# Public Works (1890) Department.

RAILWAY BRANCH.

**G.O., No.** 295 Ry

**DATED** 25th February

[Despatch Abstract.]

Mr. Mayes, Supervisor South Indian Railway

Twenty seven days joining time sanctioned to to join his appointment on the South Indian Railway from Burma.

Indexed.

[P. W. Ry. / 760—25-9-99.]

## BACK PAPERS.

| G.O. | No. | Department. | Date. | G.O. | No. | Department. | Date. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
|  | 86 | Ry. | 17-1-90 |  |  |  |  |

## FURTHER PAPERS.

| G.O. | No. | Department. | Date. | G.O. | No. | Department. | Date. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

---

Referred, to C. Rly No 1817 d 18/10/9
Letter to Ars 9b d 24/10/9

No 1321

South Indian Railway,
AGENT'S OFFICE,
TRICHINOPOLY, 16th October 1889

From
The AGENT,
SOUTH INDIAN RAILWAY,
To
The CONSULTING ENGINEER FOR RAILWAYS,
MADRAS.

Sir,

Will you please deal with the following application from the Chief Engineer

"I shall be obliged if you will
"obtain the sanction of Government under
"Section 199 of the Civil Service Regulations
"to Supervisor Mayes the additional time taken
"by him to join his appointment on transfer
"from Burmah to this Railway.

"Mr Mayes left Mandalay
"on the forenoon of 14th April and arrived at
"Rangoon on the forenoon of the following day.
"He was recalled to Mandalay on the afternoon
"of the 15th and returned to Rangoon on the 18th
"Idem. He halted for 2 days in Rangoon waiting
"for a steamer and owing to the illness of his
"wife he was obliged to go to Calcutta at which
"place he arrived on the afternoon of the 24th
"April and through sickness he was not able

to

"to leave that place till the forenoon of the 29th idem and reported his arrival here on the 13th May last."

I am,
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant
William Betts
Agent

REGISTER
No. 1248
FILE
No.

Ep
Pr. Cro: 12325/89

ESA

From
The Agent,
South Indian Railway,
Trichinopoly.
To
The Consulting Engineer for
Railways,
Madras.
Dated 10th Decr 1889
Received 18/12 No. 1321
Nil Enclosure.
EB

---

Referred to C.E. Ry. No 22420 18/12/89

No 1594

South Indian Railway,
AGENT'S OFFICE,
TRICHINOPOLY, 13th December 1889.

*From*
The AGENT,
SOUTH INDIAN RAILWAY,
*To*
The CONSULTING ENGINEER FOR RAILWAYS,
MADRAS.

*Sir,*

No. 961

In reply to your letter of the 24th October 1889 regarding the recall of Mr. Mayes, Supervisor, to Mandalay, I beg to say that by letter No. 9870/71. E.R. dated Rangoon 28th November 1889, the Manager of the Burma State Railway has thus written to this Company's Chief Engineer:—

"Mr. F. C. Mayes, Supervisor, was "recalled to Mandalay on the 15th April "last by order of the Engineer-in-Chief "Taungoo Mandalay Extension and was "finally relieved on the 17th idem."

I am,
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant,
Walter J. Hooper
for Agent.

REGISTER
No 1394
FILE
No

Er
Rr Cro: 12328/89

From
The Agent,
South Indian Railway,
*Trichinopoly.*

To
The Consulting Engineer for
Railways,
*Madras.*

Dated 13th December 1889
Received 17th
No. 1594
— Enclosure.

Reg the Retention of
Mr J. C. Mayes.

CR

---

Form No. 1.

Public Works Department.

Office of Consulting Engineer for Railways,
*Madras,* 24th December 1889.

Letter from the Agent, South Indian Railway, No. 1321, dated 16th October 1889.

Letter from — do — No. 1594, dated 13th December 1889.

No. 530

Submitted for the sanction of Government.

2. The Agent states that Mr. Mayes left Mandalay on the forenoon of the 14th April and arrived at Rangoon on the forenoon of the following day, but having been recalled to Mandalay by the Engineer-in-chief, Taungoo Mandalay Extension, he left that place again on the 17th, arrived at Calcutta on the 24th April and did not leave till 29th, owing to his wife's

wife's ill-health. He reported his arrival at Trichinopoly on the 13th May, occupying 27 days in Joining.

3. As the case is a special one, – Mr. Mayes on transfer from Burmah to Madras being obliged to take his wife to Calcutta on account of ill-health where he remained 5 days and then proceeded to Madras instead of coming direct; – it is recommended for sanction in accordance with article 199 of the Civil Service Regulations, which empowers the Local Government to grant this concession provided that the maximum of 30 days is not exceeded.

