ORDINARY MS.

G.O., No. 604

Dated 28·9·98

INDEXED.

E

[Despatch Abstract.]

Stating that the decision that the Resident Medical Officer at the General Hospital shall be unmarried and shall not ordinarily hold the office for more than three years, will not be reconsidered and that Surgeon-Capt: Giffard, now appointed to officiate as Surgeon, Second Dl:, should continue to hold his professorship of Pathology in the Medical College

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
D.O. 313/98							
Cur 3714/98 (Edl) reg. Prof. of [illegible]							

Soon

Public (1898) Department.

CURRENT No. 382

Order

CHIEF SECRETARY
28 JAN. 1898
GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

From

Surgeon-Major-General C. Sibthorpe,
C.B., F.R.C.P.I.,
Surgeon-General with the Government
of Madras.

No. 78

Dated 27th January 1898.

Received
Registered } 28th Jan 1898

[illegible] 6-8

Enclosures one

Spare copies

SUBJECT.

Forwarding with ~~the~~ remarks a copy of a representation submitted by Surgeon-Captain G.G.Giffard against the rule that only unmarried men can hold the appointment of Resident Surgeon, General Hospital, Madras.

Pl put up

GO 333/10.3.96 Pub, 1232/3.6.95 Pub, R76/3.6.95

[illegible]
18.2.98

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
11 -	d/ 5-1-98		
R 1384	d/ 18-12-97		
649	d/ 20-6-96		
11	d/ 7-1-96		
759	d/ 9-9-95		
722	d/ 30-8-95		
570	d/ 9-7-95		

~~FURTHER PAPERS.~~

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
R 682	d/ 3-6-95		
46	d/ 20-1-94		
644	d/ 15-8-93		
293	- 294 d/ 11-4-93		
332	d/ 26-4-93		
1232	Pub	d/ 16-11-97 R	
271	"	d/ 15-3-87	S.C.
263	"	d/ 12-3-17	"

443 " d/ 23-10-96 (abs)
652 Edn d/ 22-10-96 S.C.
333 Pub d/ 10-3-96 "
76 Edn d/ 3-6-95 R
439 Pub d/ 31-5-95 S.C.
147-8 " d/ 7-3-92 S.C.
756 Pub d/ 28-6-67 ...
971 " 18-9-73 S.C.
514-8 Mil d/ 17-5-65 S.C.
460-3 " d/ 24-4-65 "
45A-13 " d/ 6-1-65
G.O.G No. 9 d/ 6-1-1865

Enclosure No. 1 to Letter No. 78 d/ 27 Jany/98

Copy of minute III from the Resident Medical Officer, General Hospital, Madras, to the Secretary to the Surgeon--General with the Government of Madras, dated 13th January 1898.

With reference to G.O. No. 11 dated 5th January 1898, I wish to point out that para 4 of G.O. No. 570, Public, of 9th July 1895 reads rather as a suggestion of Government than as a definite order. The last two lines show that the question has not been finally settled. The remaining orders in this same para have never been carried out, as it was found impossible to do so.

2. Surgeon-Major-General DeFabeck's letter No.552 quoted in the same para of the G.O. clearly refers to the changes which will be necessary when the appointment of the professorship of Pathology is separated from that of the Resident Surgeon. This has not yet been done.

3. As regards my tenure of appointment being considered to end on the 5th June 1898, I would point out that I was appointed acting on 5th June 1895 and sub protem on 10th November 1896 and only in December 1897 was confirmed in the appointment.

4. Lastly I would remind the Surgeon-General that my appointment in December 1897 is the first substantive appointment I have ever held since I entered the service, and that I have served for 3½ years without a substantive appointment in the Civil Department; and that if I am removed on the 5th of June 1898, I shall again be without a substantive appointment of any kind.

(True copy)

R H Elliot

Surgeon-Captain,
Secretary to the Surgeon-General
with the Government of Madras.

8
Pub
1
382

CURRENT NO 382 Pub

[1]

No. 78

OFFICE OF SURGEON-GENERAL
WITH THE GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS,
Madras, the 27th January 1898

From

SURGEON-MAJOR-GENERAL C. SIBTHORPE, C.B., F.R.C.P.I.,
SURGEON-GENERAL WITH THE
GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS,

To

THE HON'BLE THE CHIEF SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT,
Public DEPARTMENT.

SIR,

Q I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of G.O. No.11, Public, dated 5th January 1898 deciding that as Surgeon-Captain Giffard will complete his term of appointment as Resident Surgeon, General Hospital, on the 5th June 1898, the rule that only unmarried men can hold the appointment will not be enforced in his case.

2. A copy of the decision having been communicated to Surgeon-Captain Giffard he has made a representation to me on the subject and I submit a copy of it for the consideration and orders of Government.

