

Public Works (1890) Department.

RAILWAY BRANCH.

G.O., No. 474 *Ry*

DATED 5th April

[Despatch Abstract.]

*Railway conference at Beznada — G.O. No. 157A
dated 28th January 1890, communicating pro-
ceedings of a — on the question of starting the
East Coast Railway survey cancelled.*

BACK PAPERS.

FURTHER PAPERS.

28TH JANUARY 1890, No. 157 R., PUBLIC WORKS.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

Railway.

Read the following paper :—

Proceedings of the Government of India, Public Works Department (General), dated Calcutta, 16th January 1890, No. 117 G.

The undermentioned paper is forwarded to the Joint Secretary to the Government of Madras, Public Works Department (Railway Branch), for information.

(By Order.)

(Signed) G. H. D. WALKER,
Under-Secretary.

ENCLOSURE.

EAST COAST RAILWAY SURVEY.

Proceedings of Conference held at Bezdáa on the 20th November 1889.

Present.

Honorable Sir Charles Elliott.
Colonel L. Conway-Gordon, R.E., Director-General of Railways.
Mr. F. L. O'Callaghan, C.I.E., Consulting Engineer for State Railways.
Colonel C. J. Smith, R.E., Consulting Engineer, Madras.

I. *Staff*.—The question of staff was discussed with Mr. Walker, the Engineer-in-Chief, who stated his distribution of his staff to be as follows:—

Engineers.

Ellore Division.—Mr. Baxter and two Assistants (Messrs. Mason and Taylor).
Tuni Division.—Mr. F. Morse (will not join till January) and two Assistants (Messrs. Bell and Howard).
Vizagapatam Division.—Mr. Moore (will join in a week from Calcutta) and one young Royal Engineer just arrived (Lieutenant Waghorn).
Vizianagram Division.—Mr. Gahan and one Assistant (to join in December from England), Mr. Montresor.
Chicacole Division.—Mr. Oldham, Lieutenant Kincaid and Sergeant T. Murray.
Chilka Lake Division.—Mr. Clift, two Assistants (Messrs. Barrow* and Bewley), and Sub-Conductor Morrison.

He also stated that the following officers were expected : —

Majors White * and Lloyd,* in January.
Mr. Sivewright,* in December.

Three permanent or temporary Assistants were required.

Subordinates.—It was decided that any additional subordinates needed for the survey should be engaged by the Engineer-in-Chief temporarily, if necessary.

II. *Amount of work expected to be done by each party.*—Each is expected to get through 20 to 25 miles a month.

* Transferred elsewhere.

III. *Kistna Bridge*.—It was decided that the borings above the anicut are to be done by Mr. Walker, the Engineer-in-Chief, and that the Southern Mahratta Railway Company should hand over to him all information and boring tools. The question as to who is to draw up plans for the bridge was left to be settled afterwards.

IV. *Progress Reports*.—It was decided that monthly reports should be submitted by the Engineer-in-Chief to the Government of Madras, and that Government of India should be supplied with a copy of each report. The reports cannot, it was explained, be very promptly submitted, as the postal communication is bad, mainly by sea. There is one coasting steamer a week each way. The land post is very slow. There is a telegraph line all along the road.

V. It was explained to Mr. Walker that, besides the selection of the best route and the preparation of all engineering details, Government looked to him for information as to direction and quantity of existing public traffic. G.O., dated 24th June, of the Revenue and Agricultural Department (Pros. R.C., July 1889, No. 197), was shown to him.

ORDER—dated 28th January 1890, No. 157 R., Public Works.

Communicated to the Consulting Engineer for Railways and to the Engineer-in-Chief, East Coast Railway Survey, for information and guidance.

2. The Government is informed that Colonel C. J. Smith, R.E., the Consulting Engineer for Railways, Madras, was not present at the conference held at Bezvada on the 20th November 1889.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) C. J. SMITH, Colonel, R.E.,
Joint Secy. to Govt., P.W.D.,
Railway Branch.

To the Consulting Engineer for Railways.
" Engineer-in-Chief, East Coast Railway Survey.
" Examiner, Public Works Accounts.

Exd. T. K. Narayana Aiyangar.
Spare Copies

RAILWAY.

Govt. of {1890} Madras.

PUBLIC WORKS.

Dated January, 28th.

