

Public Works (1893) Department.

RAILWAY BRANCH.

G.O., No. 108/R

DATED 9th August

[Despatch Abstract.]

Railway Conference of 1893. — Letter from the Secretary of the — forwarding copies of certain papers in connection with the Conference, recorded.

10

[illegible]

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

RAILWAY CONFERENCE, 1893.

A

Questions to be placed before the Railway Conference of 1893, for decision.

No. 21.

RETURN TICKETS FOR DOGS.

(Proposed for discussion by the Agent, East Indian railway.)

RETURN TICKETS FOR DOGS.

ISSUE.

Whether, for the convenience of passengers, it is desirable to issue return tickets for dogs.

NOTE BY THE GENERAL TRAFFIC MANAGER, EAST INDIAN RAILWAY.

It is suggested that for the convenience of passengers return tickets be issued for dogs, the distance being doubled or added to by one half or other fraction, as railways may decide to give concessions or not, on the return journey.

Example.

Through mileage charge for a return ticket for a dog between two stations 1,200 miles distant from each other over railways A, B, and C :—

				Distance.
Railway A charges two fares	...	...	...	696
Railway B one-and-a-half fares	...	...	...	321
Railway C one and a third fares	...	...	...	183
Total				1,200

Distance for charge and apportionment.

				Miles.
Railway A	...	...	...	1,392
Railway B	...	...	...	482
Railway C	...	...	...	244
Total				2,118

RAILWAY CONFERENCE, 1893.

A

*Questions to be placed before the Railway Conference of 1905, for
decision.*

No. 31.

ALLOCATION OF EXPENDITURE AT JOINT STATIONS.

ALLOCATION OF EXPENDITURE AT JOINT STATIONS.

ISSUE.

The desirability, with a view to securing uniformity in the matter on all railways, of determining how the share of expenses payable to foreign lines for use of joint stations is to be allocated.

No. 197 R. T., dated the 21st April 1893.

From—The Secretary to the Government of India, Public Works Department,
To—The Secretary, Railway Conference.

The following, together with copy of letter and enclosures to which it is a reply, forwarded to the Secretary, Railway Conference, for necessary action.

No. 196 R. T., dated the 21st April 1893.

From—The Secretary to the Government of India, Public Works Department,
To—The Secretary to the Government of Bombay, Public Works Department,
Railway Branch.

In reply to your letter No. 459, dated the 2nd March 1893, suggesting the issue of a general ruling on the subject of the allocation of expenditure at joint stations, I am directed to inform you that the papers in connection with the question will be laid before the Railway Conference for discussion.

No. 459, dated the 2nd March 1893.

From—The Secretary to the Government of Bombay, Public Works Department, Railway Branch.

To—The Secretary to the Government of India, Public Works Department.

I am directed to forward herewith a copy of a letter from the Agent, Southern Mahratta Railway Company, No. 1952, dated 9th February 1893, together with copies of its enclosures, in original, on the subject of the allocation of expenditure at joint stations, and to suggest that if thought desirable a general ruling may be issued on the subject.

No. ¹⁹⁵²₁₉₅₂, dated the 9th February 1893.

From—The Agent, Southern Mahratta railway,

To—The Consulting Engineer for Railways, Bombay.

I have the honour to forward, for your information, copies of the marginally noted correspondence on the subject of the allocation of expenditure at joint stations.

Letter No. X-960 of 1st February 1893, from the Auditor, Southern Mahratta railway, to the Agent, Southern Mahratta railway.
Letter No. 3461 of 21st December 1892, from the Auditor, Great Indian Peninsula railway, to the Auditor, Southern Mahratta railway.
Letter No. X-7531 of 13th December 1892, from the Auditor, Bombay, Baroda and Central India railway, to the Auditor, Southern Mahratta railway.
Letter No. B-14769 of 10th December 1892, from the Auditor, Bombay, Baroda and Central India (including Rajputana-Malwa) railway, to the Auditor, Southern Mahratta railway.

2. It will be seen from these papers that the practice in regard to the share of expenses payable to a foreign railway varies on different lines, but to secure uniformity of practice on all railways it would be desirable that Government should issue a ruling on the subject.

2

No. X-960, dated the 1st February 1893.
From—The Auditor, Southern Mahratta railway,
To—The Agent, Southern Mahratta railway.