A 3. I may be permitted to state that G.O. No. 570, Public, of 9th July 1895 on which Government has based its present decision cannot be said to be final. It only contained a suggestion that "in the opinion of Government X the two resident officers X X X should be bachelors and should ordinarily hold their appointments for not more than three years" and I was asked to express my opinion on the point. Until therefore more definite orders were passed by Government after the receipt of my opinion, the matter could not be said to have reached its final stage and the G.O. could not therefore be looked upon in the light of a decision. Apart from this consideration, I beg to point out that the appointment of Resident Surgeon, General Hospital, is one of those which have been created and sanctioned by the Right Honorable the Secretary of State for India, and

ich will be
nd at

K

and as such any changes in its conditions can only be made by the Sanctioning Authrity or at least must receive its confirmation before being adopted.

This is [illegible] publish. [illegible] appts are sanctioned by Secy of State

4. Even granting for argument's sake that the Madras Government is competent to make changes in the conditions of the Indian Medical Service the present order acts very hardly on Surgeon-Captain Giffard as pointed out by him in paras 3 and 4 of his representation. He was only confirmed in his appointment in December 1897 and his tenure must, for all intents and purposes, he held to commence from that date. The present ruling therefore not only limits the tenure of the appointment without the sanction of the Secretary of State but also gives it a retrospective effect in the case of the present holder - a decision which must naturally cause discontentment to him and to the service generally.

H

5. Surgeon-Captain Giffard has shewn by his work that he is most eminently fitted for his present appointment and it would in my opinion be a pity to deprive the hospital and Medical College of his services merely to meet a technical objection.

6. It must further be remembered that the appointment of Resident Surgeon, General Hospital, is associated with the Chair of Pathology in the Medical College - and I have after consultation with the most experienced Medical Officers in the Presidency and conjointly with the Director of Public Instruction and the College Council pointed out to Government in the scheme regarding the reorganization of the Medical College that it is most undesirable to make constant changes in this important Educational appointment.

Papers lying over awaiting reply SG 600 265 [illegible] 12-3-9[illegible]

7. Under the proposed scheme the Resident Surgeon will continue to perform the important administrative duties in the hospital and the Superintendence and charge of the large out patient department of the hospital - duties which it takes a good officer over a year to master and I would strongly depricate his tenure of office being reduced to three years.

The custom has been to select officers of about five years service to fill both these Resident appointments. We then secure men who have had considerable experience in the country.

8. I quite concur with Government in the advantage of allowing junior officers to gain experience in this hospital and in the suggestions on its reorganization I would, except for financial reasons, have proposed the addition of two junior officers under 5 years' service on rupees 450 a month with quarters in the hospital. These officers in my opinion should be only one year in the appointment and then act in other appointments, before returning to the hospital or college. It is probable that such junior officers would be unmarried but this I do not think should affect the case. The quarters provided for them should be on the scale of those sanctioned for Captains so that if they were married, their wives could live with them if they chose.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

C. Sibthorpe

Surgeon-Major-General,
Surgeon-General with the Government
of Madras.

[G./73] Cur 275

NOTE FOR THE SECRETARY.

Submitted that this current
may be transferred to the Public Dept.
where Dr Giffard's representation
under consideration and where
question of the reorganization of
Medical College Staff and
duties is being dealt with.
ide para 6 of G.O. no. 654
d of 22nd Oct 1896.

A.E.
4.3.98

8

Educational (1898) Department.

CURRENT No. ~~275~~

SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT
26. FEB. -1898
LOCAL & MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT

Sa N 11/98 Pub
Tabd 570/95
654/96 Edn
G.O. 3 G's letter to Pub in Public No. 78 27-1-98

CURRENT No. 905 Pub

From The Dir of Pub. Instruction, Madras

ey

Order Endt. No. 600, D/ 5th March 1898.

Transferred to the ublic Department

A Edgington
Ag Asst Secretary.

No. 1866.

Dated 24th February 1898.

Received 25 " "

5-3-98

Registered

Enclosures three

Spare copies

5-3-98
Placed in Office 6-10 am

SUBJECT.

Forwarding correspondence from the Surgeon General regarding the retention of Dr. Giffard in the Chair of Pathology, Medical College.

DESPATCHED
25 FEB. 1898

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
Public Circs		3820 of	98
do	11	Public	5-1-9
	654	Edul	22/10
	570	Public	9-7-

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Copy of a Letter from the Surgeon General with the Govt: of Madras to the Hon'ble the Chief Secy: to Govt: Public Department No. 18

dated 27th January 1898.

