

Public (1891) Department.

ORDINARY PRINTED.

G.O., No 1045-1046

Dated 16th August 1898

INDEXED.

[Despatch Abstract.]

Mr. Hultzech

Granting furlough for two years
to ——— for Epigraphist, & directing that the
Govt. be addressed on the subject.
(Letter Abot.)

Stating, with remarks, that this
Govt. has granted ———, for Epigraphist
furlough for two years, and permitted him
to avail himself of it at once.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Government { 1898 } of Madras.
PUBLIC.

Recd.

Enclosures

1898.

Regd.

Spare copies

Letter, No. 1046, 16th August 1898.

AUGUST.

Dr. Hultsch.

Stating, with remarks, that this Government has granted —, Government Epigraphist, furlough for two years, and permitted him to avail himself of it at once.

PUBLIC DEPARTMENT.

LETTER—from

THE CHIEF SECRETARY TO THE GOVERNMENT OF
FORT ST. GEORGE,

To

THE SECRETARY TO THE GOVERNMENT OF INDIA,
DEPARTMENT OF REVENUE AND AGRICULTURE.

SIR,

I am directed to refer to the correspondence ending with your telegram, No. 1966, dated 25th July 1898, regarding the grant of furlough to Dr. Hultzsche, Government Epigraphist in this Presidency. In the telegram quoted the Government of India observes that, from the information at its disposal, it is unable to see that Dr. Hultzsche is eligible for furlough, and asks for a further report on the subject.

2. The question of granting furlough to Dr. Hultzsche has been long pending. So far back as August 1897, he intimated his intention of applying for leave, if his retention in the service should be decided upon. In March 1898 he submitted his formal application for furlough for two years, and this fact was mentioned to the Government of India in telegram, No. 143, dated 30th March 1898, in which this Government asked for instructions as to Dr. Hultzsche's retention in the service after March 31st, when the period of his engagement expired. On April 28th this Government was informed that the Secretary of State had sanctioned Dr. Hultzsche's retention. The telegram of the Government of India which conveyed this information (No. 1071, dated 28th April 1898) was not, however, sufficiently precise to enable this Government to decide whether furlough should at once be granted. His Excellency the Governor in Council therefore determined to await the receipt of the Secretary of State's despatch, in order to ascertain whether the conditions were fulfilled which were referred to by the Accountant-General in his letter, No. 2585, dated 24th August 1897, as those under which Dr. Hultzsche could obtain leave. No further communication on the subject having been received up to July 5th, this Government telegraphed on that date to the Government of India explaining the method in which it was proposed to arrange for the conduct of Dr. Hultzsche's duties during his absence, and enquiring whether the Government of India had any objection to his being granted furlough. Your reply (telegram No. 1834, dated 8th July) stated that no stipulation regarding furlough had been made in sanctioning Dr. Hultzsche's retention. In telegram 1836 of the same date the Government of India enquired whether the Accountant-General had reported on Dr. Hultzsche's claim to leave. This Government replied that he had done so, and subsequently, at the request of the Government of India, forwarded a copy of the Accountant-General's letter of 24th August 1897, already referred to. Finally, the Government of India, in the telegram now under reply, expressed doubts as to Dr. Hultzsche's eligibility for furlough and asked for a further report.

3. A perusal of the papers connected with the subject shows that the correspondence which, at the outset, was confined to the question of Dr. Hultzsche's retention, has now developed into a discussion of the question of granting leave to that officer. This is a matter which, I am to observe, lies within the province of the Local Government. The question of furlough was incidentally introduced into the correspondence

by this Government, not with a view to obtain the sanction of the Government of India to the grant of leave, but merely to make matters clear. Subsequently when the Government of India expressed a wish to be informed of the arrangements made for carrying on the work of the Epigraphist during his absence, His Excellency the Governor in Council took it for granted that this information was required only with reference to the possible bearing of the question on the Government of India's general scheme for the establishment of an Archaeological department, and did not indicate any desire on the part of the Government of India to intervene as far as the grant of leave by this Government was concerned. The subsequent requests for instructions made by this Government related only to the views of the Government of India as to the suitability of the arrangements which it was proposed to make. The Government of India appears however to have interpreted them differently, for in the latest telegrams it has called for information, and issued instructions on the question whether or not the leave should be granted. His Excellency the Governor in Council trusts that the position now taken up by the Government of India is due to some misunderstanding of the matter, and does not point to any desire on the part of the Supreme Government to vest in itself the power which has always rested with the Local Government to grant leave to public servants on the Provincial establishment.

