Govt. of 18 Madras.

PUBLIC WORKS.

Dated 16/1/90

Recd. Encl.

G.O., 11 Jan 1890 No. 35 R

Sidings and cross over roads at the Guntakul Station

Letter from the Secty of India Govt of Rlt: for —, circd to the Consg Engr for Rys

9/3

18/1

15/1

Rd. in. 9-1-90 R 10-1-90

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

Railway.

Read the following papers :—

From the Consulting Engineer for Railways, dated Madras, 9th January 1890, No. 13.

Letter from the Agent, South Indian Railway, dated 8th May 1889, No. 550.
Letter ,, ,, ,, ,, ,, 30th Nov. ,, ,, 1526.

Submitted to Government.

2. In G.O., No. 364 R., dated 1st April 1889, sanction was conveyed to an expenditure of Rs. 48,242-2-10 for the local purchase of stores for the Villupuram-Dharmavaram Railway. Against this sanction the following expenditure has been incurred :—

Stores from Messrs. Richardson and Cruddas.

	RS.
First order	24,068
Second order	18,554
Steam hoists	3,975
Boring tackle	860
Total ...	47,457

This leaves an unexpended balance of Rs. 785, and does not require sanction.

Sanction is also not required to the expenditure on the purchase of surplus stores from other Railway companies, and the undermentioned items can be passed against the budget provision for "Tools and Plant."

	RS.
Portable engines from the Southern Mahratta Railway	8,400
Hoists from the Madras Railway	2,354
Boring tackle	1,235
Total ...	11,989

Owing to there being no provision in the budget under "Stores," the following expenditure will require sanction :—

	RS.
Stores purchased	8,216
Stores to be purchased	5,000
Total ...	13,216

3. Sanction of Government is recommended for Rs. 13,216, as the unexpended balance of Rs. 785 from the grant is certain to be required.

Order—dated 17th January 1890, No. 85 R., Public Works.

The proposed expenditure on stores for the Villupuram-Guntakal State Railway to the amount of Rs. 13,216 is sanctioned.

(True Extract.)

Colonel, R.E.,
Joint Secy. to Govt., P.W.D.,
Railway Branch.

To the Consulting Engineer for Railways.
" Examiner, Guaranteed Railway Accounts.

Exd. J. Moses.
Spare Copies

RAILWAY.

Govt. of {1890} Madras.

PUBLIC WORKS.

Dated January, 17th.

Recd. *Encl.*

G.O., 17th Jan. 1890, No. 85 R.

JANUARY.

Stores

Sanctioning the proposed expenditure of Rs. 13,216 on — for the Villupuram-Guntakal State Railway.

Ref. 2 C.E. Rly. in No 895 of 18-5-89.

No 550

South Indian Railway,
AGENT'S OFFICE,
TRICHINOPOLY, 8th May 1889

From

The AGENT,
SOUTH INDIAN RAILWAY,

To

The CONSULTING ENGINEER FOR RAILWAYS,
MADRAS.

[illegible] 1/6

Sir,

Your No 342 of the 13th ultimo. The Chief Engineer has reported to me as follows:

"The value of the Stores at first "purchased from Messrs. Richardson and "Cruddas Bombay is Rs. 24,068 and I have sent "a Second order for Rs. 18,554 making in all a "total of Rupees 42,622 against the Government "Grant of Rs. 48,242.

"I have also, arranged to take over "3 Portable Engines from the Southern Mahratta "Railway Company at a cost of Rs. 8,400 and 2 "Steam Hoists from Messrs. Richardson and "Cruddas to the value of Rs. 3975."

24068
18554
8400
3975
(a) 54997
(b) 48242
6755

I am,

Sir,

Your most obedient Servant

William S. Betts
Agent

48242
42420
5822

8400
3975
12375
54997
42622
[illegible]

REGISTER
No. 494
FILE
No.

File Key
~~Submit to India for Sanction~~
~~C.J.~~
21.5.89

From
THE AGENT,
South Indian Railway,
Trichinopoly.

To
THE CONSULTING ENGINEER FOR
RAILWAYS,
Madras.

Dated 8th May 1889
Received 10.5.89 No. 550

ENCLOSURE.

