14/11/99

Pub.
30]
—12-6-99.

Public (1899) Department.

ORDINARY MS.

G.O., No. 1276

Dated 13. 11. 99

INDEXED.

[Despatch Abstract.]

Recording papers connected with the grant of allowances to medical subordinates on plague duty.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

1

Public

~~Local & Munl.~~ (1899) Department.

CURRENT No. 1809 ~~15089~~

CHIEF SECRETARIAT 26. JUN. 1899 GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT 15. JUN. 1899 LOCAL & MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT

CURRENT No. 1809
D/ 23/6

~~Order~~ Endt no 1300 24-6-99

Forwarded to the Public Department. Attention is invited to para 2 of the Govt of India letter read in G.O. No 175 P. dy 3d February 1899. 1G

C. S. Weir

Secretary to Government

Inms
19/6/99

To

The Chief Secretary to Government
Public Department

Recd }
Regd } 26.6.99
Enclos. 3.

From Surgeon General C. Sibthorpe,
C.B., F.R.C.P.I.
Surgeon General with the Govt. of Madras.

No. J/285

C.R.

Dated the 15th June

Received at Ooty 15-6-99
at Madras 17-6-99

Registered 17-6-99

Enclosures 3. C No 1229 P. utd.

Spare copies.

SUBJECT.

Submits his remarks regarding plague allowance to Hospital Assistants and Civil Apothecaries.

962

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
	618	Pub.	5-6-99 Rl.
	207	"	18-2-99.
	414	"	9-3-98.
	848	"	1-7-97 Rl.
	322	"	23-3-97 Rl
	162	Fin.	18-2-97 Rl

L.F. Code.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Current No. 962/1 of 1899

Plague.

L. & M.
1,000—16-5-

Local & Munl. (1899) Department.

CURRENT No. 1229 P.

OFFICE OF SURGEON-GENERAL WITH GOVT. OF MADRAS
3 JUN. 1899

1 18/285 15/6/99

From The Accountant General

Ref on Cur 1229 P

A S

~~Order~~

Forwarded to the Surgeon General with the Government of Madras for favour of early remarks.

A Edgington
for Secretary to Government

2/6/99

No. L7D/163

Dated 29th May 1899

Received }
Registered } 31st May 1899.

Enclosures one.

Spare copies

SUBJECT.

Forwarding, with remarks, letter from the Plague Commr. re plague allowance to Hospital Assistants and Civil Apothecaries.

4

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

LB/7

Enclosure No. 2 to Letter No. 8/285 d 15/6/99

ACCOUNTANT GENERAL'S OFFICE MADRAS 3 MAY 899

Plague Commissioner's Office,
FORT ST. GEORGE,
Dated 1st May 1899.

Ref. on: C. No. 4626.

From

L. E. BUCKLEY, Esq., I.C.S.,
Plague Commissioner,

To

The Chief Secretary to Government,
Public Department.
~~Sir~~, through the Secretary to Government L & M Dept.
& the Accountant-General.

Sir,

C & D Under G. Os. No.162 Finl., dated 18-2-97 c & No.77P, dated 22-2-98 d a Hospital Assistant deputed to duty solely connected with plague is eligible to an allowance of Rs.10 a month or annas 8 a day when the plague duty involves personal risk and under G. O. No. 322 Public, dated 23-3-97 E an Apothecary similarly employed on plague duty may claim an allowance of Rs.25 per mensem. In both the cases the grant of the allowance is subject to the condition that the officer is deputed to a distance from the scene of his ordinary work. It has nowhere been explained what constitutes deputation to a distance. The condition is not to be found in any of the orders of the Government of India sanctioning plague allowances, and the definition of "deputation" given in article 94 of the Civil Service Regulations does not require that the officer deputed should be transferred to special duty from a distance. It is true that the distance condition is to be found in Art.51A of the L F Code, but this article was probably based upon the former of the 2 Government orders above quoted. Of the allowances granted to Hospital Assistants the lower is granted, presumably to replace the charge allowance which is usually lost by the transfer, though the reason is not clear. The higher allowance is granted for the same reason and to cover the extra risk. The risk is the same whether the officer is deputed to a distance or not. No such differentiation of allowances is made in the case of Civil Apothecaries. Under Art.51A, L F Code, a Civil Apothecary in independent charge deputed to a distance for plague duty, may continue to draw charge allowance. Those not in independent charge draw Rs.25. It may be noted that almost every Civil Apothecary draws a charge allowance of Rs.50, and he gains nothing by being transferred to plague duty even if he attends on plague patients, and probably loses a certain amount of private practice. I venture to suggest that the allowances to Civil Apothecaries require revision. It may be said that there have been no complaints that the allowances are insufficient. But very few Civil Apothecaries have been deputed to plague duty. It would be useful if all the orders relating to allowances to Civil Apothecaries & Hospital Assistants were now combined in one order. I propose the following draft order. I have taken care that arrangements should be made as far as possible that officers of these classes should not be allowed to attend to plague patients as well as doing ordinary duty.

Draft order.

1. In supersession of former orders the following plague allowances may be granted to Civil Apothecaries & Hospital Assistants.

A.	To officers of the above classes required to do duties in connexion with plague, such as the inspection of passengers by road, rail & sea, & of passport holders, ~~duties~~ which are additional to & entirely outside the sphere of their ordinary duties............................	Local allowance not exceeding 1/5th salary.
B.	To Civil Apothecaries when relieved of ordinary duties & ~~deputed~~ appointed (1) to do light plague duty, such as the inspection of passengers by rail,	

road & sea, or of passport holders...........Rs. 50 per mensem.

(II) to attend on plague patients...............* ~~Requires orders; on the analogy of Hospital Assistants, it should be Rs.~~ 75.

C. To Hospital Assistants when ~~deputed~~ relieved of their ordinary duties, and appointed

(I) to do light plague duty ~~etc~~ such as the inspection of passengers by rail, road, or sea, or of passport holders...........Rs.10 per mensem.

(II) to attend on plague patients...............,,.15. Do.

2. No extra allowance is admissible in the case of officers whose ordinary and legitimate work such as that of attending the sick in Hospital, has been increased owing to the occurrence of plague.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

2/5

Plague Commissioner.

* Requires orders. On the analogy of Hospital Assistants, it sh'd be Rs 75.

2/5/88

14

1
Cno 1229 P

CNo. 1229 P.

Enclosure No. 3. to Letter No. 8/285 d/15/6/99

P. C.
31 MAY 1899

H

Forwarded.

2. The existing rule as regards civil apothecaries is contained in Article 51 A, Local Fund Code which is based on Government order No 848 - Public dated 18th July 1897. It rests with Government to decide whether the higher allowances now proposed by the Plague Commissioner should be sanctioned and the same may be said of the abolition of the distance condition at present imposed in the case both of civil apothecaries and Hospital assistants. The Plague Commissioner does not say whether the Surgeon General desires these changes.

H

Go 618 / 5.6.99 F.

3. Reference is invited to this office endorsement 1 No 590 dated 14th February 1899 in which the case of a civil apothecary doing plague work in addition to his ordinary duties was discussed and an ambiguity (which is not removed in the present draft) as to the signification of "Local allowance not exceeding $\frac{1}{5}$ salary" was pointed out.

