

Public (1899) Department.

ORDINARY MS.

G.O., No. 1106

Dated 30-9-99

INDEXED.

[Despatch Abstract.]

Sanctioning ~~the~~ with remarks, the payment to the
to Board of Tinnevely, of a contribution amounting to
one-eighth of the pay & allowances of Hospital Asst.
Chokalingam & Mai for the period during which he
was in charge of the dispensary at Ethyaparam, &
stating that Govt. will be prepared to sanction
a similar contribution in the case of other ~~medical~~
private medical practitioners employed by local
Boards whose services are ~~in the~~ ~~of the~~ ~~for~~
most part.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

CURRENT No.

OFFICE OF SURGEON
12 DEC 1899

From
The District Magistrate
Truro

~~Order~~

Ref on Car No. 240 Magle / 98

Бумага № 2793, д. 7-12-98.

Dated 23rd November 1898

Referred to The Surgeon-General.
His remarks which, it is re-
quested, may be submitted
through the Act. Genl.
e. A check is issued to S.

Received	27	4	12	28
in Public	7	4	12	28
Registered	28	4	12	28
in Public	7	4	12	28
Enclosures	4	7	12	28

Spare copies

Ad 422 Publici, d 4. March 1894

SUBJECT,

Submitting for orders
the case of the late Hospital
Assistant of the Local
Fund Dispensary at Eliaya-
puram Claiming fees on
account of wound and
post-mortem Certificates
worth Rs 100/-

H. Under Secretary

W.
2/12/58


to the Lygon Tunnel.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.



The Hospital Assistant
Etayapuram

To The District Medical & Sanitary Officer
Tinnevely

Sir,
I am resubmitting herewith copy of extracts from G.O. no 2047 L, 14th September 1889, Local and Municipal. I respectfully beg to draw your attention once more to the contents of the G.O. which does not apply to me. Although I was entertained prior to 1881 I was compelled to subscribe to the Provident funds. From this it may be inferred that I am not entitled to pension. No part of my pay is paid by Government as mentioned in the G.O. Consequently I am entitled to receive for certificates etc in non-compoundable offences such as grievous hurts and post mortems. The G.O. as mentioned distinctly says that I am entitled to fee of Rs 5 for each certificate granted by me.

Compoundable as well as non Compoundable. I made a reference to your office on the subject on the 19th July 96 (in letter no 89) and received an endorsement no $\frac{4267}{24.7.96}$ stating that I am not entitled to any fees according to the list of the G.O. quoted. The parties also refuse to pay me the fees. One Anandappa Mudali, who appeared before me with a scalp wound for a certificate on the 25th ultimo refused to pay me the fees due. Under such circumstances I am at a loss to know from whom I am to get the fees due to me as per G.O. quoted above.

I therefore humbly request you will be pleased to move the District Magistrate to pay me the fees due to me for all the certificates granted by me up to date, a bill for which is herewith submitted.

I beg to remain,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant;

A. Chackalin Pillai
Hospital Assistant

G.O. no 20472, 14th September 1889
Order - dated 12th August 1889 no 1382 Judicial

2
3 In connection with this subject, the further question arises whether medical officers who are public servants should receive any fee from public revenues for giving certificates, opinions or evidence regarding any proceeding of public interest such as inquests or judicial enquiries into non compoundable offences. The Government does not consider that they should and in the case of medical officers in Local and Municipal en the Government pays a portion of their salaries expressly in order that such public service be claimed as of right. The order of Govt dated 4th June 1880 no 1327 and 11th Mar 1880 so far as they conflict with the order no. 1880 in

will be considered as cancelled.

(True extract)

(signed) J. F. Price
chief secretary

order dated 14th September 1889 No 20472
Local and Municipal

Communicated to all Local Boards and
Municipal Councils and to the Municipal Com-
missioners of Madras

(True extract)

(signed) J. F. Price
chief secretary

(True copy of the Extract)

Adalokalingum Pillai
Hospital asst

No. 787

Ints. Office -
Office of the District Surgeon Tinnevely.

Dated 19-6-1897

From, Surg. Major K. C. Sanjana
Ints. Office
THE DISTRICT SURGEON TINNEVELLY.

To,

The District Magistrate,
Tinnevely

Sir,

Enclosures (3)

On forwarding herewith the letter No
40 dated 14.6.97 of the Hospital
Assistant, Enigaparam L. 7 Dispensary,
for favor of your issuing necessary orders
I have the honor to state that, in my
opinion he is not entitled to any claim
for fees on account of Wound & Post.
mortem certificates issued by him
in as much as his full pay &
allowance of Rs 55 & Rs 10 respectively
are met from the Tq Board Funds
instead of $\frac{7}{8}$ th portion from local
& $\frac{1}{8}$ th portion from Provincial Funds
This Hospital Assistant while he was
in charge of Trichendore & other L. 7
Institutions where $\frac{1}{8}$ th part of pay &
allowance is borne by Government
did

wil

did not claim any fees on this account, and that the 1/8th contribution for the Ettayapuram Dispensary is not paid from Provincial funds, is no reason why he should get extra fees now for the certificates, while he is paid the same pay & allowance as he drew before

3. If this ^{request} were granted in this Hospital Assistant, the other purely local fund hands (namely Thomas Spratt & Charles Gnanasimoni) will also be entitled to the claim.



