18/1

	For what purpose.					
ate taken out.	Department.	C. No.	Year.	Initials of clerk taking the paper or of attender.	Date returned.	Initials of attender.

[Pub. / 181]
800—27-9-98.

Public (1899) Department.

ORDINARY PRINTED.

G.O., No. 1412

DATED 29th December 99.

INDEXED.

[Despatch Abstract.]

Assistant Professors in the Medical College.

Informing the S.G. and the D.P.I. that the limit of three years referred to in para 29 of G.O. No. 271 Public, d/ 15th March 1897 was intended to take effect, in the cases of Asst Professors then employed in the Medl. College, on the completion by them of a further tenure of their appts for 3 years from the date of the order and declining, with remarks, to reconsider that order.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
Fcno 748 of 1900							

Government (1899) of Madras.

PUBLIC.

Recd.	1899.	*Enclosures*
Regd.		*Spare copies*

G.O., No. 1412, 29th December 1899.

DECEMBER.

Assistant Professors in the Medical College.

Informing the Surgeon-General and the Director of Public Instruction that the limit of three years referred to in paragraph 2 of G.O., No. 271, Public, dated 15th March 1897, was intended to take effect, in the cases of assistant professors then employed in the Medical College on the completion, by them, of a further tenure of their appointments for three years from the date of the order; and declining, with remarks, to reconsider that order.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

PUBLIC DEPARTMENT.

Read—again the following papers :—

G.O., No. 263, Public, dated 12th March 1897.

Abstract.—Requesting the Surgeon-General and the Director of Public Instruction to reconsider and bring up to date on the lines suggested certain proposals for the re-organization of the Medical College staff and its duties and for the officering of the General Hospital.

G.O., No. 271, Public, dated 15th March 1897.

Abstract.—Directing that during the long vacation of the Medical College the assistant professors shall each have full work for six weeks at the General Hospital; and limiting the tenure of assistant professorships, with the exception of the senior one, to three years.

Read—also the following papers :—

From Surgeon-General D. SINCLAIR, M.B., C.S.I., I.M.S., Surgeon-General with the Government of Madras, to the Chief Secretary to Government, dated Madras, 16th November 1899, No. 363.

I have the honour to state that in paragraph 2 of G.O., No. 271, Public, dated the 15th March 1897, the Government agreed with my predecessor in considering that a rule limiting the tenure of assistant professorships in the Madras Medical College—with the exception of the senior one—would be advantageous, and ruled that the limit should in future be three years.

2. This office was under the impression that the new ruling had no retrospective effect but would apply to the then holders of the appointments from the date of the Government order, *i.e.*, that they would have to vacate after three years from 15th March 1897. Accordingly the attention of the Principal of the Medical College was recently drawn by me to the Government order and he was asked to state if he had any particular departmental men in view whom he would wish to have in place of the retiring assistant professors. Lieutenant-Colonel Browne, however, takes a different view of the matter. According to his interpretation the ruling quoted above "does not affect the present assistants of the college, none of whom have been appointed since the Government order in question was passed." He is also of opinion that the words "the limit in future be three years" clearly mean that "men appointed *in future* shall be appointed for a period of three years, for the Government order says nothing whatever about the order being applicable to the present holders of these appointments." The Director of Public Instruction also expresses his concurrence in the Principal's remarks.

3. According to the above contention the rule can only be brought into force after the present assistants have retired in the ordinary course which may not be for the next fifteen or twenty years. I have, therefore, the honour to enquire if the Principal's reading of the Government order is correct.

From the Hon'ble Mr. D. DUNCAN, M.A., D.Sc., LL.D., Director of Public Instruction, to the Secretary to Government, Educational Department, dated 20th November 1899, No. 12932.

I have the honour to submit the enclosed letter from the Principal, Medical College, No. 317, dated 14th November 1899, and to request the favour of being informed as to whether the three years' limit under G.O., No. 271, Public, dated 15th March 1897, was intended to apply to the assistant professors in the Medical College appointed prior to the receipt of that order. If the reply be in the affirmative, then almost the whole staff of assistants at present in the college will have to be replaced by new men on the 1st April 1900, and such an arrangement will, I am persuaded, be most detrimental to the interests of the institution.

2. I beg further to endorse the opinion expressed by the Principal, Medical College, in the concluding sentence of his letter to the Surgeon-General, No. M-1268, dated 4th November 1899, to the effect that a limitation of tenure of the assistant professorships in the Medical College is distinctly disadvantageous to it.