4

4. A few verbal alterations are suggested in the draft as shown in red ink but if a Consolidated order is to be issued it would seem advisable to include all existing orders as to Local allowances, deputation allowances, and leave concessions on account of plague.

No. 273/163

Fort St George
Accountant General's Office
29th May 1899

W. D. Dawson
Offg Accountant General.

To

The Chief Secretary to Government
Public Department

Through The Secretary to Government
Local & Municipal Department.

189 9
Pub Dept.
Bundle No. 3
No
C. No 1809

~~CURRENT NO. 1809 C. No 1508 P~~

[1]

No. 18/285

OFFICE OF SURGEON-GENERAL
WITH THE GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS,
Camp ~~Madras~~ Ootacamund the 15th June 1899.

From

SURGEON-GENERAL C. SIBTHORPE, C.B., F.R.C.P.I.,
SURGEON-GENERAL WITH THE
GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS,

To

THE ~~HON'BLE THE CHIEF~~ SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT,
Local and Municipal DEPARTMENT.

SIR,

Adverting to your Reference on Current No. 1229 P. dated 2nd June 1899, herewith returned, I have the honour to report for the information of Government that the Plague Commissioner's draft order is practically an abstract of the various orders on the subject except in the following instances:-

He proposes an allowance of Rs. 50 to Civil Apothecaries on light plague duty and Rs. 75 when required to attend on plague patients, without however making any difference between Apothecaries withdrawn from their charge and those who had no charge and were only doing duty on bare grade pay so that by his proposal doing duty Apothecaries draw an extra allowance of Rs. 50 by such deputation but men in independent charge get nothing more than what they actually draw in their own appointment

14

appointments while on the other hand they lose private practice. This will cause discontent.~~ment~~

2. I would therefore suggest that Apothecaries deputed for plague duty should draw in addition to the full salary and charge allowance, if any, already drawn by them, a monthly allowance of Rs. 30 for light plague duty and Rs. 45 if required to attend on plague patients.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant,

C. Sibthorpe
Surgeon-General,
Surgeon-General
with the Govt. of Madras.

Cls

15

Public Dept

Current No. 1809

Endt. No. 1834 d/13-7-99.

Retransmitted to the Accountant General for favour of remarks with refce. to the suggestion in para 2 of the Surgeon Genl's letter.

2. Under G.O. No. 618 Public dated 5th June 1899, the amount of the allowance to be granted with reference to item (a) of the Plague Commr's proposed order, will be decided by Govt. in each case.

3. With advertence to para 4 of his endorsement of the 29th May 1899, the Acct. Genl. is requested to submit a list of the rulings which he considers must be included in the consolidated order to be now issued.

Cls
4.7.99.

[illegible]
5.7.99.

Issue
Cls
12.7.99

To the Acct. Genl.
with papers in orig. -

Desp'd with Encs.
13/7/99

[illegible]

Finl. Dept

For the CS

Subd. that, as proposed by Public, the A.G. may be first asked for his views. The whole question can then be dealt with more easily

CwS
12. Dec

for.

Public

~~Local & Munl.~~ (1899) Department.

CURRENT No. 2481

From The Accountant General -Madras

Order

No. L.F.D / 491

Dated 5th September 1899

Received 6 SEP 1899

Registered 8 "

Enclosures 1 & c no 1809 Encls retd.

Spare copies

SUBJECT.

Stating that there is no account objection to the Surgeon General's proposal regarding the allowances to the apothecaries while on plague duty & while attending plague patients, and that appen O to Local Fund code contains all orders regarding allowances to officers on plague d

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Recd
by R
20.8.99

Compared

Ty

Addenda and Corrigenda to the Local Fund Code.

83.

Insert as Appendix O to the Local Fund Code—

APPENDIX O.

CHAPTER I.—ORDERS RELATING TO PLAGUE OFFICERS AND THEIR REMUNERATION.

Civil Hospital Assistants.

1. The allowances of a Hospital Assistant doing plague work should be regulated as follows :—

		RS.	
(*a*) When deputed to a distance from the scene of his ordinary work.	(i) To do light plague duty, such as inspection of passengers at the railway station	10	a month.
	(ii) To attend on plague patients	15	
(*b*) When required to do *additional* plague work, such as the inspection of passengers by road and railway, which is entirely separate from, and outside the sphere of, his ordinary duties.	Local allowance not exceeding one-fifth of salary.*		

1. No extra allowance is admissible in the case of officers whose ordinary and legitimate work, such as that of attending on the sick in hospital, has been increased owing to the occurrence of plague. c/

(G.O., No. 1153 P., dated 23rd September 1898.)

c/

2. A Hospital Assistant who is relieved of his ordinary duties in order that he may inspect passengers at his own station is entitled to no allowance.

(P.C.'s Pro. No. 732, dated 19th November 1898.)

3. The grant of conveyance allowance to Hospital Assistants for plague duty requires the special sanction of Government.

(P.C.'s Pro. No. 732, dated 19th November 1898.)

4. A Hospital Assistant posted to an unhealthy locality, for which local allowance has been sanctioned, may, so long as he remains at that place, draw this allowance in addition to any plague allowance to which he is entitled under these rules. a/

(A.-G.'s Letter No. 388, L.F.D., dated 31st July 1899.)

---

* As the office of Plague Commissioner is in abeyance, the amount to be allowed in each case should be fixed with the sanction of Government. c/

(G.O., No. 618, Public, dated 5th June 1899.)

5. Hospital Assistants attached to plague inspection stations, or to the ambulance staff, are entitled to house-rent in lieu of free quarters, even though the usual conditions as to the hired quarters being within 200 yards of the station, &c., are not fulfilled.

(P.C.'s Pro. Nos. 907 and 715, dated 13th December 1898 and 14th March 1899.)

6. Hospital Assistants attached to the ambulance staff should not be paid any extra allowance so long as they are merely held in readiness. When, however, they are on actual plague duty, they will be entitled to a daily allowance of eight annas per diem.

(G.O., No. 445 P., dated 21st March 1899.)

Civil Apothecaries.

7. A special allowance of Rs. 25 per mensem may be granted to Civil Apothecaries deputed to a distance from the scene of their ordinary work to duties solely connected with plague. In the case, however, of any such Apothecaries who, at the time of their deputation as above, were in independent charge, the full charge allowances of their grades should be continued to them, in lieu of the allowance referred to.

(G.O., No. 848, Pub., dated 1st July 1897.)

8. A local allowance not exceeding one-fifth of salary * may be granted to Civil Apothecaries when required to do additional plague work, such as the Medical inspection of passengers by road and railway, which is entirely separate from, and outside the sphere of, their ordinary duties.

(G.O., No. 618, Public, dated 5th June 1899.)

9. Civil Apothecaries deputed to plague duty are not entitled to free quarters, or compensation in lieu thereof, and must therefore arrange for their own accommodation.

(G.O., No. 322, Pub., dated 23rd March 1897.)

Civil Assistant Surgeons.