Chauethu Amolhe
for
your most obedt Servant

Copy of G.O. No. 2047 dated 14-9-89 is herewith sent for reference and return. The G.O. will observe from paras 2 & 3 that where Govt pays a portion of the medical officers' salaries, their services such as granting wound & post-mortem certificates can be claimed as right. In this case the medical officers large part of his pay is paid by local funds and this is also shown in this letter. The Dist. Surgeon's recommendation is clear that he is requested to take whether the fees claimed in all these cases are ~~more~~ ^{extra} & non-compensable costs. It is also requested to verify the claim with reference to the records in the Ettayapuram Dispensary and to inform the District Surgeon accordingly.

Dated
Despatched
Received

The

The District Surgeon
Tinnevely.

189

Tinnevely District Collector's Office,
Dated 23rd November 1898
Station Tinnevely

From
A.W.B. Higgins Esquire,
Dist. Magistrate Collector of the
Tinnevely District,

To
^{Chief}
THE SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT,
Judicial DEPARTMENT,
Madras.

SIR,
I have the honour to submit the following question for the orders of Government.
2. One Chockalingam Pillai, late Hospital Assistant of the -- Local Fund Dispensary at Ettayapuram whose pay was paid entirely from Local Funds attended the Otapidaram Sub-Magistrate's Court and gave evidence regarding wounds in cases in which he had issued certificates. He has claimed a fee of Rs 150 at the rate of Rs 5 for each of 30 of such cases. The District Medical and Sanitary Officer through whom the claim was urged thinks -- that, in the spirit of G.O. No. 2047-L., the Hospital Assistant is not entitled to any fees on account of wound and post-mortem-- certificates issued by him, in as much as his full pay and allowance of Rs 50 and Rs 10 respectively are met from the Taluk-- Board funds instead of 7/8 from Local Fund and 1/8 from Provincial Fund. He also states that this Hospital Assistant, while he was in charge of Trichendur and other Local Fund institutions where 1/8 part of pay and allowance is borne by Government, did not claim any fees on this account and the fact that the 1/8th contribution is not paid from Provincial funds for the Ettayapuram Local Fund Dispensary, is no reason why he should get -- entire fees for the certificates in question, seeing that he is paid the same pay and allowance as he drew before. The Hospital Assistant presses his claim on the ground that the G.O. is inapplicable to his case as no part of his pay is paid by Government.

3. The offences for which the certificates had been granted and in regard to which evidence was given are non-compoundable, except in five cases, of which 4 are compoundable with the permission of the Court, and the other at the instance of the parties. There is apparently no order distinctly allowing a fee of Rs 5 in each case in which a Medical Officer who is purely a local Fund servant gives evidence about wounds in a Court. I -- therefore request the orders of Government in regard to the -- payment of the amount claimed.

4. The connected records are herewith submitted.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

For District Magistrate.

S.T.F.
20.11.
26.1.98

CURRENT NO. 44

Financial Department.

[6/70]

500-10-0-97.

To await order from II
the S. S. W. memo 2793
7.12.98



By 2.9.97
to issue memo 2793
7.12.98

MEMORANDUM No. 1000
The reply to the memo is in today's
lappal 180 the memo
is held back over.
RC 9/2/98

By 4.1.98
to Refce

The favour of an early reply to the
communication noted in the margin is
requested.

No. dated 5.12.98.

Subject.

Request of the D. Board,
Dinnerelly, for contribution
from Provincial towards
the dispensary at
Panangudi

CHIEF SECRETARIAT,
4th February 1899.

A. E. J. G. S.
for chief Secretary.

To The Public Department.

Received

Public (1898) Department.

CURRENT No.

To await the dictation of the general questions raised in FUL (No 3/99) in the linked file

10/2/99

10 FEB 9

CHIEF SECRETARY
-9. FEB. 1899
GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

From

Surgeon General.

L. *Silphoche caerulea*

August 1, 1941

with the Gods of Mankind

No. 6571

Dated

1.2th December 98.

Received

10. 2. 99.

Registered

Enclosures

Public CN: 3855 pencils
net

Spare copies.

SUBJECT.

Submitting remarks on the
claim of the late Hospital
Surgeon of the Local Fund
Dispensary at Ettayapuram
for fees on account of wounds
and boat. Morden certificates
issued by him.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
	320	Publ.	2.3.88
	590	Publ.	17.5.97
	846	Publ.	4.5.92
	1308	Publ.	10.12.91
	823	Publ.	29.4.91
	1687	Publ.	12.7.90
	843	Publ.	2.6.89

1773 Publ. 16.10.89

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
	2047	L	16.9.87
	1382	Publ.	12.8.89
		no. paper	

[1]

No. 571

OFFICE OF SURGEON-GENERAL
WITH THE GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS,
Madras, 17 December 1898.

From

SURGEON-GENERAL J. SIBTHORPE, C.B., F.R.C.P.I.,


SURGEON-GENERAL WITH THE

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS,

To

THE HON'BLE THE CHIEF SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT,

Public DEPARTMENT.