I have the honor to acknowledge Q the receipt of G.O. No 11 Public dated 5th Jany. 1898 deciding that as Surgeon Captain Gifford will complete his term of appointment as Resident Surgeon, General Hospital, on the 5th June 1898, the rule that only unmarried men can hold the appointment will not be enforced in his case.

2. A copy of the decision having been communicated to Surgeon Captain Gifford he has made a representation to me on the subject and I submit a copy of it for the consideration and orders of Govt.

3. I may be permitted to state that A G.O. No 570 Public of 9th July 1895 on which Govt: has based its present decision cannot be said to be final. It only contained a suggestion that "in the opinion of Govt: × × the two resident officers × × should be bachelors and should ordinarily hold their appointments for not more than three years" and I was asked to express my opinion on the point. Until therefore more definite orders were passed by Govt: after the receipt of my opinion the matter could not be said

to have

have reached its final stage and the G.O. could not therefore be looked upon in the light of a decision - Apart from this consideration, I beg to point out that the appointment of Resident Surgeon, General Hospital is one of those which have been created and sanctioned by the Right Honorable the Secretary of State for India, and as such any change in its conditions can only be made by the sanctioning authority or at least must receive its confirmation before being adopted.

4. Even granting for argument's sake that the Madras Govt. is competent to make changes in the conditions of the Indian Medical Service the present order acts very hardly on Surgeon Captain Giffard as pointed out by him in paras 3 and 4 of his representation. He was only confirmed in his appointment in December 1897. and his tenure must, for all intents and purposes, be held to commence from that date - The present ruling therefore not only limits the tenure of the appointment without the sanction of the Secretary of State but also gives it a retrospective effect in the case of the present holder - a decision which must naturally cause discontentment to him and to the service generally.

5. Surgeon Captain Giffard has shewn by his work that he is most eminently fitted for his present appointment and it would in my opinion be a pity to deprive the hospital and Medical College of his services merely to meet a technical objection.

6. It must further be remembered that the appointment of Resident Surgeon, General Hospital, is associated with the Chair of Pathology in the Medical College - and I have, after consultation with the most experienced Medical Officers in the Presidency and conjointly with the Director of Public Instruction and the College Council pointed out to Govt. in the scheme regarding the reorganization of the Medical College that it is most undesirable to make constant changes in this important Educational appointment.

7. Under the proposed scheme the Resident Surgeon will continue to perform the important administrative duties in the hospital, and the superintendence and charge of the large out patient department of the hospital - duties which it takes a good officer over a year to master and I would strongly deprecate his tenure of office being reduced to three years. The custom has been to select officers of about five years service to fill both these Resident appointments. We then secure ~~the~~ men who have had considerable experience in the Country.

8. I quite concur with Govt. in the advantage of allowing junior Officers to ~~gain~~ gain experience in this hospital and in the suggestions on its reorganization I would except for financial reasons have proposed the addition of two junior Officers under 5 years service on Rupees 450 a month with quarters in the hospital. These officers in my opinion should be only one year in the appointment and then act in other appointments before returning to the hospital or College. It is probable that such junior Officers would be unmarried but this I donot think should affect the case. The quarters provided for them should be on the scale of those sanctioned for Captains so that if they were married their wives could live with them if they chose.

(True copy)

R H Elliot
Surgeon Captain
Secy Surgeon-General
with the Govt. of Madras.

Q Proceedings of the Madras Government Public Department, No 11 dated the 5th January 1898

Read the following papers:—

From the Surgeon General, Madras, Nos Lowr 2/115, Lowr 3/15 and 370, dated 17th June 20th August and 30th September 1897, respectively.

A **Order.** The attention of the Surgeon General will be invited to G.O No. 570 of 9th July 1895 from which it will be seen that the post of Resident Surgeon, General Hospital is one which is not ordinarily to be held for more than 3 years.

2. The present holder of appointment will complete his term of tenure on 5th June 1898 and in his case the rule that only unmarried men can hold the appointment will not be enforced as he will vacate the appointment in about six months.

(True copy)

[illegible] Giffard

Surgn Captain
Resident Medl Officer–

Urgent

No. 320.

2336
14.1.98

Medical College
~~GENERAL HOSPITAL,~~

Madras, 13th January 1898.

From Surgeon Captain G G Giffard, Professor of Patholo
thro' SURGEON-LIEUT-COLONEL W. R. BROWNE, M.D., Medical Colleg
Principal
~~SENIOR~~ MEDICAL ~~OFFICER,~~ College
~~GENERAL HOSPITAL~~, MADRAS,

To

The Director of Public
Instruction,
Madras.