A question, as you are aware, arose at the time of the preparation of the rolls for the current half-year as to the proper allocation of the share of expenses at joint stations.

With the view of ascertaining the practice on other lines under the Bombay Government, I addressed the Auditors of the Great Indian Peninsula, the Bombay, Baroda and Central India, and Rajputana-Malwa railways, and beg to enclose copies of the replies I have received.

You will observe that the mode of allocation differs on all three lines, in respect to the share of expenses payable to a foreign railway, but where expenses are in the first instance met by the home line all three agree, though why a division of the expenses between the different abstracts is made in the one case, and not in the other, is not apparent. Our own practice hitherto has been to put the whole of the *net* charges to abstract D, the cost of station staff going against the sub-head I b (1) and all other items to VII "Traffic expenses at joint stations," excepting only the rent on the joint buildings which is put either to abstract G or K as the case may be.

The expenses at a joint station are usually divided between the two connected railways in the ratio of the respective weights of traffic handled at the station, and it is therefore obvious that if the several items of expenditure therein comprised are to be apportioned off to appropriate abstracts of the Revenue Account the same basis of division must be applied to each item, and it follows too that the acceptances of several departmental officers will be required to each bill instead of the Traffic Manager's acceptance only as contemplated in paragraph 183, chapter III, volume IV of the P. W. D. code.

Taking the Poona joint station account for example, the charges it contains are—

- (1) Wages of station staff.
- (2) Proportionate share of railway police.
- (3) Consumable stores.
- (4) Carriage of stores.
- (5) Crane power.
- (6) Repairing damaged rolling-stock.
- (7) Uniform clothing.
- (8) Maintenance of joint buildings.
- (9) Repairing damage to permanent-way.
- (10) Interest on joint buildings.
- (11) Ditto on furniture and fittings.
- (12) Miscellaneous, such as repairing hose pipes, parcel carts, supplying gunny bags, etc.

These would probably go to all abstracts of the Revenue Account, excepting only B; while, on the other hand, there are certain credits, some of which would go to abstract K, as sundry receipts and others, such as over-payments to staff, to final heads.

The whole question hinges on what the sub-head under abstract D VII "Payments to other lines" is intended to exhibit, and as it is a matter in which the Government would probably desire to have uniformity of practice on all lines it may be desirable to refer it for orders.

3

No. 3461, dated the 21st December 1892.
From—The Auditor, Great Indian Peninsula railway,
To—The Auditor, Southern Mahratta railway.

Your No. B. 11237 of the 2nd instant. In reply to your letter quoted above, I beg to say that the Company's share of expenses of joint stations, worked by this railway, are charged to the different abstracts of the Revenue Account, to which the expenditure relates.

In regard to charges payable to foreign railways all expenditure is debited to abstract D VII, except the maintenance and rent, the former being charged to abstract A, and the latter to abstract G "Rents of stations jointly occupied."

No. X-7531, dated the 13th December 1892.
From—The Auditor, Bombay, Baroda and Central India railway,
To—The Auditor, Southern Mahratta railway.

With reference to your letter No. B 11237 of 2nd instant, enquiring the procedure followed on this railway in regard to the allocation of the working charges of junction stations, I beg to state that payments made to other lines on account of our share of joint station expenses are wholly debited to abstract D VII (1) without regard to the nature of the items composing the charge, but in cases where joint expenses are met in the first instance by the home line, and a share subsequently recovered from a foreign railway, the proportion of the expenditure due by the home line is debited to the various abstracts to which the items relate.

No. B-14769, dated the 10th December 1892.
From—The Auditor, Bombay, Baroda and Central India (including Rajputana-Malwa) railway,
To—The Auditor, Southern Mahratta railway.

With reference to your No. B-11237 of 2nd instant, enquiring the practice on this line in regard to the allocation of the home line share of joint station expenses, I beg to state that, in the case of payments to other lines, the charge is made as follows:—

The proportion of cost of joint station expenses in receipt, despatch and transhipment of passengers, goods, parcels, etc., to abstract D VII.