SIR,

In returning your endorsement
No. 2493 Public dated 10th instant with
its annexures, I have the honor to state
that if the certificates were given by the
Hospital assistant at the instance of a
private person he is entitled under G.O.
No. 590 Public dated 17th May 1894 to a fee
in each case not exceeding two days pay
(without allowances) from the party concerned.
The order in G.O. No. 422 Public
dated 4th March 1884 refers to fees paid from
Provincial Funds for conducting practical modern or
medico-legal examinations on behalf of Government
and does not therefore seem to me to clash with
the provisions of the G.O. quoted in the preceding paper.
I have the honor to be

Sir,

Your most obedient servant
C. S. S. S. S.
Surgeon

No 516

Fort St. George
Accountants office
8th February 1899.

Forwarded to Government.

The Hospital asst: though paid from Local Funds, appears to be a public servant as the Local Boards are controlled by Government; it is moreover part of his duty as Hospital Assistant to the Ettiapuram Hospital to give post mortem and wound certificates free of charge.

The certificates do not appear to have been given at the instance of private parties as supposed by the Surgeon General.

W. H. H.
Accountant General.

The Chief Secretary to Govt,
Public Dept.

Public Dept

memo. No. 2226
2.9.99.

The Surgeon General
is requested to report at
an early date, the period
during which A. Chokkalingam
Pillai, Private Hospital
Assistant, was employed
in the Local Fund dispensary
at Ettayapuram, Tinnevely
district.

C. S.
1.9.99.

To the Surgeon General.

Subject

Fees to a Local Fund Hospital Asst.
for granting certificates in post mortem
and in jury cases.

C. No. 505 From the Dt. Magte., Tinnevely, No. 240, dated 25-11-98 189 .
401 Financial Department, 4-2-99 189 .
474 Surgeon General, 17-12-1898 189 8.
189 .

FOR ORDERS

These papers relate to a claim preferred by one Chockalingam Pillai, late Hospital Asst. of the Local Fund Dispensary at Ettayapuram, Tinnevely District, to fees at the rate of Rs. 5 each, for 50 cases in which at the request of the police he granted wound and post-mortem certificates. He submitted his claim in June 1897 to the District Medical and Sanitary Officer, Tinnevely; but the matter was submitted to Govt. by the Dt. Magte. only in November 1898.

2. The Hospital Asst. was apparently at first referred by the D.M. & S.O. to the ruling in G.O. c.1323 Judl, d/18th August 1899, in which Govt.

said that medical officers who are public servants should not receive any

fee from public revenues for giving

certificates etc. regarding any proceeding of public interest. It was added that in the case of medical officers in Local and Municipal employ, the Govt. pays a portion of their salaries expressly in order that such public services may be claimed as of right.

The Hospital Asst. urges that as no ^{is} part of his pay/paid by Govt., he is entitled to receive fees. The D.A. & S.O. considered the claim inadmissible on the ground that the same Hospital Asst. when serving at other Local Fund institutions in which Govt. paid part of his pay, received no such fees.

He saw no reason why the Hospital Asst. should now obtain money over and above his pay for doing work which he previously did at other places without extra remuneration.

5. The D.A. & S.O. appears to have missed the point. Whether the Hospital Asst. himself should be allowed by his employers-the Local Board-to receive fees which for any work which he does is a matter to be decided between the Local Board and the Hospital Asst. himself. But the question appears to arise whether the Hospital Asst. being a private servant of the Local Board, Govt. is entitled to his service gratis. Para 5 of G.O.

No.1523-Judl., d/12-8-89, certainly leads to the inference that ^{Govt.} where it does not ^{pay} any portion of the salary of an Hospital Asst. in Local employ

~~has no claim~~ to any part of his service.

^{where} ^{does}
A Local Fund Engineer ~~doing~~ work for the P.W.D. is, it is understood, remunerated therefor from Provl. funds, and it would therefore seem that in the present case, Govt. is liable to pay to the Local Board concerned such remuneration as may be necessary on account of the services which it obtains ^{ad} from the Hospital Asst. Further ~~Govt.~~ ^{support is} ~~sent~~ to this view by the correspondence in G.O.No.492, Pub., d/4th March 1884, in which it is ruled by the Govt. of India that medical subordinates lent by Govt. to Municipalities or dispensary committees ^{any post mortem or} will be required to perform ~~medico-~~ legal examinations as a part of their regular duties without further remuneration. The Hospital Asst. in the present case is a private man who has never been in Govt. employ and as such it is not clear that Govt. can have any claim to his service.

The Acct. Genl's view is that the Hospital Asst. though paid from Local Funds appears to be a public servant, as the Local Boards are controlled by Govt. but this view, if accepted, would place all Local Fund servants at the disposal of Govt. for employment without remuneration in work which has no connection with ^{free of charge} Local Funds. The A.G. goes on "It is moreover, part of his duty as Hospital Asst. to the Ettayapuram Hospital to give post-mortem and wound certificates. For this dictum there is no

authority and it appears to ^{be} ~~be~~ the whole question at issue.

5. If Govt. admits that Local Fund servants are the private employes of Local Funds and that Govt. has no claim upon their services, the liability of Govt. to pay for postmortem and medico-legal examinations made at the instance of the Police by such servants appears to be clear and it would seem that Govt. should pay the Local Board in the present instance the fees claimed, leaving it to the Board to decide whether or not they should be paid to the apothecary. The only alternative is, it is submitted, that Govt. should now declare that it is the duty of Local Boards to lend to Govt. the services of their medical employes whenever they may be required, whether private men or officers lent by Govt. and to give the rule retrospective effect so as to cover the present case.

