

Public (1895) Department.

ORDINARY MS.

G.O., No. 599

Dated 27-4-88

[Despatch Abstract.]

Considering that the
conduct of Anwarul Karim Khan,
manager in the office of the Sd. &
Khayak Zhan Sahib, sub-staff officer
of schools, in taking part in the
meeting held in June last under
the auspices of the Central Muhammadan
Assoc. to protest against the abolition
made of a Mohammedan gentleman
to serve as an additional member of
the Legislative Council, is deserving of
censure; and stating that similar
breaches of an elementary rule of dis-
cipline in the future will be severely dealt
with.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Government {1898} of Madras.
PUBLIC.

Recd.

Regd.

1898.

Enclosures

Spare copies

G.O., No. 599, 27th April 1898.

APRIL.

Public Servants at a Meeting.

Considering the conduct of the two public servants who took part at a meeting held to protest against the selection of a Muhammadan gentleman as an Additional Member of the Legislative Council as deserving of censure, and adding that any similar breaches in the future will be severely dealt with.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.
PUBLIC DEPARTMENT.

ORDER—No. 599, Public, dated 27th April 1898.

It has come to the notice of Government that at a meeting held in January last under the auspices of the Central Muhammadan Association to protest against the selection made of a Muhammadan gentleman to serve as an Additional Member of the Legislative Council _____ and _____ were among those present. After considering the explanations submitted, the Government considers that the conduct of these two public servants in taking part in such a meeting is deserving of censure. Similar breaches of an elementary rule of discipline, in the future, will be severely dealt with.

(True Extract.)

A. T. ARUNDEL,
Chief Secretary.

To Heads of Departments under Public.
Copy to Departments of the Secretariat.

Exd. A. Raiff.

Ref for CS

Please see the passage
underlined in the
Madras Standard

do.

My dear Sir,
Duncan.

From the reports
which have appeared in
the newspapers of a meeting
held by the Central Muhammadan
Assocⁿ on Jan 20th to protest
against the nomination of
Shulam Muhammad as a
Member of the Leg^{is} Council
it is ascertained that among
those who attended was
Qasim Shulam Muhammad
Khajji Khan Schib

The manager of your office
Sub Asst. Inspector of Schools.

The object of this meeting appears
to have been fully known beforehand
to those who attended—

The attendance of such a
number of a subordinate servants
of Govt appears to be a distinct
breach of discipline. I am to

ask you to call upon your manager
the 26. April 1898
to explain his action, & to submit
his explanation to Govt with your
remarks.

To
Surgeon Major Genl C. Sibthorp CB
Surgeon Genl with Govt.
The Hon Mr S. Duncan M.A. &c. Esq.
Secy of Public Instruction

MA 21.2.98



D.O. Madras 25th February
1898.

My dear Admiral.

With Esteemed Wishes

D.O. of the 23rd February

Regarding the attendance

of my office Manager

at a meeting held by

the Central Muhammadan

Association on January

the 20th I forward the

explanation asked for

and hope that you will

Nangrunbua Run
24 July 1898

Sir,
In obedience to your orders
I beg to submit the following
Explanation for my being present
at a meeting of the Central Muhammadan
Association held on the
20th January 1898 in connection with
the nomination of Ghulam Muhammad
Sahib Bahadur as an Additional
Member of the Legislative Council.

I did not go to the meeting
as a sympathiser but merely as
a spectator out of curiosity.
In fact I purposely informed
the Secretary of the Association

D.O.

11.3.98

Office of the Director of Public Instruction,

Madras 11 March 1898.

My dear Arnold

With reference to your confidential D.O. of 23 Feb., I beg to enclose the explanation furnished by Khaja Khan Sub-Assistant Inspector of Schools, for having attended the meeting referred to.

Khaja Khan says that he did not know that the object of the meeting was to protest: he thought it was merely to submit a memorial. But, according to the report published in the Madras Times, it was assumed by the Chairman of the meeting that all present were

N^o 1 Confidential

N^o 1 Confidential

Office of the Sub. Insp. Inspector
Muhamomadan Schools, Central Circle
Madras. Dated 1st March 1922

Frage

17-11-1944
Khaja Khan Sahib, B. A.
Sub. Inst. Inspector of middle schools
Central Circle

By

The Director of Public Instruction

In reference to your D. C. order of the 12th ultimo, I most respectfully beg to state that I did not attend the subject of the meeting, and to make a motion. I did not submit a memorial.