10. Temporary Civil Assistant Surgeons employed on plague duty may be granted the following allowances :—

(a) Pay at the rate of Rs. 100 a month.
(b) A special allowance of Rs. 2 a day.
(c) Travelling allowance to and from the place to which they are appointed.

(G.O., No. 683, Pub., dated 1st June 1897.)

Private Practitioners.

11. When private practitioners are employed on plague duty, they may be paid 50 per cent. in addition to the rates of pay assigned to the various classes of Hospital Assistants in the Government service. Thus a private Hospital Assistant, who passed less than seven years before the date of appointment, would receive Rs. 25 + Rs. 12-8-0, or Rs. 37-8-0 per mensem, and so on. They will also receive compensation for free quarters at the rate fixed for Government Hospital Assistants.

(G.O., No. 724, Pub., dated 10th June 1897.)

1. The above are the only allowances to which private practitioners are entitled, as their remuneration has been fixed at a higher rate than the corresponding classes of Government employés.

Uncovenanted Medical Service.

12. The Surgeon-General is authorized to appoint men to the Uncovenanted Medical Service for plague duty at such rates of pay not exceeding Rs. 350 a month as he may find necessary.

(G.O., No. 1494, Pub., dated 16th November 1897.)

Additional Medical Officers.

13. Medical officers specially appointed for plague duty are sent wherever they may be required, and the stations to which they are posted should therefore be considered their head-quarters under Article 69, Civil Service Regulations. They are not entitled to any daily allowance for halts at those stations.

(G.O., No. 1457 P., dated 16th November 1898.)

Military Assistant Surgeons.

14. A daily deputation allowance on the following scale may be granted to Military Assistant Surgeons on the effective list (whether transferred from Civil or Military duty), when deputed on plague duty :—

	RS.
(i) Military Assistant Surgeons on pay not exceeding Rs. 150	2
(ii) Military Assistant Surgeons on pay exceeding Rs. 150	3

15. Military Assistant Surgeons of all grades should be allowed, while on plague duty, to draw, in addition to the deputation allowance referred to above, any acting or charge or house allowance they may have been drawing at the time of their deputation to plague duty, and which they would have continued to draw but for such deputation.

(G.O., No. 1934 M., dated 4th September 1897.)

16. Senior Assistant Surgeons with the honorary rank of Surgeon-Lieutenant or Surgeon-Captain, who are deputed on plague duty, may be granted allowances at the same rates as are admissible, under Article 101 of the Civil Service Regulations, to officers of the Indian Medical Service in civil employ.

(G.O., No. 1301 P., dated 11th October 1898.)

Retired Military Assistant Surgeons.

17. Retired Military Assistant Surgeons may be appointed for plague duty on condition that they are able to present a medical certificate of

---

* As the office of Plague Commissioner is in abeyance, the amount to be allowed in each case should be fixed with the sanction of Government.

Vide foot note on previous page

physical fitness. The appointments should, in the first place, be made for periods not exceeding six months.

18. The officers so appointed may receive the pay of their grade at the time of retirement, with an allowance of Rs. 100 a month, provided that their salary, inclusive of pension, shall not, as a rule, exceed a maximum of Rs. 350 a month. The Local Government may, however, raise this limit to Rs. 500 a month in special cases.

(G.I., No. 339, dated 21st April 1897.)

Military Hospital Assistants.

19. Military Hospital Assistants on plague duty are, in addition to the allowances given to Civil Hospital Assistants (*vide* rule 1 above), entitled to grain compensation allowance under Article 204, Army Regulations, India, Vol. I, Part II.

(G.O., No. 705, Pub., dated 4th June 1897.)

Note.—All bills for grain compensation allowance should be sent to the Accountant-General for pre-audit.

e/

Nurses.

20. Nurses attached to inspection stations, or to the ambulance staff, but not on active plague duty, shall be paid only Rs. 100 per mensem.

Note.—Wherever it is possible, free quarters will be provided, but in no case are the nurses entitled to quarters or compensation in lieu thereof.

(G.O., No. 522 P., dated 1st June 1898; and P.C.'s Pro. No. 205–14, dated 20th January 1899.)

21. European or Eurasian nurses employed to attend on patients in plague hospitals, to accompany search parties, or to do other arduous work when plague actually breaks out, shall be paid a salary of Rs. 100 a month with a board allowance of Rs. 1–8–0 per day. They should also be provided with free quarters and lights, and granted travelling allowances for joining their first appointments, and for subsequent transfers. When they are required to accompany search parties, or to execute other plague duties entailing a considerable amount of moving about within a limited area, and necessitating the frequent hiring of vehicles, they may be paid actual conveyance charges irrespective of the 5 miles limit prescribed in Article 1157, Civil Service Regulations.

(G.O., Nos. 455 P., dated 9th May 1898; and 522 P., dated 1st June 1898.)

22. Lady nurses obtained from England are entitled to—

(1) Salary of Rs. 175 a month, in addition to free quarters, fuel, lights, and punka-pullers, to commence from date of embarkation to India.
(2) Double first-class fare by rail when travelling on duty.
(3) First-class passage when proceeding by steamer from one part of India to another on duty.
(4) Rs. 3 a day in lieu of free quarters.

(P.C.'s Pro, Nos. 305, dated 1st February 1899; and 493, dated 22nd February 1899.)

y/

Midwives.

23. Midwives who do plague duty in addition to their ordinary work are entitled to a local allowance not exceeding one-fifth of their salary.

(P.C.'s Pro. No. 424, dated 26th October 1898.)

Plague Supervisors.

Officers lent from other departments.

24. Officers of other departments appointed as plague supervisors should receive their permanent pay + 25 per cent. thereof + Rs. 30 fixed allowance + Rs. 15 horse allowance, provided the permanent pay + 25 per cent. thereof does not fall below Rs. 80.

(G.O., No. 1359 P., dated 22nd October 1898.)

Note.—The above Government order does not apply to officers who were appointed prior to its issue, and hence they should be paid Rs. 150 + Rs. 30 fixed travelling allowance + Rs. 15 horse allowance. If they were permanent Assistant Inspectors of the Salt and Abkári Department at the time of transfer, they are entitled to the additional concession of 25 per cent. referred to in rule 24 above.

(G.O., No. 383 P., dated 13th March 1899.)

2/e/

Private Individuals.

25. The pay of private individuals appointed as plague supervisors in rural areas will be fixed at Rs. 80 + Rs. 30 fixed travelling allowance, + Rs. 15 horse allowance.

(P.C.'s Pro. No. 1020, dated 27th December 1898.)

Plague Inspectors.

26. The following are the rates of pay and allowances of the different classes of Sanitary Inspectors on plague duty:—

(1) *Certificated Sanitary Inspectors.*—Pay Rs. 45 + Rs. 15 fixed travelling allowance, + Rs. 15 horse allowance. e/
(2) *Temporary Sanitary Inspectors.*—Pay Rs. 40 + Rs. 15 fixed travelling allowance, + Rs. 15 horse allowance.
(3) *Assistant Sanitary Inspectors.*—Pay Rs. 25.
(4) *Reserve Sanitary Inspectors.*—Half pay without allowances while at the disposal of the Sanitary Commissioner; when posted to districts, the pay and allowances fixed as above for the class to which they are appointed. e/

(G.O., No. 57 P., dated 9th January 1899.)

