Public Works (1890) Department.

RAILWAY BRANCH.

G.O., No. 1905 Ry.

DATED 28th November

[Despatch Abstract.]

South Indian Railway. — Orders for the construction of a turn-stile at mile 39/1 on the Arkonam branch of the — where the Railway crosses the Athur tank bund.

[P. W. Ry.
500—8-5-00.]

Indexed.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

K. R. K.
Secty:

Please register and send on to E.R's Office for signature of B. R.

9/8

Translation required –

13-8-90

The subject is the same as that of the previous petition disposed of by

---

1890.

P. W. DEPARTMENT.

Current No. 7622

From The Ryots of Attur Chingleput Dt.

Enclos.—

No.

Dated 6 – 8 – 90

Received 13 – "

Subject.

Asking for a turn-stile across the S. I. Ry road

Disposal.

No. 3392 C dated 14 Augt./90

Referred to the Cntg: Engr: for Rys: for report.

D 14/8

D R

No. 1243

South Indian Railway,

AGENT'S OFFICE,

TRICHINOPOLY, 1st September 1890.

Recd
3.9.90

From

The Agent,
SOUTH INDIAN RAILWAY.

To

The CONSULTING ENGINEER FOR RAILWAYS,
MADRAS.

Sir,

I beg to return the enclosures to your letter No. 886, 21st August, 1890.

At the latter end of 1884 the villagers of Athur petitioned for a new bridge and level crossing, and the Chief Engineer thus reported on 28th January 1885.-

"The first request is that a new gate may be "given at A 39, the line at this point crosses the bund "of the Athur Tank, as Tank bunds are generally more "or less used as foot and cattle paths a gate at this point "would undoubtedly be useful to the villagers. At the "time the accommodation works were fixed this Tank "bund was in a dilapidated state, it has since been raised "and repaired and now makes a good path. This village "is however so well provided at present with means of "crossing the line that I do not consider any further "accommodation is necessary or at least that it should "not be given unless the villagers are willing to pay for "the cost of a pair of 6 feet gates and will guarantee the "gates being kept closed. Between 38 and 39 miles there are

REGISTER No. 1131
FILE No.

Regarding the foregoing correspondence I have received a report from the Chief Engineer dated 29th August 1890:-

"In October 1889 I received a petition from the same "people complaining that they were unable to manufacture "a gate of the design agreed upon and requested that they might "be allowed to make a wooden gate. To this I replied, if they "were unable to carry out the original agreement which "was to make a gate according to an approved design, that "we would do so provided they deposited the estimated cost. "Subsequent to this I have heard nothing from them."

For the reasons given below by the Chief Engineer in a letter to me dated 15th November 1887 I do not think any other form of turnstile than the one agreed upon should be erected:-

"We have given up the use of turnstiles as they do not "prevent the ingress of small animals on to the line."

"What we use in the place of turnstiles is a V gate "and the cost of one pair is Rs. 70. I think the Villagers "might be permitted to make their own gate provided it "is constructed on our own pattern but if once put up "by them it should not be allowed to be removed."

Rly Genl
8406/90

I am, Sir,
Your most obedient Servant
William A Betts
Agent

(4. Enclos.)

M.

From
THE AGENT,
South Indian Railway,
Trichinopoly,
To
THE CONSULTING ENGINEER FOR
RAILWAYS,
Madras.
Dated } 188
Received } No.
ENCLOSURE.

To

The Government of Madras.

The humble petition of the undersigned mirasdars of the Athur village (No. 74) in the Chingleput Taluq of the Chingleput District,

Sheweth,

That their appeal to the S.I. Ry: Co. for the ~~opening~~ construction, at the Ry: Co.'s expense, of a turn-stile at 39/1 mile (on the northern bund of the tank), Chingleput-Conjeevaram section, S.I. Ry:, in lieu of the usual thorough-fare thereat closed, was not considered in time, and that the petitioners offerred, therefore, the construction of the same by themselves with a view that the Karukkapuli wood, available from their back yards, might be utilised for the purpose; & that the Co.'s Chief Engineer's No. 14993 of 19th Octr: Last, replying thereto that, unless and until the sum of Rs. 70 is paid by these poor ryots, the Stile could not be constructed at that place, is herewith enclosed.

