor to request
the return of the original
letter and enclosures
sent with my no
852 of 14.3.94 -

Reminders.

Since received

1648
28/3/94

21 Land for L. C. Ry

A) The Board of Revenue in their resolution no 1516 of 20.3.94, state that the simplest plan is to issue a fresh notification for the whole hill, cancelling the latter notification.

(b) But the Jmt. Pleader is of opinion that a fresh notification is necessary for the 1st plot and that the issue of an erratum for the 2^d plot is sufficient.

Orders are solicited as to whether we are to act upon the Board's opinion or that of the Jmt. pleader.

If you agree with the former no action is necessary for the present. The collector will send a revised notn.

& in due course; if you accept Jmt. pleader's opinion

(1) we should ask K to submit a revised notn. for 6.57 acres and

(2) an erratum should be issued for the 13.52 acres.

If you think it necessary

we may ask the joint
pleader whether he
has any objection to
the issue of a fresh
notification for the whole
hill.

At
3073

A.C.
The safest course is to issue a
fresh notification for the combined
areas for permanent acquisition. I
do not think it wise to cancel the
previous notifications, as it would
have retrospective effect and might
introduce all sorts of complications.
I think it should be asked to
submit a notification embracing
the whole area to be acquired
permanently.
A.C.
30/3/94

Form No. 13.

Railway Department.

OFFICE OF THE CONSULTING ENGINEER FOR RAILWAYS,
Madras, 4 April 1894

To

R
Kishna

No. 1082 Reply No. , dated

Reminders.


No 1082 of 31-5-94
1/31
1/16

SIR,

With ref to B.P. No 1516
Dtd 20.3.94. I have
the honor to report
that you will be so
good as to submit
as early as possible
a revised notification
embracing the whole
area 20.09 aces. for the
permanent acquisition
of the Patti gattu or Conical
Hill, belonging to the
Zamindar of Wayyan
Estate, required for the
E.C. Ry.

2. It seems inadvisable to cancel
the second notification as suggested
by Board of Revenue,
A.C.
31/4/94

In replying the above should be quoted.



Ans
18.9.94
JC

(Land Revenue)

READ

*Draft Notification from J. N. McKinnon Esq. Collector of
Kistna, dated 3. September 1894, A.C. no.
5799
mer for land required for the East
Coast Railway quarry Called for in
B. O. No. 1516 ellis
20.3.94*

Reference of 14. September 1894

*To enable the Board to dispose of
the draft notification received from the
Collector of Kistna for the permanent
acquisition of the "Conical Hill" in Suggur
Estate for the East-Coast Railway, the
Joint Secretary to Government, P. W. D.,
Railway Branch, is requested to return
the original letters and enclosures for-
warded with B. O. 1516 ellis
20.3.94*

*sd/ J. N.
McKinnon*

*The Joint Secretary
to Government, P. W. D.,
Railway Branch.*

Secretary

7027
P. L. D.
19/9/94

Recd: 18.5.94

Form No 10.

Railway Department.

OFFICE OF THE CONSULTING ENGINEER FOR RAILWAYS,
Madras, 19th Sept 1894.

To

The Secretary to the
Commissioners of
Land Revenue,
Madras

No. 2916 Reply No. 5392, dated 26.9.94

Enclosure file.


SIR,

Reminders.

As requested in
your Ref: on Subj. Reg: N^o
3238 of the 14th September
1894. I have the honor
to return the original
letters & enclosures re-
ceived with B.P. N^o 1516
dt 20.3.94.

Jld
19/9.

19/9/94



Proceedings of the Board of Revenue

(Land Revenue).

Dated 26th September 1894.

No. 5392. *Mis*

READ *draft notification received from J. A. Atkinson Esquire Acting Collector of Krishna dated 3rd 9-94 R.C. No. 5799 of 94 and reference thereon dated 14-9-94*
Read also letter from the Consulting Engineer for Railways, Madras dated 19-9-94 No. 2916.

Resolution

The draft notification received from the Collector of Krishna will be forwarded to the Consulting Engineer for Railways with reference to his letter to the Collector of 4-4-94 No. 1082 and with the request that he will obtain the necessary sanction of Government for its publication in the Fort St. George Gazette.

2 The Board considers that a notification cancelling the one published at page 1259 of the Fort St. George Gazette dated 11th October 92 for the temporary occupation of 13.52 acres

of

of the bill, should also be published at
the same time.

3. There has been nearly 6 months delay
in submitting the draft notification -
called for.

(True Extracts)

K. J. Langford
Secretary.

To

The Consulting Engineer for ✓
Railways with draft
notification

ENG. NO. 1	TO F. D. C. NO. 3089	OF 1904
LETTER NO. 7	26-9-94	
FROM K. J. Langford		

5088 1894.
Board of Revenue
(Land Revenue),
MADRAS.

To

The Consulting Engineer
for Railways

1894
1.10.94

Proceedings, dated 26—9—1894,
No. 5392, ^{Mis.} Routine. Enclosures.

Forwarding, ^{Subject.} to the Consult-
ing Engineer for Railways
with remarks, the draft
notification received from
the Collr. of Kistna for the
acquisition of 20.09 acres
of land at Mustabada,
Muzvid Taluk, for the
East Coast Ry. quarry.

N^o 524. R. L. L. 6.10.94.
PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT,
RAILWAY BRANCH.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

Read the following:—

Proceedings of the Board of Revenue (Land Revenue),
N^o 5392 Mis: 4 26th September 1894.

Order.

The notification as
amended by Government
is approved & will be published
in the Fort St. George Gazette.
This cancels the notification
for ^{the} temporary occupation
of 13.52 acres
published at page 1259, Part
I of the Fort St. George Gazette
dated 11th October 1892.

M
5
to
R

To
The Collector of Kistna
Manager, E. C. Ry.
Notification to Press.

DESPATCHED
-9 OCT. 94

2.11.94