M.
8/4/99

It seems to me that since this Hospital Act. is not a Terminal Law the rules do not apply. Hence and here is therefore entitled to claim fees. Whether this is desirable & whether it is the law allowed to continue are different questions. I think the existing state

of things sh^d not be allowed to continue. As matters stand it is plain that it is the L.F.F. who the Hospital Act who sh^d get such fees. or advantage. I think the best way out of the difficulty is to be for Govt. to pay 75% of the pay of Hospital Acts of this class of the men agreed to in these papers. ^{in return for which the L.F.F. is} ~~which~~ we impose on them. L.F. Hospital Acts the same liability to serve Govt. as now has an Hospital Act. in Local Fund 1875 employ-
L.F. Hospital.

9/4/99.

No. 1001, dy 10-4-99.
L & Co. Dep^t n-o.

1/8/4/99.

Local and Municipal Dept.

Note for the Secretary

Under article III of the Local Fund Code, which has the force of law, the Government generally contributes one-eighth of the ~~medical~~ ^{medical} salary of a Medical subordinate in charge of a Local Fund dispensary when a regular additional duty is imposed on him, such as the Medical Charge of the Jail or the Police of the station or locality, in view of the fact that the Government is thereby relieved of the charge for a separate medical establishment. It seems therefore clear that the Government is not entitled to the gratuitous services of the Hospital Assistant in question.

Under article 342, the ^{cost} of the time of the Local Fund ^{is} near, and on the same analogy: any servant of a Local Board must be held to be paid

by the Board and any remuneration paid to him for services rendered outside his regular duties should be credited to Local Funds.

The treatment of wounded persons is no doubt a regular duty imposed on a ~~Local Fund~~ Medical Subordinate, but the grant of wound and post-mortem certificates is, ~~as~~ submitted, outside such duties and any remuneration paid to him for such service should therefore be credited to Local Funds, unless the Board chooses to pay it to the Medical subordinate himself.

The best way out of the difficulty appears therefore to be for Government to pay one-eighth the hospital assistants' salary, which case it will be relieved in liability to pay for his services.

V.R
14/4/99

Local and Municipal Dep.

Note for the Secretary

See the Kentucky note in
on page 8.
Herald (Cincinnati)

From the memorandum attached to the Accountant General's letter of the 8th May 1898, it will be seen that a contribution towards the pay of medical subordinates employed by Local Boards is made from Poor Rates and Municipal Councils, in 334 Cases, the total number of such subordinates employed by these bodies in 1897-98 being approximately 415. The difference of 81 thus represents the number of subordinates on whose account no contribution is made, either because no regular additional duty is imposed on them, such as the medical charge of the jail or the police of the Station or locality, or because there are at the Stations concerned, medical subordinates or officers paid from Provincial Fund who attend to these Government duties. If the trip suggests:

that where the fees chargeable for the grant of wound and post mortem certificates are higher than would be the one-eighth contribution, such contribution should be made and discontinued in other cases, be adopted, the Government will have to make proper provision for the treatment of prisoners in jail, the police and other Government servants, as these will not be entitled to the gratuitous services of medical subordinates whose pay is wholly met from Local or Municipal Funds.

*You understand and have
I am very anxious to see
St. Cases.*

*1.2
11/5/99*

[L. & M.
500-4-3-99.]

LOCAL AND MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT.

NOTE FOR THE Chief Secretary :

In the present case as to the Bhayapuram Hospital it was not paid by Government, the fees demanded 8/- it is submitted he paid 4/- in Dec 89. The rate of fee however seems unduly high.

Out of 415 Hospital St. & Afmerani employed by Govt. bod. apparently in St. Cases no contribution is paid by Government & 334 Cases $\frac{1}{8}$ is paid by Govt. - When $\frac{1}{8}$ is paid the Govt. apparently has the right to claim free of charge the service of the medical officers who give evidence or grant certificates.

I doubt whether in the St. Cases the occasions on which fees can be demanded can be numerous enough to make it worth while to pay to $\frac{1}{8}$ and get the services of the men free. It would probably be more economical to let things stand & pay the fees which are probably as a rule of small amount than to pay to $\frac{1}{8}$ and claim gratification service.

*Y.A.
I do not suppose any
fees have been
St. Cases - Can you find out
let us see what is the
of persons - to contribute to
fixed according to the
According to the
Can we this portion of facts
be more certainly ascertained
I think not be improved if the
number of occasions on which
fees will have to be paid if
claimed was greater than the
Govt. suppose.*

11/5/99.

To L & M Dept

(Enc)

No 1321 of 18 May 1899

11/5/99

The following is a list of
stations in the
Madras Presidency.

Local and Municipal Deft

Note for the Secretary.