1. All classes of Plague Inspectors referred to above are entitled to travelling allowance for joining their first appointments in districts under Article 1191 (*a*), Civil Service Regulations. e/

(G.O., No. 57 P., dated 9th January 1899.)

2. Temporary Sanitary Inspectors when under training in Madras will be entitled only to their bare pay of Rs. 40 for the period they are under training.

(G.O., No. 57 P., dated 9th January 1899.)

27. The fixed travelling and horse allowances referred to in the preceding rule should not be paid to every Sanitary Inspector indiscriminately, but should be sanctioned only when circumstances render their grant necessary.

Fixed travelling allowance should be given only in the case of Inspectors placed in charge of plague observation circles, or in the case of those whose duties require them to travel constantly. In the case of all others, ordinary travelling allowance according to the rates given in the Civil Service Regulations shall alone be granted. The Collector will decide the question in each case. The grant of horse allowance depends on circumstances. If an Inspector is required by the Collector to keep a horse, he will draw horse allowance as long as a serviceable animal is actually kept, and a certificate to that effect is produced.

(P.C.'s Pro. No. 380-31, dated 9th February 1899.)

Note.—The authority of the Collector should be quoted on the bills on which these allowances are drawn, and a copy communicated to the Audit office.

28. When Revenue Inspectors or others are selected by Collectors as Plague Inspectors, they should draw the same pay and allowances as Certificated Sanitary Inspectors.

(P.C.'s Pro. No. 264-20, dated 27th January 1899; and No. 292-21, dated 31st January 1899.)

Compounders.

29. Compounders attached to the ambulance staff, when on active plague duty, may be allowed a local allowance not exceeding one-fifth of salary.

(P.C.'s Pro. No. 380-31, dated 9th February 1899.)

Menials.

30. In the case of menial members of the ambulance staff, their pay should be fixed by the Collectors engaging them. They are entitled to no allowances, but Collectors should arrange for their conveyance from place to place at public cost.

(P.C.'s Pro. No. 380-13, dated 9th February 1899.)

Miscellaneous.

31. An executive officer placed in charge of the plague operations in an infected district cannot be considered to be on deputation, nor will he be entitled to the grant of a special allowance, since the duty must be regarded as part of his general duties.

(G.O., No. 218 F., dated 11th February 1899.)

CHAPTER II.—GENERAL MATTERS.

Sanctions required for Appointments.

32. Although the Plague Regulations empower Collectors to appoint certain classes of subordinates, the formal sanction of Government or the Plague Commissioner is necessary for each appointment.

(P.C.'s Pro. No. 205, dated 20th January 1899.)

Powers of Plague Commissioner.

33. The Plague Commissioner may appoint special officers, either by name or virtue of their office, to carry out under his general directions any measures ordered by Government to be taken for the suppression or prevention of plague, and may accord sanction to appointments made by Collectors, provided (1) that the cost of the establishments is treated as a *direct* plague charge, and (2) that the salary of any appointment does not exceed Rs. 200 *per mensem.* The Plague Commissioner should periodically report to Government the particulars of all appointments made by him.

(G.O., No. 1514 P., dated 6th December 1898.)

Powers of Local Government.

34. The sanction of Government is required for all appointments which constitute *indirect* plague expenditure, *i.e.*, the cost of which is debitable to the ordinary "service" heads, as well as for those exceeding Rs. 200 which fall under the category of *direct* plague charges.

(G.O., No. 1514 P., dated 6th December 1898.)

Note.—When new appointments are created exclusively for plague duty, the charges are treated as *direct*, but when new appointments are made merely with the object of strengthening existing establishments, to cope with the additional work caused by plague, the charges are treated as *indirect*, and are debited to the ordinary service heads.

Plague Establishment List.

35. Collectors should submit a complete statement in print (in the form prescribed on page 32 of the Plague Commissioner's Circular No. I of 1899), showing the entire establishment actually employed *exclusively* on plague duty, whether their cost is debited to "Plague" or to the ordinary service heads. The list should include officers of all departments, whether employed for observation or inspection or supervision, or attached to plague hospitals and camps, as well as such of those of the ordinary staff doing plague duty in addition to their usual work as may be drawing extra remuneration. For the latter class, however, only the plague allowances should be shown in column 5, and their duties in column 7. The list should be revised up to the last day of the month to which it refers, and should include all changes up to the end of that month. The names of all officers drawing Rs. 15 or upwards per mensem should be given in detail, while in other cases officers of the same class may be lumped together, the number being shown in column 2, and the total pay in column 4. Three copies should be despatched so as to reach the Plague Commissioner on or before the 5th of the month following that to which they relate, another three copies to the Chief Secretary to Government, Financial Department, two copies to Accountant-General, and one copy to the Secretary to Government, Local and Municipal Department.

Note.—Further details as to the manner in which the list should be prepared are given in the Plague Commissioner's Proceedings No. 1047, dated 28th December 1898, printed on pages 30 and 31 of his Circular No. I of 1899.

Batta to House Segregated Persons.

36. No batta is admissible to persons who are segregated in their own houses.

(G.O., No. 822 P., dated 31st May 1899.)

Incidence of Plague Charges.

37. Charges for all plague establishments needed for *general control*, and expenditure connected with such establishments, shall be borne from Provincial funds, while charges for similar establishments required for *local control* shall be paid from Local or Municipal Funds, according to the area within which the establishments are entertained.

(G.O., Nos. 479 and 480, Financial, dated 4th February 1899.)

38. G.O., No. 615, Financial, dated 17th May 1899, lays down that expenditure in connection with *Railway* Plague Inspection Stations, including the cost of construction and maintenance of plague camps at such places, and of the subordinate staff employed at these camps, is debitable to Provincial. It should be noted however that the charges at *Road* Frontier Inspection Stations and in *Observation Circles* will remain *Local* or *Municipal* according to the area in which the Inspection Stations and Observation Circles are situated.

39. Under G.O., No. 479, Financial, dated 4th April 1899, the cost of Inspection and Observation of travellers by *Sea*, and of segregation and medical treatment of such as are affected or suspected to be infected by the plague, is debitable to Provincial.

e/

40. As regards expenditure incurred otherwise than at Railway Inspection Stations, Ports, Road Frontier Inspection Stations and in Observation Circles, the salaries and allowances (including travelling allowances), of officers whether belonging to the Indian Civil Service, the Indian Staff Corps, the Indian Medical Service, or the Army Medical Service, and of superior officers of the Forest, Survey, Customs, Salt and other departments, deputed wholly or in addition to their own duties to perform plague duty, as well as the emoluments of Nurses and Medical men procured from England, should be met entirely out of Provincial Funds, and should not be charged directly to the Funds of Municipalities or Local bodies. The extra expenditure on account of troops employed on plague duty should be debited to Local or Municipal Funds, according to the area within which the troops are employed. The cost of additions to the office establishments of District Medical and Sanitary Officers should be treated as *Local*. l/

(G.O., No. 698, Financial, dated 16th June 1899.)

