I 15/9/99

3-12-10
10-2-21
16-4-32 T

Public (1899) Department.

ORDINARY PRINTED.

G.O., Nos 1019-1020

DATED 11th Sept 99.

INDEXED.

[Despatch Abstract.]

Reorganisation of the Archaeological Deptt.

Comg: to the Supdt., Archaeological Survey, the Govt Epigraphist & the A.G. the Correspondence received from the G of I on the subject of the ~~Reorganisation of the Archaeological Deptt.~~ & addressing the G of I on the subject of Mr Rea's future employment & emoluments.

(Letter abstract)

Addressing the G of I on the subject of the future employment & emoluments of Mr Rea, Supdt: Archaeological Survey in this Presy.

18/9

Acct
1,000—27-3-96.

I 15/33

12-10

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Cur. 2653/99 (Govt. Epigt.) 1162 / 12-x-99

Cur 3118 of 99

" 3209/99 Supdt. Arch. Survey No. 3067 of 99 1295 / 17-11-99

~~Cur [illegible]/99~~

Cur 207 of 1900 (re the pay of the Supdt. Archl. Survey)

Cur 1894/00 (A Supdt. Archl. Survey)

Cur 2942/00 (Govt. Epigt.) G.O. 1164 / 13-11-01

Government (1899) of Madras.

PUBLIC.

Recd. } 1899. *Enclosures*

Regd. *Spare copies*

G.O., No. 1019, 11th September 1899.

SEPTEMBER.

Reorganisation of the Archæological Department.

Communicating to the Superintendent, Archæological Survey, the Government Epigraphist and the Accountant-General the correspondence received from the Government of India on the subject of the —, and addressing the Government of India on the subject of Mr. Rea's future employment and emoluments.

Acct. No. 46.
1,000—27-3-96.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

PUBLIC DEPARTMENT.

Read—the following papers :—

From E. MACONOCHIE, Esq., I.C.S., Under Secretary to the Government of India, Department of Revenue and Agriculture (Archæology and Epigraphy), to the Chief Secretary to the Government of Madras, dated Simla, 13th June 1899, No. 1954-5-2.

I am directed to forward, for the information of the Government of Madras, a copy of the Government of India's despatch, No. 31 of the 16th June 1898, dealing with the reorganization of the Archæological Department, and of the Secretary of State's despatch, No. 114 of the 18th of May 1899, in reply to the same.

2. It will be observed that the scheme proposed by the Government of India has been sanctioned by the Secretary of State for a term of five years in the first instance, and includes the maintenance of a circle for Madras in charge of a Archæological Surveyor on Rs. 300 rising by annual increments of Rs. 25 to Rs. 800. I am directed to enquire whether the Governor in Council desires to retain Mr. Rea in the post of Archæological Surveyor as reconstituted, and if so, whether Mr. Rea is willing to remain in the appointment on the new terms.

Enclosures.

Despatch from the Right Honourable the Governor-General of India in Council, Department of Revenue and Agriculture (Archæology and Epigraphy), to Her Majesty's Secretary of State for India, dated Simla, 16th June 1898, No. 31.

In continuation of our telegram, dated the 17th January 1898, we have now the honour to make, for your Lordship's consideration, the following proposals for the reorganization of the Archæological Survey Department.

2. In the year 1890 our views as to the constitution of the department during the next five years were embodied in Resolution No. 2-5-60, dated the 5th May, in the course of which we observed that although we were anxious that archæological investigations should not be altogether abandoned till they had been brought to a satisfactory stage of completeness, yet the Archæological Survey Department should, as soon as might be practicable, be reduced to the permanent minimum at which it was desirable to maintain it. This Resolution was forwarded with our despatch No. 6, dated 13th May 1890, and in despatch No. 50, dated the 10th July 1890, your Lordship's predecessor communicated his sanction to the scheme recommended. In commenting upon our proposals Lord Cross remarked that it seemed not unreasonable to expect that within the next five years the Archæological Survey of India would be brought to completion, so far as it lay within the functions of Government, though it was impossible to make an accurate forecast till detailed programmes for the several provinces had been prepared. But it should be observed that an entire withdrawal of State aid was not contemplated by us nor, apparently, by the Secretary of State, for, though in a later despatch No. 46, Public (Records), dated the 1 th May 1891, Lord Cross suggested the desirability of entrusting work of this nature to the Asiatic Society of Bengal, he admitted that a subvention from Government would probably be required. This suggestion was laid before the Asiatic Society in our letter No. 2747, dated the 19th June 1894, but the Society in its letter No. K-7, dated the 5th January 1895, while expressing sympathy with the efforts of the Government of India to advance the cause of Archæological research, regretted that it was not in a position to assume the control and direction of Archæological Surveys and Epigraphy. We have reluctantly come to the conclusion that effective control by the Asiatic Society or any other similar body is impossible, and that the work must be continued by Government, if it is to continue at all.