4. Turning to the telegram now under reply, I am to say that the question of Dr. Hultzsche's eligibility for leave had been fully considered by this Government, and His Excellency in Council had satisfied himself that the view expressed by the Accountant-General in his letter of 24th August 1897 was correct. The conditions stated in that letter have now been fulfilled, and, no change having occurred in other respects, the Government found no reason to doubt that the leave might be granted. The Government of India observes that the Accountant-General's letter in question is an old one and that it is not a report on an application for leave, as prescribed in article 910, Civil Service Regulations. The letter is old merely because the question of the grant of leave has been long pending. It is not however out of date. With regard to the second objection, I am to observe that article 910, Civil Service Regulations, only lays down that a report should be obtained on an officer's title to leave. It is a common practice in this Presidency for an officer applying for leave to submit, with his application, a certificate from the Accountant-General to the effect that he is eligible for it. This course has been found to be convenient and no objection has ever been raised to it. The procedure in Dr. Hultzsche's case was exactly similar, and the letter of the Accountant-General appears to His Excellency the Governor in Council to have fulfilled all the requirements of the Civil Service Regulations. In view however of the doubts expressed by the Government of India, a further report has been called for, and the Accountant-General now states definitely that Dr. Hultzsche is eligible for two years' furlough, under article 340 (b), Civil Service Regulations. The leave being urgently required, and the Government of India having apparently no objection to the arrangements made for conducting the work of the office, His Excellency the Governor in Council has considered it undesirable to postpone further the decision of this matter. He has accordingly granted Dr. Hultzsche furlough for two years and permitted him to avail himself of it at once, and I am now directed to report the fact for the information of the Government of India.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Obtacamunt,

16th August 1898.

G. STOKES,

Chief Secretary.

End. A. E. Eccleston.

Government (1898) of Madras.
PUBLIC.

HC

written to the
supd. 11/8/98

Issue is corrected -
The ~~G.O.~~ ^{letter} was not sent to
action to Madras - but the
Commissioner's mistake in para 4
Enclosures under its return to agency.
Spare copies / will not issue any
letter that is not
typographically perfect.
Please show to typ.

Recd.

Regd.

1898.

Letter, No. , August 1898.

AK
11/8/98

AUGUST.

Dr. Hultzsch.

Stating, with remarks, that this Government has granted —, Government Epigraphist
furlough for two years, and permitted him to avail himself of it at once.

PUBLIC DEPARTMENT.

LETTER—from

THE CHIEF SECRETARY TO THE GOVERNMENT OF
FORT ST. GEORGE,

To

THE SECRETARY TO THE GOVERNMENT OF INDIA,
DEPARTMENT OF REVENUE AND AGRICULTURE.

SIR,

I am directed to refer to the correspondence ending with your telegram, No. 1966, dated 25th July 1898, regarding the grant of furlough to Dr. Hultzsch, Government Epigraphist in this Presidency. In the telegram quoted the Government of India observes that, from the information at its disposal, it is unable to see that Dr. Hultzsch is eligible for furlough, and asks for a further report on the subject.

2. The question of granting furlough to Dr. Hultzsch has been long pending. So far back as August 1897, he intimated his intention of applying for leave, if his retention in the service should be decided upon. In March 1898 he submitted his formal application for furlough for two years, and this fact was mentioned to the Government of India in telegram, No. 143, dated 30th March 1898, in which the Government of India was asked for instructions as to Dr. Hultzsch's retention in the service after March 31st, when the period of his engagement expired. On April 28th this Government was informed that the Secretary of State had sanctioned Dr. Hultzsch's retention. The telegram of the Government of India which conveyed this information (No. 1071, dated 28th April 1898) was not, however, sufficiently precise to enable this Government to decide whether furlough should at once be granted. His Excellency the Governor in Council therefore determined to await the receipt of the Secretary of State's despatch, in order to ascertain whether the conditions were fulfilled which were referred to by the Accountant-General in his letter, No. 2585, dated 24th August 1897, as those under which Dr. Hultzsch could obtain leave. No further communication on the subject having been received up to July 5th, this Government telegraphed on that date to the Government of India explaining the method in which it was proposed to arrange for the conduct of Dr. Hultzsch's duties during his absence, and enquiring whether the Government of India had any objection to his being granted furlough. Your reply (telegram No. 1834, dated 8th July) stated that no stipulation regarding furlough had been made in sanctioning Dr. Hultzsch's retention. In telegram 1836 of the same date the Government of India enquired whether the Accountant-General had reported on Dr. Hultzsch's claim to leave. This Government replied that he had done so, and subsequently, at the request of the Government of India, forwarded a copy of the Accountant-General's letter of 24th August 1897, already referred to. Finally, the Government of India, in the telegram now under reply, expressed doubts as to Dr. Hultzsch's eligibility for furlough and asked for a further report.