Sidney Smith
Major R.E.
for Consg. Engr. for Rys.

P.W.C. No: 12328

Form No. 3.

From

THE CONSULTING ENGINEER
FOR RAILWAYS,
MADRAS,

Sanctioned under article 199 of the Civil Service Regulations.

C.L.S.
8.1.90

R.

Art 199 does not apply, I think. When did his joining time expire?

W
10 Jan

No. 530

Dated 24th }
Received 27th } December 1889.

No. of Enclosures. 2

Subject.

Submitting, for sanction of Govt:, correspondence regarding the additional joining time occupied by Mr. J. E. Mayes, Supervisor, Villupuram - Guntakal State Ry:.

To

The Cons: Engr: for Rys:
" Exr: Guntd Ry: Accts:

H.m. 9-1-90 R. 10-1-90.

Hon: Mr H. E. Stokes C.S.I.

PUBLIC WORKS SECRETARIAT,
RAILWAY BRANCH.

Station Madras date 13 Jan 1890

## OFFICE NOTE.

---

With reference to the Hon. Member's remarks The Examiner considers that Mr. Mayes may be allowed 21 days as joining time. He took 27 days.

C. L. Smith Col. Ag.

Art 199 does not recognize the sickness of a man's wife as a reason for extending joining time & it seems to me that the delay must be held to have been for the man's private convenience. It may be a hard case, but ~~it~~ we must I think cut him 6 days pay & let him petition India if he likes

HS
13 Jan

C.L.
15.1.90

Cur 13-1-90
R 15-1-90

---

B

No. 86 R of 17th Jany/90.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

# PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

READ the following

Endorsement from the Consg: Engr for Railways } R.E. No: 530 of 24th Decr 1889

The Govt: considers that under article 199 of the Civil Service Regulations the delay must be held to have been for Mr. Mayes' private convenience. 21 days joining time, therefore, only can be sanctioned. –

C.L.
11.1.90

R

To The Consg Engr for Rys
1. Exr Gt Ry Accts: D 18/1.

B

No 86 R of 17th Jany/90.

29/1

ERC 28/1

---

Refd: to C Rn No 2590 5/2/90.

No 176

South Indian Railway,
AGENT'S OFFICE,

February
TRICHINOPOLY, 4th January 1890.

From

The AGENT,
SOUTH INDIAN RAILWAY,

To

The CONSULTING ENGINEER FOR RAILWAYS,
MADRAS.

Sir,

Your No 78 of the 21st Ultimo. Will you please enable me to answer the following inquiry received from the Chief Auditor:—

"With reference to the Madras Government "order No 86 R. quoted in your letter under reply "sanctioning 21 days to Mr Grayes for his joining "time from Burma, I request you will kindly "refer to the Consulting Engineer with regard to the "grant of 2 months privilege leave to this subordinate "accorded in his No 736 R of the 17th August last. "As the extra joining time has not been sanctioned "by the Local Government and the supervisor is "to be considered as absent after the end of his "joining time for 6 days his duty in terms "of article No 285 of the Civil Service Regulations "was "interrupted" within the meaning of the "privilege leave rules and he does not therefore "appear to be entitled to the leave granted, as "privilege leave. I request to be informed whether "the whole of the time during which this supervisor

has

has been away can be taken as leave on private affairs carrying with it half pay."

REGISTER
№ 167
FILE
№

I am,
Sir,
Your Most Obedient Servant
Walter J. Hooper
for Agent.

Pw lues 5
1961/90

From
THE AGENT,
South Indian Railway,
*Trichinopoly.*

To
THE CONSULTING ENGINEER FOR
RAILWAYS,
*Madras.*

Dated 4th February 1890
Received 5th.
No. 176
ENCLOSURE.

---

Regd No. 31

From No. 21.

## P. W. D., RAILWAY BRANCH.

## CONSULTING ENGINEER'S OFFICE.

Madras, 5th February 1890.

No. 259.

OFFICE NOTE.

*Subject.* Re Mr Mayes joining time

LETTER from Agent ~~and Manager,~~ S. I. Railway,
No. 176 dated 4th February 1890
forwarded to the Examiner of Railway Accounts, for favor of remarks

A. W. G. Shelley
~~Lieut~~ R.E.,
~~for~~ Consulting Engineer for Railways.

Rossd
11.2.90

E.R.
C.E.S.
for Secy
for Council
O.S.
11.2.90

EXAMINER'S REPLY.