SIR,

Q I have the honor to forward herewith a copy of G.O. No 11. Public, dated the 5th January 1898 which has been sent to me for information and from which it will be seen that it is the intention of Government to remove me from the post of Resident Surgeon on the 6th June 1898. No mention is made in the G.O. of my duties as Professor of Pathology in the Medical College. I therefore request that I may be informed whether I shall also be removed from the Professorship in the College from the same date. The G.O and letter quoted in this G.O of the 11th January 1898 seem to contemplate the separation of the two appointments.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant
Gerald Giffard
Surgeon Captain
Professor of Pathology

Rno. 688/98. ~~C. P. No. 465/5 of 1898~~

No. M/1588

II

To

The Director of Public Instruction
Madras.

In forwarding the above, I have to draw attention to the fact that the teaching of Pathology will certainly not be advanced if it is to be understood that in the future, the Chair of Pathology is to be vacated every three years.

PUBLIC INSTRUCTION 15 JAN 98

W. R. Browne MD
Surgn Lieutt Col
Principal Medl College.

Medical College
Madras 14 Jan 1898

III No 1115/7-2-98

Forwarded to the Surgeon-General Madras, for favour of remarks.

OFFICE OF SURGEON-GENERAL
8 FEB. 1898
WITH GOVT OF MADRAS.

D Duncan
Director of Public Instruction.

DESPATCHED
-7 1898

1898
O. No. 275

IV No. 823

To.
The Director of Public Instruction
Madras.

I attach a copy of my letter recently addressed to Government on the subject for your information – in which my views are given at length.

C Sibthorpe
~~Surgeon-~~ Major General
~~Surgeon-General~~
with the Govt. of Madras.

Madras
14th February 1898

DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC INSTRUCTION, MADRAS.
RECEIVED
15 FEB. 98
R. R. No. ....

Form No. S.D./15 —1,000—10-6-97.

1898
Tub
Enclos. No. 1
To
O. No 905

P.R.

Ed. Cor. 7 5,000—27-10-97.

R. No. 2322 of 98

CURRENT No

No. 1866 of 24-2-98

DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC INSTRUCTION.

Submitted to Governm
for favour of early ord
The undersigned i
strongly of opinion that
is not in the interest
college to limit any
sorship to a term of
years

D Dunc

Dir of Pub. Ins

From
The Surgeon General
with the Govt of Madras

DESPATCHED
25 FEB. 1898

No. 823

Dated 14/2/98
Received 16 do
Enclosures 2

SUBJECT

Forwarding for perusal a copy of his letter to the Chief Secretary to Govt. Public Dept No. 78 of 27 January 1898 regarding the retention of Dr Gifford in the Chair of Pathology Medical College Madras.

G. 25 A
500—8—1 97.

C 2/3/98 C 29/3/98
R 7/3/98 R 31/3/98

Public DEPARTMENT.

C. No 382

SUBJECT.

Surgeon Captain Giffard's appointment as Resident Surgeon, General Hospital.

FOR ORDERS.

Recirculated to H.E. the Governor with refce to H.E.s' notes d. 21/3/98 and 23/3/98

25/3/98

26.3.98.

The Surgeon General forwards, with a covering letter, a representation made by Surgeon Captain Giffard on the subject of G.O.No.11 Public, d/5th Jany. 1898, in which it was remarked that the latter's tenure of appointment as Resident Surgeon, General Hospital, would expire on the 5th June next.

Surgeon Captain Giffard's letter is brief and to the point. His arguments are two

(a) that para. 4 of G.O.No.570 Pub d/9th July 1895, is merely a suggestion of Govt. and not a definite order and

(b) that his permanent appointment to ~~the~~ his present office dates only from Decr. 1897.

The Surgeon General enlarges upon Surgeon Captain Giffard's arguments. He points out that G.O.No.570 Public, d/ 9th July 1895, merely called for his opinion on the question of limiting the tenure of

appointments

appointments in the General Hospital. That opinion was expressed in para.11 of his letter d/29th July 1895, in G.O. No.722 Public, d/30th August 1895, and was to the effect that the prposed limitation of the tenure would be an advantage, but no refce to the point appears in the last gazetted order. The contention that no formal G.O. has been passed on that opinion is strictly speaking correct.

N

The Surgeon General goes on to suggest that as the appointment of Resident Surgeon is one of those created and sanctioned by the Secy. of State, this Govt. has no power to with its conditions without the sanction of that authority, interfere. There is nothing on record to show that the Secretary of state has any knowledge of, or interest in, the conditions under which this particular appointment is held, and even if he had it would always be open to Govt. to remove Surgeon Captain Giffard or any other officer in the interests of the public service. This contention of the Surgeon General therefore falls to the ground.

This argument of the S. G.
bluntly expressed, silly.
new appt. nowadays is
by the Secy. of S. but he d
usurp or restrain the pow
the Executive Govt.