3. A perusal of the papers connected with the subject shows that the correspondence which, at the outset, was confined to the question of Dr. Hultzsch's retention, has now developed into a discussion of the question of granting leave to that officer. This is a matter which, I am to observe, lies within the province of the Local Government. The question of furlough was incidentally introduced into the correspondence

by this Government, not with a view to obtain the sanction of the Government of India to the grant of leave, but merely to make matters clear. Subsequently when the Government of India expressed a wish to be informed of the arrangements made for carrying on the work of the Epigraphist during his absence, His Excellency the Governor in Council took it for granted that this information was required only with reference to the possible bearing of the question on the Government of India's general scheme for the establishment of an Archæological department, and did not indicate any desire on the part of the Government of India to intervene as far as the grant of leave by this Government was concerned. The subsequent requests for instructions made by this Government related only to the views of the Government of India as to the suitability of the arrangements which it was proposed to make. The Government of India appears however to have interpreted them differently, for in the latest telegrams it has called for information, and issued instructions on the question whether or not the leave should be granted. His Excellency the Governor in Council trusts that the position now taken up by the Government of India is due to some misunderstanding of the matter, and does not point to any desire on the part of the Supreme Government to vest in itself the power which has always rested with the Local Government to grant leave to public servants on the Provincial establishment.

4. Turning to the telegram now under reply, I am to say that the question of Dr. Hultzsch's eligibility for leave had been fully considered by this Government, and His Excellency in Council had satisfied himself that the view expressed by the Accountant-General in his letter of 24th August 1897 was correct. The conditions stated in that letter have now been fulfilled, and, no change having occurred in other respects, the Government found no reason to doubt that the leave might be granted. The Government of India observes that the Accountant-General's letter in question is an old one and that it is not a report on an application for leave, as prescribed in article 910, Civil Service Regulations. The letter is old merely because the question of the grant of leave has been long pending. It is not however out of date. With regard to the second objection, I am to observe that article 910, Civil Service Regulations, only lays down that a report should be obtained on an officer's title to leave. It is a common practice in this Presidency for an officer applying for leave to submit, with his application, a certificate from the Accountant-General to the effect that he is eligible for it. This course has been found to be convenient and no objection has ever been raised to it. The procedure in Dr. Hultzsch's case was exactly similar, and the letter of the Accountant-General appears to His Excellency the Governor in Council to have fulfilled all the requirements of the Civil Service Regulations. In view however of the doubts expressed by the Government of India, a further report has been called for, and the Accountant-General now states definitely that Dr. Hultzsch is eligible for two years' furlough, under article 340 (b), Civil Service Regulations. The leave being urgently required, and the Government of India having apparently no objection to the arrangements made for conducting the work of the office, His Excellency the Governor in Council has considered it undesirable to postpone further the decision of this matter. He has accordingly granted Dr. Hultzsch furlough for two years and permitted him to avail himself of it at once, and I am now directed to report the fact for the information of the Government of India.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

OOTACAMUND,
August 1898.

Chief Secretary.

Ch. c. 23.7.28

932, 1195, 1244, 1429, 1618, 172, 2134, 2193

Public (1898) Department.

CURRENT No.



From
The Government Epigraphic

ler

No. 81

Dated 7th March 1898

Received 9 }
Registered 9 } 3-98

Enclosures

Spare copies

SUBJECT.

*Applying for two years
furlough.*

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

CURRENT NO 932

Ootacamund,
7 March 1898.

No. 81.

From

Dr. E. Hultzsch,
Government Epigraphist,

To

The Chief Secretary to Government.

Sir,


With reference to paragraph 1 of my letter No. 299, dated 14 August 1897, I have the honor to submit that I have engaged a passage from Bombay to Trieste on the 1 May, and request that the Government might be so good as to grant me furlough for two years from or after the 20 April 1898.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

E. Hultzsch
Epigraphist.

N.B.—KEEP FILE
INTACT.

A.m. and circ



A

11/5/98

15/3/98

Vide A.G.'s letter No 258524 of 9/10/97
in D.O. 294 of 4-9-97

To
Genzil Ibbetson Esq. b.s.d.
Secy to the Govt. in dia
Reve April Dept.