The effect of G.O. 86 R d/17.1.90. is rather serious — by sanctioning only 21 days instead of 27 there will be a break of service as that period will be without pay —
Before the question of joining time was raised Mr. Mayes was granted by Govt. 2 mths P.L. and subsequently leave for 6 months on Private affairs —
It is assumed by this time Mr. Mayes must have been paid his salary for the period of his P.L. — If the period of 6 days is to be

insisted on. Then all the leave
from the 4th Sept. to the end of the 6 mths
sanctioned as Private affairs will
have to be commuted into leave
on medical certificate. ~~as the~~
~~6 months~~

This will be a severe punishment
unless Mr. Mayes is considered to be
deserving of such a punishment.

CR 11.2.90

Pro Coll
1968/90

---

| Class. Dr | INDIAN TELEGRAPH. | | | Local No. 02391 |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| To (Station) Madras | | From (Station) Delhi | | |
| Words 14 | Day 6 | Hour 15 | Minute 10 | Official Instruction Dfd |
| To (Person) Consulting Engineer Railways Triplicane | | From (Person) Supervisor Mayes | | |

ADGS 7.2.90

[illegible]

No allowances yet received
great consequent distress
please order adjustment or
may I represent to
Director.

Wire copy to A and enquire how matters stand
ADGS 7.2.90

| | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Recd. from | | L.N. | Sent to | | L.N. |
| At | Hrs. | Mts., By | At | Hrs. | Mts., By |

Date

MADRAS 6-2-90

Telegraph Master.

Referred to C Rules 2970 10/2/90.

No. 218

**South Indian Railway,**
AGENT'S OFFICE,

TRICHINOPOLY, 8th February 1890.

*From*

The AGENT,
SOUTH INDIAN RAILWAY,

*To*

The CONSULTING ENGINEER FOR RAILWAYS,
MADRAS.

*Sir,*

I beg to inform you that I have today wired you thus in reply to your Telegram of yesterday regarding Supervisor Mayes:-

"Your telegram seventh Ct. O. 86 R, "Creates break in Mayes service and invalidates "privilege leave granted him. Impossible to say "how much due to Mayes until reply to my "176 is received."

I am
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant
William Betts.
Agent.

From
The Agent,
South Indian Railway,
Trichinopoly,

To
The Consulting Engineer for
Railways,
Madras.

Compare papers

Dated 8th Feby 1890
Received 10th do.
Regs No. 208.

Enclosure.

---

Form No. 1.

Public Wor

Subm

---

Delhi
D/16-12-89

Regs 21.12.89

The Consulting Engineer
Madras Railway
Triplicant

Sir.

I beg to report that to date none of the money due me from Burmah as also for my travelling Expenses from Burmah to Madras has yet been payed me. a sum of about Rs 900 & I am in Extreem need & would beg respectfully that this amount or part of it be paid me here. also if my leave applied for be sanctioned that I may be informed and my pay be arranged to be sent here.

I am
Sir
Your most obedt servant
Cecil Mayes.
Supervisor

Major R.E.
For Consg. Engr. for Rys

P.W. Rwl
1967/90

REGISTER
No. 195
FILE
No.

P.W. Rwl
1967/90

---

Form No. 1.

Public Works Department.

Office of Consulting Engineer for Railways,

*Madras*, 16th February 1890.

Letter from the Agent, South Indian Railway No: 176, dated 4th February 1890, with the Examiner of Railway Accounts' remarks thereon dated 11th February 1890.

Mr Mayes' telegram dated 6th February 1890.

Letter from the Agent, South Indian Railway, No: 208 dated 8th February 1890.

——Do—— Mr Mayes, dated 16th February 1890.

No. 116 A

Submitted for the orders of Government, with reference to its Order No: 86 R, dated 17th January 1890.

Seeney Smith
Major R.E.
For Cons. Engr. for Rys

P.W.C. No. 1962.

Form No. 3.

From

THE CONSULTING ENGINEER

FOR RAILWAYS,

MADRAS,

No. 116 A

Dated 16"
Received 26 } February 1890.

No. OF ENCLOSURES. 5

*Subject.*

Submitting, correspondence regarding his wages joining time and applica for allowance.

Letter from

No.

Dated

To E. R.

Supervisor Mayes case

Mr. Mayes movements were.

April 14th left Mandalay.
15th reached Rangoon & recalled to Mandalay.
17th relieved at Mandalay
18 reached Rangoon
20 left Rangoon.
24th arrived Calcutta (P.M.)
29th left Calcutta (A.M.)
May 13 arrived at Trichy.