Recd.

Encl.

G.O., 28th Jan. 1890, No. 157 R.

JANUARY.

East Coast Railway Survey

Proceedings of a conference held at Bezvada on the question of staff for the —, communicated to the Consulting Engineer for Railways and to the Engineer-in-Chief.

EAST COAST RAILWAY SURVEY.

Proceedings of Conference held at Bezvada on the 20th November 1889.

PRESENT:

HONOURABLE SIR CHARLES ELLIOTT.

COLONEL L. CONWAY-GORDON, R.E., *Director General of Railways.*

MR. F. L. O'CALLAGHAN, C.I.E., *Consulting Engineer for State Railways.*

COLONEL C. J. SMITH, R.E., *Consulting Engineer, Madras.*

I. *Staff.*—The question of staff was discussed with Mr. Walker, the Engineer-in-Chief, who stated his distribution of his staff to be as follows:—

Engineers.

Ellore Division.—Mr. Baxter and two Assistants (Messrs. Mason and Tayler).

Tuni Division.—Mr. F. Morse (will not join till January) and two Assistants (Messrs. Bell and Howard).

Vizagapatam Division.—Mr. Moore (will join in a week from Calcutta) and one young Royal Engineer just arrived (Lieutenant Waghorn).

Vizianagram Division.—Mr. Gahan and one Assistant (to join in December from England), Mr. Montresor.

Chicacole Division.—Mr. Oldham, Lieutenant Kincaid and Sergeant T. Murray.

Chilka Lake Division.—Mr. Clift, two Assistants (Messrs. Barrow* and Bewley), and Sub-Conductor Morrison.

* Transferred elsewhere.

He also stated that the following officers were expected:—

† Transferred elsewhere.

Majors White† and Lloyd,† in January.

Mr. Sivewright,† in December.

Three permanent or temporary Assistants were required.

Subordinates.

It was decided that any additional Subordinates needed for the survey should be engaged by the Engineer-in-Chief temporarily, if necessary.

II.—*Amount of work expected to be done by each party.*—Each is expected to get through 20 to 25 miles a month.

III.—*Kistna Bridge.*—It was decided that the borings above the anicut are to be done by Mr. Walker, the Engineer-in-Chief, and that the Southern Mahratta Railway Company should hand over to him all information and boring tools. The question as to who is to draw up plans for the bridge was left to be settled afterwards.

IV.—*Progress Reports.*—It was decided that monthly reports should be submitted by the Engineer-in-Chief to the Government of Madras, and that Government of India should be supplied with a copy of each report. The reports cannot, it was explained, be very promptly submitted, as the postal communication is bad, mainly by sea. There is one coasting steamer a week each way. The land post is very slow. There is a telegraph line all along the road.

V.—It was explained to Mr. Walker that besides the selection of the best route and the preparation of all engineering details, Government looked to him for information as to direction and quantity of existing public traffic. G. O., dated 24th June, of the Revenue and Agricultural Department (Pros. R. C., July 1889, No. 197) was shewn to him.

NB. I was not invited to attend and was not present at the above conference, but was I aware of the results, in V. I remember it. L.S.

We have never had any of the progress reports from Mr. Walker. Was 27 Jan

All communications
should be addressed to
the Secretary.

No. 117 G.
GOVERNMENT OF INDIA.
PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.
GENERAL.

1 P
CALCUTTA, THE 16th January 1890.

The undermentioned paper is forwarded
to the Joint Secretary to the Government of Madras,
(Railway Branch) Public Works Department
for information.

E. 6
P.W. 652
190
By order,

C. H. Wilson

Under-Secretary.

Document referred to.

Proceedings of a Conference held at Bezvada
on the 20th November 1889, on the question of staff
for the East Coast Railway Survey.

A
No 157 R of 28th Jan 190.
17th 1900
27 Jan
Communicated to

PWC No 652

the Consulting Engineer for
Railways and to the Engineer
in-charge East Coast Railway
Survey for information
and guidance

C.L.S.
24. 1. 90
R.

R 22-1-90
E.1

2. The Government is in-
formed that Colonel C. J. Smith R.E.,
the Consulting Engineer for Railways,
Madras, was not present at the
Conference held at Bezvada on
the 20th November 1889.

A
No 157 R of 28th Jan 1890.