As stated in the note flag-
ged 81 represents the number of
Local Fund & Municipal
medical subordinates on whose ac-
count no contribution is made by
Government, either because no regular
additional duty is imposed on them
such as the Medical Charge of the jail
or the police of the station, or because
there are, at the stations concerned,
medical officers or subordinates paid
from Provincial Funds who attend
to these Government duties. Leaving
out of account the stations at which
medical officers or subordinates paid
from Provincial Funds are employed,
it appears, from statement V appended
to the Annual Report on the working of
the Civil Hospitals and Dispensaries in
the Madras Presidency for 1897, that ^{the} ~~the~~
Fund Medical subordinates attached
to the 27 medical institutions noted
in the margin receive no portion of the

Arach. Vattaralam
Godavari. Nimmavaram
Chintalapudi
Coringa
Lalab

Salary from Provincial Funds.
 There is no information, however, in
 this Department, regarding the number
 of occasions on which these Subordinates
 have claimed from Government fees
 for the grant of bond and post-mortem
 certificates nor of the amounts actually
 disbursed to them on this account.

The accompanying reference calling
 for the required information from
 the District Magistrates concerned,
 is submitted for approval.

Contribution is attached to the
 place and not to the person.

V.R.
 25/5/99

Bendamurlanka
 Dowlaiswaram
 Gokaram
 Gollala mamidada
 Kottapeta
 Mandapeta
 Mummidiwarum
 Peddapuram
 Pentapadu
 Pettapuram
 Pothavaram
 Ramachandrapuram
 Razole
 Tallapudi
 Tanuku
 Tuni
 Yelovarum
 Malabar. Dripragar
 Finerelly. Ettayapuram
 Panagudi
 Tirukaragudi
 Virakeralampudi

South Arcot

Vattarlam

Godavari

Amalapuram

Thimavaram

Chintalapudi

Caringa

Dowlaiswaram

Gokaram

Gollalamamidada

Kottapeta

Mandapeta

Mummidiwarum

Peddapuram

Bendamurlanka

Pentapadu

Pettapuram

Pothavaram

Ramachandrapuram

Razole

Tallapudi

Tanuku

Tuni

Yelovarum

Malabar

Dripragar

Finerelly

Ettayapuram

Panagudi

Tirukaragudi

Virakeralampudi

Local and Municipal Dep

Ref No. 603
 3/6/99

The District Magistrate of
 South Arcot
 Godavari
 Malabar
 Finerelly number of occasions during
 the last three years, on which the medical
 Subordinates attached to the Local
 Fund Medical institutions noted
 in the margin have claimed fees from
 Government for the grant of bond
 and post-mortem certificates in
 criminal cases, and the amount of the fees
 claimed and the sums actually
 disbursed to them

V.R.
 25/5/99

Note
 why is it that in Godavari
 there are so many cases where
 no contribution is paid?
 There were the form specified
 in the 11

The District Magistrate of
 South Arcot
 Godavari
 Malabar
 Finerelly
 Supd. 8/6/99

NOTE FOR THE *Secretary.*

The existence in Godavari of a large number of medical subordinates towards whose pay no contribution is made from Provincial Funds is ^{apparent} due to the fact that there are not many Government servants at the places in which these medical men are stationed. The Taluk Boards concerned have not therefore applied for the grant of a contribution.

V.R.
8/6/99

Copy

12/21

L. & M.
200-11-98.

C17-8-99
R17-8-99

Local and Municipal Dept

NOTE FOR THE

Secretary

From the replies received to the Reference on page 15 of the note it appears that, with the exception of Mr. Chockalingam Pillai who was attached to the Ettayapuram dispensary in 1906-97 and whose claim forms the subject of consideration in this file, none of the Medical Subordinates on whose account no contribution is paid by Government, has claimed fees from Government, for the grant of honoraria and post mortem certificates in Criminal Cases, during the last three years. The suggestion made by the Secretary in the last sentence of his note on page 11 may therefore, be adopted.

V.R.
7/8/99

The papers are returned to Public - The facts required by H.C.S. have been obtained & the case under reference appears to be the only case in which fees have been claimed.

Mk
11/10/99

To The Public Dept No. 229

The number of cases in which
as have been claimed is
incidentally less than I anti-
ipated but when it becomes
known that fees are claimable
many more claims will be
but in. Taking 15 claims
a year for this Hospital (not
in his bill) he w. d. draws
Rs 75 per annum. His pay
is probably about Rs 20 a
month and 1/2 of it w.
be about 45 Rs.

[Signature]


The proposal of the
C.S. is the best way
of meeting the case.

MA 77.8.97

D. 12
11
18/8/97.

Local & Munl. (189) Department.

CURRENT No.



From

The Accountant-General
Madras

No. 2A. Genl 62
1258

Order

Dated 8th May 1899

Received 9th _____

Registered _____

Enclosures 1

Spare copies not

SUBJECT.

Informing that as payment of
contribution towards the salary of
indigent subordinates attached to
Local Fund and Municipal Dispensary
has been sanctioned in different
of Govt., there is no consolidated
which contains the information
one piece

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

No. of Medical Subordinates attached to Local Fund and Municipal Dispensaries for whom contribution is given by Government.

District	No.	Remarks
Gaujam	12	
Vizagapatam	16	
Kodavari	3	
Kistna	18	
Cuddapah	10	
Anantapur	10	
Bellary	15	
Kurnool	16	
Chingleput	14	
North Arcot	18	
South Arcot	19	
Tanjore	30	
Trichinopoly	12	
Madura	32	
Tinnevely	14	
Coimbatore	17	
Kilpatri	13	
Salim	22	
South Canara	14	
Malabar	14	
Kellore	25	

336

Treasury Account Department.