No 1526

South Indian Railway,
AGENT'S OFFICE,
TRICHINOPOLY, 30th November 1889

From
The AGENT,
SOUTH INDIAN RAILWAY,

To
The CONSULTING ENGINEER FOR RAILWAYS,
MADRAS.

Sir,

Will you please obtain the Sanction of Government to the expenditure, on account of the Villupuram Guntakal Railway, noted in the following report from the Chief Engineer

"I request the favor of your applying to Government for a Sanction for T & Pt "Stores to the Extent of Rs 21640 as below.

"Purchase of Hoists from Bombay	(a)	3975
"Boring tackle	(b)	860
"Purchase Hoists Madras Rly	(c)	2354
"Boring tackle M. R	(d)	1235
Total T & P.		Rs 8,424
"Stores purchased	(e)	8,216
"Stores to be purchased	(f)	5,000
Total Stores		Rs 13,216
Grand Total		Rs 21,640

"No further expenditure on Tools is anticipated "as the first consignments under the English Contracts

"Contracts are beginning to arrive, but I expect
"being obliged to purchase locally in the matter
"of rope and belting and other Stores required
"on works.

I am,

Sir,

Your most obedient Servant

William S Betts

Agent

REGIST
No. 13808
FILE
No. 7

Ent
M.W. No. 254
1890

From
The Agent,
South Indian Railway,
Trichinopoly.

To
The Consulting Engineer for
Railways,
Madras.

Dated 30th Novr 1889
Received 2-12-89 No. 1526
Enclosure.

Form No. 1.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

OFFICE OF CONSULTING ENGINEER FOR RAILWAYS,
Madras, 9th January 1890.

Letter from the Agent, South Indian Railway, No. 550, dated 8th May 1889.

——— Do ——— No. 1526, dated 30th November 1889.

30

No. 13.

SUBMITTED to Government.

2. In G.O. No. 364R, dated 1st April 1889, sanction was conveyed to an expenditure of Rs 48,242-2-10 for the local purchase of stores for the Villupuram Dharmavaram Railway. Against this sanction the following expenditure has been incurred:—

Stores from Messrs.
Richardson and Cruddas

	Rs
1st order	24,068
2nd order	18,554
Steam Hoists	3,975
Boring tackle	860
Total	47,457

This leaves an unexpended

ed balance of Rs 785, and does not require sanction.

Sanction is also not required to the expenditure on the purchase of surplus stores from other railway Companies, and the undermentioned items can be passed against the Budget provision for "Tools and Plant"

	Rs
Portable engines from the Southern Mahratta Railway	8,400
Hoists from the Madras Railway	2,354
Boring Tackle	1,235
Total	11,989

Owing to there being no provision in the Budget under "stores" the following expenditure will require sanction.

	Rs
Stores purchased	8,216
Stores to be purchased	5,000
	13,216

3. Sanction of Government is recommended for Rs 13,216 as the unexpended balance of Rs 785 from the grant is certain to be required.

Sidney Smith
Major R.E.,
for Consg: Engr. for Rys.

A No. 85 R. d/ 17th Jany/90.
Form No. 8

KW 12 Jan
C.L.S. 11.1.90

The proposed ex-penditure on stores for the Villupuram Guntakal State Railway to the amount of Rs 13,216 is sanctioned.

C.L.S.
11.1.90

R.

From

THE CONSULTING ENGINEER
FOR RAILWAYS,
MADRAS,

No. 13

Dated } 9th January 1890.
Received }

NO. OF ENCLOSURES.

Subject.

Submitting certain correspondence, regarding the purchase of tools and plant and stores for Villupuram Guntakal Railway

A

No. 85 R. d/ 17th Jany/90.

To

The Cons. Engr. for Rys: in wh/8 18/1
" Exr. Guntd Ry: Accts: D 18/1

R

1-90 R. 14-1-90.

Govt. of 18 Madras.

PUBLIC WORKS.

Dated 20/1/90

Recd.

Encl.

G.O., 17th Jany 1890 No. 85 R

Stores

Sanctioning the proposed expenditure of Rs 13216 on — for the Villupuram Guntakal State Railway.