Travelling Allowances of Medical Subordinates, &c.

41. Medical Subordinates transferred to plague duty by the Surgeon-General should be regarded as on the Reserve Staff, and their travelling allowance and transit pay should be met from Provincial funds.

(Art. 136 (15) of the Local Fund Code.)

Batta to Troops.

42. Troops are entitled to plague allowances only for the days on which they are actually employed on sanitary duty, subject to the proviso that when a portion of a detachment is employed on sanitary duty, and the other on guard duty, the whole detachment should be paid batta. The allowances will, in the first instance, be paid by the Military Department on the authority of a roster countersigned by the Collector, and then recovered from the funds concerned.

(G.O., No. 1194 P., dated 3rd August 1899.)

Rynt [illegible]

84.

Cancel articles 43 (*i*), 45 (A) and 49 (B) as inserted by Addenda Nos. 9, 10 and 11.

85.

10/cat

Omit the references to 'Plague' in articles 51 (A), 51 (B) (1), and the notes thereunder, and in article 51 (C), also *substitute* the word 'house' *for* 'horse' in line 3 of note 2 to article 51 (B), inserted by addendum No. 12.

1899
Pub Dept.
Enclos No 1
2481

CURRENT No. 2481

31

LOCAL FUND DEPARTMENT.

Fort St. George,
Accountant-General's Office,
5th September 1899.

No. L.F.D./491

From

J. Hesketh Biggs. Esq.,
ACCOUNTANT-GENERAL to the
GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS,

To

The Chief Secretary to Government,
Public Department,
Fort saint George.

Sir,

K

With reference to your No. 1834, dated the 13th July 1899, I have the honour to state that there is no account objection to the Surgeon-General's proposal "that apothecaries deputed to a distance for Plague duty should draw, in addition to the full salary, and charge allowance, if any, already drawn by them, a monthly allowance of Rs 30 for light Plague du and Rs 45 if required to attend on Plague patients. It is for Government to decide whether the allowan proposed are necessary or not - it appears they are proposed as Compensation for loss of privat

p

32

practice.

2. Appendix O to the Local Fund Code contains all the orders, regarding allowances to officers on Plague duty. A proof Copy is forwarded herewith.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant.

Accountant General.

[6/24]

500-3-8-99.

1

Public Department.

38

Allowances to Medical Subordinates on Plague duty

C. No. .... From the .... , No. .... , dated .... 189 .

" .... " " .... , " .... , " .... 189 .

" .... " " .... , " .... , " .... 189 .

" .... " " .... , " .... , " .... 189 .

H. M.

Note for orders.

In his letter No. 4626, dated 1st May 1899, the Plague Commissioner brought to notice certain anomalies existing in the present rules regarding the grant of extra allowances for plague work to Hospital Assistants and Civil Apothecaries and suggested that it would be useful, if all the orders relating to such allowances were now combined in one order. Mr. Buckley's proposals were commented on by the Surgeon General and the Accountant General, and the subject is now ripe for decision.

XII

2. The rules governing the grant of these allowances are, at present, in some confusion owing to the fact that they are based partly on the orders of the Government of India and partly on the orders of this Government, the latter being issued sometimes in the Public

24 2

and sometimes in the Local and Municipal Departments.

3. The following is a brief ~~regime~~ résumé of the various orders which have, from time to time, been passed in the matter.

In his letter read in G.O.No.109, Public, dated 5th February 1897, the Surgeon General asked that the special allowance of 10 Rs.per month granted to Hospital Assistants employed on cholera or festival duties might be granted to Hospital Assistants deputed to inspect vessels or trains in connection with plague. The allowance in question which was granted under paragraph 3 (2) of G.O.No.1003, Public, dated 13th November 1891, (flagged II) was subject to the condition that the Hospital Assistant claiming the allowance is not already drawing the allowance for ~~the~~ independent charge, that is to say, ~~the~~ a Hospital Assistant deputed to cholera or festival duty, who was already in independent charge, would receive no extra allowances. XV

Government accepted the Surgeon General's proposal, subject to the condition that the Hospital Assistant is, under the orders of Government, deputed to a distance from the scene of his ordinary work to duties ordinarily connected with plague. (G.O.No.109, Public, dated 5.2.97) XV This condition regarding deputation to a distance practically nullified that attached to the grant of the allowance for cholera or festival work, seeing that ~~the~~ a Hospital Assistant removed from his permanent office and deputed to a distance would naturally

---

35

[illegible] E 3

cease to draw his ordinary charge allowance.

4. In G.O.No.322, Public, dated 23rd March 1897, Government passed a similar order in regard to Civil Apothecaries granting to such officers, when deputed to a distance from the scene of their ordinary work to duty solely connected with plague, an allowance of Rs 25 per month.

5. In ~~his~~ their letter No.1154, Sanitary, printed in G.O.No.~~200~~ 797, Municipal, dated 5th April 1897, the Government of India sanctioned the grant of daily deputation allowance to Assistant Surgeons at the rate of Rs 2 a day, and to Hospital Assistants at the rate of 8 As. a day. III In his letter No.1345, dated 30th April 1897, printed in G.O.No.997, Public, dated 27th July 1897, the Accountant General asked whether the 8 As. a day sanctioned by the Government of India for Hospital Assistants was to be considered as permissible in lieu of, or in addition to, the 10Rs a month sanctioned by this Government. IV Government, considering that the 10 Rs per month already granted was sufficient, addressed the Government of India on the subject. That Government replied that they left the matter to the discretion of the Government of Madras. It was therefore ordered in G.O.No.997, Public, dated 27th July 1897, that the allowance of 10 Rs a month ~~is~~ was sufficient ~~as already decided~~.

6. With regard to civil Apothecaries

the Surgeon General asked in his letter dated 15th May 1897, printed in G.O. No.848, Public, dated 1st July 1897, that the allowance of 2 Rs. per day sanctioned by the Government of India for Assistant Surgeons might also be granted to Civil Apothecaries on plague duty. He considered that the allowance of 25 Rs. sanctioned by this Government was insufficient, especially as Apothecaries of over 7 years standing are entitled to a charge allowance of 50 Rs. per month when holding ordinary independent charges. Government thereupon ruled that 25 Rs. a month was sufficient remuneration in the case of an Apothecary who, at the time of his deputation, was not in independent charge; but directed that the full charge allowance of his grade should be continued to an Apothecary previously in receipt thereof when attached for plague duty.

H

7. In G.O. No.77 P., dated 22/2/98 ~~27th November 1898~~ Government permitted the higher allowance of 8 As. a day sanctioned by the Government of India to be drawn by Hospital Assisrants who actually attand plague patients and thereby incur personal risk.

VI

8. In G.O. No.1155, P., dated 23rd September 1898, the Local and Municipal Department, acting in accordance with the orders above referred to, & with the sanction of the Government of India conveyed in letters read in that G.O., fixed ~~an~~ the allowances to Hospital Assistants

VII

doing plague work as follows:-

(a) When deputed to a distance from the scene of his ordinary work.	I. To do light plague duty such as inspection of passengers at the Railway Stations. 10 Rs a month. II. To attend on plague patients. 15 Rs a month.
(b) When required to do additional plague work such as the inspection of passengers by Road and Railway which is entirely separate from, and outside the sphere of his ordinary duties.	Local allowance nor exceeding 1/5 of ~~his~~ salary.

