

[7]

ORDINARY, MS.

<i>Public</i> DEPARTMENT.
G. O. DATED <i>4 February 1887</i>
No. <i>183</i> <i>his</i>

CONFIDENTIAL.

27
1867

When given for copying 4/2

[Despatch Abstract.]

*Mr. Croft reimbursed his full pay
for period ~~under~~ he was under suspension.*

READ AGAIN ORDER OF GOVERNMENT, dated
188 , No. MISCELLANEOUS.

ENCLOSURES.

CURRENT No.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
IN ORIGINAL (not paged).

N.B.—
A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied.
P = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy heading only.

CURRENT NO. 546.

N^o 3.

Public (1887) Department

Public

13th January 1887

The
Letter to Lord S. George

N^o 5

For order is:—

The payment will of course
be made in pursuance of the
instructions.

Orders are however requested
whether the whole despatch should
be communicated to Mr. Croft —
who is of course equivalent to sub-
siding it. Mr. Croft seems
entitled to all of it except perhaps
the words underlined in red pencil.
There is hardly however suffi-
cient was on retaining them
I submit the despatch should
be communicated in its entirety.

Approved

2 Feb

4/2

H.C.

2/2.

Order 4 February 1887, N^o 183

Com^d to the Au^d General
to Mr. C. S. Croft.

H.C.

Regarding Mr. C. S. Croft.

For the Chief Secretary

The whole with interest } Supd

India Office,
London, 13th January 1887.

Public,
No. 5.

To His Excellency The Right Honourable The Governor
in Council, Fort St. George.

SIR,

Mr. C. S. Crole, Madras Civil Service.

Para. 1. In my Despatch of the 7th of October last, No. 17, I communicated to the Government of Madras the conclusions, in reference to Mr. Sullivan, at which I had arrived on a consideration in Council of the papers forwarded with the letter from that Government of the 16th July last, No. 12.

2. I have now to inform your Excellency in Council that, having fully considered the bearing of these papers on the orders communicated in the Earl of Kimberley's Despatch of the 29th of July last, so far as they related to Mr. Crole, I am of opinion that, taking these documents along with other evidence which was not before the Secretary of State when the Despatch of the 29th of July was written, they show that there were more substantial grounds than were then known to exist for two of the charges made against Mr. Sullivan, and that Mr. Crole acted in accordance with his duty in bringing the facts to the knowledge of the Government. That being so, I think that, looking to all the circumstances, payment should now be made to Mr. Crole of the salary which accrued during the months the order of suspension was enforced.

3. I see no reason, however, to make any further alteration of the orders conveyed to the Government of Madras in the Despatch of the 29th of July, or to modify the language in which Lord Kimberley referred to the serious fault committed by Mr. Crole in the use of intemperate language, and in the introduction of certain imagined grievances of his own, which, even if they could have been shown to have any real foundation, had nothing to do with the case.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient humble Servant,

RD 11

80

Public
by 24 October 1886.

Copy
Letter to Fort St. George

N. 17

present as confidential.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient,

humble servant,

(signed) Cross.