

Public (1897) Department.

ORDINARY M.S.

G.O., No. 628

Dated 9-6-99

INDEXED.

[Despatch Abstract.]

Recording papers connected with the question asked at the meeting of the Legislative Council held on the 6th of June 1899 by the Hon'ble P. Ratnasabhapathi Pillai Aol regarding the training of a Deputy Collector as Sub-judge in view of his eventual promotion to one of the Dist Judgeships then open to the Provincial Civil Service.

T
12/6/99

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Public (1899) Department.

CURRENT No.

~~Handwritten signature~~

C no 1568
 U.O no 1483 Pubd 2-699
 Order No 628, 89 June 1899.
 Ans

From
 The Legislative Dept

Recorded

Volun 8-699
 Cms 9-699

No.

Dated 30 May '99

Received 30 " "

Registered 31 " "

Enclosures 4

Spare copies -

SUBJECT.

Forwarding for the preparation of
 draft answers copies of questions
 which will probably be put at
 the meeting of the Legislative Council
 to be held on the 5 June '99, by
 certain honorable members.

BACK

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
------	-----	-------------	-------

The Honourable M.R.Ry. P. RATNASABHAPATI PILLAI.

Public and Revenue Departments.

V. In view to an equitable distribution of the two posts of Collectors and District Magistrates and 4 posts of District and Sessions Judges thrown open to the Provincial Service between the Revenue and Judicial branches of the service, will Government be pleased to arrange for one Deputy Collector being trained as Sub-Judge in view to his eventual promotion to the post of a District and Sessions Judge?

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
------	-----	-------------	-------

VERY URGENT

LEGISLATIVE DEPARTMENT.

Memorandum.

THE accompanying copy of a question* which will probably be put at the meeting of the Legislative Council to be held on the 6th June 1899 is forwarded to the Public Department for favour of the preparation of a draft answer with a note of such other information as may be deemed necessary.

It is requested that the draft answer may be forwarded so as to reach the undersigned before 5 p.m. on Friday the 2nd June 1899

* Question No. 2 of the Hon'ble V, VII, IX, XII, XIV

Ota cunctis
~~Port St. George,~~
30. 5. 1899.

[Signature]
Secretary to Government.

To The Public Department.

P.S.—It is requested that the draft answers together with the notes, if any, may be written only on one side of the paper.

Note

C no 1568

The question appears to be one for decision between the Revenue and ^{the} Judicial Departments.

The point aimed at by the Hon Member is not, however, clear. From page 3 of G.O. N: 5.6 Public, dated the 3rd January 1899, it will be seen that the Provincial ^{Civil} Service consists of 77 Executive and 128 Judicial appointments. The officers of these branches have two collectorates and four District Judgeships open to them, respectively. The proportion fixed would thus appear to be equitable and there seems to be no necessity for one of the 77 Deputy Collectors being trained as a Sub Judge in view of his eventual promotion to the post of a District Judge when the

* Executive

⊗ Judicial

as many as 114 District
 Magistrates from whom selection
 can be, and generally is, made
 for the appointment of
 Sub Judges.

Mem
 31/5/99

Perhaps the following reply
 may be given:-

The proportion of Civil Collec-
 tors to Civil Magistrates is
 almost the same as that of the
 posts in the District branch of the
 Provincial Civil Service to the posts
 in the District branch of that service.
 The Govt. does not see that any
 advantage would be gained by
 the adoption of the proposal
 contained in the Hon'ble
 Member's question.

A

Re: 1000

B

I should simply
 give B for an
 answer.

MA 26/99

[Signature]

Recd Dept

There seems to be no objection
 to the proposed answer

Mem
 31/10/88
 with the postmarked A? The

Leg Dept U.O. No 1483
 2.6.99

proportion has been fixed
 by the Govt of India (at the time)
 and we perhaps need not
 admit that it is open to
 reconsideration, if that is
 what is indirectly hinted
 But after all I do not see
 much harm in the stat.

[Signature]

10 p.m. 27/10/99

Submitted to C.S. The
 paper has been marked
 for judicial by the Rev.
 Dept, but it was not so
 marked by Public, &
 judicial is not at the
 present stage.

See below I
 should give B
 as the answer.

[Signature]

Min. in this paper.

[Signature]

When given for copying _____
When copied _____
When examined _____
When signed _____

189

READ AGAIN G O, No. _____, DATED
189 .

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO C. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT

A A A A A A A

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT

STATEMENT WITH DITTO

1 PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING KEPT FOR DESPATCH
IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.—

A = Copy in full.
H = Not to be copied.
P = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy heading only.