The proportion of rental on joint buildings and works in respect of interest and maintenance, calculated on their capital cost at a fixed percentage to abstract G 4-4. Regarding joint expenses met in the first instance by the home railway, the home railway share is charged to the different abstracts, according to the incidence of the items making up the amount. In this case, however, the joint station account is not debited with the interest and maintenance charges, which are charged in the ordinary course to the heads of accounts concerned, but the recovery from the foreign line on this account is credited to abstract G 4-4 in the same way as the payments.

RAILWAY CONFERENCE, 1893.

A

*Questions to be placed before the Railway Conference of 1893, for
decision.*

No. 31.

ALLOCATION OF EXPENDITURE AT JOINT STATIONS.

ALLOCATION OF EXPENDITURE AT JOINT STATIONS.

ISSUE.

The desirability, with a view to securing uniformity in the matter on all railways, of determining how the share of expenses payable to foreign lines for use of joint stations is to be allocated.

No. 197 R. T., dated the 21st April 1893.

From—The Secretary to the Government of India, Public Works Department,
To—The Secretary, Railway Conference.

The following, together with copy of letter and enclosures to which it is a reply, forwarded to the Secretary, Railway Conference, for necessary action.

No. 196 R. T., dated the 21st April 1893.

From—The Secretary to the Government of India, Public Works Department,
To—The Secretary to the Government of Bombay, Public Works Department,
Railway Branch.

In reply to your letter No. 459, dated the 2nd March 1893, suggesting the issue of a general ruling on the subject of the allocation of expenditure at joint stations, I am directed to inform you that the papers in connection with the question will be laid before the Railway Conference for discussion.

No. 459, dated the 2nd March 1893.

From—The Secretary to the Government of Bombay, Public Works Department, Railway Branch.

To—The Secretary to the Government of India, Public Works Department.

I am directed to forward herewith a copy of a letter from the Agent, Southern Mahratta Railway Company, No. 1952, dated 9th February 1893, together with copies of its enclosures, in original, on the subject of the allocation of expenditure at joint stations, and to suggest that if thought desirable a general ruling may be issued on the subject.

No. ¹⁹⁵²₁₉₅₂, dated the 9th February 1893.

From—The Agent, Southern Mahratta railway,

To—The Consulting Engineer for Railways, Bombay.

I have the honour to forward, for your information, copies of the marginally noted correspondence on the subject of the allocation of expenditure at joint stations.

Letter No. X-960 of 1st February 1893, from the Auditor, Southern Mahratta railway, to the Agent, Southern Mahratta railway.

Letter No. 3461 of 21st December 1892, from the Auditor, Great Indian Peninsula railway, to the Auditor, Southern Mahratta railway.

Letter No. X-7531 of 13th December 1892, from the Auditor, Bombay, Baroda and Central India railway, to the Auditor, Southern Mahratta railway.

Letter No. B-14769 of 10th December 1892, from the Auditor, Bombay, Baroda and Central India (including Rajputana-Malwa) railway, to the Auditor, Southern Mahratta railway.

2. It will be seen from these papers that the practice in regard to the share of expenses payable to a foreign railway varies on different lines, but to secure uniformity of practice on all railways it would be desirable that Government should issue a ruling on the subject.

No. X-960, dated the 1st February 1893.

From—The Auditor, Southern Mahratta railway,

To—The Agent, Southern Mahratta railway.

A question, as you are aware, arose at the time of the preparation of the rolls for the current half-year as to the proper allocation of the share of expenses at joint stations.

With the view of ascertaining the practice on other lines under the Bombay Government, I addressed the Auditors of the Great Indian Peninsula, the Bombay, Baroda and Central India, and Rajputana-Malwa railways, and beg to enclose copies of the replies I have received.

You will observe that the mode of allocation differs on all three lines, in respect to the share of expenses payable to a foreign railway, but where expenses are in the first instance met by the home line all three agree, though why a division of the expenses between the different abstracts is made in the one case, and not in the other, is not apparent. Our own practice hitherto has been to put the whole of the *net* charges to abstract D, the cost of station staff going against the sub-head I b (1) and all other items to VII "Traffic expenses at joint stations," excepting only the rent on the joint buildings which is put either to abstract G or K as the case may be.

The expenses at a joint station are usually divided between the two connected railways in the ratio of the respective weights of traffic handled at the station, and it is therefore obvious that if the several items of expenditure therein comprised are to be apportioned off to appropriate abstracts of the Revenue Account the same basis of division must be applied to each item, and it follows too that the acceptances of several departmental officers will be required to each bill instead of the Traffic Manager's acceptance only as contemplated in paragraph 183, chapter III, volume IV of the P. W. D. code.

