

CONFIDENTIAL.

[Pub.]
50
500-18-6-99.

Public (1899) Department.

ORDINARY MS.

G.O., No. 1295

Dated 17. 11. 88

INDEXED.

[Despatch Abstract.]

Dr. Hultzsch.

Addressing the Govt. of India
on the subject of reckoning the period
of five years for which the employment
of — as Govt. Epigraphist in this Resy.
has been sanctioned by the Secy. of State.

Ordinarily PRINTED IN G.O., dated

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
	7C no 3413 of 89.				1378 14-12-89		

Public (1899) Department.

CURRENT No. 3118

From

The Government Epigraphist

Order

No. 277

Dated 3rd November 1899.

Received } 6-11-89

Registered

Enclosures

Spare copies

see 11/11/89

SUBJECT.

Requesting to be informed from what date the retention of the Govt. Epigraphist for 5 years is to be counted.

Ref. Pl. put up 4.11.92

no 1045-6158 and 30 no.

931/98 (1) ref. no. 1045-6158

attached 4.11.92 + 30 no. 1045-6158

Put up 11/11/89

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
1019	20	11-9	11
1045	46	gfb	
R 973	gfb		
R 114	gfb		
813-64	gfb		
731	gfb		

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Pub. No. 1295 8/12/11/18 8/18
No. 277
Cotacamund
3rd November 1899.

Qu

From

The Government Epigraphist

To

The Chief Secretary to Government,
Madras.

Sir,

G

In para. 3 of the Secretary of
State's despatch printed in G.O.
No. 1019, Public, dated 11th September
1899, sanction has been accord-
ed to the retention of the Govern-
ment Epigraphist only for
a period of five years. At
Dr. Hultzsch's request I
have the honor to enquire
from what date this period
of

of five years is to be counted.
I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

for Epigraphist

CHIEF SECRETARY
-5 NOV. 1899
GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

[G.]
124
1,000-10-98.

Public DEPARTMENT

Foot Epigraphist.

C. No. 5113 From the Govt. Epigraphist, No. 277, dated 5-11-1899.

189
189
189

For Orders.

See copies of telegrams flagged X
in G.O.No.931, Pub., d/ 20-7-98.

Page 3 of G.O.No.1019, Public, d/ 11-9-99

Dr. Hultzsch's term of service expired March 31st 1898 and a telegram was sent by India to the Secretary of State informing the latter that the Dr's. services were being retained pending orders on "general proposals". The Secretary of State's reply was "proposal sanctioned".

2. The "general proposals" were made by India in its Despatch to Secretary of State No.31, dated 16-6-98, in para 5 of which it was proposed to retain Dr. Hultzsch's services permanently, (this was clearly an advance on the former proposal, made nearly 3 months earlier, to retain him pending orders on "general proposals"-).

Despatch No.114, Rev., d/18-5-99 on page 5 of same G.O.

3. The Secretary of State's reply did not sanction the proposal

to

2
to retain Dr. Hultzsch's services permanently but sanctioned the proposals generally "for five years".

4. The question now raised in the current is, from what date this five years is to count in the case of Dr. Hultzsch. It ~~is submitted~~ ^{would appear} that the date of commencement should be the 1st April 1898, from which date the retention of the Doctor's services, pending orders on the general proposals, was sanctioned in the Secretary of State's telegram quoted in para. 1 supra. It may be pointed out, however, that in the case of Mr. Rea (Supt. Archaeological Survey) this Govt. recommended to India that Mr. Rea be permitted to draw his increments from the date of the Secretary of State's despatch and but for the fact that Dr. Hultzsch's term of agreement expired on March 31st 1898, the five years ~~3~~ would naturally count, in his case, from the date of the Secretary of State's despatch. The question is submitted for orders.

14/11/99 CS. This Govt. cannot settle the question, & the following letter to India asking for the necessary information is therefore submitted:

Letter to the Secy to the Govt of India
No. 1295 d/17.11.99. + Agnc.
18/11/99
Sd/-

Letter No 1020 Pub XV
11-9-99

3 Print 20
Sir,

I am directed to refer to Mr. Machonochie's letter dated 13-6-99 No. 1954-52, communicating to this Govt. the orders of the Secretary of State in regard to the future organisation of the Archaeological Department. Dr. Hultzsch, Govt. Epigraphist in this Presidency, has enquired from what date the period of five years for which his retention has been sanctioned should be reckoned. Dr. Hultzsch's agreement expired on 31st March 1898, but his retention, pending orders on the scheme for the reorganisation of the Archaeological Department was sanctioned by the Secy. of State at the instance of the Govt. of India. I am directed to enquire whether the period of five years for which his employment is now definitely sanctioned in the despatch from the Secy. of State d/18-5-99 No. 114, should be reckoned from the date of the despatch, or whether the sanction must be held to have retrospective effect, and the period of five years should be reckoned from the day following the termination of Dr. Hultzsch's previous agreement, namely April 1st 1898.

15/11/99 11.11.99

When given for copying _____
When copied _____ } 189
When examined _____
When signed _____

READ AGAIN G.O., No. _____, DATED _____
189 .

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO C. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.—

A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied.
P = Copy abstract only
Q = Copy heading only