3. We have been in communication with Local Governments on the subject, and their replies sufficiently indicate that the operations of the Archæological Survey Department cannot be terminated within the period suggested by Lord Cross. We are indeed doubtful whether archæological research in this country can at any time dispense with State direction and control. The papers sent to us with Sir Henry Fowler's despatches No. 52, Revenue, dated 26th April 1894, and No. 139, Revenue, dated 27th September 1894, your Lordship's despatch No. 43, Revenue, dated 23rd April 1896, and representations from such authorities as the Royal Asiatic Society, London, the Indian Museum, Calcutta, Mr. Tawney, and Dr. Bühler, constitute a strong plea for the continuance of archæological work in India, and we are forced to the conclusion that there is still much work which should be done by Government and may rightly be included amongst its responsibilities, and that moreover to withdraw from archæological research would lay the Government open to general condemnation in scientific circles.

4. Assuming then that State aid is to be continued, there remains for determination the general basis upon which work should be conducted in the future. The scheme which we suggested for the consideration of Local Governments is set forth in a circular addressed to them in June 1895, of which a copy forms an enclosure to this despatch. The replies received show that the programme of archæological work proper there suggested is generally approved, but although it is admitted that conservation must be the first object, regret is very generally expressed that a more prominent place cannot be given to the exploration of archæological remains, more particularly in the way of excavating the sites of ancient cities. We are, however, disposed to affirm the draft programme of work and to insist that the first thing to be done is to conserve our material, and that the first and most essential step towards this end is to take stock of it and decide what is worth preserving. In paragraph 6 of our circular we described original investigation as ranking last among the Surveyor's duties. We have no wish, however, to discourage original work, nor do we undervalue what has been and is being done in this direction by the officers of the Survey, and we are completely in accord with the further proposition that every facility and encouragement should be given to any individual or society who will undertake work of the kind under proper control. In doing so we are pursuing the course that can claim to show the best results in the past, and we are desirous that an assurance of the kind may be given to the English memorialists. But we consider that the limited sum which we are justified in spending upon archæological work should primarily be devoted to conservation of existing material.

5. The proposal to abolish the appointment of Government Epigraphist and the publication of the *Epigraphia Indica* has met with very great opposition. The grounds upon which this proposal was based are stated in paragraphs 11 and 12 of the circular, in which we expressed a doubt whether the expenditure involved could be justified and suggested that the work could be continued by private individuals and learned societies. This latter view is strongly contested both by Local Governments and by private authorities, and, on reconsideration, we are of opinion that they are right. The Madras Government points out that Dr. Hultzsch and his staff are the only people living who are able to decipher the old Tamil inscriptions, and that Epigraphy is not only a subject of scientific interest and importance in which the learned world is much interested, but also one which may throw useful light on many problems of administration. We have already applied for and received by telegram your Lordship's sanction to the retention of Dr. Hultzsch's services, and we now recommend that the appointment of Epigraphist be made permanent so long as Dr. Hultzsch continues to hold it and to discharge its duties satisfactorily, and that the desirability of continuing it may be reconsidered after his retirement. But as Dr. Hultzsch is emphatically a Southern Indian specialist, we propose to encourage the entertainment of Honorary Epigraphists in other provinces, and to relax Dr. Hultzsch's present monopoly by authorizing inscriptions to be sent to these gentlemen who will prepare them for publication in the *Epigraphia Indica.*

6. The draft scheme provided for five circles with an Archæological Surveyor for each. After nsidering the replies of Local Governments and Administrations we are of opinion that the five circles should be constituted as follows :—

(1) Bombay (including Sindh) and Berar.
(2) Madras and Coorg.
(3) Punjab, Baluchistan, and Ajmere.
(4) North-Western Provinces and Central Provinces.
(5) Bengal and Assam.

The requirements of Burma we propose to meet locally and outside the general scheme, by continuing the present arrangement under which the sum of Rs. 10,000 is annually placed at the disposal of the Local Government for expenditure on Archæology.

