

Public (1899) Department.

ORDINARY MS.

G.O., No. 782-83

Dated 14-7-99

INDEXED.

[Despatch Abstract.]

Inquiring of the Secy I whether there is any objection to the presentation of a silver watch to the Secy of the Govt. by the residents of Puttur in the S. Canara Dist. as a token of their gratitude for the services rendered by him to the town; & requesting that Govt. to issue a ruling that would cover such cases as those in which either the donor or the medical officer himself prefers that the presentation should be made in the form of a gift of money.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.


Cus 2098755 (Succia)

Cus 2274128 (E.A.S.)

Order

Public (1899) Department.

CURRENT No.



From
Major General C. B. R.
Major General with the
Govt of Madras

No.

Dated the 3rd July

Received

Registered

Enclosures One

Spare copies

SUBJECT.

Forwarding for the order
of the Govt of India a petition for
B. K. R. on behalf of the
of the town of Suthur South Canara Dist.
praying for sanction to the presentation
by them of a silver or other to the
of the Govt of India.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
	829	Acct 216 28 H. 100	

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

2252

3

J

The District Surgeon of
South Canara

Respected Sir,

On behalf of the residents of the Town of
Puttur, I beg to state that they have unanimously
proposed to present Mr. V. Krishna Rao the
Local Civil Apothecary lately transferred to Kerpale
with a Silver watch of the value of about Rs 60/-
as a token of their gratitude for the very kind
and valuable services rendered by him in this
Town, and to request that you will be kindly
pleased to record your permission for the same
purpose, after obtaining the sanction of the Surgeon
General with the Government of Madras, if
necessary, at an early date.


I beg to remain

Respected Sir,

Your Obedient Servant.

Puttur
21st June 1879

B. Krishna Rao
Esq. Puttur



Office of Surgeon-General
 WITH THE GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS,
Recd. & forwarded the 3rd July 1899.
 No. *256*
 From
 SURGEON-~~MAJOR~~-GENERAL F. S. SUTHERLAND, C.B., F.R.C.S.
 SURGEON-GENERAL WITH THE
 GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS,
 To
 THE HON'BLE THE CHIEF SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT,
Public DEPARTMENT.

SIR,

With reference to G.O. No. 819, published the 28th June 1898, I have the honor to forward herewith, for the orders of the Government, a petition from 2nd Lieut. Reader B. Ramanam, behalf of the residents of the town of Puthur, South Arcot District, praying for sanction of the presentation by them of a silver watch of the value of about Rs. 100 to Civil Apothecary M. Arivha Ram, in token of their gratitude for the services rendered by him at Puthur.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

C. S. S. S. S.

Surgeon-General
 Surgeon-General with the Government of Madras

$$\left[\frac{G}{24} \right]$$

C10-7-29.

Public DEPARTMENT.

C. No. 1894 From the Surgeon General, No. 75/336 dated 3-7- 1899.

[illegible]

George Washington

The residents of
Puttur, S. Canara, request
permission to present to
Civil Apothecary K. Krishna
on his transfer to Udipi
a silver watch of the value
of about Rs. 60, as a token of
their gratitude for the service
rendered by him in the town

2. Rule 52, page 15 of the Public Account Manual states that auditors of firms are not prohibited from receiving pecuniary recognition of their services from a

1990

Re the papers with you

it was at first held that this rule prohibited the receipt by Mr. & Assistant Ramaswami Nayudu from the townsmen of Kellur, of a medal or watch, but that there was no objection to his receiving any sum of money subscribed for the purpose.

But regarding Mr. Govt. was asked whether the presentation might take some other form than a gift of money, & the reply was that the "Governor's Seal" in Council sanction the acceptance by Mr. Asst. Ramaswami Nayudu of a medal or a watch & chain." It will be seen that at the conclusion of para 4 of letter to Mr. No. 643 of 11.5.98 a general ruling was asked for, but that the G. of S. confined its reply to giving sanction in the particular

2. In this case was submitted for consideration

has to be decided, -

- (a) whether the proposed presentation of a watch to Civil Apothecary Krishna Rao may be approved
- (b) if so, whether the Govt. of India should be addressed
- (c) or, whether India's sanction in the case of Mr. Asst. Ramaswami Nayudu may be taken as a general sanction to the acceptance by medical officers of articles of value instead of the actual subscription.

2. From the terms of his reply, it appears that each case of the kind should be referred for orders, but it seems anomalous that a medical officer may receive any sum in cash, but not any article which the donors may wish to purchase with the same cash.

2. CD.

It is submitted that the
Govt of India may
again be asked for a
general ruling. As
stated in letter no 643
d. 11/5/98 this seems to
be no reason why the
hospital trust should
accept the Rs 60, should
not accept a batch
which cost that sum.

sign AT
7.7.99 H. H.

The rule like many of the
same rules is anomalous
on its face. I think India
the effect of it can be shown
the rule to be to make sense
of it.

1/8/99

and for
direction in
this particular
case.
18/10.7.99

Draft
11/7/99

[$\frac{G}{24}$]

1,000-25-000

Public DEPARTMENT

C. No. 1894 From the Surgeon General No. 79/330, dated 3.7.1899.

Order
in No. 782 of 14.7.99.

The Govt. of India
will be addressed.

Cs
12.7.99

Letter No. 783.

To the Secy. to the Govt. of India
Amr. Secy.

Sir,

The residents of the
town of Puttur, in the S.
Canara District, having
requested permission to
present to Civil Apothecary
K. Krishna Rao, on his
transfer, a silver watch
of the value of Rs 60.

1
for the valuable services
rendered by him in the
C/ town, I am directed to
inquire, with reference
to para 5 of Govt. of India
Resolution, Home Dept. No.
29-1629-45 dated 22 July
1891, whether the Govt. of India
has any objection to the
proposed presentation.

2. I am at the same
time to draw attention
to para 4 of my letter No.
643 P. dated 11 May
1898, and to request that
the Govt. of India may be
kindred to give a general
ruling as to whether the
relaxation of the rules for
the conduct of public servants
which permits medical
officers to receive pecuniary
recognition of their services
from a community may be
considered to cover cases
in which either the donors,
or the medical officer himself,
prefer that the presentation
should take place.

form that a gift of money
3. I am to state that
in the opinion of this Govt.
it seems anomalous that
while a medical officer is
receive ^a sum of money
from a community or body
of persons, he is not
permitted to receive,
without special sanction,
any other form of gift
of equal or less value

Cy (from orders)
12.7.99 Jk
17-7-99 Jk