Recd
13/1/90

20

The Eng. Engr. for Rys.
" Engr. in-charge E.C.R. Ry.
" Engr. P. Dr. accd.

R

Jan 24-1-90 R 27-1-90

Public Works Department
Railway Branch
Madras 6th Feb 1890.

Note

I propose to add
the following as para 2 to
G.O. No. 157 R dated 28th
January 1890 herewith,
which I submit for the
Honorable Members' approval.

" The Govt. is informed
It is observed that

"Colonel C. J. Smith R.E., the
"Consulting Engineer for Railways,
"Madras, was not present
"at the Conference held at
"Bezvada on the 20th November
"1889."

C.L.S. Colonel R.E.

J. Secy. to Govt. P.W.D. Ry. Branch

Certainly. It being stated in the
of the Conference
P.W.D. that Col. Smith was
present. Why was this done?

W.S.

8 Feb

Reply sent D.O.

modify the go. accordingly.
and to call them in
regarding the matter
in mind.

C.L.S.

10. 2. 90

Government of Madras.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

To

The Secy. to the Govt. of India
P. H. Depto.

12th Feby 1880.

SIR,

I am directed
to forward, for the in-
formation of the Government
of India, a copy of G.O.
No. 157 R. dated 28 Jan'y.
1890, paragraph 2 of which
brings to notice that Colonel
C. J. Smith R.E., the Consulting
Superintendent for Railways,
Madras, was not present at the
Conference held at Berhampore
on the

4. 7-2-90 R 10-2-90.

on the 20th November 1889.

C.S.
12.2.80
R

Deskd
with J. P. P.
40 W 157 R of 90

B
No. 233 R of 12th Feb/90.

All communications
should be addressed to
the Secretary.

No. 775 G.

GOVERNMENT OF INDIA.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.
GENERAL.

To

The Joint Secretary to the Government of India
(Railway Branch) Public Works Department

Calcutta, the 18th March 1890

Sir,

With reference to your letter No. 233 R
dated the 12th February 1890, I am directed to
state that this office No. 117 G. dated the 16th
January 1890, forwarding for information the
proceedings of a Conference held at Bezvada
on the 20th November 1889 on the question of
staff for the East Coast Railway Survey, was
inadvertently issued, and to request that
it may be cancelled.

I have the honour to

Sir,
your most obedient servant

G. H. Walker

Under Secretary to the
Government of India

Documents accompanying

Nil

O.C.R.

Recd 27/2/90

7-2-90 K 10-2-90

B
H. E. Stokes C. S. D.
No. 474 R 5th April 1890
26.3.90

P. W. C No. 3069

28th Mar
L. O. 157 R, dated 28th

Rd 25-3-90

January 1890, will be con-
sidered as cancelled.
26.3.90
For R.

B
No. 474 R 5th April 90

No. 233 R 12-2-90
" 157 R " 28-1-90

To.
The Comptroller for Rys.
" Engrs in-charge C. G. Ry. Secy.
" Engrs P. & Accounts
27/4
27-3-90 R 31-3-90

are 11/4

R

B

No. 481 R

10-5-12

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS,
P. W. DEPARTMENT,
Railway Branch.

To

The Joint-Sect^y

N^y Branch

Bombay.

5th April 1890.

SIR,

With reference to your L^o 689
of 19th March 1890 I am
directed to forward copy
of an Office note ^{to} you on the
subject of the provision of
facilities for R^y Traffic
at Bejwanda.

It appears that there is
no objection ^{to} for the ~~existing~~ ^{running} line ~~between~~ on the canal
berm provided it does
not occupy more than 25
ft. of the berm measured
from the ^{low} ~~low~~ ^{water} ~~water~~ bank, and that
if extra width is required
the berm will have to be
cut into. I shall be glad
to know whether this width
will be sufficient for R^y

18/4/90
14/4
2 Apr

17/4
see 17/4

R. 2-4-90

requirements.

Where the line runs round
Dadapilly hill, the Irrigation
authorities require 30 ft
clear width from the edge
of the canal, and as the
horizontal distance from
the edge of the canal to the
face of the hill is 65
feet - this would probably
suffice.

The Irrigation department
reserve the right to carry
spirit across the line in
the event of the canal being
indented.

Pd-
1/4/20

W.H.
12/4/10