2. That the Collector of the District, to whom the poor petitioners then represented their inability to comply with the Cos. request, and urged that the Ry: might be moved to provide the accommodation begged for, in lieu of the usual thorough-fare, has replied, in his No. 810 of 1st June last (herewith enclosed), that the accommodation could not be provided for, unless the cost thereof is paid by the petitioners, and that his interference in the matter would effect no benefit, unless the petitioners are prepared to construct an iron-gate.

3. That the demand of a heavy sum from the poor ryots, who have asked just for a turn-stile in place of their usual thorough-fare closed, is injudicious.

4. That it is also unjust to demand the cost thereof from the ryots in case the gate is not constructed by them of iron, while it is the duty of the Ry. Co. to provide the gateway in question, the necessity of which, the Collector and the Ry. Co. themselves have fully recognised.

5. That the accommodation in question is unavoidable for the petitioners to enable them to inspect now and then their wet-lands lying on both sides of the rail-road at that place, and to prevent forthwith any danger that may happen to threaten the tank at the times of its overflow.

6. And that the petitioners pray that the Ry: Co. may be directed to construct, as soon as possible, the turn-stile asked for, not at the cost of the petitioners.

August 6th, 1890. } | Signed | A. Rungasawmy Reddy
+ 34 others.

(Translation as nearly as can be)

[illegible]

1வது [illegible]

2வது [illegible]

[illegible]

18690 [illegible]

3வது [illegible]

4வது [illegible]

5வது [illegible]

6வது [illegible]

[illegible] 1890 [illegible]

HEF SECRE

A. Rungasawmy Reddy

~~M. Chinnasawmy Reddy~~

REGISTER
No. 35
FILE
No.

A. C. Balabathara Reddy

T. Vencata Mudaliar

No. 3392 Cdl 14th Augt/90

Referred to the Consulting Engineer for Railways for report

Sidney Smith
Major R.E.
~~Offg.~~ Under Jt. Secy. to Govt. P.W.D.
Railway Branch

15/8/90

5/8

SOUTH INDIAN RAILWAY,
Chief Engineer's ~~AGENTS~~ OFFICE,
Trichinopoly 19th October 1889

No. C/14993/6042

Petitioners are informed that permission was given to them to erect a gate according to a certain plan which at the time was sent to the Collector.

If they are now unable to erect the gate according to that plan & will deposit the estimated cost of the same Viz. Rs 70/- the Company will erect the same for them.

James T. Smith
for Chief Engineer

A. Gopaul Pillay and two others
Mirasidars of Village Alether
Chingleput Dist.

Read petition d/- 24.10.89 from the ryots of No. 74 Attur, Chingleput-taluq, asking for a turn-stile across the S. I. Railroad, & letter from the Sub Collector d/- 13.5.90, No. 2004, Eng.

1810
5

Order.

The existing means of getting across the line seem ample, & the railway is not bound to supply a new way for the convenience of some of the villagers. If they will not pay or stile themselves, we can do nothing in the matter.

Saidapet,
1st June 90

for Collector

DESPATCHED
9 JUN 90

To the Petitioners

Co.

REGISTER
No. 332
FILE
No.

From

The Collector of the
Chingleput District.

To

The

P/o Secy 5
76 22/9/90
8606

Dated
Despatched
Received
188 .

No.

Enclosures

SUBJECT.

Form No. 1.

Public Works Department.

Office of Consulting Engineer for Railways,
Madras, 4th September 1890.

Letters from the Agent, South Indian Railway
No. 1243 dated 1st September 1890.

No. 5 90.