Enclosure.

From the Principal, Medical College, to the Director of Public Instruction, Madras, dated 14th November 1899, No. 317.

With reference to my minute III on letter from the Surgeon-General with the Government of Madras, No. 6275, dated 25th October 1899 (copy of which I attach for convenience of reference), I have the honour to request that the Director will be good enough to approach Government on this subject as regards the change of the whole of the assistants to the professors *en bloc* and to ask if my view on the subject regarding the application of the three years' limit to men appointed in future is or is not the correct one, and that if Government rule that it applies to the present holders and that on the expiry of three years after the promulgation of the Government order in question, all the men previously appointed must vacate *en masse*, Government be asked to reconsider their order in the face of the obvious fact that such a wholesale change in a college where highly technical subjects are taught must certainly tend to wreck the teaching therein for a considerable time after the abrupt change has been brought about.

Sub-Enclosures.

I

From the Surgeon-General with the Government of Madras, to the Principal, Medical College (through the Director of Public Instruction), dated Madras, 25th October 1899, No. 6275.

With reference to paragraph 2 of G.O., No. 271, Public, dated the 15th March 1897, extracted in the margin, the tenure of appointment of the present assistant professors in the college will expire in March next, but as according to your suggestion referred to in paragraph 4 of Surgeon-General Sibthorpe's letter, No. 190, dated 9th March 1896, embodied in the above Government order, it will be necessary to appoint each new assistant professor as supernumerary on the 1st January, so that he may have three months

The Government agrees with the Surgeon-General in considering that a rule limiting the tenure of assistant professors with the exception of the senior one would be advantageous. The limit will in future be three years.

to learn the work from the previous assistant. I have the honour to request that you will be so good as to state in communication with the various professors concerned if there are any particular men in the department whom you would wish to be appointed to replace the present assistants.

II

From the Principal, Medical College, to the Surgeon-General with the Government of Madras (through the Director of Public Instruction), dated Madras, 4th November 1899, No. M.-1268.

With reference to paragraph 2 of the Government order quoted, I have the honour to submit that in my opinion this ruling does not affect the present assistants of the college, none of whom have been appointed since the Government order in question was passed. "The limit will in future be three years" clearly means to me that men appointed *in future* shall be appointed for a period of three years, for the Government order says nothing whatever about the order being applicable to the present holders of these appointments. Had the Government order meant that a three years' limit was to be the tenure of the present assistants, every assistant except one would have had to vacate immediately on the promulgation of the Government order in question, and if it is now meant that all the assistants are to be changed *en bloc* on the 1st April next, then the teaching of the college will be seriously disorganised for the Hospital Assistant department and seriously impaired in the College and Apothecary departments. I must also beg to add that I do not in the least agree with my predecessor that it would be advantageous to make this change and for the reasons given by the Director of Public Instruction in his No. 4377, dated 13th April 1896, quoted in G.O., No. 271, Public, dated 15th March 1897, such a limitation of tenure is, I consider, distinctly disadvantageous to the college.

Endt. No. 559, Educational.

Transferred to the Public Department.

1st December 1899. (Signed) R. W. D'E. ASHE,
Ag. Assistant Secretary.

From the Surgeon-General with the Government of Madras, dated 13th December 1899, No. T-9/11.

PUBLIC DEPARTMENT.

NOTES CONNECTED WITH G.O., No. 1412, PUBLIC, DATED 29TH DECEMBER 1899.

From the Surgeon-General with the Government of Madras, dated 16th November 1899, No. 363.

In connection with the question of the reorganization of the Medical College staff and its duties in 1895, the question arose whether it would not be conducive to all round efficiency for assistant professors in the college to take up practical work in the General Hospital in addition to their college work, and the opinions of the Director of Public Instruction and of the Surgeon-General were called for by Government.

G.O., No. 387, Public, dated 20th May 1895, on page 2 of G.O., No. 271, Public, dated 15th March 1897.

The opinion of these officers was that while they considered the proposal a useful one the assistant professors already had quite as much work in the college as could be daily expected of them and that the carrying out of the proposal would involve, therefore, a reduction in their college duties.