AKA

The Surgeon General next supports Surgeon Captain Giffard's argument regarding the date from which his appointment should be counted, and alludes to the hardship involved in ~~points out that the present ruling is~~ giving retrospective effect to the present ruling ~~in the case of the present hold~~er. The G.O. in which Govt. expressed its opinion regarding limitation in the tenure of the appointment was G.O.No.570 Public, d/9th July 1895, and that in which the Surgeon General's opinion was

was received was dated 30th August 1895 so that even taking the decision to dat from the issue of either of those G.O's its effect will be to some extent retrospective.× Whether Surgeon Captain Giffard's ~~suggestion that his~~ tenure of office should date from the time when he was confirmed is for consideration. If the object in limiting the length of appointments is to be attained, Acting, a well as permanent, incumbency must, it is submitted, be taken into consideration.

× as Surgn. Captain Giffard's tenure is counted from 6th June '95.

The Surgeon General in paras. 5, 6 & 7 of his letter urges ~~for~~ the retention of Surgeon Captain Giffard on the grounds (1) that he is eminently fitted for his present appointment and (2) that in view of the important educational and administrative duties which the Resident Surgeon has to perform, it is undesirabl that his tenure of office should be reduced to three years. This latter opinion of course conflicts with that previously expressed on the subject.

In the last paragraph of his letter the Surgeon Genl. suggests that but for financial reasons, he would have proposed the addition of two junior officers to the staff of the General Hospital. No definite proposition is made and no orders on the matter seem to be called for.

Orders are solicited.

AK
24/2/96

Public interests must take precedence

P.T.O

over private. The matter hinges on whether it is of advantage to the public interests that the post of Resident Surgeon, Genl. Hospital, should be held for three years & no more. I am by no means convinced that it is; but Govt. has expressed its opinion in favour of the view and ~~this~~ was confirmed therein by the opinion of the present Surg. General. If the principle is accepted it ~~wd be~~ wd. resemble vacillation to abandon it for the sake of a special officer; & granting the principle it matters not whether the officer was acting, sub pro tem. or confirmed; every day he holds the office helps to make up the three years limit.

The S. G's attitude in this case has, to speak frankly, not been loyal to the Govt. wh. he has to advise, and any concession to it — other things being equal — seems unadvisable, & wd., by him at least, probably be misinterpreted.

A.H. 26.2.98

Yes.
A.H.

In the case of Civilians over 3 service holding a five year appt the period of five years runs from date on wh. the officer first held the office whether substantively or temporarily, provided that he is confirmed without reversion his subst. appt. Vide 624

I think Surg. Capt. Giffard shd. be replaced by some other officer at the end of his three years

2/3/98

I think we shd ad here to order limiting the tenure of office as a general rule & act on it if a suitable [illegible] can be got for the place. I don't think Capt Surg. Giffard shd be punished by being deprived of any appointment & [illegible] shd not be removed till a vacancy (See para 4 of his [illegible]

The matter seems to me to hinge, as the Chief Sec. says, on whether it is of advantage to the public interests that the post of "Resdt. Surgeon, Genl. Hospital," should be held by the same officer for three years and no more. It is true that Govt. has expressed an opinion in favour of the view, but I can nowhere find a statement of the reasons upon which this opinion is based. I should like, if possible, to be informed of the reasons.

A.H.
6.3.98

[G./25]
1,000-22-11-97

C 16/3/98 C 17/3/98
R 19/3/98 R 23/3/98

Public DEPARTMENT.

C. No. 382 and 905

1) HIS EXCELLENCY THE GOVERNOR.
2) THE HON'BLE SIR HENRY BLISS, K.C.I.E.
3) THE HON'BLE MR. GROSE, C.I.E.

SUBJECT.

Surgeon Captain Giffard's appointment as Resident Surgeon, General Hospital

FOR ORDERS.

I With reference to H.E. the Governor's note of the 6th instant the following is subd for information.

The concluding portion of para 4 of G.O. No 570 Public, dated 9th July 1895, is based on the Hon Sir Henry Bliss's note at page 9 of the printed notes in G.O. No 439 Public, dated 31st May 1895. The H.M. approved "the late Surgeon-General's proposal that the resident medical officers should hold office for three years only." The reason given by the late Surgeon General de Fabeck for the proposal was "This would permit of a large number of junior officers having the advantage of special training

A

.4 of the G.O. says
two resident officers
× should ordinarily
their appts. for not
than three years.
S.G. will express his
nion on these points."