For Sh. Govt
15 March
Public Dept
C no 932

D.O. my dear Mr. Ibbetson
Dear Sir,

Adverting to the correspondence ending with Mr. Prices D. of the 4th September last regarding the future constitution of the Archaeological Survey Department, I am directed to request that early orders may be passed on the question of the retention of Dr. Hultzsch as Government Epigraphist in this Presidency after 31st March 1898. Dr. Hultzsch has now applied for furlough for two years from the 20th April 1898 for which he will be eligible only if the tenure of his appointment is extended beyond the date specified above and if no stipulation to the contrary is made at the time the extension is granted.

10/3/98 H.A. 10.3.98

[J.]
[52]
1, 22 2-98.

Public
Judicial (1898) Department.

CURRENT No. ¹¹⁹⁵~~1146~~

Allegations
From The Root Epigraphist

Order

No.

Dated
Received } 29th march '98
Registered
Enclosures
Spare copies

SUBJECT.

Requesting provisional
reply to him from the L. of J.,
to his letters 351 & 81 of 29 Sept 97
and 7 march 98 on

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
80	144	Pub	15.3.98
Go	129	Pub: <i>inter & papers</i>	26.1.98

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Handwritten notes in left margin.

Handwritten notes in left margin.


Handwritten notes in left margin.

INDIAN TELEGRAPHS

Monthly Number.

From *Madras Fort St George* (Office) *Madras*
 Date *12/12* Day *129* Hour *13* Minute *53* Official Instructions.

Chief Secy
Govt



From (Person) *Govt Epigraph*

requests favour of provisional
 reply from India to
 his letters 357⁺ and 81⁺
 dated September 29/97
 and March 4/98

MADRAS FORT ST GEORGE

80 144 A'
 15.3.98
 + Go 1315 B'
 5.10.97

W.B.—KEEP FILE
INTACT.

Public Dept.
C.N. 1195.

Telegram to
India, Revenue and
Agriculture, Simla.

Despatched 30-3-98.

N. 143X. Reference correspondence
ending my demi-official
fifteenth instant Doctor
Hultzs^{ch} ^{requests} ~~wants~~ provisional
reply ~~from~~ ^{regarding} his further retention service
if retained he ^{asks} ~~wants~~ two years
furlough from twentieth
proximo. If discharged
he ^{asks} ~~wants~~ retiring pension
from first proximo under
Home Department Resolution
2-35-47 twentysecond
January 1886. Please wire
^{reply} ~~order~~

MA 30.3.98

AK
30/3/98

Public (189) Department.

CURRENT No. 1244

Telegram

From

*The Govt of India
Reve April Dept*

Order

No. 877 D.O.
Confidential

Dated 1-4-98

Received 2-4-98

Registered 2-4-98

Enclosures -

Spare copies -

SUBJECT.

*Regarding the retention
of Dr Hultzsch in
the service*

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

subd to head
1st 2nd 3rd 4th

2nd 3rd 4th

INDIAN TELEGRAPHS. Monthly Number. 16

To *Madras Revenue* From *Simla*

2/10 18 1200

To *Madras Revenue* From *Simla*

No. 577 Demi Official Confidential

your telegram no 143

of 30th march kindly

Send copy of demi official

which has not reached

me we are recommending

to Secretary of State

of du Muth. 30th services

by furlough is given

the him we should be

glad to learn of arrangement

Proposed for the conduct

of his duties

64-98

Telegraph Master

Public Dept.
1244

20.

My dear Mr Abbotson,
With reference to
your telegram of the
1st instant, I enclose
a copy of my D.O. of the
15th ultimus. Reply to the
referred to in the telegram
other question will follow

194
3-4-8

At
3.4.58

To Denzil Abbotson Esq. B.I.D.
Secretary to the Govt of India
Revenue and Agricultural
Department. } Despd
3/4/58

$\left[\frac{G.}{25} \right]$
1,000 - 22-11-87

SUBJECT.

~~THE HON'BLE SIR HENRY BLISS, K.C.I.E.~~

~~THE HON'BLE MR. GROSE, C.I.E.~~

Grant of leave to
Dr. Hultzsöck.

FOR ORDERS.

He has letter at B²: Dr.
Haltzsch asked for
two green furlo' from
April 20th. He has enjoyed
a passage on May 1st.

The question whether
he should be retained in
service after March 31st
is under India's consideration.
The last communication
received on the subject
is the one dated 12/4 in which
India says that it has
recommended Dr. Halldén's
retention, & enquires what
arrangements it is
proposed to make if
he goes on leave.