90/2

18-1-90

No. 641 A.—R.

GOVERNMENT OF INDIA.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

ACCOUNT.

Railway.

Calcutta, the 17th December 1889.

HINDUPUR EXTENSION SURVEY.

(48.—*State Railways—Construction.*)

Finance Account for 1888-89.

Read—

The following note by R. G. Macdonald, Esq., Deputy Accountant General, Public Works Department,—No. 1316 R., dated 29th November 1889.

Against a Budget Estimate of Rs. 10,000 and Revised Estimate of Rs. 5,600, an actual expenditure of Rs. 3,703 has been incurred during 1888-89 on the survey of the Hindupur Extension of the Bellary-Kistna State Railway.

2. The Revised Estimate provided for outstanding claims on land acquired during the years 1883-84-85, and the short outlay during the year under review is due partly to the settlement of some of the claims being delayed, and also to the non-acceptance of debits raised by the Civil Department, owing to the Accountant General, Madras, having originally stated that the debits were on account of land acquired subsequent to 1884-85. These debits have, however, since been accepted in accounts for 1889-90.

Suspense Balances.

3. There are no Suspense accounts.

Financial Results.

4. The total outlay on the survey, including compensation paid for land, from the commencement of operations to the end of 1888-89, has amounted to Rs. 39,916, as shown below:—

	Rs.
Preliminary Expenses	35,740
Land	4,176
Total	39,916

ORDER.—Ordered, that a copy of the above be forwarded to the Government of Madras, Public Works Department, for information.

R. C. B. PEMBERTON, *Colonel, R.E.*,
Secretary to the Govt. of India.

Government of India Central Printing Office.—No. 1100 P. W. D.—18-12-89.—90.

B

No. 74 R. of 16-Jany/90.

Commd to the Consg Engr for Railways for information.

pd C. J. S.

Consg Engr for Rys:
Exr. P.W. Accts
Finl. Departt.

11th January 1890, No. 35 R., Public Works.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

Railway.

Read again the following paper :—

G.O., dated 7th December 1889, Nos. 1347-48 R., Public Works.

From the Consulting Engineer for Railways, dated Madras, 28th November 1889, No. 480.

Letter from the Agent and Manager, Madras Railway Company, dated 8th October 1889, No. E-41/1111, with enclosure.

Enclosure.

From H. R. P. CARTER, Esq., Acting Chief Engineer, to the Acting Agent and Manager, Madras Railway Company, dated Madras, 30th March 1886, No. 878/217.

With reference to yours, No. 1410 of 26th current, I think it will be well to place on record how the question of the Guntakal junction between the Bellary-Kistna State Railway and the Madras Railway stands.

2. It was, in the first instance, understood that the Madras Railway Company should execute the joint station works and we made plans for the same accordingly; we also provided funds in our budget estimate for 1885-86.

3. The amount was, however, cut out of our budget estimate, of which the Chief Engineer of the Bellary-Kistna State Railway was advised and he included the amount in his budget which was passed.

4. Subsequent to sanction having been obtained for the general plan we originally submitted, certain extra buildings were applied for by our Traffic Manager and were included in a revised estimate sent forward for sanction. These buildings are referred to by the Consulting Engineer in his "note" which accompanied his letter No. 141, of 12th current

5. I agree with the Consulting Engineer that these works should be built by the Bellary-Kistna State Railway officers, and suggest that the Consulting Engineer be moved to obtain sanction for their construction.

6. I am prepared to recommend for your approval—

1st.—That all station works and buildings be executed by the Bellary-Kistna State Railway as also all metre-gauge lines in the yard.

2nd.—That the Madras Railway put up all semaphores and other station machinery required by them as well as their turn-table and water-column and also lay down any broad gauge lines which may be required.

7. I presume that there will be no difficulty in recovering from the Bellary-Kistna State Railway any expenditure incurred by this company in connection with this work.

Submitted to Government, for transmission to the Government of India.

2. The Agent and Manager, Madras Railway, submits an estimate (with plan) for Rs. 67,434, chargeable to Capital, of the cost of extra sidings and cross-over road at Guntakal station which were rendered necessary on its becoming a junction with the Southern Mahratta Railway.