7. The machinery of the Government of India being generally unsuited for executive control, we propose that the Surveyors in charge of these circles should be placed under the general control of the Local Governments whose names occupy the first place in the circle designations given above. But any of the provinces in the circle would have the right to call on the Surveyor for special assistance and advice at any time, and work in each province would be done under the orders of the Local Government concerned. Under such an arrangement the expenditure would be more conveniently charged to Imperial than to Provincial revenues, and we propose to accept it as an Imperial charge, save in the case of Madras, where it is now a Provincial charge, and may continue to be such.

8. As regards the *personnel*, Messrs. Cousens and Rea are already in permanent employment, and we recommend that their services be continued. The retention of Messrs. Führer and Smith, pending consideration of the present proposals, was approved in your Lordship's despatch No. 105, Revenue, dated the 8th July 1897, and we now recommend that they be entertained permanently. These four officers will be each in charge of a circle. For the fifth circle it will be necessary to recruit.

9. The financial effect of our proposals remain to be considered. Excluding the cost of publication, the total average annual cost of the Archæological Department for the last three years has been Rs. 61,119 as detailed in the margin. The four Surveyors are at present paid at rates ranging from a minimum of Rs. 250 to a maximum of Rs. 580 a month. We propose to pay them all, as also the new Surveyor to be recruited, at the rate of Rs. 300 rising by annual increments of Rs. 25 to Rs. 800, an arrangement which will result in a total average expenditure under this head of Rs. 33,000 per annum. It has been strongly pressed upon us that, in view of the present conditions of service in India, and of the fact that the Archæological Survey holds out no prospects of further advancement, no lower pay than this will secure to us the necessary qualifications; and upon reconsideration we agree that this is the case. Dr. Hultzsch's pay is at present Rs. 650, but in consideration of his long and meritorious service and of the fact that he is unwilling to remain on less favourable terms, we proposed in our telegram of the 13th April and have received your Lordship's sanction to increase his pay to Rs. 650 rising by annual increments to Rs. 800 per month, which represents an average charge of Rs. 9,000 per annum.

	RS.
Surveyors	20,640
Epigraphist	7,200
Surveyor's establishment and contingencies ..	17,131
Epigraphist's establishment and contingencies ..	6,148
Burma	10,000
Total ..	61,119

10. We propose to equip each circle with two draftsmen, and a small travelling establishment (at a cost of Rs. 150 per month) for the collection of coins and inscriptions, a clerk and peons which, together with a provision of Rs. 2,000 for travelling allowance and contingencies, will entail an annual expenditure of Rs. 5,960.

The present annual cost of Dr. Hultzsch's establishment is Rs. 4,458. For the year 1897–98, Rs. 2,226 was provided in the budget on account of travelling allowance and contingencies against an average expenditure for the past three years of Rs. 1,690. We propose to continue the provision substantially on the same scale.* Adding the fixed provision of Rs. 10,000 for archæological operations in Burma, the total annual cost of the department, excluding the cost of publication, will amount to Rs. 88,450.†

	RS.
* Establishment	4,458
Travelling allowance	1,200
Contingencies, &c., &c.	992
Total ..	6,650

	RS.
† Surveyors	33,000
Epigraphist	9,000
Surveyor's establishment and contingencies ..	29,800
Epigraphist's establishment and contingencies ..	6,650
Burma grant	10,000
Total ..	88,450

In paragraph 12 of our circular of June 1895, which accompanies this despatch, we contemplated the cessation of official archæological publications. The decision to retain the Epigraphist, however, renders this impossible; while it has been strongly urged upon us both official and by non-official opinion that the results of original research by our Surveyors should continue to be published under the form of Reports of the Archæological Survey Department. We therefore propose to continue an annual grant of Rs. 3,000 which we make towards the cost of publishing the Epigraphist's inscriptions. The Archæological Survey Reports will continue to be printed at the Government presses, and no special provision is needed on this account. The expenditure upon the publications of Dr. Burgess under arrangements which were reported in our despatch No. 6 of 13th May 1890 is altogether special and temporary, and has been excluded from the present scheme.

11. The amount involved is in itself not large, and we think that, considering the importance of archæological research, Rs. 91,000 odd is not an excessive expenditure for the whole of India. It is sometimes thought that archæological work can wait almost indefinitely, but this is certainly not true in India where decay is often exceptionally rapid. Moreover modern improvements such as railways, water-works and sanitary reforms appeal to other considerations and are often carried out by men without special archæological knowledge and most valuable monuments are apt to disappear at their approach. This danger is imminent at the present stage of progress in India and any action to counteract it ought not to be deferred. This view was shared by Sir H. Fowler, who in his Despatch No. 28, Public (Records), dated 25th April 1895, wrote:—

> "The importance of taking steps to prevent further deterioration or destruction of ancient monuments, whose historical and linguistic value cannot be overstated, will not, I trust, be lost sight of."