The question that is
worth for Dr. Hertzsch is

but one which can be settled
at a moment's notice. There
is probably no one who could
do his work in the Pres.
Dr. Rea (the Sept. of the
Archaeological survey might
do some of the purely
mechanical part of it (i.e.
inscribing & copying inscriptions)
but in the do at A (no
354 d 4-5-57) Sat. said
that it did not consider
that the two offices should be
enlarged. It was
probably on the strength of
that do. that India
recommended Dr. Hultzsch's
retention, & if this Sat. has
before the Sec. of States' decision
is received, says that it
can dispense with Dr.
Hultzsch for two years it
will take like a complete
change of view.

It is submitted therefore
that ~~Dr. Hultzsch~~ ~~be~~
~~that it is impossible~~
to grant him leave from
April 20: ~~and~~ ~~until~~

A difficulty however
arises over telling him
of seeing that he has
applied to retire from
March 31st, if before
his date orders for
his retention are not
passed. (adi G.O.
1315 d 5-10-97
Peg B). Under the
terms of his agreement
(para 8) at H he
may go at any time.
He can therefore at least
claim to know definitely
whether he is to be
retained or not. This
Sat. cannot properly
communicate to him
the fact that he has
been recommended by
India for retention:
either on his own
hand Dr. Hultzsch will

probably not be willing
to go on serving, now
that he has taken a
passage, unless he knows
that he is going to be
retained. Submitted
therefore that

(1) India should be
asked by wire to
get telegraphic
orders from the Secy
of State, on the ground
that D. Hultzsch may
go at any time, &

MT

(2) That D. Hultzsch
may be written to,
~~and told that~~
~~that he may~~
~~understand that~~
~~his retention has been~~
~~recommended, &~~
~~is told that he~~
~~cannot leave before~~
~~for April 20th~~

and told that
the Secy of S. has been
asked to give
orders, and that
if he is retained
he cannot leave
before the
20th April. Kellany

AK

4/4/98

AK
no need to circ. as
him will be lost owing
to move to hills.
AK 5.4.98

4
6/4

CA

Telegram to
Revenue.

You 577 demi official
Capt. Hultzsch gave
provisional order in September last
~~that he should retire on March 31st~~
of not retained. Under ~~terms of~~
agreement he can now go. He ~~is~~
If ~~he is to retire~~ ^{retirement compulsory, wished} to go at immediate
~~Government cannot keep him~~
Please obtain telegraphic orders
from Secretary of State regarding his
retention. If retained he will be
told that he cannot leave before
the 20th April, & that he is not
to be retained until
arrangements can be made for the
conduct of his duties ~~there~~
~~arrangements can be made~~
C. H. H. 5.4.98

do.

My Dear D. Hultzsch
In reply to your Telegram

Public (1898) Department.

D. O. No. 272

From

Dr. E. Hultzsche
Colonel Command

No. -

Dated 15

April 1898

Received 15

Registered 16

Enclosures

Spare copies

SUBJECT.

Requesting to be informed of
the approximate date when
his application for furlough
will be granted.

of the 29th ultimo, I am
today with the question
of your retention ^{of your appointment} ~~in~~
is now under consideration
by the Secretary of State, &
with the Govt. of India has
been asked to obtain his
views by telegraph -

203

V. A. J. 1898

In the event of your
retaining the Govt. from
which it will be impossible
to grant you leave now
to enable you to sail on
May 1st. The difficult
position of arranging for
the conduct of your work
will have to be decided
before you go.

At 6.4.98

H
5/4/98

E. Hultzsche Esq. Philad
6.4.98

D. O.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

CHIEF SECRETARY
15 APR. 1898
GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

Cotacamund,
15th April 1898.

Dear Sir,


In reply to your D.O.
letter of the 6th instant
permit me to express my
best thanks for the great
Kindness with which the
Government of Madras
have supported my case
before

Chief Secretaries
Otago
19th April 1898

Dear Dr. Houlzsch,

With reference to your
D.O. of the 15th inst. I
shall be glad if you can
make it convenient to
come to see me here at
12 O'Clock on the 21st Inst.

Yours truly
(Sd) A. J. Arnold



Dr. E. Houlzsch 20/4/98
19/4/98

[G.]
25

1,00 -22-11-97.

Public DEPARTMENT

C. No.

SUBJECT.

HIS EXCELLENCY THE GOVERNOR.