The Surgeon-General was then requested to state whether there was any rule limiting the length of tenure by medical subordinates of the assistant professorships at the college and if not whether it would not be advantageous to put such a limit with a view to their doing practical, as well as theoretical, work. The Surgeon-General's reply was received through the Director of Public Instruction who was averse to the proposal as being impolitic and against the interests of the college. The Surgeon-General, however, thought otherwise and proposed a limit of five years except in the case of the senior assistant. In this view the Surgeon-General was supported by the Principal, Medical College. Government, thereupon, agreed with the Surgeon-General as to the principle but reduced the limit to three years. The order states, "The limit will in future be three years." In the current the question is raised whether the words "in future" are to include the present assistants or whether the order is only to take effect as regards future incumbents. The Director of Public Instruction and the Principal of the College both contend that the order does not affect the present assistants but will only come into effect when they retire and new appointments are made. The Surgeon-General points out, however, that if the order is to remain in *statu quo* till these assistants retire in the ordinary course it will have no force for, possibly, 15 or 20 years. The Surgeon-General's view is that while the order was not intended to have retrospective effect the intention clearly was that the then assistants should retire from the posts three years after the date of the order.

Reference No. 105 on page 9 of G.O., No. 271, Public, dated 15th March 1897.

Of course.
(Intld.) G.S.
29-11-99.
(Intld.) A.T.A.

The point was not raised in the notes at the time and perhaps the order might well have contained a statement on the point, but there is no doubt whatever, it is submitted, that Government intended the order to take effect, in the case of present incumbents, at the very latest, three years from the date thereof. Submitted for orders as to whether an order may now issue making this clear.

Certainly.
(Intld.) A.T.A.
1-12-99.

(Intld.) F.W.G.
27-11-99.

(Intld.) A.R.K.
28-11-99.

(Intld.) G.S.
29-11-99.

For the Under Secretary—

In submitting draft order, as directed, I submit also a further current from the Director of Public Instruction forwarding a letter from the Principal, Medical College, in which the latter requests orders as to the interpretation of the Government Order dealt with in the above note and, if it is to apply to present assistants, requesting its reconsideration on the ground that the change in so many assistants at one time will be detrimental to the interests of the college. The Director of Public Instruction endorses these views.

Orders are requested as to whether this current is to affect the orders passed. The present objections were raised when the subject was considered by Government in 1897, the only new point being that the assistants should not all be changed at the same time.

A paragraph declining reconsideration of the orders passed in 1897 has been added to the draft order, for approval, both currents being read therein.

(Intld.) F.W.G.
3-12-99.

For the Chief Secretary—

The difficulty apprehended if almost all the assistant professors are removed at the same time appears to be a real one. The Director of Public Instruction may perhaps be told that the order will remain in force, but that to prevent inconvenience he may make proposals for extending the three years' period in the case of some of the present assistant professors, so that the whole staff may not be disturbed at once.

(Intld.) A.R.K.
4-12-99.

Yes.

(Intld.) G.S.
5-12-99.

Note by the Hon'ble Mr. A. T. Arundel, C.S.I.

How many of these assistant professors are there, for what subjects, and how long has each held his appointment?

From page 122 of the Quarterly Civil Medical list it would appear that there are six assistant professors :—

(1) Materia Medica, &c.
(2) Medicine.
(3) Anatomy.
(4) Surgery.
(5) Midwifery.
(6) Hygiene.

What is the view of the present Surgeon-General? Enquire d.o.

(Intld.) A.T.A.
11-12-99.

Demi-official from the Chief Secretary to Government, to the Surgeon-General with the Government of Madras, dated 14th December 1899, No. 393.

Please refer to your No. 363, dated 16th ultimo, regarding the tenure of office of assistant professors at the Medical College. The Director of Public Instruction has addressed Government on the same subject, pointing out that if the appointment of each assistant professor is to terminate on the expiry of three years from the date of G.O., No. 271, Public, dated 15th March 1897, almost the whole subordinate staff will be moved at once and much disorganization will result. I am to ask for your opinion on this point, and also to ask you to furnish Government with a statement showing the staff of assistant professors maintained, and the date upon which each officer joined his appointment.

Demi-official from the Surgeon-General with the Government of Madras, to the Chief Secretary to Government, dated Camp, Trichinopoly, 17th December 1899, No. 83.

Your demi-official of the 15th instant.