Despatch from Her Majesty's Secretary of State for India, to His Excellency the Right Honourable the Governor-General of India in Council, dated India Office, London, 18th May 1899, No. 114 (Revenue).

Archæological Survey Staff.

I have considered in Council the Government of India letter, No. 31 (Revenue and Agriculture), dated the 16th June 1898, making proposals regarding the organization of the Archæological Department in India. The view expressed in that letter is that systematic archæological research in India cannot be undertaken by the Asiatic Societies, or by other private bodies; that the work must be continued by the Government, if it is to continue at all; and that withdrawal from archæological research would lay the Government open to general condemnation. A subsequent letter, No 39 (Revenue), dated the 14th July 1898, explained that the scheme for an "International India Exploration Fund" would be in full accord with your proposals, and might provide for antiquarian investigation and research of a kind that could not be undertaken at the public expense.

2. Briefly, your proposals are to divide India into six archæological circles; to assign a surveyor with a small staff to each, to give to five of the surveyors a salary of Rs. 300 rising to Rs. 800 a month; to give to the sixth surveyor in the Burma circle a salary of Rs. 350 rising to Rs. 500 a month plus a museum allowance of Rs. 150 a month; and to retain an epigraphist on a salary of Rs. 650 rising to Rs. 800 a month. The total cost of these arrangements is stated to be Rs. 88,450 a year, as compared with Rs. 61,119 a year on the existing scale.

3. I am willing to accept the views of your Government in the present circumstances, and I sanction your proposals for five years, before the end of which period I request that your Lordship's Government will furnish me with a full report on the progress made and the work remaining to be done by your Government. It may be that by the end of that period the Government of India may see their way to the devolution of some of the archæological work upon private societies or persons. I hope also that at no distant date competent Native archæologists may come forward in India, as has already been the case in Burma.

Endt. No. 1754.

Referred to the Superintendent, Archæological Survey, for remarks.

6th July 1899. (Signed) A. R. KNAPP,
Under Secretary.

From the Superintendent, Archæological Survey, Madras, dated 12th July 1899, No. 176.

From the Accountant-General to the Government of Madras, dated 5th August 1899, No. G-665—4925.

Order—No. 1019, Public, dated 11th September 1899.

The correspondence forwarded with the letter from the Government of India read above will be communicated to the Superintendent, Archæological Survey, to the Government Epigraphist and to the Accountant-General.

Acct. No. 46.
1,000—27-3-96.

2. The Government of India will be addressed on the subject of Mr. Rea's future employment and emoluments.

[Here enter letter, No. 1020, Public, dated 11th September 1899.]

(True Extract.)

G. STOKES,
Chief Secretary.

To the Superintendent, Archæological Survey.
„ Government Epigraphist.
„ Accountant-General.
„ Financial Department.

nue to

Exd. A. F. E clest;n.

Government (1899) of Madras.

PUBLIC.

Recd. } 1899. *Enclosures*
Regd. } *Spare copies*

Letter, No. 1020, 11th September 1899.

SEPTEMBER.

Reorganisation of the Archæological Department.

Addressing the Government of India on the subject of the future employment and emoluments of Mr. Rea, Superintendent, Archæological Survey, in this Presidency.

Acct. No. 46.
1,000—27-3-96.

PUBLIC DEPARTMENT.

LETTER—from

THE CHIEF SECRETARY TO THE GOVERNMENT OF
FORT ST. GEORGE,

To

THE SECRETARY TO THE GOVERNMENT OF INDIA,
DEPARTMENT OF REVENUE AND AGRICULTURE.

SIR,

I am directed to acknowledge the receipt of Mr. Maconochie's letter No. 1954-5-2, *Archæology and Epigraphy*, dated the 13th June 1899, forwarding copies of correspondence between the Government of India and the Secretary of State on the subject of the reorganisation of the Archæological Department, and inquiring whether the Governor in Council desires to retain Mr. Rea in the post of Archæological Surveyor as reconstituted, and, if so, whether Mr. Rea is willing to remain in the appointment on the new terms.