3. The work, the cash portion of which is Rs. 6,694, was executed as far back as 1886. There appears to have been a misunderstanding on the part of the Madras Railway Company in regard to the agency by which work at the Guntakal junction

should be done. The work for which the present estimate is submitted was exclusively for the use of the Madras Railway Company and under the agreement approved by the Governments of Bombay and Madras in 1883, the cost should have been met by the Madras Railway Company, but it had been included in the original estimate for proposed *joint* work, the general plan of which was sanctioned in June 1885, and the Madras Railway Company, although it finally declined to undertake the joint station arrangements, actually took in hand and completed that portion of the approved design which exclusively belonged to itself, the items of which form the estimate now submitted, viz., certain sidings and cross over road on the 5' 6" gauge with the necessary semaphores and signals, under the mistaken impression that the expense was incurred on behalf of the Southern Mahratta Railway and that that railway would refund the amount.

4. The Examiner of Railway Accounts states that "the material used was never 'issued;' *i.e.*, though issued was never charged to an estimate nor written off the books, consequently the Audit department knew nothing of the expenditure having been incurred; such small cash expenditure as was incurred was charged to the Engineering Stores and Workshop account, and was only discovered on a recent joint inspection of the Engineering Workshop and Stores accounts by the Chief Auditor and Government Examiner."

5. The matter was considered at an official meeting held on 15th August, and the Agent and Manager was requested to submit an estimate with full explanation as to the necessity for the expenditure.

6. Provision for the work has been made in the budget for 1889-90.

7. The estimate is submitted for the formal sanction of the Government of India.

Order—dated 7th December 1889, No. 1347 R., Public Works.

Ordered to be forwarded for the sanction of the Government of India.

(Here enter No. 1348 R., dated 7th December 1889.)

(True Extract.)

(Signed) C. J. SMITH, Colonel, R.E.,
Joint Secy. to Govt., P.W.D.,
Railway Branch.

Endorsement—dated 7th December 1889, No. 1348 R., Public Works.

Forwarded.

(By order.)

(Signed) C. J. SMITH, Colonel, R.E.,
Joint Secy. to Govt., P.W.D.,
Railway Branch.

Read also the following paper:—

From Major M. C. BRACKENBURY, R.E., Under-Secretary to the Government of India, Public Works Department (Railway—Construction), to the Joint Secretary to the Government of Madras, Public Works Department, Railway Branch, dated Calcutta, 27th December 1889, No. 1208 R.C.

I am directed to acknowledge the receipt of your No. 1348 R., dated 7th December 1889, and, in reply, to communicate the sanction of the Government of India to the estimate therewith received, amounting to Rs. 67,434, chargeable to Capital, for sidings and cross-over roads at the Guntakal station, which were constructed in 1886 and which became necessary in consequence of the junction of the Bellary-Kistna State Railway with the Madras Railway at that station, provision for the work having been made in item 36, schedule B of the current year's budget.

2. This sanction has been registered as No. 15, Madras Railway of 1889.

ORDER—dated 11th January 1890, No. 35 R., Public Works.

Communicated to the Consulting Engineer for Railways, with reference to his endorsement No. 480, dated 28th November 1889.

2. This sanction has been registered as No. 32 R. of 1889-90.

(True Extract.)

Colonel, R.E.,
Joint Secy. to Govt., P.W.D.,
Railway Branch.

To the Consulting Engineer for Railways.
" Examiner, Guaranteed Railway Accounts.

Exd. P. L. Pereira.
Spare Copies

RAILWAY.

Govt. of {1890} Madras.

PUBLIC WORKS.

Dated January, 11th.

Recd. *Encl.*

G.O., 11th Jan. 1890, No. 35 R.

JANUARY.

Sidings and cross-over roads at the Guntakal Station

Letter from the Government of India sanctioning estimate for —, communicated to the Consulting Engineer for Railways.

(No. I.)
No. E41 / 1111 with enclosure

5,000—14-11-85.

MADRAS RAILWAY.

AGENT AND MANAGER'S OFFICE,
MADRAS, 8th October 1889.

15 P

From
The *Agent and Manager.*
M. R. Co.