Hon Sir H. Bliss approved
late S.G's proposal that
resident medical officers
should hold office for three
s only."

and experience to qualify them for more important appointments later on." (Vide the last clause of para 6 of his letter No 552, dated 2nd November 1893, in G.O. No 46 Public, dated 20-1-94)

II Attention is solicited to a further current transferred by the Educational Dept regarding the retention of Surgeon Captain Giffard as Professor of Pathology in the Medical College. The Director of Public Instruction in his endorsement giving cover to Dr Giffard's letter expresses the strong opinion that it is not in the interests of the College to limit any professorship to a term of three years. A resumé of the views on this point of the officers concerned is given below.

The Committee appointed by the Surgeon General in August 1893 recommended that the Resident Physician should be Professor of Pathology in the Medical College and Pathologist to the hospital with charge of about 30 medical beds, the Resident Surgeon's duties remaining the same as before excepting the omission of those pertaining to the chair Pathology.

The present S.G. in par
11 of his letter (flag N) in
file of G.O. 30.8.95/722 sa
"As to the three year t
of appt. I would be ver
to see it, at least for
of these officers, × ×
If both of these wer
be restricted to
years tenure, it
no 905 Pub. B necessa
make other arra
in the college for
important chair
Pathology." Ast

In para 6 of his lette
(flag B) ~~in~~ attached to
the S.G. says "I have afte
tation with the most experie
officers ~~of the~~ in the Presy. & conj
with the Director of Public
& the College Council, for
out to Govt. in the schem
the reorganization of the Me
College, that it is most
to make constant cha
in this important Educat
appt."

The Dir. P.I. in forwarding the
correspondence says
"The undersigned is strongly
opinion that it is not in
interests of the college to
any professorship to a ter
three years. Ast.

Vide M in G.O. No 46 Pub d/ 20-1

Vide para 4 of G.O. No 570 Pub/9-7-95 before A

de the latter part of para 4 of letter 552 d/ 2-11-93 in G.O. No 46 Pub/20-1-94 L

page 24 marked of G.O. No. 654 Edud 2.10-96 flagged N'

Surgeon General de Fabec proposed that the 3rd Physicia of his scheme (then Additional Medical Officer and now Resident Physician, General Hospl) should be Professor of Patholog with 90 beds in the hospital and the Resident Physician (one of the three officers whom he wanted to be newly appointed) and Resident Surgeon should be Pathologists to the hospital with charge of 30 beds each without any attached duty in the college.

The recommendation of the Medical College re-organization Committee was:- "That the attached duties to the Professor of Pathology should be a hospital charge of about thirty beds." The Committee adds ~~the~~ "In the interests of Pathological Science, however, a Professorship without attached duties should be stituted."

In para 7 of his letter No 12405 d/ 19-12-94 ~~giving cover~~ which dealt with the re commendations of the Committee the D.P.I. observed that, on the amalgamation of the Women and Children's Hospl. with the General Hospital, the professorship of Materia Medica, Physiology, Hygiene and Pathology would each be entrusted to one of the assistant surgeons or assistant physicians and that this arrangement would relieve the Resident Physician and Resident Surgeon from work in the college Vide page 37 of G.O. no 654 Edul 22.10.96

On the above, the present Surgeon General stated that he concurred generally in the recommendations of the Committee Vide page 38 ibid and, after indicating the existing arrangement as regards professorial duties, suggested a rearrangement in which he assigned the professorship of Pathology to the additional medical officer to be styled "Resident Physician" and that of operative and minor surgery to the Resident Medical Officer i.e. the Resident Surgeon Vide page 40 ibid

No orders have yet been ~~xxx~~ passed on the subject of the re-organization of the Medical College Staff and its duties the matter being one immediately connected with the general question of the re-organization of the Medical establishments. Replies to G.O. no 263 Pub. dated 12th March 1897 on this ~~xxx~~ regarding the subject have been received and are being attended to separately

AV.
12/3/98

The foregoing note is submitted with ref. to His Exc's note of the 6th Inst. Into the question of the propriety of the three years tenure of office I did not enter in my former note. As it has now been raised, a ref. is requested to the note & my quotations above. Whatever may be urged for limiting the tenure of the office of Resident Physician to three years on which point the late and the present S.G. are agreed, the case of the Professor of Pathology is entirely different, & the view of the S.G. ~~is~~ strongly supported by the Dir. P.I. is that so limited a tenure of the office is greatly to be deprecated. If the Prof. is a failure the sooner he is got rid of the better, but if he is well-qualified for his post his usefulness as a teacher is not likely to reach its highest point for some years. There is I believe no question about Surg. Captn Giffard's special qualifications for the post, and if the three years limitation is not to be rigidly enforced it wd it appears to me be wise to retain him in the office.

ASA 14.3.98.