2. I am now directed to reply to both the above questions in the affirmative, but to state that before final orders are passed on the subject, this Government considers it desirable to place beyond doubt the conditions on which Mr. Rea will be transferred to the new scale of pay. The Accountant-General reports that Mr. Rea, on transfer to the new grade of Rs. 300—25—800, would, under articles 171 (*a*) and 173 of the Civil Service Regulations, continue to draw his present pay of Rs. 500 per mensem, and would be entitled to draw an increment of Rs. 25 after a further service of nine years, and that the sanction of the Secretary of State would be necessary to grant him an increment from any earlier date. I am to say that this interpretation cannot well be made applicable to the present arrangement, Mr. Rea having completed nearly seventeen years' service, and having been in receipt of his present salary of Rs. 500 per mensem from the 1st October 1890. This Government is strongly of opinion that the latest date from which he should be permitted to draw the first increment should be the date of the Secretary of State's despatch sanctioning the new scale of pay. Mr. Rea, himself, in accepting the new terms, desires that his increments should be granted with retrospective effect from the date of the proposition statement forwarded with this department letter No. 751, dated 7th September 1895, and I am to say that the Governor in Council has no objection to urge to this request if it meets with the approval of the Government of India.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

OOTACAMUND,
11*th September* 1899.

G. STOKES,
Chief Secretary.

Exd. A. F. Eccleston.

Public (1899) Department.

CURRENT No. 1770

CHIEF SECRETARY
13 JUL. 1899
GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

From
The Govt of India
R & A. Depts.

No. 1954-5-2

~~**Order**~~ Endt. No. 1754 d/ 6th July 1899.

Referred to the Supt. Archaeological Survey for remarks.

A R Knapp
Under Secy.

Ch
4.7.99

1/5770.

Dated 13th June '99

Received 19 " "

Registered 20 " "

Enclosures 1. (2nd encl. recd 26.6.99)

Spare copies

TO BE RETURNED
WITH REPLY.

SUBJECT.

Forwarding for information copy of the Govt of India's Despatch No 31 of the 16th June '98 dealing with the reorganisation of the Archaeological Depart & of the Secy of State's Despatch No 114 of 18th May '99 in reply to same and enquiring whether the Governor in Council desires to retain Mr. Rea in the post of Archaeological Surveyor as reconstituted & if so whether Mr. Rea is willing to remain in the appointment on the new terms.

Received
9th July 1899.

Acct. No. 46.
1,000—27-3-96.

Sent to Secty on 24.4.03
for CN: 1133 Pub of '03

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
	~~1045-6~~ 1046	Rev:	16.8.98 R
Do	394	Curt A:	4.9.97
✗ Do	1164	Rev:	3.9.97
✗ "	898	"	16.8.97 R
	✗ 1244	"	27.11.96 R
	✗ 973	"	16.9.96 R
✓	✗ 863-4	"	13.[illegible].96

~~FURTHER~~ PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
✓	✗ 757	Rev:	7.9.95 Mil to R
✓	✗ 466	"	11.6.95
	✗ 784	"	20.9.93
	✗ 555	"	17.7.93 R
✓ ✓	675-6	"	7.8.91 R
✓ ✓	674	"	7.8.91 R
✓ ✓	472	"	17.6.91 "
	✗ 378	"	13.5.91 "
	17	"	9.1.91 "
	396	"	2.6.90 "
	395	"	2.6.90 "
	293-4	"	29.3.89 "
	2579	"	3.12.86 "
	1509	"	10.9.86 "
	683	"	30.3.86 "
	✗ 128	"	5.2.86 "
	✓ 2528	"	4.12.85 "
	✓ 1707-8	"	21.7.85 "
	✓ 2366-7	"	14.12.83 "

Public (1899) Department.

CURRENT No. 1979.

ACCOUNTANT GENERAL'S OFFICE
27 JUL 1899
MADRAS

From

The Superintendent,
Archaeological Survey
(Madras)

No. 176.

Dated 12th July 1899.
Received 13 " "
Registered 14 " "
Enclosures 2 (C No 1770 & Endo ret?)
Spare copies.

~~Order~~ Endt No. 1917 d/ 25.7.99

Referred to the Accountant General for favour of remarks, with advertence to the request in para 2 of the Pres.'s letter.

A. R. Knapp.
for Chief Secy.

Cy
18.7.99.

SUBJECT.

Expresses willingness to remain as Head of the Madras Archaeological Survey on the new terms sanctioned by Government.

TO BE RETURNED
WITH REPLY.