THE HON'BLE SIR HENRY BLISS, K.C.I.E.

THE HON'BLE MR. GROSE, C.I.E.

C.S.

Note

FOR ORDERS.

Attention is solicited to the letter from the Govt of India, Revenue and Agricultural Dept, No. 2527-19, dated the 25th July 1893, printed in G.O. No. 926 Public, dated 17th November 1893, which contains an account of the conditions under which Dr Hultzsch holds his present appointment as Govt Epigraphist. It will be seen that his salary is Rs 650 and that under existing orders his term of service expired on the 31st ultimo. In paragraph 11 of

letter No. 21/40-1, of 27th
June 1895, the Govt of India
expressed a doubt as to whether
the continuance of the ap-
pointment of Govt Epigraphist
was justifiable and whether
it should not leave more to
private enterprise in this
field and suggested certain
schemes for carrying on
the epigraphical work.

In a later communication
(No. 1863/2 - dt. 2nd July
1898, the Rev and Asst.
Supt. observed that if the
scheme suggested in the
circular of the 27th June 1895
above quoted were accepted
the services of Dr Hultzsch
would not be required after
the 31st March 1898.

In replying to the
above letter from India
his Govt in para 7 of its
letter No. 751 Public, of
7th Sept 1895, strongly

in go No 751 dt 9-9-95

ibid

Letter No 450
23-4-97

Comp^d

DO 603 in D.O.
Desp^d No 394 dt 4-9-97

recommended the former
ment retention of Dr Hultzsch
after the 31st March 1898
on the increased salary
of Rs 550-50-00. ~~Approved~~
in letter No 751 Public, of
18th May 1898.

The Govt of India was
reminded in April 1897
of this Govt's recommendation
conveyed in letter No 751
Public, dt 7th Sept 1895 and
was requested to ascertain
and report on the subject.
On the 14th of July 1897
Mr. Johnston wrote some
officially to the Govt of India
Dr Hultzsch would be
competent to undertake
the conjoint duties of
Epigraphist and Archaeo-
logical Surveyor. ~~Submitting~~
the opinions of Mr. Johnston
and Dr Hultzsch on this
new proposal, the latter

Submitted two conditions under which he would agree to continue in service as Epigraphist, Curator of the Archaeological Survey of India, and that he might be fined at Rs 500, to be reached by annual increments of Rs 50/-; and that the Govt might permit his head quarters to remain at a hill station. He added that unless these concessions were granted he would prefer to retire on the 31st March 1898 and to apply for a Professorship of Oriental Languages in a German University.

Vide para 2 of C No 3648
Flagged in D.O. 394
4-9-97

In his letter No 251
D.O. 29-9-97, Dr
Multzsch asked for permission
to retire on pension at the
expiration of the term of
his appointment on the

in No. 1315 D.O. 5-10-97

C No 932 in D.O.
144 D.O. 15-3-98

31st March 1898 if it should
be decided that he is to vacate
office at that date. This
letter was merely recorded pending
an answer to D.O. No 394
D.O. 4-9-97.

On the 7th ultimo
Dr Multzsch applied for two
years furlough with effect
from the 20th instant
on which the reminder of A
was issued.

~~From the papers it~~
is not quite clear whether
Dr Multzsch wishes to take
furlough even if his
further retention in service
is sanctioned.

W.S.M.
19/11/98

No 290
D.O. 23-4-98

I have seen D. H. & have
asked him to write in D.O.
explaining how he proposes
that his duties should be
performed during his
absence on furlough.

A. S. 10-9-98

Public (1898) Department.

CURRENT No. 1071

Telegram

From

Govt of India
Revenue.

Order

29 April

My dear Dr. Hultzsch.

I write to tell you
that the Secy of State
has sanctioned your
retention on a salary
of Rs 650 per m.

Using both Rs. 100. The
question of your furlough
will now be considered

No. 1071

Dated 28 April 98

Received 29. "

Registered 29. "

Enclosures -

Spare copies. -

SUBJECT.

AK

At 29.4.98

25/4/98

Dr. E. Hultzsch) Secy on 650 to 800.