FORT ST. GEORGE,
Accountant-General's Office,
8th May 1899.

No. *SR sent 62*
1258

From

W.H. DAWSON ESQ.,
Offg: ACCOUNTANT-GENERAL TO THE
GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS,

To



The Secretary to Government,
Local and Municipal Department.

SIR,

Remitted to...
Encl. m...
With reference to Ag. Assistant Secretary's Memo:
dated the 21st of April 1899, I have the honour to inform
you that payment of contribution towards the salary of Medic-
-al subordinates attached to Local Fund and Municipal Dis-
pensaries has been sanctioned from time to time in different
orders of Government, and that there is no consolidated order
which contains the information in one view.

A Memorandum compiled from the audit registers of this
Office giving the No. of Medical subordinates in each dis-
trict towards whose salary contribution is now paid by
Government is attached.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

W.H. Dawson
Offg: Accountant-General.

70
Local & Munl. (1899) Department.

CURRENT No.

2

To be over pending the receipt of
the replies to Ref No 603 dated 3rd
June 1899, from the District Magistrates
of South Arcot, Malabar and
Tinnevely

86
11-7-99
Order

10/7/99

From J. A. Cunningham Esq.
Mag. Dh. Magistrate
Godavari.

Dis No 524 of 99
mag

Dated 27th June 1899.

Received

Registered 3-7-99.

Enclosures

Spare copies

SUBJECT.

Reporting that none of the
medical Subordinates
attached to the L. F. Medical
Institutions claim fees
for wound and post mortem
Certificates.

11/7/99

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

GODAVARI DISTRICT MAGISTRATE'S OFFICE,

Dis No. 524 of 99
may 20th 1899
DESPATCHED
20 JUN 1899

FROM J. A. Cumming, Esquire,
THE DISTRICT MAGISTRATE,
Godavari,

LOCAL & MUNICIPAL DEPT
SECRETARY

To THE Secretary to Government
Local and Municipal Department

SIR

With reference to G. O. No 603
dated 3-6-99, Local and Municipal,
I have the honor to report that
during the last 3 years, none of
the Medical Subordinates attached
to the Local Fund Medical
Institutions referred to, has ever
claimed fees from Government
for the grant of Wound and
post-mortem Certificates in
Criminal Cases.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient Servant,

(Sd) J. A. Cumming
District Magistrate

106 District Magistrate.

MAGISTERIAL (189) DEPARTMENT.

FROM

THE DISTRICT MAGISTRATE.

Godavari,

TO

THE Secretary to Govt.
Local & Municipal
Department.

No.

ENCLOSURE

Dated

27th

Despatched

Received

June 1897

SUBJECT.

Local & Munl. (1897) Department.

CURRENT No.

From

The District Magistrate
Tumacully

Order

Ref on Cur No. 622- Magt
99

Dated 24th July 1897.

Received 31 JUL 1897

Registered 31-7.

Enclosures

Spare copies

SUBJECT.

Reporting that no
Hospital Assistant
claimed fees for
the grant of wound
and post mortem
certificates during
1896 and 1897

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Tinnevely District Collector's Office,

Dated 24th. July 1899.

Refer. Co. No. 622 92 *repts*

FROM

J.P. Bedford Esquire,

Ag. District ~~Collector of the~~ Magistrate,

Tinnevely District,

To

THE SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT,

Local & Municipal DEPARTMENT,

Madras.

SIR,

With reference to your Memo No. 603/3-6-99, I have the honour to inform you that ^ofive of the Medical Subordinates attached to the Station therein referred to have claimed -- fees from Government for the grant of wound and postmortem certificates in Criminal cases, except A. Chidambalingam Pillai who was attached to the Ettayapuram Dispensary during 1896-97. But no amount has been disbursed to him.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

W. M. S. S.

Acting Dt. Magistrate.

S. T. T.

26/7/99

FROM

THE COLLECTOR OF THE

District,

TO

THE SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT,

DEPARTMENT,

Madrass.

Dated

Despatched

189

Received

No.

ENCLOSURES.

SUBJECT.

Local & Municipal

Public Works (1899) Department.

CURRENT No.



From

*The District Magistrate
of Malabar.*

Order

No. *D. 1093*
M. 97

Dated *5. 7. 99*

Received *10-7-99*

Registered *10-7-99*

Enclosures -

Spare copies -

SUBJECT.

Local Fund Medical Insti-
-tution, Tripunavayur.

*Informing that no fees
have been paid to the
Medical Subordinate in
charge of the _____
for wound and post mortem
certificates for the last
three years.*

[illegible][illegible]

From

LOCAL & MUNICIPAL DEPT.
CURRENT No.

Sir,

With reference to the memorandum no. 603 dated the 3rd ultimo, I have the honour to state that no fees are reported to have been paid to the Tripurayar Hospital Assistant for wound and post mortem certificates during the last three years.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,

Your Most obedient-Servant,

Free Lanes
District Magistrate

W.B.K.V.
5.7.99

Local & Munl. (189 /) Department.