28-7-99

Acct. No. 46.
1,000—27-3-96.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Archæological Survey, Madras,
Bangalore.
12th July. 1899.

No. 176

From
A. REA, Esquire, F.S.A. Scot., M.R.A.S.
Superintendent, Archæological Survey,
Madras,

To
The Chief Secretary
to Government,

Sir,

below

Adverting to Reference in the Public Department, No. 1754, dated 6th July 1899, I have the honour to state that I am willing to remain in my appointment on the new terms.

2. As I will have had seventeen years' service in November next, I would respectfully request that Government might be pleased to sanction that the new increments may be added to my present salary of Rs 500, beginning from the date of the proposition statement, submitted previous to these present Government Orders as, if commencing from

Acct. No. 46.
1,000—27-3-96.

Archæological Survey (Madras),

Bangalore 14 July 1899.

Dear Mr. Arundel,

I recently received from Government, the sanctioned proposals for the reorganisation of this Department. In the letter from the Government of India, it was asked if His Excellency the Governor desired to retain me in charge of the Archaeol-ogical Survey.

I have thought *that* this might imply a dis-satisfaction with some part of my work. The only reference I have had

1,000—27-3-96.

c15.8.99 · c18.8.

and warning, so that he might amend. But he has gone from bad to worse, and I have recently had to take the photographic work entirely out of his hands, and reduce him to the post of second draughtsman. To the present Head draughtsman, I have given some instructions in photography; and he gives promise of producing good work. The most of the photographs accompanying this year's annual progress report, are by him.

I have thought it best that this matter should be mentioned, as I have, in consequence been inadvertently

1,000—27-3-96.

C.S.

(1) What answer was sent to Govt. of India - vide para 1 of this note.

(2) There has been no expression of dissatisfaction with Mr. Rae's work, has there? 20.7.99.

Papers.

1,000—27-3-96.

placed in a difficult position; and part of the blame falls on me, though I have used every effort to rectify matters.

Yours Sincerely

A. Rea

Supdt., Archaeol.

Survey.

1,000—27-3-96.

the current year, it will take another eleven years' service before I can attain the maximum salary of the appointment.

I have the honour to be,
Sir
Your most Obedient Servant
A Rea
Superintendent

---

e 22.7.99
R 24.7.99

NOTE FOR THE ~~UNDER~~ Chief SECRETARY.

A Mr's note on D.O. from Mr Rea d/ 14.7.99.

(1) No answer has yet been sent to India — the papers on the subject are in the linked file. It is proposed before replying to India, to obtain the Acct. Genl's views on Mr Rea's request to be granted increments with retrospective effect.

(2) The Sec. of State appears to have remarked on the photographs submitted with Mr Rea's annual report for 1894-95, & those submitted with the reports for 1895-96 & 1896-97 were unfavourably criticised by the Govt.

No remark was made in the G.O. on the pictures sent with the report for 1897-98, but in a note in the original G.O. they were said to be better than the previous year's photos. There does not appear to be any other expression of

tisfaction with his
work.

21.7.99 21.7.99

H.M.

This with the linked file submitted for ~~[illegible]~~ his orders upon any further action in them.

There is nothing in Mr Rea's letter calling for immediate reply. The papers can be disposed of in the usual way

22.7.99

Despd.

C.S. Please see the cnt: on the linked file submitted for approval.

Orders are requested whether the D.O. to the H.M. should be registered as a comment & replied to officially. It does not appear to call for a reply.

24.7.99

Public (1899) Department.

CURRENT No. 2182

CHIEF SECRETARY'S OFFICE
-7 AUG 1899
GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

Sheading

Order

From
The Accountant General to the Government of Madras

No. G.665/4925

Dated 5th August 1899.
Received }
Registered } 7 " "
Enclosures C. Nos 1770 & 1979 Enclosures
Spare copies -

SUBJECT.

Informing that Mr Rea on transfer to the new grade of Rs 300-25 would continue on Rs 500 per mensem and would be entitled to the increment of Rs 25 after 9 years service and that the sanction of the Secy of State would be necessary for the increment from any earlier date.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Gazetted Audit DEPARTMENT.

FORT ST. GEORGE,
Accountant-General's Office,
6th August 1899

No. G.665/4925

From

T. HESKETH BIGGS ESQ.,
ACCOUNTANT-GENERAL TO THE
GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS,

To

THE Chief Secretary to Government,
Public Department.

SIR,

C

Encls.