Stating that the Secy of
State has sanctioned the
retention of the Epigraphist

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
80	394	Pub.	4-9-97
20	1315	"	5-10-97
"	788	Pub.	25-9-97
"	1164	Pub.	2-9-97
"	858	"	16-8-97
"	649-50	"	28-8-97
"	426	"	16-4-97
"	1244	"	27-4-97

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
	673	Pub.	25-6-96
	897	"	30-10-95
	757	"	7-9-95
	666	"	11-6-95
	717	Pub.	12-9-94
	926	Pub.	17-4-93
	784	"	20-8-93
	671	"	22-8-93
	555	"	17-7-93
	327-8	"	18-8-93
	675-6	"	7-8-91
	674	"	7-8-91
	472	"	17-6-91
	378	"	18-8-91
	17	"	9-1-91
	395-6	"	2-6-90
	905	"	12-4-89
	377-8	"	27-4-89
	253-4	"	29-3-89
	581	"	19-4-87
	160	"	1-2-87
	2579	"	3-12-86
	602	"	10-9-86
	683	"	30-3-86
	128	Pub.	5-2-86
	2827-8	Pub.	4-12-85
	1707-8	"	20-7-85
	2386-7	"	14-12-85

INDIAN TELEGRAPHS. Monthly Number. 2226

To (Office)	From (Office)
Words.	Day.
Hour.	Minute.
Official Instructions.	
To (Person)	From (Person)
1071 your telegram fifth april Secretary of State actioned notification of telegram on 650-750 - 800 - 850 - 900 - 950 - 1000 - 1050 - 1100 - 1150 - 1200 - 1250 - 1300 - 1350 - 1400 - 1450 - 1500 - 1550 - 1600 - 1650 - 1700 - 1750 - 1800 - 1850 - 1900 - 1950 - 2000 - 2050 - 2100 - 2150 - 2200 - 2250 - 2300 - 2350 - 2400 - 2450 - 2500 - 2550 - 2600 - 2650 - 2700 - 2750 - 2800 - 2850 - 2900 - 2950 - 3000 - 3050 - 3100 - 3150 - 3200 - 3250 - 3300 - 3350 - 3400 - 3450 - 3500 - 3550 - 3600 - 3650 - 3700 - 3750 - 3800 - 3850 - 3900 - 3950 - 4000 - 4050 - 4100 - 4150 - 4200 - 4250 - 4300 - 4350 - 4400 - 4450 - 4500 - 4550 - 4600 - 4650 - 4700 - 4750 - 4800 - 4850 - 4900 - 4950 - 5000 - 5050 - 5100 - 5150 - 5200 - 5250 - 5300 - 5350 - 5400 - 5450 - 5500 - 5550 - 5600 - 5650 - 5700 - 5750 - 5800 - 5850 - 5900 - 5950 - 6000 - 6050 - 6100 - 6150 - 6200 - 6250 - 6300 - 6350 - 6400 - 6450 - 6500 - 6550 - 6600 - 6650 - 6700 - 6750 - 6800 - 6850 - 6900 - 6950 - 7000 - 7050 - 7100 - 7150 - 7200 - 7250 - 7300 - 7350 - 7400 - 7450 - 7500 - 7550 - 7600 - 7650 - 7700 - 7750 - 7800 - 7850 - 7900 - 7950 - 8000 - 8050 - 8100 - 8150 - 8200 - 8250 - 8300 - 8350 - 8400 - 8450 - 8500 - 8550 - 8600 - 8650 - 8700 - 8750 - 8800 - 8850 - 8900 - 8950 - 9000 - 9050 - 9100 - 9150 - 9200 - 9250 - 9300 - 9350 - 9400 - 9450 - 9500 - 9550 - 9600 - 9650 - 9700 - 9750 - 9800 - 9850 - 9900 - 9950 - 10000	
d. from LN. Sent to LN.	
Hrs. Mts. by Hrs. Mts. by	
OOTACAMUND 29-4-98	
Telegraph Master.	

C. 4.5.98
Ri. 4.5.98

Public Dept:

C.No.1489

S u b j e c t

Government Epigraphist

F O R O R D E R S

In letter No.751 Public, d/7th Sept. 1895, this Govt. discussing the future of the Archaeological Dept. strongly recommended that the services of Dr. Hultzsch as Govt. Epigraphist should be retained permanently from the 31st of March 1898, and that he should be granted from that date ^{an} ~~the~~ increased rate of pay (Rs.650-50-800). No answer having been received ^{his recommendation} to ~~understand~~, Dr. Hultzsch gave notice with reference to para 8 of his agreement in G.O.No.2519 Public, d/3-12-86, that he desired to retire from the 31st of March of this year. He added however that if the Govt. of India decided to retain him permanently, his request should be considered ^{to be} ~~withdrawn~~. In March Dr. Hultzsch requested that he might be granted two years' furlough from or after the 20th of April of the current year. Thereupon in the D.O. flagged ^{A'} the Govt. of India was asked to obtain early orders on the question of his retention. In answer India replied

J.H.