To
The *Consulting Engineer for Railways.*

SIR,

With reference to Minute No. 134 of the Official Meeting and Stores Committee held on 15th August 1889, I have the honour to forward herewith, in duplicate, an estimate (with plan No. 2667) for the sidings and cross over roads at Guntakal which became necessary in consequence of the junction of the Bellary Kristna Railway with the Madras Railway at that Station; and I shall be obliged if you will be so good as to communicate to me the sanction of Government to the required outlay Rupees 67434 chargeable to Capital.

Provision

Provision for this work is made in item 36 schedule B of the current years Budget and the date on which the sanction will lapse is entered on the estimate.

I forward herewith a copy of the Chief Engineer's letter No. $\frac{878}{217}$ of 30th. March 1886 on the subject.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant
Francis W. Haine
Agent & Manager.

REGISTER
No. 1002
FILE
No.

Genl & Estd plans

MADRAS RAILWAY.

From
The Agent and Manager.

To
The Consulting Engineer
for Railways.

Dated 8th October 1889
Recd. 9/11/89

No. E/1 / 1111

~~Forwarding~~
for Sanction an
Estimate for the
Sidings &c at
Guntakal

Madras Railway Co.
Chief Engineer's Office
Madras, 30th March. 1886.

No. 878/217

The Ag: Agent and Manager.

Dear Sir,

With reference to yours No. 1410 of 26th current I think it will be well to place on record how the question of the Guntakal Junction between the Bellary Kistna State Railway and the Madras Railway stands.

It was in the first instance understood that the Madras Railway Company should execute the joint station works and we made plans for the same accordingly: we also provided funds in our budget estimate for 1885-86.

The amount was however cut out of our Budget estimate of which the Chief Engineer of the Bellary Kistna State Railway was advised and he included the amount in his budget which was passed.

Subsequent to sanction having been obtained for the General Plan we originally submitted certain extra buildings were applied for by our Traffic Manager and were included in a revised estimate sent forward for sanction. These buildings are referred to by the Consulting Engineer in his "note which accompanied his letter No. 141 of 12th current.

I agree with the Consulting Engineer that these works should be built by the Bellary Kistna State Railway officers and suggest that the Consulting Engineer be moved to obtain sanction for their construction.

I am prepared to recommend for your approval

1st That all station works and buildings be executed by the Bellary Kistna State Railway as also all metre gauge lines in the yard.

2d That the Madras Railway put up all semaphores and other station machinery required by them as well as their turntable and water column and also lay down any broad gauge lines which may be required.

I presume that there will be no difficulty in recovering from the Bellary Kistna State Railway any expenditure incurred by this Company in connection with this work.

Yours faithfully
(Sd) H. R. P. Carter
Ag. Chief Engr.

(True Copy)

Francis W. Hame
Agent & Manager.

E/
PW11370/89

☐ Read again the [illegible] G.O. of 7 Decr 89 No. 130[illegible]

Form No. 1.

Public Works Department.

Office of Consulting Engineer for Railways,
Madras, 28th November 1889.

From the

Letter from the Agent and Manager, Madras Railway, No. E-41/1111, dated 8th October 1889, with enclosures.

No. 480

Submitted to Government for transmission to the Government of India.

2. The Agent and Manager, Madras Railway, submits an estimate (with plan) for Rs 67,434, chargeable to Capital, of the cost of extra sidings and cross over road at Guntakal station which were rendered necessary

on its becoming a Junction with the Southern Mahratta Railway.

3. The work, the cash portion of which is Rs 6,694, was executed as far back as 1886. There appears to have been a misunderstanding on the part of the Madras Railway Company in regard to the agency by which work at the Guntakal Junction should be done. The work for which the present estimate is submitted was exclusively for the use of the Madras Railway Company and under the agreement

approved by the Governments of Bombay and Madras in 1883, the cost should have been met by the Madras Railway Company, but it had been included in the original estimate for proposed joint works the general plan of which was sanctioned in June 1885, and the Madras Railway Company, although it finally declined to undertake the joint station arrangements, actually took in hand and completed that portion of the approved design which, as

exceptional

exclusively belonged to itself, the items of which form the estimate now submitted — viz, certain sidings and cross over road on the 5'-6" gauge with the necessary semaphores and signals, under the mistaken impression that the expense was incurred on behalf of the Southern Mahratta Railway and that that Railway would refund the amount.