It appears, that the condition in paper flagged L that the chair of pathology should not be retained by the Resdt. Surgeon, has not been fulfilled. The present Resdt. Surgeon fills this chair with marked efficiency, and it seems a pity that he should vacate it. Under these circumstances, perhaps Hon Members will consider whether it would not be, on the whole well, to prolong Dr. Giffard's tenure.

A.G.H. 18.3.98

L [illegible]

My own view wd be that 3 years is quite long enough for any man [illegible] any professorship — The science of [illegible] is one which is progressing with such rapidity, and the professors should in my opinion come as fresh as possible to their work & not be kept on year after year at work which, with such rapidity as [illegible] must get out here, must be [illegible] ~~[illegible]~~ It appears, however, from another paper that I have seen, that it is proposed to post Surg. Capt Giffard to the 2nd District & to replace him in [illegible] by one of the doctors who have [illegible] arrived from England — If this is so, I suggest to H.E. that, as the professorship can hardly be assigned to an officer who is only temporarily employed, Capt G might take the Professorship with [illegible] & hold it as Surg. 2nd District. In this way we want to know that [illegible] concerned would be satisfied; whatever decision may be come to [illegible] the future, personal considerations [illegible] be eliminated.

HS 21/3/98

+ in time) it must always do harm. I have not seen the paper to the Hon Sir H Bliss refers to, but it seems to me that, if Dr G can be transferred to a presidency DS & allowed to retain his professorship, all objects will be served.

JG 23/3/98

I accept Sir H. Bliss's suggestion [illegible]

A

I do not like the idea of [illegible] the tenure of the Professor of Pathology to 3 years. Improvement in the work of a Professor is a [illegible] that can always see (after he [illegible] through a whole series of lectures [illegible] before) how the general handling of the subject can be improved. [illegible] is necessary before a Professor [illegible] sure of awakening the attention of his students to the important [illegible] subject. As to the rapid progress [illegible] science, it is the Professor's business to [illegible] self informed of every phase of it [illegible] no doubt he does. If a series of [illegible] is interrupted in the course of [illegible] (as it will be if Dr. G. is [illegible]

[G / 24]
1,000–22-11-97.

PUBLIC DEPARTMENT.

Papers to be read in Proceedings:—

Again GO., No. 11. Pub., dated 5th January 1898.
Again G.O., No. , dated 189 .
Current No. 582 of 1898, dated 27th January, from the S.G. (p)
" " ~~600~~ 905 " 1898, " 5th March , " the Edcl. Dept. (p)
" " " 189 , " , "

16/3

ORDER. No. 604. D 28.4.98

Rup

His Excellency the Governor in Council finds no reason to reconsider the decision contained in G.OS. No. 722, Pub. d/ 30-8-95, and No. 11, Pub. d/ 7-1-98, that the Resident Medical Officer at the General Hospital shall be unmarried ~~bachelor~~, or to modify the rule that he shall not ordinarily hold the office for more than three years. The limit of time will be considered to have been reached when an officer has held the appointment continuously for three years, whether his tenure has been acting or substantive.

2. On a consideration of the opinions of the Surg. Genl. and the D.P.I. that a change in the office of Professor of Pathology would at present be inconvenient, His Excellency the Governor in Council resolves that Surg. Capt. Giffard, who has now been appointed to officiate as Surg., 2nd

District, shall continue to hold his Professorship.

AK
26/4/98

ASA 27.4.98

Copy Exd } 30/4.

TO

The Surg. Genl.
,, D.P.I.

Despd 30.4.98

ASA for CS

Go 604 X
28.4.98

I cannot find out whether the 2nd Dist. appointments carry consolidated pay, or whether part of the pay attaches to the Professorship. In the latter case there may be a question whether Dr. Gifford should carry his pay as Professor with him.

AK
26/4/98

CHIEF SECRETARIAT,
D. O.
No. 237
Da 29.4.1898

To

The Surgeon Major General C. Sibthorpe

despd 29/4/98

D.O. — copy
H+28. — x
28/4/98

Ootacamund
29th April 1898

My dear Sibthorpe.

A G.O. is about to issue directing that Gifford should retain his Professorship of Pathology while he acts in the 2nd Dist.

Will you please let me know whether there is any special allowance attached to the Professorship, & whether this step will cause any reduction in the emoluments of the next Resident Medical Officer.

ASA 27.4.98

AK
26/4/98

[G./9]
1,000—19-2-97.

Public (1898) Department.

D. O No. 313

~~1. Hm.~~

~~2. H.E. the Governor.~~

~~D.O. for orders.~~

~~The recommendation of the S.G. to pay the Professor of Pathology Rs 200 a month when that chair is not held by the Resident Surgeon will involve the latter's usual emoluments being lessened by that amount unless he is given some other appt to make up for the loss of the Professorship of Pathology.~~

F: pf
5.