VIII

9. In the correspondence in G.O. No.618, Public, dated 5th June 1899, it was suggested that a similar concession might be applied to Civil Apothecaries. Government accepted this proposal. In the same G.O. it was ruled that the question, how much of the maximum local allowance permissible should be granted in each case, should be referred to the orders of Government.

10. The present position is therefore this:--

The charge allowance of a Hospl. Asst. ~~for~~ in independent charge being Rs.10 a month, an officer of that class transferred from an independent charge to do light plague work, such as the inspection of passengers etc. loses the charge allowance, and draws instead a plague allowance of Rs.10 a month. His pay therefore remains unchanged. If he actually attends on plague patients he gains Rs.5.

If he is not in independent charge

at

6

at the time of his transfer he gains Rs.10 a month. or if he attends on plague patients Rs.15 a month.

When required to do additional plague work at his own station, he draws Rs.5, Rs.7, or Rs.11 according to his grade.

An apothecary doing extra plague duty at his own station draws Rs.10, Rs.15, Rs.20, Rs.25, or Rs.30 as local allowance according to his grade. This is in addition to ~~/~~ any charge allowance which he may already be drawing.

Similarly an apothecary in independent charge when transferred to a place where he has light plague work neither gains nor loses. He is entitled to no extra allowance for attending on plague patients.

An apothecary not in independent charge similarly transferred receives an allowance of Rs.25 a month.

11 Turning now to the Plague Commissioner's letter, that officer's first proposal is that the distance condition, which is not to be found in any of the Govt. of India orders sanctioning the plague allowances, should be abolished. He remarks that the risk is the same whether the officer is deputed to a distance or not. The Plague Commr. remarks that the allowance granted to a Hospital Asst. deputed to a distance for light plague work is granted presumably to replace the charge allowance which he was usually lost by his transfer.

From

XV

From the notes in G.O.No.109 Pub., d/ 5-3-97, it does not appear that this consideration was then in the mind of Govt. The grant of the allowance of Rs.10 for plague duty was apparently made (as was that of the similar allowance for cholera, festival duty etc) on the ground that the work was of a particularly onerous nature.

12 The first question for decision is whether the Plague Commr's suggestion that the distance condition should be abolished may be accepted. It is submitted that it may. The mere fact that ~~the~~ deputation to plague duty involves a transfer does not, it is submitted, give a Hospital Asst. any more claims to increased allowance than if the transfer had been made in the ordinary course ~~of~~ from one hospital to another. If, therefore, the extra allowance is to be regarded as allowance granted in recognition of the special nature of plague duties, it is submitted that it should be granted irrespective of the question whether a transfer is or is not involved. If this view is accepted the allowances to be granted to Hospl. Assts. will be those specified by the Plague Commissioner in page 3 of his letter d/ 1st of May 1899.

13 It is however to be observed that under this scale a Hospl. Asst. already in independent charge draws nothing extra for light plague duty

while

This is undoubtedly what was meant. Transfer had nothing to do with the matter - but the clerk fell into misunderstanding & [illegible] his mistake shd. be pointed out to him.

The "distance condition" as I understand it does not mean a transfer of Station. What Sir Henry Bliss plainly wanted to insist on was that a Hospital Asst. in charge of a particular place shd. do the plague work of that place as part of his ordinary duties. For example if the Hos: Asst. in charge of the Royapettah dispensary were called on to attend to the observation Station &c. then he wd. get no extra remuneration unless he had to attend to plague cases there. But if a Hospital Asst. were placed in charge of a 'frontier' Station away from ~~his~~ a usual charge he shd. be considered as in charge of a usual Station & get the same allowance. This seems to me to be perfectly reasonable and to call for no change. Or take the case of a Hos: Asst. attached to a hospital in a large Town who is detailed for duty at a Plague Camp being taken away from the hospital altogether. The order rules no change shall be made in his emoluments? This seems to me [illegible] right. I sh. not.

40 8

while a Hospl. Asst. not holding independent charge gains Rs.10 a month. If the allowance granted for plague work is to be considered as an allowance granted on account of the special nature of the duty, it is submitted that this result is anomalous, and it is a matter for consideration whether it would not be well to make matters clear by declaring that plague duty is not to be considered as specially ~~re~~ risky or onerous, but that the allowance of Rs.10 is granted as a charge allowance ~~ef~~ in consideration of the fact that a Hospl. Asst. on plague duty has responsibilities equal to those of a Hospl. Asst. in independent charge. This would remove the present apparent anomaly, and the ~~condition~~ addition of an allowance of Rs.5 in each case in which an Hospl. Asst. is called upon to attend on plague patients would place these allowances on a uniform and satisfactory basis.

[illegible] that any change in the order is called for.

18/10/

14. There remains however the question of the ~~remuneration~~ local allowance to be granted for additional plague work which is ~~—~~ entirely separate from and outside the sphere of a Hospl. Asst's ordinary duties. The maximum admissible under the Govt. of India's orders is, as shown above, one fifth of the officer's salary i.e. to say Rs.5, 7, or 11 as the case may be. The actual ~~ease~~ allowance to be granted in each case is, under present orders, to be referred to Govt. for decision. It is a question for consideration

whether

I think this also sh^d remain unchanged for in fact the cases must be extremely few and unless the matter comes to Govt. the concession is certain to be unduly extended.

18/10/

9 41

whether it would not be well [illegible] fix once for all the actual local allowance which may be granted under these rules to Hospl. Assts. doing additional duty. Taking into consideration the fact that these additional duties will not be ~~granted~~ imposed upon a Hospl. Asst. unless he has time conveniently to perform them. It is a question for consideration whether the maximum allowance laid down in this rule is not too high, as compared with the allowance granted to Hospl. Assts. transferred from a distance for plague duty only. An increase of Rs.5 a month in the case of a Hospl. Asst. performing these additional duties would, it is submitted, be sufficient. If a fixed rate is decided upon, the necessity for ~~the condition~~ A reference to Govt in each case will be obviated.

How many references have there been under this rule to date? I can not remember one.

18/10/

15. With regard to Apothecaries the position under the existing rules is somewhat similar. A Civil Apothecary in independent charge deputed to a distance for plague duty continues to draw his charge allowance. Those not in independent charge draw Rs.35 a month. Now Rs.35 a month is the charge allowance admissible to Apothecaries between their third and seventh years. As the Apothecaries not in independent charge who are transferred to plague duty will usually be junior men, it is submitted that it would be satisfactory, as has been suggested in the case of

Hospl.

Hospl. Assts. to declare that ~~this~~ his allowance of Rs.25 a month granted for plague work is to be considered not as remuneration for specially risky or onerous duties, but as a charge allowance, in consideration of the fact that plague duty involves responsibilities equal to those which are attached to an independent charge.

This is the principle which underlies the distance test.