With reference to your endorsement No.1917, dated the 25th of July 1899, I have the honour to state that Mr. Rea on transfer to the new grade of Rs.300-25-800 would under articles 171 (a) and 173 of the Civil Service Regulations continue to draw his present pay of Rs.500 per mensem and would be entitled to draw an increment of Rs.25 after a further service of 9 years. The sanction of the Secretary of State would be necessary to grant him an increment from any earlier date.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,
H Hesketh Biggs
Accountant-General.

1,000—27-3-96.

(189) DEPARTMENT.

From

THE ACCOUNTANT-GENERAL,

MADRAS,

To

THE

No.

Dated
Received

No.

SUBJECT.

Acct. No. 16.
1,000—27-3-96.

[G/24.]
1,000—25-3-99.

C 6-8-99
R 10-8-99.

Public DEPARTMENT.

C. No. 1770 From the Govt. of India, No. 1952/5-2, dated 13.6. 1899.

" 1979 " " Supt. Arch. Survey, " 176, " 12-7-1899.

" " " , " , " 189 .

" " " , " , " 189 .

Précis

With the first-mentioned above, the Govt. of India forwarded copies of correspondence with the Secy. of State dealing with the reorganisation of the Archaeological Dept. The scheme includes the maintenance of a circle for Madras in charge of an Arch. Surveyor on Rs 300 rising by annual increments of Rs. 25 to Rs 500 per mensem & the G. of I. inquired whether this Govt. desired to retain Mr Rea in the post as reconstituted, & if so, whether Mr Rea was willing to

From the order of the G. of I. regarding Dr. Hultzsch's pay it obviously useless to ask that Mr Rea may draw increments of Rs 25 to his present pay of Rs 500

Put up w. [illegible] Rs [illegible]

[illegible] A [illegible]

Draft

remain on the new terms –

2. Mr Rea, to whom the papers were referred, states that he is willing to remain on the new conditions, but requests that the increments may be added to his present salary of Rs. 500, beginning from the date of a proposition statement which he submitted in July 1895 –

3. The G. of I. does not say under what conditions Mr Rea is to be transferred to the new scale of pay. If Art. 171 (a) is to govern the case, he will be transferred on his present salary, but will count time for increments as if he had commenced on the minimum pay, that is he will have to work off, at the rate of Rs. 25 per annum, the Rs. 200 he now draws in excess of the minimum pay of Rs 300, & he will consequently receive no increments for 8 years – Mr Rea does not at all realise this for he says that it will take him eleven years from the present time to reach the maximum pay of Rs 800, & he asks that four years' increments, or Rs 100 may now be added to his present pay –

4. A specific representation must apparently be made to the Govt. of India on behalf of Mr Rea, to enable him to begin to draw increments on the new scale, either from now, or from 1895, as the Govt. may think proper, but it seems necessary first to obtain the Acct. Genl's remarks on the request in para 2 of Mr Rea's letter, & a draft on the lines below is submitted for approval.

5. It may be pointed out that while the Secy. of State has sanctioned the proposals of the G. of I. for a period

18.7.98.

---

from the year 1895. But it is quite clear that ~~he~~ Mr Rea ought to draw the first increment from the date of the Secy. of S's sanction, and I think we should point this out to India explaining at the same time that Mr Rea in accepting the terms desires that his increments may date from July 1895, and that this Govt. has no objection to urge if the Govt. of India approves.

The Acct. Genl's interpretation should be mentioned at once that while in accord with the ordinary rule cannot have place in the present arrangement, for the reasons given in the note.

of five years, it will take an officer, on the new rate of pay, twenty years to rise from the minimum to the maximum.

Cu
18.7.99

19.7.99

Further note (Current No. 2182)

The Acct. Genl. confirms what is stated in para 3 of the foregoing note, except that he says that Mr. Rea will will be entitled to a first increment of Rs 25 after a further service of 9 years. The Acct. Genl. apparently reckons no increment for the first year, & thereafter 8 years to work off the difference between Rs 300 & Rs 500.

Orders are requested as to whether the G. of I. may now be asked to permit Mr. Rea to count for increments from 1895, or whether that gentleman should first be asked to report whether he is willing to serve for a further period of nine years on his present pay of Rs 500 before he receives an increment of Rs 25. It is hardly likely that he will accept these terms, for he will complete 17 years' service in Nov. next. He has been drawing Rs 500 per mensem since 1889, & it will take him 20 years from the present time to reach the maximum pay of Rs 800 per mensem, if the new scale of pay is to be regulated by Art. 171(a) C.S.R.