The present staff of Assistant Surgeons at the college is as follows :—

Name.	When appointed.	Remarks.
(1) Senior Military Assistant Surgeon Hollingsworth, Assistant to the Professor of Materia Medica and Pharmacy.	1st Dec. 1884.	This officer will retire in March 1900 having completed 55 years of age.
(2) Military Assistant Surgeon Staggs, Assistant to the Professor of Chemistry and Lecturer on Physics.	April „	Does not complete 55 years until 1905.
(3) Civil Assistant Surgeon C. B. Rama Row, Assistant to the Professor of Medicine.	4th Dec. 1889.	Do. 1917.
(4) Civil Apothecary G. Rama Row, Assistant to the Professor of Anatomy.	21st Oct. 1890.	Do. 1917.
(5) Civil Apothecary P. Narayanasami Naidu, Assistant to the Professor of Surgery.	27th Aug. 1887.	Do. 1912.
(6) Hospital Assistant N. Ramasami Naidu, No. 89, Assistant to the Professor of Midwifery.	25th Sept. 1895.	Do. 1903.

It will be seen from Surgeon-General Sibthorpe's letter recorded on page 10 of G.O., No. 271, Public, dated 15th March 1897, that he recommended the assistant professorships be made five years' appointments, but Government fixed the limit at three years. It is certainly inconvenient to keep changing every three years and I advise that the matter be allowed to stand over until the question of the reorganization of the three medical schools is finally settled when some of these men may have to be transferred to the Rayapuram Medical school, and then consider whether it is necessary to replace any of the remaining men by fresh assistants.

The Surgeon-General's reply to D.O. No. 393, dated 15th instant, is submitted for orders. It contains a statement of the Assistant Surgeons now employed in the Medical College as assistant professors with the date of appointment of each and the year in which each would, in the ordinary course, have to retire. His recommendations on the subject under consideration are submitted for orders. It will be seen that each of the assistant professors has already held his appointment for more than three years.

(Intld.) F.W.G.
20-12-99.

(Intld.) A.R.K.
23-12-99.

(Intld.) G.S.
25-12-99.

The draft Government Order as modified will, I think, meet the case.

(Intld.) A.T.A.
27-12-99.

ORDER—No. 1412, Public, dated 29th December 1899.

The Surgeon-General and the Director of Public Instruction will be informed that the limit of three years referred to in paragraph 2 of G.O., No 271, Public, dated 15th March 1897, was intended to take effect, in the cases of assistant professors then employed in the Medical College, on the completion, by them, of a further tenure of their appointments for three years from the date of the order.

2. The Government is not prepared to reconsider that order, but will be willing to consider any proposal the Director of Public Instruction may make for extending the period of three years in the case of such of the present assistant professors as the Surgeon-General may select so that a change in the whole staff at the same time may be avoided.

(True Extract.)

G. STOKES,
Chief Secretary.

To the Surgeon-General.
" Director of Public Instruction.
" Educational Department.

Exd. A. F. Eccleston.

439/95 and
[illegible] G.O. 2730/96.

Public (1899) Department.

CURRENT No. 3233

Order

From
Surgeon General D. Sinclair, M.B., C.S.I., I.M.S.,
Surgeon General with the Govt. of Madras.

No. 363.

Dated 16th November

Received } 18-11-99
Registered } Refce 6 PM

Enclosures

Spare copies

[illegible] 21/11/99

SUBJECT.

Enquiring with reference to G.O. No. 271, Public, of 15th March 1897 if the interpretation of the Principal, Medical College that the ruling does not affect the present Assistants of the College is correct.

Ref. M. put up (1) copy of G.O. No 439/95 & (2) [illegible] the rule for reference in G.O. No 271/97 please!
[illegible] 21/11/99

(1) Vide at P. please.
(2) I cannot find any where
[illegible] 22/11/99

Recd 23/11/99

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
271 387 268 439	97 and 95 87 and 95 &c.		

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

---

4 2

Public

~~Educational~~ (1899) Department.

C.W.O. 3233 (vide abst below).

CURRENT No. ~~1798~~ 3341

22 NOV. 1899

MUNL EDL & LEG DEPT.

CHIEF SECRETARY 1-DEC.1899 MADRAS.

Publ. G.O. No 271 d. 15.3.97 Public

to 146 R 6/4/97

DESPATCHED 21 NOV. 1899

38

Pub

Despd 3341 5.30 Pm

Recd Regd 1-12-99 anal: tur

Refre

~~**Order**~~ Endorsement No. 559 / 1-12-99

Transferred to the Public Department.

R. Ache
Ag. asst. Secy
29-11-99

30-11-99

From

The Director of Public Instruction

No 12932

Dated 20th November 1899.