2 I attended put up 1 wire
curbly and not with 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36, 37, 38, 39, 40, 41, 42, 43, 44, 45, 46, 47, 48, 49, 50, 51, 52, 53, 54, 55, 56, 57, 58, 59, 60, 61, 62, 63, 64, 65, 66, 67, 68, 69, 70, 71, 72, 73, 74, 75, 76, 77, 78, 79, 80, 81, 82, 83, 84, 85, 86, 87, 88, 89, 90, 91, 92, 93, 94, 95, 96, 97, 98, 99, 100

~~sympathy~~ sympathy might have been a
more spectacular success than it was.

men Spectators
 I did not think that the existence
 of a Govt. Subordinate and specta-
 -able; as there are more than
 a dozen Government Subordinates
 present as spectators.

2 I now and then attend the meetings of the Augumand = Inupiat Aklu = Islaw, of which I am member from the side of the

Department to supervise the ~~Industrial~~
School

If at any meeting, any subject
of political nature cropped up,

I could only keep my silence
or retire (though ^{it be} not so painful)
In the present instance, after
once going, it was not grateful
to retire and so I held myself
aloof as a silent spectator.

4. The Rules relating to the conduct of the trial did not appear to me plain in the subject Part D
arts 66-69

acted fact that I was mistaken
in understanding them has
now come home to me — though
too late; and I beg the forgiven-
ness of Government for an action
that arose out of an error of
judgment and discretion.

5. Having understood now the gist of the orders, I shall never in future attend a meeting (even as an outsider and

Speculator), the object of which I did not
fully ascertain beforehand
With prayer for forgiveness

With prayer for forgiveness

I beg to remain
Sir

Your Most Obedient Servant

1844
 1845
 1846
 1847
 1848
 1849
 1850
 1851
 1852
 1853
 1854
 1855
 1856
 1857
 1858
 1859
 1860
 1861
 1862
 1863
 1864
 1865
 1866
 1867
 1868
 1869
 1870
 1871
 1872
 1873
 1874
 1875
 1876
 1877
 1878
 1879
 1880
 1881
 1882
 1883
 1884
 1885
 1886
 1887
 1888
 1889
 1890
 1891
 1892
 1893
 1894
 1895
 1896
 1897
 1898
 1899
 1900

Office of the Sub-Assistant Inspector
of Mahr. Schools, Central Circle.

From

The Sub-Assistant Inspector
of Mahr. Schools, Central Circle.

To.

The Director of Public

Instructions

Madras

No. 1. Draft.

Dated 14 March

Despatched

Received

1898

Enclosures Nil

For

5/1

Submitting copy for use
regarding the
at a certain meeting

[G.]
[25 A]
500-8-12-97.

C. No

The Hon. Sir H B Niss
M. Hon. Mr. Gross

SUBJECT.

FOR ORDERS.

two Rules 167 & 68 / just
everything to do with the
It does not require any
essable State public
to that they must not attend
things called to make a protest
that after made by H. R. the
of these men had had the smallest
of discipline ~~the~~ and
obligations imposed by acceptance
in underfoot, they would at once
see that attendance at such
thing was not improper. But the
chief of it is that the natives
have no idea of discipline
their obligations as public servants.
time they are taught. Only the
you have had a case of a number
of persons taking
in a meeting which had been got
from the removal of papers
it (an apothecary). Can some
be ordered and, if recalled right,
some was made public - Even if
because it was circulated to the
out of the - I doubt whether to the
few must have passed through
magis hands, yet within a few
we find him guilty of a still more
breach of discipline - I don't think
will be at all the worse thing
- I should suppose

The explanation of (1), the
Manager of the S.S's office,
(2) Kheji Khan, sub-com
inspector of Schools, of the
condemned in attending a
meeting of the Central Hindu
Association held "to protest
against the nomination of
Jhulan Choudhury" as an
additional member of the
Leg. Comm., are submitted
with ref. to the notes on page
70 of the Pol. Abstract of
5/2/98.

Attention is marked to
the account of the meeting
in the Madras Standard
of Jan 2/98. It will be seen

C. No.

SUBJECT.