Taking the Poona joint station account for example, the charges it contains are—

- (1) Wages of station staff.
- (2) Proportionate share of railway police.
- (3) Consumable stores.
- (4) Carriage of stores.
- (5) Crane power.
- (6) Repairing damaged rolling-stock.
- (7) Uniform clothing.
- (8) Maintenance of joint buildings.
- (9) Repairing damage to permanent-way.
- (10) Interest on joint buildings.
- (11) Ditto on furniture and fittings.
- (12) Miscellaneous, such as repairing hose pipes, parcel carts, supplying gunny bags, etc.

These would probably go to all abstracts of the Revenue Account, excepting only B; while, on the other hand, there are certain credits, some of which would go to abstract K, as sundry receipts and others, such as over-payments to staff, to final heads.

The whole question hinges on what the sub-head under abstract D VII "Payments to other lines" is intended to exhibit, and as it is a matter in which Government would probably desire to have uniformity of practice on all lines it may be desirable to refer it for orders.

No. 3461, dated the 21st December 1892.

From—The Auditor, Great Indian Peninsula railway,

To—The Auditor, Southern Mahratta railway.

Your No. B. 11237 of the 2nd instant. In reply to your letter quoted above, I beg to say that the Company's share of expenses of joint stations, worked by this railway, are charged to the different abstracts of the Revenue Account, to which the expenditure relates.

In regard to charges payable to foreign railways all expenditure is debited to abstract D VII, except the maintenance and rent, the former being charged to abstract A, and the latter to abstract G "Rents of stations jointly occupied."

No. X-7531, dated the 13th December 1892.

From—The Auditor, Bombay, Baroda and Central India railway,

To—The Auditor, Southern Mahratta railway.

With reference to your letter No. B 11237 of 2nd instant, enquiring the procedure followed on this railway in regard to the allocation of the working charges of junction stations, I beg to state that payments made to other lines on account of our share of joint station expenses are wholly debited to abstract D VII (1) without regard to the nature of the items composing the charge, but in cases where joint expenses are met in the first instance by the home line, and a share subsequently recovered from a foreign railway, the proportion of the expenditure due by the home line is debited to the various abstracts to which the items relate.

No. B-14769, dated the 10th December 1892.

From—The Auditor, Bombay, Baroda and Central India (including Rajputana-Malwa) railway,

To—The Auditor, Southern Mahratta railway.

With reference to your No. B-11237 of 2nd instant, enquiring the practice on this line in regard to the allocation of the home line share of joint station expenses, I beg to state that, in the case of payments to other lines, the charge is made as follows:—

The proportion of cost of joint station expenses in receipt, despatch and transhipment of passengers, goods, parcels, etc., to abstract D VII.

The proportion of rental on joint buildings and works in respect of interest and maintenance, calculated on their capital cost at a fixed percentage to abstract G 4-4. Regarding joint expenses met in the first instance by the home railway, the home railway share is charged to the different abstracts, according to the incidence of the items making up the amount. In this case, however, the joint station account is not debited with the interest and maintenance charges, which are charged in the ordinary course to the heads of accounts concerned, but the recovery from the foreign line on this account is credited to abstract G 4-4 in the same way as the payments.

RAILWAY CONFERENCE, 1893.

A

*Questions to be placed before the Railway Conference of 1893, for
decision.*

No. 21.

RETURN TICKETS FOR DOGS.

(Proposed for discussion by the Agent, East Indian railway.)

Mr S. 2
6578/53

RETURN TICKETS FOR DOGS.

ISSUE.

Whether, for the convenience of passengers, it is desirable to issue return tickets for dogs.

NOTE BY THE GENERAL TRAFFIC MANAGER, EAST INDIAN RAILWAY.

It is suggested that for the convenience of passengers return tickets be issued for dogs, the distance being doubled or added to by one half or other fraction, as railways may decide to give concessions or not, on the return journey.

Example.

Through mileage charge for a return ticket for a dog between two stations 1,200 miles distant from each other over railways A, B, and C :—

				Distance.
Railway A charges two fares	...	...	...	696
Railway B one-and-a-half fares	...	...	...	321
Railway C one and a third fares	...	...	...	183
Total				1,200

Distance for charge and apportionment.

