

Public (1899) Department.

ORDINARY MS.

G.O., No. 1406

Dated 23-12-99

INDEXED.

[Despatch Abstract.]

Legislative Council Questions — Recording certain papers connected with the — put by the Hon'ble B. Ratnasabhapathi Pillai at the Council held on the 19th instant.

T
23/12/99

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

I

C. 10 12 99
R 11 12 99

XII

copied 2/12/99

I think exception may be taken to the new form of the Question which is addressed to H.E. and not to the Govt. This form if repeated may lead to inconveniences. If the question is addressed to H.E., how can a Secy. to Govt. answer it? The usual form Public Department. should I think be adhered to.

The Hon'ble M.R.Ry. P. RATNASABHAPATI PILLAI Avargal :-

XVI (a) Have any proposals for the improvement of the position and prospects of the Civil Hospital Assistants been formulated and approved in principle by your Excellency's Government and the Government of India?

(b) If so, will Government be pleased to state why the scheme has been held in abeyance?

The following replies are submitted for approval :-

(a) Yes.

(b) [The scheme is in force in other parts of India but] the Govt. of India have decided that the scheme in question is not applicable to at present, but introduced in this Presidency.

8/12/99

9-12-99

11/12/99

11/12/99

To the Secy. Dept. W.O.

Note

with copy of H.E.'s note (I)

(b) above is of very doubtful admissibility under the last clause of Rule 6 of Rules under I.C. Act for asking questions. This has been a subject of controversy between the Secy. to Govt. and the local Govt. (b) is not a question of fact. The question is for order.

11/12/99

By omitting the first portion of the proposed answer (b) the controversial element is excluded.

Order 1406 d/23/12/99

Recorded

11/12/99

Note for the Under Secretary

With reference to the C's
instructions below that "League
must see to the amendment of
the form of the question", it
is respectfully requested whether
a copy of H.M.'s note may
be sent to the League Dept
along with the fair copy
of the proposed reply.

CV. 99
12/12/99

Y.S. - V.L.

OK
12/21/99

A A A A

82-1032
and
to Public