H. 18/10/11.

16. In regard to the local allowance to be granted to Civil Apothecaries doing additional plague duty at their own stations, it is submitted that, as has been suggested in the case of Hospl. Assts., this should be fixed once for all. The middle grade of Apothecaries draws salary at the rate of Rs.75 a month, and it is submitted that a fixed allowance of one fifth of this pay (i.e. Rs.15 a month) will be sufficient for an apothecary on whom additional plague work is imposed at his own station. This would obviate the necessity for frequent references to Govt., and would it is submitted be a sufficient remuneration.

What is it. As I understand the rules both of this Govt. and of the Govt. of India no extra allowance is to be given in such cases.

H. 18/10/11.

17. The Plague Commr. in his letter points out that while Hosp. Assts. are granted extra remuneration when they actually attend on plague patients, no such concession is made in the case of Apothecaries, and he suggests that the two classes of officers should be placed under the same conditions in this respect. The request is, it is submitted, a reasonable one. The Plague Commissioner suggests Rs.75 as the allowance to the granted to

I do not think it is. Apothecaries are in charge of hospitals & Hos: Assts. in charge of dispensaries at which in patients are not received. The attendance on patients is an incident of their position but not of the Hos:

H. 18/10/11.

..../10/11.

---

a Civil Apothecary attending on plague patients. This is inclusive of charge allowance, and the remuneration for the risk therefore amounts to Rs.25 a month. The separate allowance which is at present granted to Hospl. Assts. for a similar duty amounts, as has already been shown, practically to Rs.5 a month, and it is for consideration whether the Rs.25 a month for Apothecaries suggested by the Plague Commissioner is not too high and whether Rs.20 or 15 would not be sufficient. Rs.15 is what is proposed by the S.G. in para 2 of C.No.1309 as a fair extra remuneration to apothecaries attending on plague patients.

in the case of an Apothecary already holding independent charge

I do not think anything else should be given.

H. 18/10/11.

Japee 19.

XIII

18 The foregoing paras relate mainly to the existing allowances. The Plague Commissioner and the S.G. have, however, in the case of Civil Apothecaries proposed certain increases. The Plague Commissioner suggests that the allowance of Rs.25 now granted to Civil Apothecaries, not already in independent charge, should be raised to Rs.50. The S.G. points out that this would establish a great difference in the treatment of doing duty Apothecaries and Apothecaries already in independent charge, seeing that the former would gain by the transfer, and the latter would draw no extra pay and would lose private practice. The S.G's proposal is that Apothecaries deputed for plague duty should draw in addition

to

44

12

to the full salary and ~~the~~ charge allowance if any already drawn by them a monthly allowance of Rs.30 for light plague duty, and Rs.45 if called upon to attend on plague patients.

19. It is for consideration whether the S.G's argument regarding the loss of private practice should be accepted. If it is, similar extra allowances would apparently have to be granted to Hospl. Assts. But it is submitted that, as suggested above, transfer to light plague duty cannot be admitted by Govt. to differ from transfer for ordinary hospital duty. Opportunities for private practice must necessarily differ in different stations, and an officer transferred in the ordinary course from a place where he has a large private practice to a place where opportunities for private practice are few has no claim to any special concession. In the circumstances it is submitted that the S.G's request for special allowances for plague duty should be negatived, and that it is unnecessary for Govt. to make any concession to officers transferred for light duty beyond that suggested in this note viz. the recognition of such duties as a charge entitling to charge allowance.

7.x.99 Fin! for remarks.

What evidence is there that anything of the kind is called for. It seems to me that considerable extra expense may be ~~[illegible]~~ allowed under these charges of this sort for which there is no evidence. I w^d like a few concrete instances of the application of these rules. These symmetrical proposals are always suspicious in the absence of concrete cases. What is "light" plague duty" for example. We shall probably find the Civil Apothecary at Ooty drawing 30 Rs a month for going once a month perhaps to look at the Plague Hospital.

18/10/

13

45

J.

Financial Department.

Th sh^d be explained what it really means

1

Note.

The proposals in the Public Department note are

(i) that the "distance" condition attached to the grant of plague allowances to Hospital Assistants and ~~[illegible]~~ Apothecaries should be abolished;

(ii) that the allowance granted to these subordinates when deputed to Plague duty should be treated as a charge allowance;

(iii) that the local allowance to be granted to Hospital Assts. and ~~[illegible]~~ Apothecaries for additional plague work at their own stations which is entirely separate from and outside their ordinary duties should be fixed amounts — Rs. 5 and Rs. 15, respectively, instead of each case having to come up to Govt.

(iv) that apothecaries should be granted an extra allowance of Rs. 15 or Rs. 20 when they attend on plague patients.

2. These proposals would fix the allowances as follows, the last two columns showing ~~this~~ the amounts proposed by the Plague Commissioner and the Surgeon General in the case of Apothecaries.

	Hospital Assistants.	Apothecaries	Proposed ~~by~~ for apothecaries Surg. Genl.	Proposed ~~by~~ for apothecaries Plague Commr.
1. Deputed to light plague duty such as inspection of passengers at railway stations	⊗ 10	⊕ 50 if already in independent charge ⊕ 25 if not already in independent charge	50 *	50
II. When duties involve attendance on plague patients (a) to those who draw charge allowance for an independent charge	5	15 or 20	45 *	25
(b) to those who do not	15	~~[illegible]~~ 40 or ~~[illegible]~~ 45		75
III. When required to do additional plague work such as the inspection of passengers by road and railway, which is entirely separate from, and ~~or~~ outside the sphere of, their ordinary duties.	5	15	1/5 salary	

* In addition to full salary and charge allowance.

⊕ To be treated as a charge allowance.

3. The proposals of the Public Dept. seem to best meet the case. apparently ~~[illegible]~~ all subordinates employed in the ~~[illegible]~~ ~~the~~ inspection of passengers at ~~[illegible]~~ Railway Inspection Stations proper would draw the allowances shown against I above, whilst those who do this work at ~~[illegible]~~ Stations which are not Railway Inspection Stations proper would draw the allowances shown against III above. ~~The duty [illegible]~~

4. In cases where the plague duties of these subordinates involve work which fall under two ~~[illegible]~~ heads - e.g. a Hospital Assistant may inspect

---

inspect passengers at a~~n small~~ inspection station and also attend to patients in the plague hospital attached to the inspection station (it is not known whether there are any such cases), it might be ruled that the higher of the allowances should be drawn.

~~[illegible]~~

5 It should also be ruled, it is submitted, that when ~~[illegible]~~ subordinates in independent charge receive ~~an~~ allowances under ~~[illegible]~~ head I ~~[illegible]~~ - i.e. when they exchange their ~~[illegible]~~ ordinary charge allowance for a plague charge allowance - the allowance should be treated as a plague charge. Otherwise the accounts of plague charges will be incorrect. Whether the A.G. shows such a charge as direct or indirect or in his ~~[illegible]~~ neuter class (neither direct nor indirect) the present system of accounts will bring out the fact that it is a plague charge.

No 2685 of 21.10.99.

Cud. 19.10.99 [illegible] for remarks.

Please see remarks on the former note. I do not see that any case is made out for altering or extending the benefits given under the existing rules.

18/10/99.