CURRENT No. 10382

From

*The Dist Magistrate
South Arcot*

Order

No.

Dated 18th July 1899.

Received

Registered

Enclosures

Spare copies

SUBJECT.

*Reporting that the
Medical Subordinates attached
to the Local and Medical Super-
intention at Vettavalam referred to
in Memorandum of 3-6-99
No 603 have not claimed or
obtained any fees from Govt
during the last 3 years for
the grant of wound & post
mortem Certificates in Cri-
minal Cases.*

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

SOUTH ARCOT.

DISTRICT MAGISTRATE'S OFFICE,

NO.

Cuddalore

18th July 1899

FROM

L. M. Meyrick Esquire I.C.S.

TO

Acting DISTRICT MAGISTRATE OF SOUTH ARCOT.

THE SECRETARY,

TO GOVERNMENT

Local & Hospital DEPARTMENT.

MADRAS.

SIR,

With reference to your Memorandum No 603 dated 3rd & 11th, I have the honour to report that the Medical Subordinates attached to the Local and Medical Institutions at Vellore have not claimed or obtained any fees from Government during the last three years for the grant of wound and post mortem Certificates in Criminal Cases, though such Certificates appear to have been granted on two occasions during that period.

I have the honour to be
Sir

Your most obedient servant

J. Cunningham

for A.D. Magistrate.

Page 3007
C. 25-11-05

NOTE FOR THE Chief Secy.

Before drafting from the orders on the note below, it is respectfully pointed out that the Chief Secy's contribution to the Eltopuram L. F. dispensary will amount to about Rs. 45 a year, is based on the supposition that the pay of the Asst. Asst. was about Rs. 30 per mensem. It is submitted however that the man who claimed fees actually drew Rs. 50 plus a charge allow of Rs. 10, or Rs. 60 per mensem in all. ~~One-eighth~~ One-eighth his pay would be Rs. 7½ per mensem or Rs. 90 per annum.

2. At the promotion a Govt. first-grade Asst. Asst. is attached to the dispensary. His pay is Rs. 53 per mensem.

allowance. A one-eighth
contribution in his case
would be Rs 84, a month
or Rs 99 a year.

3. The bill submitted
by the private Hosp. Asst.
formerly attached to the
dispensary, covers a period
of 20 months, i.e. two
months in 1895, 12 months
in 1896 & 6 months in
1897. One eighth the
man's pay for 20 months
would be Rs 150, ~~or~~
~~or~~ or exactly the
amount of the ~~man's~~

The difficulty might
perhaps be met by
Govt. deciding to pay to
the District Board, a
~~the~~ contribution equal
to $1/8$ the Hosp. Asst's
pay for the 20 months
covered by the bill,
leaving it to the Board
to remunerate the man
as they think fit.

4. Relating to
pay a contribution toward
the pay of the Govt. Hosp.

Hosp. Asst. now employed
in the dispensary need
be admitted, a person
see the decision on another
case in G.O. No 320 of
2-3-99, glucose D.

C.
17

Chief

NOTE FOR THE ~~UNDER~~ SECRETARY.

with reference to the
Chief Secy's note of 23.8.01,
asking for the average pay
of private men employed
as L.F. Corps Assistants,
I had to make a reference
to the Madras office on the
subject. The number of
such men, but not their
pay, is given in the Report
on Civil Hospitals & Dispensaries,
& the L.F. Budget give figures
only in lump. The figures
in the margin were obtained
from the Surgeon-General's office
at Madras. The pay given
to private medical men employed
as Corps Asst. varies in
the different districts, but
the average works out to
about Rs 30-8-0 per
man.
2. A draft G.O. is sub-
mitted with reference to
the Ch. Secy's orders at the
end of the note headed III.
It is apparently not intended

	no. of pr.	Monthly pay	Total pay
Anantapur	1 on Rs 55	55	55
Bellary	1 --- 49/8	49-8	49-8
	4 --- 31/8	126	126
S. Canara	6 --- 25	150	150
	4 --- 20	80	80
	4 --- 25	100	100
Ganjpur	1 --- 35	35	35
G. K. S. S.	3 --- 25	75	75
	3 --- 35	105	105
Kistna	2 --- 40	80	80
Madras	6 --- 50	300	300
	10 --- 20	200	200
	12 --- 25	300	300
Malabar	6 --- 55	330	330
	2 --- 35	70	70
	2 --- 40	80	80
Madras	14 --- 30	420	420
	8 --- 25	200	200
	8 --- 35	280	280
Tanjore	14 --- 25	350	350
	11 --- 25	275	275
Trincomalee	2 --- 25	50	50
Vijayapattinam	1 --- 25	25	25
Total	113		3455-8

Average about Rs 30-8-0 per man.

that a permanent contri-
bution of 1/8% per is to
make to the Altopuram
dispensary, & the draft
has therefore been framed
so as to cover only the
period of the bill - since
1897 there has been a Govt.
Surgeon Asst. at Altopuram
L. 2. dispensary, & he should
grant wound & post. notice
certificates free. There is
no claim on account of
addl work such as the
medical charge of police
or Govt. hospitals.

3. The H^{on} having approved
the Ch. Surg's proposal, it
is perhaps unnecessary to
send the draft to the H^{on}.

C₁ M
31.3.35 11/9/35

5,000
2,000-25-11-36

Public Am 2538

Public Dept

NOTE FOR THE UNDER SECRETARY.