C.S.

Please see the G.O. at X & the S.G. d.o. below. As the Res.t Surgeon's pay is consolidated Dr. Giffard's successor will continue to get Rs 800 p.m. — Submitted that ~~unless~~ until Dr. Giffard asks for special pay ~~his~~ for his duties as Professor no order need issue. AR. 1/6/98

Yes. H.A.E. 6.98.

Sem AH. 2/6/98

From

Surgeon Major General C. Sibthorpe. C.B.

No.

Dated 6 May 1898

Received 7 " "

Registered " "

Enclosures

Spare copies

SUBJECT.

Stating that the pay of the Resident Surgeon and Professor of Pathology is Rs: 800 a month consolidated and that no special allowance is attached to the Professorship

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
Do.	237	Pub	29.4.97
Go	604	~~29u~~ & papers	28.2.98
Pub	C.No.	950/28	
G.O.G.G.	No	1867.	

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

cessary portion
sked in the
put up

K

D.O. No. 313

AA
7.5.98

SURGEON GENERAL WITH GOVERNMENT · MADRAS ·

Ootacamund 6th May 1898.

My dear Arundel. !

Y

Your D.O. of the 29th April regarding the Chair of Pathology. Under G.O.G.G. No. 370 of 1867 the local Government has the power to modify the allotment of duties amongst Medical Officers provided the prescribed number of officers and the aggregate of salaries is not exceeded. The pay of the Resident Surgeon and Professor of Pathology is Rs 800/- a month consolidated, so that no special allowance is attached to the Professorship. If it is however to be treated like other

Urgent

Pub c nos
3824905

Ref

Please put up recent
pers about the appoint-
nt of Surgeon Captain
ffard as Surgeon 2nd
strict

23/3/98

S.

I could trace nothing about
it in 98, nor could I
get any information
from anybody.

25/3/98

Subd to U.S. orders are
solicited as to whether the file
shd be cired to H.E. the Governor
with reference to Hon. S.' notes

25/3/98

INDIAN TELEGRAPHS. Monthly Number.

From (Office)	Telephonic message			
Words.	Day. 6	Hour. 11	Minute. 27	Official Instructions.

To: Chief Secy:

From (Person): Private Secy:

The proposal to post Giffard to the 2nd District was made in members notes on the file regarding Giffards retention for more than 3 years in the General Hospital and His Excellency approved of it - there is no other file on the subject. There is no other file on the subject. There is no other file in D.O about it. Sibthorpe wrote in D.O about it some time ago but was told that the question would lie over until the question about the 3 yea the other questions about the General Hospi appointment in the General Hospi was first settled.

6-4-98

F.C 2.

Recd. from ... I.N. At ... Hrs. ... Mts. by ...

Sent to ... I.N. At ... Hrs. ... Mts. by ...

Telegraph Ma

C. G. P.—No. 180 T—15 1 98—4,000

INDIAN TELEGRAPHS. Monthly Number.

Telephonic	From (Office) message

Words.	Day.	Hour.	Minute.	Official Instructions.
	6	16	35	

To Under Secretary Chief Secretariat	From (Person) Private Secretary

The vacancy in the 2nd District arises by impson going to Coimbatore and the only file on the subject of Giffard getting the 2nd District is the marginal notes of members on the file regarding his retaining his present appointment in the General Hospital for more than 3 years. I will ask the Surgeon General to send in the necessary minutes of appointment.

F. C. 2.

I.N.	Sent to	I.N.	
Mts. by	At Hrs. Mts. by		Telegraph Master.

29.1.07
4-11-13
2-8-18
22-1-23 III
3-7-28 B III

[G./40]
3,000--1-11-98.

DEPARTMENT.
Pub

Paper taken out of the Records from the charge of the Referencer.

Misc ~~Press~~ Miscellaneous ~~Routine~~ No. 604, dated 28-4- 1898.

Date taken out.	FOR WHAT PURPOSE.			Initials of clerk taking the paper or of attender.	Date returned.	Initials of attender.
	Department.	C. No.	Year.			
3-2-99	Edn	120	1899		19/6/99	
25-7-99	Fin	W & S	99		27-10-99	
13/12/99	Pub	3458	99		19/12/99	
7-2-00	Pub	345	900		19-10-1900	
27-8-01	"	2571	01		14-8-02	
6-10-02	"	3130	02			
8-12-04	"	3378	04		21/1/05	
28-1-07	"	308	07		20/3/07	
4/11/13	—	Supdt			5/12/13	
2-8-18	Mil	2493	18		9/11/18	
20-1-23	[illegible]	324	23		31-8-23	
27/4/39	[illegible] B III	4454	39		2/5/39	