I don't think anything will be gained by altering the rules. The order about distance shd. be explained to the Acct Genl. who interprets the order incorrectly at present

30.x.99

L & M Dept.

G.O. No 175 P. d/3-2-99.
Flag X

To Public

I agree with the Chief Secretary in all his remarks & would let things remain as they are.

30 . x . 99.

G.O. No 1043 Fin d/26-9-99
Flag W

Flag Y
Flag Z

NOTE FOR THE ~~Secy, L & M~~ Public

The Secy of State appears to hold that plague duty does not "involve any greater risks or is deserving of more favourable treatment than attendance in the infectious wards of a hospital or in a camp afflicted with Cholera, which is accepted as part of the officer's ordinary duty". It would therefore seem that a medical officer should be the last officer to get a special allowance for plague duty, but the rules of the Government of India prescribe that only medical officers & not officers of other classes should get this allowance. The Plague Commr. referred to this anomaly in his letter printed in ~~G.O.~~ his Procs No 1169 d/28-4-99 & in his note d/ 16-7-99. It is submitted that any orders that may be passed by this Govt should tend to do away with this anomaly rather than accentuate it, and the remarks of the Chief Secy may be concurred in. A statement showing ~~the for~~ the allowances drawn

5

District	(a) Medical subordinates wholly employed on plague duty Designation of officer & Station	Pay	Plague allowance	(b) Medical subordinates doing plague duty in addition to their ordinary duty Designation of officer & Station	Pay	Plague allowance
Anantapur	3rd class Hospital Asst at Guntakkal Station	25	10			
	do — at Penukonda	25	15	Plague Camp		
	do — at Narasapuram	25	15			
	do — at Anantapur railway Station	25	10			
North Arcot	Hospital assistant at Arkonam Inspn Station	25	10			
	Do do ——	55	27	Private Man		
	—do ——	25	10			
	—do ——	25	10			
	—do — at Gundrasetti palli Road frontier Stn	25	10			
	—do — Alakkuppam	25	10			
	—do — Kilagiri	25	10			
	—do — Pattiapalli	25	10			
South Arcot	~~Hospital Ass~~ ″	″	″	Hospital assistant at Cuddalore Port		
Bellary	Hospital Assistant Hospet Inspn Station	25	10			
	Do ——	40	10			
	3 —do — at Tungabhadra Inspn Station	75	30			
	Hospital Assistant Siruguppa road frontier Station	25	10			
	do —— Kampli	25	10 +5			
	Do — Thalavarapalle	25	10			
	Do — Vallabhapuram	25	10			
	Do — Hampasagaram	25	10 +3			
	Do — Sovenahalli	25	10 +3			
~~Kurnool~~ Madura —	Hospital Assistant. Madura railway Station	35	–			
	do — Dindigul Station	20	10			
Malabar	Hospital Assistant Cochin Port	25	10	Hospital Assistant Cannanore Port	″	5
	Hospl Asstt Basaoli Plague Camp	25	15 +10	—do — Tellicherry Port	″	5
	Hospital Assistant Calicut Ry Station	25	10 + 4			
	do — at Olavacod Station	25	~~15~~ 10 + 5			
The Nilgiris	Hospital Assistant at Kallatti Road Inspn Station	25	10 +5 +5			
	do — Gudalur	25	10 +12½			
	—do — Kakanhalla	25	10 +12½			
	Do — Ooty Municipality	25	10 +15 +15			
	Three Hospital Assistants Jolarpet Inspn Station	75	30 +15			
	One H. A at Inspect Camp Jolarpet	55	10			
	Do — Plague Hospital Camp Jolarpet	25	15			
	Hospital Assistant Road frontier Stn Bezwada	25	10			
	—do — Gummalapuram	25	10			
	Do — Komaranapalli	25	10			
	Do — Kothakondapalli	25	10			
	Do — Bagalur	52½	–			
	Do — Berikai	25	10			
	Do — Patchur railway Station	25	10			
	Do — Ambulance Staff Salem	55	15			
	Do ——	25	15			
	Do — Suramangalam railway Station	25	10			
Tanjore	Hospital Assistant at Tiruvalur Ry Station	25	10			
	Do — Tanjore Ry Station	25	10			
	Do Kumbaconam Ry Station	25				

54

Tinnevelly	—	—	:	7 - Madura
Vizagapatam	Hospital Assistant at Vizianagaram railway ~~[illegible]~~ Station	25	10	
do	do – Anakapalle railway station	25	10	-Malabar
do	do [illegible] Post	—	15 + 10	
Madras municipality	Hospital assistant at the Ambulance Staff	25	10 + 8	
	do – Royapuram Plague Hospital	25	10 + 8	
	do Egmore do	25	10 + 8	The Nilgiris
	do — Perambur do	25	10 + 8	
	do Egmore do	25	10 + 8	
	do – Krishnampet	25	10 + 8	
	2 Hospital Assistants at Perambur Ry Station	50	20 + 16	
		=		
				Tanjore

[G. 75]
500—12-1-99.

55

NOTE FOR THE CHIEF SECRETARY.

Submitted for perusal.

With refce to the suggestion of the Secy to the Dept that the meaning of the "distance condition" should be explained to the A.G. it is submitted that if the allowance of Rs 10 sanctioned in G.O. 109 Public d 5.2.97 for hospital assistants is in future to be granted only to H.A's who are given work which may do without change of station but which takes them some distance from their headquarter ~~institution~~, the allowance of Rs 10 now paid to most of the Hospital Assts on plague duty will be stopped, seeing that it is now paid under that order as interpreted by the A.G. – A Hospital Assistant in charge of a ~~hospital~~ dispensary will then lose Rs be lessened by his transfer to Plague duty. I have spoken to Mr. Hammick who agrees in this view. He considers it undesirable that these allowances should be stopped, & he suggests that it would be best simply to record the papers, saying nothing about the interpretation of the G.O. of Feby 1897.

Orders are requested.

4.XI.99

Provided things remain as they are I think the paper may be recorded.

7.11.99

A Draft Order is subm^d for approval. Please see separate sheet.

J.W.G.
8/XI/99

[G/24]
500—17-10-

Public DEPARTMENT.

57

Plague Allowances to Hospital Assts.

XXV C. No. 1809 From the L & M Dept., Endt. No. 130, dated 24-6-1899.
XXIV " 2481 " " A.G. " L.F.D./491 " 5-9-1899.
" " " " " 189 .
" " " " " 189 .

~~Order~~ This No. 1276 d/ 13.11.99

Recorded –

This para is added for approval – with ref to C.S.'s orders.
J.W.G.
8/XI.

[2 Govt does not consider that any alteration is called for in the existing ~~rules~~ orders relating to Hospital Assistants deputed to Plague duty.

J.W.G.
8/XI/99

10/XI/99.

To ~~the Plague Commissioner~~
" " ~~Acct. Genl~~ – } ~~[illegible]~~

hen given for copying ______
When copied ______
When examined ______
When signed ______ } 189 .

READ AGAIN G.O., No. , DATED 189 .

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO C. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT .. .. .. A A A A A A A

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.—
A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied.
P = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy heading only.