Cu
8.8.99.

The A.G. says that Mr. Rea must serve another 9 years without any increment unless the sanction of the G. of I is obtained. Mr. Rea asks that he sh'd be allowed to begin drawing the 25 Rs increment at once ~~[illegible]~~ or

595,

JA

Acct. No. 46
1,500—27.8.96

better still that he sh^d be given the increments from 1895 at once and then go on drawing the increments till he gets to 800Rs. I am not sure what the Govt. of India offered in the existing position & wish this Govt. to offer Mr. Rea; and I think it is clear that Mr. Rea does not understand what may be the offer made him. I think it w^d be well before going further to clear up both points—

9/8/

6/24

500—28-6-99

C15 R17-8-99 C18-8 R28/8/99

Public DEPARTMENT.

H.M.

H.E. the Govr. (for orders)

Letter to India.

800 Rs

9 P.

C. No. 1770 From the Govt. of India, No. 1594-5-2 dated 13. 6. 1899. (A

„ 1979 „ „ Supt. Arch. Survey, „ 176, „ 12. 7. 1899 (a

„ 2182 „ „ Acct. Genl, „ G.665/4925, „ 5. 8. 1899. (a

„ „ „ „ „ 189 .

Order/P.S. No. 1019 D/11-9-99.

The correspondence forwarded with the letter from the Govt. of India cited above will be communicated to the Supt. Archaeological Survey, to the Govt. Epigraphist & to the Acct. Genl.

2. The Govt. of India will be addressed on the subject of Mr. Rea's future employment & emoluments.

14/8/99

Letter No. 1020. G.O. desp^d 16-9-99

To the Secy. to the Govt. of India Dept. of Rev. & Agri.

To the Supt. Arch. Survey, Govt. Epigraphist, Acct. Genl, Genl. Dept. } A of C.No. 1770 & encls., G of C.No. 1979 & 2182, &c.

desp^d 16-9-99.

Cu 12.9.99.

A.E.H. 15. 8. 99

A.E.H. 25. 8. 99

Desp^d 11/9/99

Sir,

I am directed to acknowledge the receipt of Mr. Maconochie's letter No. 1952-5-2, *Archaeology & Epigraphy*, dated the 13th June 1899, forwarding copies of correspondence between the Govt. of India & the Secy. of State on the subject of the reorganisation of the Archaeological Dept., and inquiring whether the Governor in Council desires to retain Mr. Rea in the post of Archaeological Surveyor as reconstituted, and, if so, whether Mr. Rea is willing to remain in the appointment on the new terms.

2. I am now directed to reply to both the above questions in the affirmative, but to state that before final orders are passed on the subject, this Govt. considers it desirable to place beyond doubt the conditions on which Mr. Rea will be

transferr[illegible] the [illegible] of pay. The Acct. General reports that Mr. Rea, on transfer to the new grade of Rs. 300-25-800, would, under Articles 171(a) and 173 of the Civil Service Regns., continue to draw his present pay of Rs. 500 per mensem, and would be entitled to draw an increment of Rs. 25 after a further service of nine years, and that the sanction of the Secy of State would be necessary to grant him an increment from any earlier date. I am to say that this interpretation, ~~while being in accordance with the ordinary rules~~, cannot well be made applicable to the present arrangement, Mr. Re[illegible] having completed ne[illegible] seventeen years' servic[illegible] having been in receip[illegible] of his present salary of R[illegible]

Acct. No. 46.
1,000—27-3-96.

as increment from 1st Octr 1890 - this Govt. is strongly of opinion that the latest date from which he should be permitted to draw the first increment should be the date of the Secy. of State's despatch sanctioning the new scale of pay - Mr Rea, himself, in accepting the new terms, desires that his increments should be granted with retrospective effect from the date of the proposition statement forwarded with the this dept. letter No 751 dated 7 Sept. 1885, & I am to say that the Govt in Council has no objection to accede to this request if it meets with the approval of the Govt. of India.

(Issue orders)

i
for 88.
th
is
d
l

1/14182

---

rned for signature.

Despatched in print.

Number of copies required.

Order complete with

Read again G.O., No. , dated 189 .

[illegible] No.

[illegible] to C. No.

[illegible] of Government.

Letter of Government.

[illegible]atement with ditto.

Papers not filed up, being meant for despatch in original.

Print in full.
Not to be printed.
Print abstract only.
Print heading only.

12

Acct. No. 46.
1,000—27-3-96.