

Public (1899) Department.

ORDINARY MS.

G.O., No. 883

Dated 8. 8. 99

INDEXED.

[Despatch Abstract.]

Receiving telegram from
Mr M. F. Murphy L.C.S. stating that he
proposes to issue orders postponing
the date of the South Cauara Sessions to
the 10th instant as he cannot assume
charge of the office of Ag Dist Session Judge,
South Cauara, until relieved of his appointment
as holder by Mr Linher.

8
10/10/99

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

21-8-99
22-8-99

Public CURRENT NO. 2134
INDIAN TELEGRAPHS. Monthly Number. 5-

To (Office) *Coramund* From (Office) *Mangalore*
Words. 29 Day. 1 Hour. 15 Minute. 1 Official Instructions. 1

To (Person) *Mr. M. M. M.* From (Person) *Mr. M. M. M.*

CHIEF SECRETARY
-1. AUG. 1899
GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

32 Word Form.

*For information of the court the
appointment of a judge for the
sitting of the court for the
session of the day to be held
at the court house*

Recd. from LN. Sent to LN.
OOTACAMUND 1-8-99
At Hrs. Mts. by At Hrs. Mts. by
Jas. West
for
Telegraph Master.

U G P—No. 773 T—19-2-27—4000000.

Recd. 1.8.99
Regd. 1.8.99
There is objection. But, I presume it should be explained to the
High Court.
A.S.H. 2.8.99

(C) 9
3/8/99 Public Dept

Telegram

To M. J. Murphy Esq Sec.
Ag Collector S. Canara
Mangalore.

468 - Your telegram
1st. No objection to your
issuing orders fixing
Thursday tenth opening
sessions. But explain
~~matter to High Court.~~

what is this & explain
1/3/99

Order Cms.
3/8/99

W.H.

Sanatane & others
Sect 3 of the law
what is this
W.H.

Public Department.

C. No. 2134

TELEPHONE MESSAGE.

From

The Chief Secretary

CHIEF SECRETARIAT,

To

The Private Secy to the Governor

Dated 3-8-1899.

Will you please
obtain H.E.'s orders
^{and let me know}
~~as to~~ whether Murphy
is likely to continue as
Ag St Judge of S. Canara
for any considerable
time?

^{Curd} H.E. has noted
in Murphy's telegram
about Dennis Freeman
that when I was
present at the explanation
to the High Court. I do not
understand what is the explanation
with you please let me know.

3/8/99

13/1/99

Town
(Office)

TELEGRAPHS.

**Monthly
Number.**

Town
(Office)

From

(Office)

Words

Day**Hour**

Minute.

**Official
Instructions**

To

(Person

From

1 Person

CHIEF SECRETARY
-3. AUG. 1899
GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

H. 2 made the remark about the High Court on the paper about the S. Canara Locomotive because he was not sure whether it needed an appeal to the High Court or not. The High Court has nothing to do with the appeal. H. 2 does not think there is any need to say anything to them about it but if the High Court were asked to say what time the appeal was taken H. 2 would be able to explain it. He explained to him the fact that it was explained in fact previously but it was because the paper was not clear and he was not sure whether it needed it.

84 Word Form.

F. C. 2.

Read. from

LN.

Sent to

LN.

Hrs.

WLa. by

Her.

Mts. by

Telegraph Master.

1412 21 92

proceedings

1412 21 92

[G. 5-A]
1,000-25-3 191

C N: 2134

Public Dept

NOTE FOR THE Chief Secretary

Abstract of G. R

N: 914 Public, dated the 24th July 1899, appointing Mr Murphy to act as District and Sessions Judge of South Canara is submitted. The original is at Madras. For the notes connects with this order, attention is solicited to the notes flagged - also other in G. R. No 1118 Judicial dated the 13th ultimo.

~~Action of the present current should, if to subd, apparently be taken by the Judicial Dept. 220 at 11/11~~

~~Chips 12/11/99~~
2-8-99 2/11/99

not to do as not with.

The present proceedings of telegrams to be sent by the to the Secretary to the Government. What was mentioned in the that matter?

For the Chief Secy

It is Submitted

14.5.19

14.5.19

that there is no information
in the office as to what
is contemplated regarding
the continuance of Mr.
Murphy in the District.

in the District of St. Catharines

2/8/99

Mr. P. J. by telephone.

and in that Mr. Murphy has

acquiesced

11/8/99

11/8/99

11/8/99

11/8/99

11/8/99

11/8/99

11/8/99

11/8/99

11/8/99

11/8/99

11/8/99

11/8/99

11/8/99

11/8/99

11/8/99

11/8/99

11/8/99

11/8/99

11/8/99

11/8/99

11/8/99

11/8/99

11/8/99

For the C.S.

The file is resubmitted
with the P.S.G.'s reply to
the telephone message of
this date.

Attention is solicited
to page 33 of the Criminal
Rules of Practice. From
clause 3 of rule 57 printed at
that page it will be seen
that the sessions generally
commence on the first
Monday of each month
except in the cases mentioned

in rules 3 (2) and 4. As
the rules were framed
by the High Court it
would appear that the
date of the sessions ~~could~~
be changed only with the
approval of the High
Court. It is therefore
submitted that Mr Murphy
may be asked to communi-
cate to the High Court
the circumstances under
which the date is proposed
to be changed.

D

A draft telegram is
submitted for approval
of the Court. This is a matter, it is
3/8/99 Sub. for Feb. which
may deal with the
paper now

Out
3.8.19

[Faint, mostly illegible handwritten notes on the left page]

(21/28/99) Public Dept

Telegram

To Mr. J. Murphy Esq
 Aq Collector, Banars
 Mangalore

[Handwritten note: "Mun + ... for ..."]

473 + my telegram 468.
 Explain to High Court
 that sessions postponed
 with approval of Govt
 as you cannot join
 Judgeship until re-
 lieved by Pinkey.

Volu
 3-8-99 *[Signature]*

To J.D.
[Signature]
 4/8/99

Order W.O. 883 of 8-8-99.
Mis

Recorded.
Volu
 5-8-99 *[Signature]*

A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied.
P = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy heading only