HIS EXCELLENCY THE GOVERNOR.

~~THE HONBLE SIR HENRY BLISS, K.C.T.E.~~~~THE HONBLE MR. GROSE, C.I.E.~~

Attendance of certain public
servants at a meeting of
the Central Muhammadan
Association

FOR ORDERS.

Submitted to H.E. the Governor,
as the Hms disagree

AK
22/3/98

AK
22.3.98

that Mr Chairman stated
that these present rules
from the Circular the purpose
of this meeting

These men from worth
to make the suspension of
the names put public - They
may think themselves uncommune
being not to be dismissed - It
is what they really deserve -

RS

16/3/98

The Manager of Mr S. G.
Office evidently had doubts

whether he should be present.

As he had the fact that

he attended only as a

spectator, & apparently took

steps to have his name left

out of the list of members

present - under rule 69

of the Rules for the conduct

of Public servants it is

submitted that, having these

doubts, he should have

asked Mr S. G. for orders.

It is a rule of the
Association that the attendance of
public servants at such meetings
is not permitted unless they are
expressly invited.

I have before heard of a
public servant attending a
meeting & protest against an appointment
made by H.E. - This is the most outrageous
breach of discipline.

It ought not to

have gone in the

face of the Circular.

This may be

pointed out by G.O.

to S. G.

A similar remark

applies to the explanation

of Khaja Khan. It is to be

never known that his

explanation in para 1 of

his letter to the Government

orders are obtained.

AK

22/3/98

The "memorial" he must
have known from the Circular
would be practically a
protest. The Dir. P.S. may
be told so. That Khaja
Khan's explanation cannot be regarded
as satisfactory & that his
action has been noted with
disapproval by G.O. to S. G.

AK 22.3.98

Attendance of certain public
works at a meeting
to Central Board

The Hon. Sir H. W. B. H. C. J. E.

(As Secretary member)

If a warning only is
given, it will in my
opinion be a great mistake
not to make it public

H. W.

13/4/98

Public Dept

DO.

My dear Libthorpe
Duncan

With reference to your
d. o. dated 25-2-98, re-
11-3-98

garding the attendance of
the manager of your office
Khaja Khan, Sub. Insp. of School
at a meeting held for the
purpose of protesting against
H. E. the Governor's appoint-
ment of an additional
member of the Legislative
Council, I am to say that
the explanation submitted
cannot be considered
satisfactory and that
Ghulam Muhammad
Khaja Khan's
action has been noted by
Govt with disapproval. I
am to ask you to in-
form him accordingly
and to warn him that
a breach of an

MA 9376

The Hon J. Duncan M.A
D.C. L.L.D.

1 000-22-11-97

DEPARTMEN

Papers to be read in Proceedings:—

Again G.O., No.	, dated	189 .
Again G.O., No.	, dated	189 .
Current No.	of 189 , dated	, from
„ „	„ 189 , „	„ „
„ „	„ 189 , „	„ „

O R I E R

with Ref. to the Hon. Mr.
Sir H. B. Shaw's proposal
to make public the warning
to ~~communicate the~~
~~warning~~ that is to say
to issue a G.O. for general
informⁿ. If this were
done the names of the
offenders or of course
omitted. I do not think
however that the case is one
in which a public G.O. should
issue.

U.L. 579 d. 27 April 98

It has come to the
notice of Govt. that at
a meeting held in
January last under
the auspices of the
Arabul Muhammedan
Society to protest against
the selection made of
a non-Mahomedan
gentleman to succeed
an able and learned
of the same
Quader Khan
has been engaged
in the office of the S. G.
the Magistrate at Sah

present. After
 causing the explanation
 submitted in the fort.
 courses that the
 conduct of these two
 public servants in
 taking part in such
 a meeting is deserving
 of censure. Similar
 breaches of an
 elementary rule of
 discipline must be
 prevented in the
 future.

When given for copying 19/4:
 When copied 2/5/14
 When examined 2/5
 When signed

AGAIN G.O., No.
 189

DATED

SECRET No.

SECRET No.

OF GOVERNMENT

OF GOVERNMENT

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BRING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
 IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.-

A = Copy in full.
 B = Not to be copied.
 P = Copy abstract only.
 Q = Copy heading only.

A A A A A A

12 of Presses

Police

Repression