Submitted ____ to ____ Government with
reference to G.O. No. 3392 C dated
14th August 1890 (herewith returned
2. The villagers of Athur are at
present so well-provided with mea
of crossing the line, that although
the new crossing asked for,
convenience, it is not the
The railway

Subject.—

Cur 11116 [illegible]

3392. c/of 14-8-90

1890.

P. W. DEPARTMENT.

Current No. 8406

From

The Consg: Engr:
for Rys:

Enclos.—5

No. 590

Dated 4-9-90

Received 5-9-90

SUBJECT.

Reporting on the petition of the ryots of Atthur village asking for a turn stile to be provided at the S.I. Ry Co's expense at 39/1A miles in the Chingleput Dt.

DISPOSAL.

No. 3883. C dated 25th Septr./90

Referred to the Consg: Engineer for Rys: for report with advertence to the Hon'ble member's query.

Sd. J.G.

D 25/9

T.G.R.

27/8

2

D.E.R.

What is the mileage & Chainage of the new crossing asked for A 39 - I cannot see that it is anywhere recorded in the file

U
8/9/90

E.R.

The mileage is evidently $\frac{39}{0}$ A or exactly at the 39th milestone.

In A° 1243 the Chief Supt says
"The first request is that a
"new gate may be given at
"A 39; the line at this point
"crosses" &c
and in the petition from the villagers they ask for a turnstile at $\frac{39}{1}$ mile, which is the 1st telegraph post beyond the actual mile stone & is probably close to it.

L.S.
12/9/90

No. 3883.C d/ 25 Septr./90

Referred to the Consulting Engineer for Railways for report with advertence to the Honorable Member's query.

[illegible] Lt. Col. R.E.
Offg: Jt. Secy: to Govt. P.W.D. Ry. Branch

READ the following:—

Endorsement No. 580 d/4th Sept. 1890 from the Consulting Engineer.

FOR ORDERS.

If an additional crossing is given at Mile 39 I think the villagers should pay the cost estimated to be Rs 70 —

15/9/90

The nearest gate or crossing is apparently 400 yards from the spot where a gate is now asked for — Is there a crossing formerly at the spot where a gate or stile is now asked for, i.e. 39 A.?

20/9/90

[illegible] LH/

Referred

Ask A [illegible]

26/9/90

Vide letter [illegible] No. 1062

27.9.90

Issue 16/9 R 26/9

FOR ORDERS.

REGISTER
No.
FILE
No.

Vide letter to A No. 1261
6.11.90

No. 1581

South Indian Railway,
AGENT'S OFFICE,
Trichinopoly ~~NEGAPATAM~~, 31 . 11 . 1890

From
The AGENT,
SOUTH INDIAN RAILWAY

To
The CONSULTING ENGINEER FOR RAILWAYS,
MADRAS.

Sir,

With reference to your letter No 1062 of 27.9.90 regarding the turnstile for the Athur Villagers the Chief Engineer writes:—

"The Village Munsif at Athur advises the "Resident Engineer that "there had never been "any road way beyond the foot track made "by the Villagers and others on the top of the "Athur Vadapathy tank bund"

"As a rule we always provide "Stiles where the line crosses a tank bund "as it is recognised that when the tank is "full the bund is the only road by which "the villagers can travel."

"I imagine it was an oversight "in the first instance that a Stile was not "given at the mileage under reference."

I am
Sir
Your most Obedt Servant
Walter J. Hooper
for Agent

REGISTER
No. 1452
FILE No.

Pw 1/11/6/90

17.xi.90

No. 1679

South Indian Railway,
AGENT'S OFFICE,
TRICHINOPOLY, 15th November 1890.

From

The AGENT,
SOUTH INDIAN RAILWAY,

To

The CONSULTING ENGINEER FOR RAILWAYS,
MADRAS.