Submitted with
reference to the notes
under the current -

2. The Surgeon Genl
does not give the dates
from to which the
Surgeon Asst. was employed
in the L. 2. dispensary at
Altopuram, but the
period stated is greater
than that covered by
the bill.

3. If a one eighth
contribution is granted,
it would perhaps be
only fair to give it for
the whole period of the
man's employment, for
although he did not grant
certificates every month
in which he was employed
he was liable to be called
on to grant them at any
time of the whole period.
This however would be
much more expensive
than paying the bill itself.

16.9.91

14 SEP 1949
GOVERNMENT OF MASSACHUSETTS

Surgeon General
J. Silliman, Cb, A.R.C.

No. *c 8545*

Dated the 15th September 1949

Received 14

Registered 157

Enclosures -

Spare copies

SUBJECT.

Informing the period of
employment of Privali
Korpalat. a Chakkuluppi
Pillai in the Local
Sind Korpalat Ettayppur

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

CURRENT NO.

No. 8/545

OFFICE OF SURGEON-GENERAL

WITH THE GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS,

Camp, Ottum, 13th September 1899.

From

SURGEON-GENERAL G. SIBTHORPE, F.R.C.P.J.

SURGEON-GENERAL WITH THE

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS,

Madras,

To

THE

*Honorable the Chief Secretary
to Government, Public Dept.*

SIR,

*With reference to your Memorandum
No. 2226, Public, dated the 2nd instant, I
have the honor to inform you that Private
Hospital Assistant A. Chakkalingam Pillai
was employed in the Local Fund Dispensary
at Ettayapuram for two years, two months
and one day.*

Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

C. Sibthorpe

Surgeon General

with the Govt. of Madras.

At

with the Govt. of Madras.

See serials
1899

C. No. 3355 From the District Supt. Tinnevely No. 340, dated 23-11-1899.
 „ 446 „ „ Financial Dept. „ „ 4-3-1899.
 „ 474 „ „ Surgeon-General „ „ 17-12-1899.
 „ Encl. „ „ Accountant-General „ „ 3-2-1899.
 2538 „ „ Surgeon Genl „ „ 13-8-99.

L & M Dept.
for favours of C.

ORDER:- No. 1106 of 30-9-99.
Dis.

H. H.

At 28.9.99.

San. H. H.

These papers relate to a claim preferred by A. Chokalingam Pillai, late Hospital Assistant of the Local Fund Dispensary at Ettayapuram, Tinnevely District, to fees at the rate of Rs. 5 each, for thirty cases in which, at the request of the Police, he granted wound and post mortem certificates.

2. The Hospital Assistant being a private medical practitioner, and not in the service of Govt. is not bound by the ruling in G.O. 1332, Judicial, dated 12th August 1889, or by that in G.O. 472, Public, dated 4th March 1884, under which medical officers of Government, whether serving in the provincial or Local and Municipal establishment, are entitled

to no extra fees for performing post mortem examinations or granting wound certificates. H.E. the Governor in Council is therefore prepared to sanction special remuneration in the present instance. It appears, however, to Govt. that the Hospital Assistant being at the time in the employ, and under the orders, of the Tinnevely District Board, it would be improper for Govt. to enter into negotiations with him regarding the remuneration to be granted for work which he did in his official capacity as Hospital Asst. at Ettayapuram. The work done for Govt. must therefore be regarded as a service rendered by the ~~Board~~ ^{District} Board.

3. With regard to the mode in which the District Board should be remunerated for the services thus rendered by its subordinate, the Govt. observes that the recovery by the Board of separate fees for each post mortem examination performed and each certificate granted is likely to prove inconvenient. The Govt. at present makes a contribution of ^{to Local Board, Municipalities} of the pay and allowances of Govt. medical subordinates in local or municipal employ, whose services are likely to be utilised to any considerable extent for Govt. purposes, and it seems desirable that the case of private Hospital Assts. should be dealt with on the same basis. H.E. the Governor in Council is accordingly pleased to sanction the payment to the District Board of Tinnevely of a contribution amounting to one-~~third~~ ^{eight} of the pay

pay and allowances of Hospital Asst. Cheekalingam Pillai & for the period during which he was in charge of the dispensary at Ettayapuram. The question of the remuneration to be paid to the Hospital Asst. for his work will be for consideration by the District Board.

4. The Government will be prepared to sanction a similar contribution in the case of other private medical practitioners employed by Local Boards whose services are similarly utilised for Govt. purposes.

2. The D. M. Tinnevely
 L. M. Dept.
 with bill
 Surgeon Genl.
 Fine Dept.

Mr
 23.9.28
 1/11
 27.11.28

C.S.
 The paper regarding the
 petition in which the Govt.
 contribution is requested by
 Local Boards or have been
 refused, & are put up on
 the table but which
 have - I have not the
 reference to them often
 hearing

Mr

When given for copying

When copied

When examined

When signed

189

READ AGAIN G.O., No.

189

, DATED

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO C. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT

A A A A A A A

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.—

A = Copy in full.
b = Not to be copied.
P = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy heading only

7/10/87

LI-9.08

of