Received 22-11-99

Registered Ref. 23-11-99

Enclosures 2. (one of which in original)

Spare copies

28/11/99

28/11/99

SUBJECT.

Submitting a letter from the Principal, Medical College, and requesting orders as to whether the three years' limit of tenure of the assistant Professorships in that institution was intended to apply to the present incumbents, who were attached to it prior to the receipt of G.O. No 271, Public, dated 15th March 1897.

(The Director is getting on for enclosures this time)

To
The Public Dept.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Public (1899) Department.

CURRENT No. 3480

CHIEF SECRETARY
14 DEC. 1899
GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

Order

From Surgeon General
D. Sinclair M.B.&c.
Surgeon-General
with the Govt. of Madras.

No. T9/11

Dated the 13th December

Received
Registered } 14-12-99

Enclosures

Spare copies

SUBJECT.

Requesting early orders of Government being obtained ~~on~~ the subject regarding the tenure of appointment of assistant Professors in the Madras Medical College.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

NO. 3480 4

Office of Surgeon-General with the Government of Madras,

No. T9/11

Camp, Salem, ~~Madras,~~ the 13th December 1899.

78

From

Surgeon-General D. Sinclair, M.B., C.S.I., I.M.S.,
Surgeon-General with the
Government of Madras,

To

The Hon'ble The Chief SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT,
Public Department.

Sir,

I have the honor to request that you will be good enough to obtain early orders of Government on my letter No.363 dated the 16th November 1899 regarding the tenure of appointment of Assistant Professors in the Madras Medical College referred to in G.O.No.271, Public, dated 15th March 1897.

I have the honor to be
sir
Your most obedient servant

D Sinclair M.G. Surgeon-General

Surgeon-General with the Government of Madras.

CM

[G/24]
1,000—25-3-99

C. 30.11.99
R. 2.12.99

Draft go
C. 11-12-99
R 11-12-99

~~15~~ 5 18

Public DEPARTMENT.

Asst Professors in Med College.

Cof C

(Notes connected with Public G.O. No. 1412 d 29/12/99

C. No. 3233 From the Surgn Genl, No. 363, dated 16-XI-1899.

" .... " " .... , " .... , " .... 189 .

" .... " " .... , " .... , " .... 189 .

" .... " " .... , " .... , " .... 189 .

G.O. 387 Pub
20.6.95 on page
2 of G.O. 271 Pub d/15/3/97. C

Note

In connection with the question of the reorganisation of the Medl College Staff in 1895, & its duties, the question arose whether it would not be conducive to all round efficiency for Asst Professors in the College to take up practical work in the Genl Hospital in addition to their College work & the opinions of the D P I & of the S G were called for by Govt.

The opinion of these officers was that while they considered the proposal a useful one the Assistant Professors already had quite as much work in the College as coul be daily expected of them & that t carrying out of the proposal wou involve, therefore, a reduction m their College duties.

The SG was then requested to state whether there was any rule limiting the length of tenure by medical subordinates of the Asst Professorships at the College & if not whether it wd not be advantageous to put such a limit with a view to their doing practical, as well as theoretical, work. The SG's reply was received through the DPI who was averse to the proposal as being impolitic & against the interests of the College. The SG, however, thought otherwise & proposed a limit of five years except in the case of the Senior Assistant. In this view the SG was supported by the Principal, Med College. Govt, thereupon, agreed with the SG as to the principle but reduced the limit to three years. The order states — "The limit will in future be 3 years." In the Current the question is raised whether the words "in future" are to include the present Assistants or whether the order is only to take effect as regards future incumbents. The DPI & the Principal of the College

Ref No 105 — on page 9 of Bo 271 Pub d/15/3/57. C

C No 3233.

---

both contend that the order does not affect the present Assistants but will only come into effect when they retire & new appointments are made. The SG points out, however, that if the order is to remain in ~~force~~ statu quo till these Assistants retire in the ord of course it will have no force for, possibly, 15 or 20 years. The SG's view is that while the order was not intended to have retrospective effect the intention clearly was that the then Assistants shd retire from the posts three years after the date of the order.

The point was not raised in the notes at the time & perhaps the order might well have contained a statement on the point but there is no doubt whatever, it is subm^d, that Govt intended the order to take effect, in the case of present incumbents, at the very latest, three years from the date thereof. Submitted for orders as to whether ~~that~~ an order may now issue

Ord A Y comm:

making this clear.