				Miles.
Railway A	...	...	...	1,392
Railway B	...	...	...	482
Railway C	...	...	...	244
Total				2,118

No. 72.

FROM

THE SECRETARY,
RAILWAY CONFERENCE OF 1893,

TO

THE AGENTS, BOMBAY, BARODA AND CENTRAL INDIA, GREAT INDIAN PENINSULA, EAST INDIAN, SOUTH INDIAN, SOUTHERN MAHRATTA, AND INDIAN MIDLAND RAILWAYS.

THE AGENTS AND CHIEF ENGINEERS, BENGAL AND NORTH WESTERN, ROHIL-KUND AND KUMAON, AND BENGAL-NAGPUR RAILWAYS.

THE AGENTS AND MANAGERS, MADRAS, AND HIS HIGHNESS THE NIZAM'S GUARANTEED STATE RAILWAYS.

THE MANAGERS, NORTH WESTERN, EASTERN BENGAL STATE, OUDH AND ROHIL-KHAND, BURMA STATE, AND JODHPORE-BICKANEER RAILWAYS.

THE MANAGER AND ENGINEER-IN-CHIEF, BHAVNAGAR-GONDAL-JUNAGARH-PORBANDAR RAILWAY.

THE AGENT AND GENERAL MANAGER, DARJEELING-HIMALAYAN RAILWAY.

THE SECRETARY, CALCUTTA PORT COMMISSIONERS' RAILWAY.

Simla, the 22nd July 1893.

SIR,

In continuation of my letter No. 46, dated the 9th December 1892, forwarding lists A and B of the subjects to be placed before the Railway Conference of 1893, I am directed to forward a copy of the papers relating to question (s) No. (s) 21 & 31 of list at referred to, viz.,

110211 - Return tickets for dogs.

110211 - Allocation of expenditure at joint stations

I have the honor to be,

SIR,

Your most obedient Servant,

Sy H. P. Burt
Secretary.

MD
21-7

Documents accompanying.
(As noted above.)

These copies reach question.

*MD 5.1
6558/53*

No. 73, dated the 22nd July, 1893.

Copy, with copy of papers referred to, forwarded, for information, to the Secretary to the Government of India, Public Works Department; the Joint Secretary to the Government of Madras, Public Works Department (Railway Branch); the Secretary to the Government of Bombay, Public Works Department (Railway Branch); the Secretary to the Government of Bengal, Public Works Department; the Secretaries to the Chief Commissioners of Burma and Assam, Public Works Department; the Secretary to the Resident at Hyderabad, Public Works Department; the Secretary to the Agent to the Governor General for Rajputana, Public Works Department; the Consulting Engineers for Railways, Bombay and Madras; the Consulting Engineers to the Government of India for Railways, Calcutta, Lucknow, Central Division, and Assam; the Agent, West of India Portuguese railway; the Agents and Chief Engineers, Assam-Bengal, and Bengal Central railways; the Managers, Cherra-Companyganj, and Jorhat railways; the Agent and General Manager, Dibrusydia railway; the Manager and Executive Engineer, Morvi railway; the Managing Agents, Deoghur, and Thaton-Duyanraik railways; the Secretary and Agent, Nilgiri railway; and the Engineers-in-Chief, Mushkaf-Bolan, Mari-Attock, East Coast, Bhopal-Ujjain, and Bina-Guna railways.

By order,

H. A. Smith
Secretary.

PD 21.7.93
Wms 27/7

Documents accompanying
(As noted overleaf.)
Five copies of each question.

The Joint Secretary to the Government of Madras,
P. W. D. Railway Branch, Madras.

Encls 2 (4 S.C.)
Dec. 27. 7. 93

B

No. 1081 R 89 - August 1893

Recorded.

Free R



2
M. J. C. 16/11/18

E. L. 5517

1/18

14/8

Chs 6598 (No. 1081 R 89 - 8. 93)

H.C.
Text:

Spare copies of the above
are not available here,
please ask D. A. R. to obtain
spare copies & issue under
D. A. R. signature, also send
2 spare copies for copy file.

20, D.A.R.

After issue
please return
original to
the office for Index, Record & 125-935 D.