Mr. Mayes consequently took 27 days joining time against 21 days admissible. The reason for the delay in joining his new appointment is his own & his wife's sickness.

As the Examiner Railway Accts could have passed without further reference the 21 days joining time only, the matter was referred to Govert, in order that if they thought fit the extra six days might be sanctioned under 199 C. S. R. This addition to the joining time was not sanctioned (G. O. 86. R. of 17.1.90)

Before the question of joining time was raised Mr. Mayes

If the man was detained by his own illness he is within the rule Art 199 and might have the joining time. But in previous notes there was nothing about his own sickness and the circuitous journey & delay were attributed, probably in accordance with fact, to his wife's illness. If there is evidence that he was delayed in Calcutta by his own illness, I would pass ~~the~~ the six days.

Ues
14 Feb

was granted 2 months Priv$^{ge}$ Leave (E.R. No 736 of 17.8.90), and while on this leave he was transferred to the Mogul Serai Survey (in consequence of ill health). This transfer was subsequently cancelled by India and Mr. Mayes, who was on his way to join the new appointment, ordered to remain where he was (Delhi). Here he stayed some ~~four weeks~~ twelve days waiting orders but eventually he applied for & obtained 6 months leave on Urgent Private affairs.

The result of the six days extra joining time not being sanctioned causes a break in his service – Consequently, pay for the six days is forfeited, & the right to the Priv$^{ge}$ leave granted invalidated. It will now be necessary to commute the Priv$^{ge}$ Leave, and period on duty while waiting orders, into leave, either on Private affairs or on Medical certificate. The former course will cancel a portion of the six months leave on Private Affairs which Mr. Mayes is now enjoying, that is the six months will date from Sep$^{r}$ 4th 89 instead of Nov 16th 89. If the second course be adopted, the whole period from Septr 4th 1889 to March 3rd 1890 must be Sanc$^{d}$ as sick leave, and will require being supported by a proper Med. Certificate

AOGS
12.2.90

The loss to Mr. Mayes resulting from the disallowance of 6 days extra joining time is –

| | R | A | P |
|---|---|---|---|
| 6 days without pay – | 29 | 0 | 6 |
| 1/2 pay from 4th Septr to Nov 15th instead of full pay | 180 | 0 | 0 |
| Rs | 209 | 0 | 6 |

AOGS
13.2.90.

pw Rlys
1965/90

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT,
RAILWAY BRANCH.

READ the following :—

FOR ORDERS.

A further note on Subramani Mayer's case is submitted to the Hon. Member

C L Smith Lt
R.E.

13. 2. 90

The man is in wretched health and in great distress especially for want of funds.
I understand from Supr on inspection that the man was a good subordinate but suffering from piles and some other disease

H

Madra

No. 86 Ry/17-1-90

Hon. Mr H. E. Stokes C/9

FOR ORDERS.

Special

PW Lr 4
19 3/90

H. 13-2-90. R 14-2-90.

---

Rough or approximate statement

due to Mr. Mayes from date of leaving Burmah.

| | | Rs | A | P |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| Full pay from 14th April to 31st August | | 685 | 0 | 0 |
| 1st to 3rd September 3 days | | 15 | 0 | 0 |
| | | 700 | 0 | 0 |
| If 6 days joining time disallowed then we must deduct full pay for those days viz | | 30 | 0 | 0 |
| Balance | | 670 | 0 | 0 |
| If above disallowance holds good then 1/2 pay from 4th September '89 to 15th Feby 1890 both days inclusive at $\frac{150}{2}$ per mensem = | | 407 | 10 | 10 |
| Total to 15th February 1890 Rs | | 1077 | 10 | 10 |
| Deduct already paid as salary to 31st August by Chief Auditor, South Indian Railway | 685-0-0 | | | |
| — do — do — two advances of 150/. each | 300-0-0 | 985 | 0 | 0 |
| Net salary due | | 92 | 10 | 10 |
| Add | | | | |
| Conveyance of allowance for | Rs - - | | | |
| July and August | 60-0-0 | | | |
| 18th May to 30th June | 43-8-9 | | | |
| 3 days, September | 3-0-0 | | | |
| due by Burmah | 229-13-11 | 336 | 6 | 8 |
| Total due | | 429 | 1 | 6 |

also Travelling Allowance for joining from Burmah which is not known here, but it will be certainly ove Rs 100/. and therefore Rs 500 might be paid with safety

J. Monier

Examiner, Railway Accou
Madra

H

pwlwe 3
1963/90

Urgent

No: 847C dated 15th Feb: 1890

Referred to C. R.
for favour of his views as to
the amount of arrears due
that can be safely paid
to Mr. Mayes, on the assump-
tion that the extra joining
time is refused.-

pwlwe 2
1963/90

C A Smith
Colonel R.E.
Jt. Secy: to Govt: P.W.D. Ry: Branch

R.
Please see separate
note attached -

at your verbal request - Chief Auditor
has been requested to arrange for
immediate payt of Rs 500/- (Remitt
to Ex: Engr. Delhi by wire).

