

Public (1898) Department.

ORDINARY MS.

G.O., Nos 683-684.

Dated 19. 5. 98.

INDEXED



[Despatch Abstract.]

Returning to the Govt
with remarks, certain papers relating
to the dismissal of Mr S. T.
Roberts, late a clerk of the
P W Secretariat, Madras, from
the Govt service.

Pub.
29
500-11-6-97.

FURTHER PAPERS.

Order

CURRENT No. 127

From
The Govt. of India
P. W. D. (General)

No. 8054.

Dated 24th, March 1898

Received } 1st April 11
Registered }

Enclosures 56

Spare copies

SUBJECT,

Forwarding a letter from
Mr. S. J. Roberto, re: his
dismissal by the Madras
Govt. in 1892, and re: his
~~mentioned~~ appeal in 1896,
which was withheld by the
Madras Govt under ~~order~~
No. 1239 d/19-11-96.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
	1138	Pub.	31.8.97
	1239	"	24.11.96

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.



No. 805 G.

GOVERNMENT OF INDIA.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

GENERAL.

To

The Secretary to the Government of Madras,

Public Works Department.

Calcutta, 24th March 1898.

Sir,

I am directed to forward a letter dated 12th January 1898 and enclosures, from Mr. S. J. Roberts, late a clerk in the Public Works Department Secretariat, Madras, on the subject of his dismissal from Government Service, and the grant to him of a pension for the service rendered by him.

2. Mr. Roberts, it is observed states that he was dismissed from the service in 1892 by an order of the Madras Government for becoming an insolvent for the second time, and that his appeal to the Government of India in 1896 was withheld by the Madras Government under Order No. 1239 dated 19th November 1896.

3. If Mr. Robert's statement is correct, I am to state that his memorial to the Government of India should under the rules have been transmitted for orders, as he has the right of appeal against an order passed directly by the Local Government. Moreover it is observed that the rule quoted in Madras Government Order No. 1239 dated 19th November 1896, under which the transmission of the memorial was withheld, applies to memorials addressed to the Secretary of State and not to the Government of India.

4. I am accordingly to request that if Mr. Roberts petition of 1896 was addressed to the Government of India it may be transmitted with any recommendation His Excellency the

Governor

Shp. to Pub. C. 1237

[G. 71]
300-39-7-38.

NOTE FOR THE CHIEF SECRETARY.

Governor in Council desires to make in regard to the grant of a compassionate allowance to the petitioner otherwise I am to request that the papers now forwarded may be resubmitted with remarks.

I have the honour to re,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

G. H. Walker

Under Secretary to the Govt. of India.

Documents accompanying :-

~~111~~
Like enclosed referred to

With ref. to para 2 of the draft below please see Mr. Roberts at R. n. G. O. 1237. The rules quoted (Memorial Rules A) refer only to memorials addressed to the Govt. of India. It appears that Mr. Roberts' appeal was allowed (by Mr. Ld. C.A.) to be Rule 11, (7) B Memorial rules (vide Memorial Rules). This was also inapplicable for Mr. Roberts' petition as there was an appeal against the order of Govt. confirming a withholding of dismissal, but an appeal against the order of dismissal itself.

AK
2/5/20

Papers to be read in Proceedings:—

Again G.O., No.	, dated	189 .
Again G.O., No.	, dated	189 .
Current No. 1237	of 1898, dated 24/3	, from the G. of I.
" "	" 189 , "	" "
" "	" 189 , "	" "

Re: Mr. Roberts' dismissal.

ORIER. No 683, D 19th May 1898.

(1) H. M.

(2) H. E. The Governor.

(Letter to India not on a matter of routine)

The Govt. of India will be addressed.

LETTER:— 684.

To

The Secretary to the Govt. of India,

Public Works Dept. (General)

desp'd with
Gues
17/5/98

I see that Mr. Parsons, 1st Asstt in the P.W. Secretariat, gave Mr. Roberts a certificate of good conduct in July last. This, it seems true, he had no business at all to do. It is for the head of an office, not for a subordinate, to grant such certificates. Due notice should be taken of this.

As

Mr. Parsons' signature

I am directed to acknowledge the receipt of Mr. Walker's letter No. 285 G, dated the 24th March last, forwarding a letter and enclosures from Mr. T. Roberts, late a clerk of the P.W. Secretariat, Madras, regarding his dismissal from the Govt. service, and the grant of a pension for the service rendered by him; and requesting that the petition addressed by Mr. Roberts to the Govt. of India in 1900 may be transmitted with an recommendation that this Govt.

2. In returning the enclosure to Mr. Webster's letter I am directed to express the regret of His Excellency the Governor in Council that Mr.

3. The circumstances under which Mr. G. R. was removed from the service are fully set forth in the correspondence in C. I. No. 377, Public, dated 27 April 1908 and which was directed to "Commander G. R.". It will be seen that the petitioner was ordered to report that he was concerned with "real proceedings" and that he had taken to the Supreme Court. The order regarding him was in accordance with the provisions of this Court. In the order, which was with the instructions of the C. I. of 1908, in its resolution C. I. 44-1, 1908, to C. I. Public, dated 12-1-08. The partial exemption of the petitioner in C. I. No. 378 Public, dated 27th

Under the circumstances I am to say that His Excellency the Governor in Council has no recommendation to make on Mr. Roberts' behalf. The question of granting him a compassionate allowance was considered when his petition was received, but such a concession appeared to be specifically prohibited by art. 388 of the C.S.A. and was therefore held to be ⁱⁿadmissible.

MC
2/5/12

AA 3.5.98

When given for copying 12/5
 When copied _____
 When examined _____
 When signed _____

189 .

READ AGAIN G.O., No. _____, DATED
 189 ;

CURRENT No.

RECORDS TO G. No

OF GOVERNMENT A A A A A A A

OF GOVERNMENT.

MENT WITH DITTO.

NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DISPATCH
 IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.—

- A = Copy in full.
- B = Not to be copied.
- P = Copy abstract only.
- Q = Copy heading only.