Sir,

Your letter No 1261 of the 6th Instt. The Chief Engineer informs me he did not intend when writing his last letter to detract in any way from the opinion given in August last that the villagers should pay for the gate at mileage $\frac{39}{1}$.

The Sub Collector of Chingleput informed me in his letter No1041 of 3rd September 1889 that the villagers of Authur had undertaken to bear the cost of a gate at the mileage above quoted, and as this is in accordance with the regulation contained in Section 12 Chapter III of Act No IX of 1890 I do not think to deal otherwise with the charge would be judicious

I am
Sir
Your most Obedt Servant

William A Betts
Agent.

1543

P10 2/1116/90

From
The Agent S.I.R
Railway
To
The Consulting Engineer
for Railways
Madras
Dated 15 November 90
No 1679

Form No. 2.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

OFFICE OF CONSULTING ENGINEER FOR RAILWAYS,
Madras, 19th November 1890.

Letter from the Agent, South Indian Railway, No: 1581 dated 3rd November 1890.

——— Do ——— Do ——— Do ———

No: 1679 dated 15th November 1890.

No. 822

SUBMITTED to Government, with reference to its endorsement No: 3883C, dated 25th September 1890. (herewith returned).

2. The Chief Engineer, South Indian Railway, states that he has been informed that there never had been any road at mile 39 Arkonam branch where the railway crosses the Athur tank bund, but he adds ~~but that~~ it was probably an oversight that a stile was not originally put there.

3. He is however still of opinion that the villagers should pay for the gate, and recommends this course under the regulation contained in para 12 Chapter III of Act No: IX of 1890, that the occupiers of the adjacent lands consider the present number of crossings is insufficient for the commodious use of the land.

4. The Sub Collector of Chingleput states that the villagers are willing to bear the cost of the gate.

5. Under the circumstances stated, it is recommended that if a gate is put up at 39/1 A, the villagers should pay for it.

Sidney Smith
Major R.E.
for Offg. Cons. Engr. for Rys

R.W.

Form No. 3.

P.W.C. No. 11116

From

THE CONSULTING ENGINEER

FOR RAILWAYS,

MADRAS,

No. 822

Dated 19th } November 1890
Received 20 }

No. OF ENCLOSURES. ~~3~~ 2

Subject.

Submitting letters from the Agent S. I. Ry. reporting on the construction of a turn stile at 39/1 A miles on the S. I. Ry. asked for by the ryots of Athur village.

R

Hon 21.XI.90

~~Hon Mr J. H. Garstin C.S.I.~~

P. W. DEPARTMENT.

READ the following:—

Endorsement No. 822 of 19th Nov from the Consulting Engineer

Yes – They appear ~~to~~ be sound [illegible]

24/11

26/11

FOR ORDERS.

I am of opinion that as the Railway has been opened for more than 10 years the persons requiring the new crossing should pay ~~all~~ the cost thereof.

2. It appears that if ~~the rule~~ it is decided that the railway should make the crossing at its own cost an order of the Governor General in Council will be required – (Act IX of 890 Chap III sect 11 (3) b)

21/11/90

Hon 21.XI.90.
26.XI.90.

FOR ORDERS.

B.

No. 1905 R

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT,
RAILWAY BRANCH.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

UNDER date the 28th Novr/90.

READ the following

Endorsement from the Consg: Engr for Rys.—	H.C. No. 590 d/ 4 Septr '90
P. W. D. Endorsement	3883 C d/ 25th do.
Do. — Do.	822 d/ 19th Novr '90

The Government is of opinion that if the villagers of Athur require a turn-stile at mile 39/1 on the Arkonam Branch of the South Indian Railway as a convenience they should pay the cost of constructing one ~~on the site~~ on a design which should be approved by the Railway authorities.—

To the Consg: Engr for Rys &
" Srinasdaro of Athur &
— " Village (No 74) Chengalpat
Taluq.

D 1/12

B

No. 1905 R of 28-Nov/90.

E.I.C.
3/12/90