R.
C R
15.2.90

C.A.S.
15.2.90

No 271

South Indian Railway,
AGENT'S OFFICE,

TRICHINOPOLY, 20th February 1890.

From
The AGENT,
SOUTH INDIAN RAILWAY,

To
The CONSULTING ENGINEER FOR RAILWAYS,
MADRAS.

Sir,

In reply to your telegraphic inquiry of the 14th instant. "Can you produce any evidence "that Mayes through sickness (presumably his own) "was not able to leave Calcutta till 29th as stated." I have to state the Chief Engineer reports as follows. "Beyond Mr. Mayes' assertion I have no evidence to "prove that he was not able to leave Calcutta "till the 29th April last."

As the Supervisor is now on leave a reference cannot be made to him on this point.

I am
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant,
William Betts
Agent.

REGISTER
No 255

pw Encl
1963/90

From
The Agent,
South Indian Railway,
Trichinopoly.

To
The Consulting Engineer for Railways,
Madras.

Submit to Govt.

ER with 1 encl.

C.T.J.

Dated 20th Feby 1890.
Received 22/2/90

No. 271

Enclosure.

Re- Supervisor Mayes' leave.

---

Form No. 1.

Public Works Department.

Office of Consulting Engineer for Railways,

Madras, 22nd February 1890.

Letter from the Agent, South Indian Railway, No: 271 dated 20th February 1890 regarding Mr Mayes'.

No. 134

Submitted to Government,

with reference to G. O. No: 86 R, dated 17th January 1890, and in continuation of Endt. No 116A dated 16th Feby: '90.

A.D.G. Shelley Lieut. R.E.
for Consg. Engr. for Rys

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT,

RAILWAY BRANCH.

22d. Feby. 1890

READ the following :—

Endorsement from the Consulting Engineer for Railways No. 134 dated 22d. February 1890.

FOR ORDERS.

My statement that the man himself was sick was based on Agent's letter 1321 d- 16 October 1889 and on personal enquiry and observation, so far as his illness subsequent to arrival is concerned. He appears to have told Mr. Logan that he was unable to leave Calcutta on account of his own illness, which is, judging by subsequent events probably true. but it rests on his own assertion and is incapable of any other support - as he could not probably get from

This is enough.
Let him have the six days.

MO
27 Feby

CTS
24.2.90

Draft accordingly at once

H.M. 22.2.90. R 24-2-90

FOR ORDERS.

Calcutta a certificate that he was so ill there 9 months ago that he was unfit to leave.

I think the man's statement might be accepted in this instance as there is ground for believing that his health had quite broken down under hard work and no ground for supposing that he was shirking or likely to shirk.

C. J. Smith, Col R.E.

22. 2. 80

P.W.C. No. 1963

Form No. 3.

From
THE CONSULTING ENGINEER
FOR RAILWAYS,
MADRAS,

No. 134

Dated 22d
Received 26 } Febry., 1890.

No. OF ENCLOSURES. 3

Subject.

Submitting letter from the Agent, S. I. Ry., re: Mr. Mayes, Supervisor of the V. G. S. Ry.

B. No. 295 R of 25. 2. 90.

---

No. 295 R.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT,
RAILWAY BRANCH.

# PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

UNDER date the 25th Febry. 1890

READ the following

| | |
|---|---|
| Endorsement from the Consg: Engr: for Rys.— | H.E. No. 116 A dated 16th Feby. 1890 |
| —Do.— Do.— — — | 134 of 22d do— |

On further consideration and in view of the fact now advanced that the delay in Mr. Mayes' joining his appointment was due to his own as well as to his wife's illness, the Government is pleased to sanction the original application for 27 days joining time under section 199 of the Civil Service Regulations.—

2. This order cancels G.O. No: 86 dated 17th January 1890.

25. 2. 90
R

To
The Consg: Engr for Rys.
—"— Exr Gl Ry accts.

D 27/2

B. No 295 Rd 25.2.92

7/3

ARC 7/3.

---

Sir

With reference to your instructions not to circulate papers to H.M. after he has once passed ~~orders~~ please say if this paper is to be sent to H.M. –

26/2