F.W.S.
27/xi/99

AH
28/xi/99

For the U.S.

In submg draft order, as directed, I submit, also, a further Current from the Director of Public Instn forwarding a letter from the Prin, Med Coll, in which the latter requests orders as to the interpretation of the GO dealt with in the above note & if it is to apply to present Assistants, requesting its reconsideration on the ground that the change in so many Assts at one time will be detrimental to the interests of the College. The D.P.I. endorses these views.

Orders are requested as to whether this Current is to affect the orders passed. The present objections were raised when the subject was considered by Govt in /97, the only new point being that the Assistants should not

Certainly
AH
1.12.99.

Drft.

all be ~~mos~~ changed at the same time.

A para declining reconsideration of the orders passed in /97 has been added to the Dft order, for approval, both Currents being read therein.

F.W.S.
3/xii/99

C.S. The difficulty apprehended if almost all the Asst. Professors are removed at the same time appears to be a real one. The D.P.I. may perhaps be told that the order will remain in force, but that to prevent inconvenience he may make ~~[illegible]~~ proposals ~~or will~~ for extending the three year period in the case of some of the present assistant Professors, so that the whole staff may not be disturbed at once.

AH
4/xii/99

Yes.

5/12

How many of these Asst Profs. are there, for what subjects, & how long has each held his appt.

From p. 122 of the Quarterly C.M. list it wd appear that there are 6 Asst Profs.

1. Materia Medica &c.
2. Medicine
3. Anatomy.
4. Surgery.
5. Midwifery.
6. Hygiene.

What is the view of the present S.G. Enquire d.o.

AH 11.12.99.

Drft.

13. 88

K 14.12.99

Copied 14.12.99

393 14.12.99

Public Dept

C No 3233

No. 393 From orders 14.12.1899

D/o. 15.12.99

Regarding the tenure of office of Asst. Professors at the Med. College. The D.P.I. has addressed Govt. on the same subject, pointing out that if the appt. of each Asst. Professor is to terminate on the expiry of three years from the date of Govt. G.O. 271 Pub. d 15/3/97, the whole subordinate staff will be moved at once & much disorganisation will result. I am to ask for your opinion on this point, & to also ask you to now furnish Govt. with a statement showing the staff of Asst. Professors maintained, & the date upon which each officer joined his appt.

13/xii/99

14/12/99

15/xii/99

My Dear Surgn Genl -

~~With reference~~ Please refer to your No 363 of 16th ultimo ~~will you please favour me with your views~~ As to whether it ~~will~~ would be detrimental to the teaching interests of the Medical College to permit the three years limit prescribed in respect of the Asst Professors now engaged to take effect in the cases of all of them at the same time.

If you should consider it desirable that the change ~~of Assts~~ should be made to take effect more gradually will you please state how many Assts there are, for what subjects they are, respy. appointed & how long each has held his appt., together with any suggestions you may be prepared to offer for bringing the limited tenure into effect without inju ~~to College work~~.

Yours sincerely

Surgeon Major General D. Sinclair M.B., C.I.E., I.M.S.

Desp'd 15/12/99

[6/9]
500—20-4-98.

~~14~~ 11

(1899) Department.

D. O. No. 844

Refd to D.O. 393.

From Surgeon-General D. Sinclair
I.M.S.

D. O.

D.O.-No. 83.

Dated 17 }
Received 19 } December 1899.
Registered 19 }
Enclosures nil
Spare copies.

SUBJECT.

Forwarding, with remarks, a list showing the staff of Assistant Surgeons attached to the Medical College, together with the date of appointment of each.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

D.O. No. 644

12

D.O. No. 83

Camp Trichinopoly
the 17th December 1899.

CHIEF SECRETARIAT
19 DEC. 1899
GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

9.8

My dear Mr. Stokes

Your D.O. of the 15th instant.

The present staff of Assistant Surgeons at the College is as follows:-

Name	When appointed	Remarks.
(1) Senior Mil. Asstt Surgeon Hollingsworth, Asstt to the Professor of Materia Medica & Pharmacy.	1st Dec. 1884	This Officer will retire in March 1900 having completed 55 years of age.
(2) Mil. Asstt Surgeon Stagg Asstt to the Professor of Chemistry and Lecturer on Physics.	April 1884	Does not complete 55 years until 1905
(3) Civil Asstt Surgeon C. B. Rama Rau, Asstt to the Professor of Medicine	4th Dec. 1889	—do— 1917
(4) Civil Apothy G. Rama Row, Asstt to the Professor of Anatomy	21st Oct. 1890	—do— 1917

15.