4. The Examiner of Railway Accounts states that "the material used was never issued" i.e. though issued was never charged to an estimate not written off the books, consequently the Audit department knew nothing of the expenditure as having been incurred, such small cash expenditure as was incurred was charged to the Engineering Stores and Workshop account, and was only discovered on a recent joint inspection of the Engineering workshop and stores accounts by the Chief Auditor and the Government Examiner."

5. The matter was considered at an

Official Meeting held on 15th August and the Agent and Manager was requested to submit an estimate with full explanation as to the necessity for the expenditure.

6. Provision for the work has been made in the Budget for 1889-90.

7. The estimate is submitted for the formal sanction of the Government of India.

Sidney Smith
Major R.E.,
for Consg. Engr: for Rys
M.l.

B Form No. 5 No. 1347 R d/ 7th Decr/89.

CLS
4.12.9

Sds
3 Dec

Ordered that

forwarded for the sanction

of the Govt. of India.

No. Ex. 1348 R d/ 7th Decr/89

Forwarded

CLS.
29.11.9

R.

D 7/12
with the plan &
estimate

FOR RAILWAYS,

MADRAS,

No. 480

Dated 28th } November 1889.
Received 29 }

No. of Enclosures. ~~2~~ 4
(+1 plan)

Subject.

Submits for sanction by the Government of India, an Estimate amounting to Rs. 67,434, of the cost of extra sidings and crossover road at Guntakal Station

8209/12.
Ans. 2. XII. 89
Rd/

No. 1208 R. C

All communications
should be addressed to
the Secretary.

GOVERNMENT OF INDIA.
PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.
RAILWAY Construction

38.
6.1.90
Transfer to E.R.

To

The Joint Secretary to the
Government of Madras,
(Railway Branch),
Public Works Department

Calcutta, the 27th December 1889

Sir,

I am directed to acknowledge the receipt of your No. 1348 R dated 7th December 1889, and in reply to communicate the sanction of the Government of India to the Estimate therewith received, amounting to Rs 67,434 chargeable to Capital for sidings and cross-over roads at the Guntakul Station, which were constructed in 1886 and which became

necessary

PWC No 81

necessary in consequence of the junction of the Bellary Kistna State Railway with the Madras Railway at that Station. Provision for the work having been made in item 36, Schedule B, of the current year's Budget.

2. This sanction has been registered as No. 15 Madras Railway of 1889.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Recd: 6. 1. 90

Your most obedient Servant

M W Blackburne

Major R.E.

Under Secretary

Documents Accompanying

— Nil —

No. 1347-8 R of 7-12-89

H.W. 9-1-90. R. 10-1-90.

No. 35 R.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT,
RAILWAY BRANCH.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

UNDER date the 11th Jany /90.

READ again the following

Progs: of the Madras Govt: P.W. Deptt: — } G.O. No: 1347-48 R dd 7 Decr 89

Read also the following:-

Letter from the Govt: of India P.W.D. — } G.O. No. 1208 R.C. dd 27th Decr 89

15 R

Communicated to the Consulting Engineer for Railways with reference to his endorsement No: 480 dated 28th November 1889.—

2. This sanction has been registered as No. 32 R of 1889-90.

C.S.S.
8. 1. 90

R

HCO
10 Jan

C.S.S.
10.1.90

Desp dated 11/1/90

To the Consg Engr for Rys
— 4 — Exr Go Ry Beds. ✓ D
W.11

[illegible] M. G. Stotter [illegible]

A

No. 35 R of 11th Jany /90

9-1-90 R 10-1-90.

Govt. of 18 Madras.

PUBLIC WORKS.

16/1/90

Dated

Recd.

Encl.

G.O. 11 Jan 1890 No. 35 R

Sidings and cross over roads at the Guntakul Station

Letter from the Secty Madras Railway Coy Est. for ——, cond to the Consg Engr for Rys

9/3

20/1

Ent 15/1

Recd 9-1-90 R 10-1-90