D.O. No. 644

BACK PAPERS.

13

(5) Civil Apothy P. Narasama-sami Naidu, Asstt to the Professor of Surgery —	27 Augt 1887	Does not complete 55 years until 1912.
(6) Hospl Asstt N. Ramasami Naidu No 89 Asstt to the Professor of Midwifery	25th Septr 1895	— do — 1903.

(7)

It will be seen from Surgeon General Sibthorpe's letter recorded on page 10 of G.O. No 271 Public, dated 15th March 1897, that he recommended the Asstt Professorships & be made 5 years appointments but Govt fixed the limit at 3 years. It is certainly inconvenient to keep changing every 3 years and I advise that the matter be allowed to stand over until the question of the reorganisation of the three medical schools is finally settled - when some of these men may have to be transferred to the Royapuram Medical School - and then consider whether it is necessary to replace any of the remaining men by fresh assistants.

Yours Sincerely

D Sinclair

The S.G. has omitted the Asst to the Prof of Hygiene.

The present Asst Professor is not a med. Subordinate, but is an outsider appointed in 1892 for this special post (vide G.O. 38 Edul. d. 14/1/92). The rule limiting the tenure of appointment is apparently not applicable to his case

This is a question under disposal in the Edul Dept.

25/

...nothing, will remark on a ... leaving the ... Assistant Surgeon ... the date of attachment

C 26/12/99
R 27/12/99

~~7~~ 15

4 P

D/O No 644

Public Dept.

The SG's* reply to D/O No 393 d/15th inst is submd for orders. It ~~gives~~ contains a statement of the Asst Surgeons now employed in the Medical College as Asst Professors with the date of appointment of each & the year in wh each would, in the ordy course, have to retire. His recommendations on the subject under considerations are submd for orders. It will be seen that each of the Asst Professors has already held his offn for more than three years.

Fwd
20/12/99

AK
23/xii/99

25/12/99

(* page 15 of the file of Currents)

A

Hon. Mr. Arundel C.

(See p 9)

The draft E.O. as modified will I think meet the case.
ALt 27.12.99

Issue. 28/12/99

[$\frac{G}{24}$]
500—1 -99.

C. 11-12-99
R 11-12-99

16

Public DEPARTMENT.

108
Y

G.O.No.263 Public, dated 13-3-97
,, 271 ,, ,, 15-3-97 } = P

Send to Press at once - please
29/12/99

C. No. 3233 From the Surgeon-General, No. 363, dated 16-11-1899.
„ 3341 „ „ Director of Public Instruction, 12932, „ 20-11-1899.
„ 3480 „ „ S.G., 1/9/11, „ 13-12-1899.
D/o. 644 „ „ — Do — , „ 83, „ 17-12-1899.

CS
Para 2 is submitted for approval with ref. to Cur 3341.

AA 27.12.99

Issue
29/12

as the S.G. may select

AA

ORDER No. 1412, D. 29.12.99.
P.S.

The Surgeon-General and the D.P.I. will be informed that the limit of three years referred to in para 3 of G.O.No.271 Public, dated 15th March 1897 was intended to take effect, in the cases of Assistant Professors then employed in the Medl. College, on the completion, by them, of a further tenure of their appointments for three years from the date of the order.

2. The Government is not prepared to reconsider that order, but will be willing to consider any proposal the D.P.I. may make for extending the period of three years in the cases of such [~~some~~] of the present Asst. Professors so that ~~the order under reference may be brought into full operation without~~ a change in the whole staff at the ~~undue~~ same time may be avoided

9.xii.99

8/12/99

~~10~~

undue disturbance of the College work by replacing with new men a large proportion of the staff at one time.

J.W.G.
6/xii/99

TO

The Surgeon-General.
,, D.P.I.
,, Educl. Dept.

Despd
12-1-1900.

First copy sent to Press.

Returned for signature.

Despatched in print.

NUMBER OF COPIES REQUIRED.
Order complete.
Letter only.
Order complete with Letter.

P
Of the 50 Copies please send 20 copies stitched with the yellow notes and the rest without notes & 4 sign copies to despatch

2/1/1900

READ AGAIN G.O., No. DATED 189

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO C. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT.

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.—
A = Print in full.
B = Not to be printed.
F = Print abstract only.
Q = Print heading only.

Ward Form.