At the Government

See my query, the
reply & note at end
H

*in September last B'
(G.O. no 1315 Pbl. d 5/12/97)
H

replied that the Secy. of State's orders had not yet been received. They also enquired what arrangements this Govt. proposed to make for the conduct of Dr: Fultzsch's duties if he should be granted furlough. It was then mentioned to India in telegram flagged C that Dr: Fultzsch having given the notice required under his agreement was at liberty to go at once if he chose to do so; and early orders were ~~therefore~~ asked for. In reply the Govt. of India now wires that the Secy. of State has sanctioned the retention of the Epigraphist on a salary of Rs.650 rising to Rs.800. This has been communicated demi-officially to Dr: Fultzsch and he has been told that the question of his furlough will now be considered.

2 In his D.O. d/22nd of April 1898 written at the Chief Secy.'s request, Dr: Fultzsch proposes that while on furlough he should continue to control his office by means of weekly communications with his 1st Asst. He ~~proposes~~ ^{suggests} that the latter might be temporarily placed under the control of the Collector of the Nilgiris, apparently for the purposes of establishment and routine only, for Dr: Fultzsch desires to reserve to himself the right to issue direct orders to his staff as far as purely epigraphical work is concerned. Dr: Fultzsch mentions that there is a good deal of transcription, translation and indexing of facsimiles already collected, with which his office

can

3 can occupy themselves during his absence. His first Asst. might continue the collection of inscriptions, taking however only short trips. Dr: Fultzsch further says that during his furlough he would continue to edit for the Govt. of India the quarterly journal Epigraphia Indica

3 Orders are requested whether Dr: Fultzsch's arrangements may be accepted and the leave granted to him. It is hardly likely that there is any one available more competent than the 1st Asst. to carry on the duties of the office and it will be difficult to make any other arrangement for avoiding the break in continuity of the work.

2, 4 Dr: Fultzsch in his official letter d/22nd of April 1898 makes certain proposals with regard to his establishment. He requests that his three Assts. and three peons may be granted the usual hill allowances, and that the three peons may also receive an annual grant for the purchase of warm clothing. Under the terms of his original agreement Dr: Fultzsch was permitted to reside during the hot weather at an hill station. His first hot weather he spent in Bangalore which was subsequently declared to be his headquarters. In G.O.No.673 Pub., d/25th June 1896, he was permitted to move to Ootacamund on condition that no extra expense to

Govt.

ovt. was entailed thereby. The decision
s to the extra expense has since been
aintained and Dr: Hultzsich has in con-
sequence been refused clothing to his
eons.

It is submitted that Ootacamund
eing practically recognized as his
eadquarters, his present request is
easonable and that the change of his
office from a temporary to a permanent
institution supplies a reason for the
modification of the previous order.

6. Dr: Hultzsich requests that
the pay of his Second Asst. may be raised
from Rs.50 to Rs.75-7-110. The present
holder of the office has, he says, put in
7 years' service and unless his pay is
raised, intends to join the office of
the Director of Archaeological Researches
in Mysore. Dr: Hultzsich considers that
his departure will be a heavy loss to
the department. It is submitted that
in an office composed of only three
Assts. promotion is likely to be extreme-
ly slow and that a progressive salary
is necessary. The 1st Asst. is paid
Rs.200-10-250. The other two are entered
in the establishment list as student
Assts. on Rs.50. The term student is
doubtless inappropriate to the man who
has been doing the work for seven years.

7. Dr: Hultzsich also represents
that the ~~accommodation for his office~~
~~is inadequate. He is at present~~
allotted Rs.20 per mensem for office
rent

*But why does not the H.S.D.
provide him with quarters in
the old jail? There's plenty of
room there &
will be when the
H.S.D. returns to*

is insufficient.
rent. He requests that this may be
raised to Rs.40. Originally it seems
that he provided office room in his
own house, ^{and} credited himself with
the amount granted by Govt. This ar-
rangement will be no longer possible
when he is on furlough, and the proposed
rent of Rs.40 is not, it is submitted,
extravagant.

Orders are solicited.

*AK
3/5/98*

*Ans.
First ask H.S.D. whether
D.H. is entitled to the post's.
AK 3.5.98*

*Cd. Please see the A.G.'s letter at
file 2nd in G.O. no 384 in this
Confidential cover.*

*AK
3/5/98*

*From the A.G.'s reply it would
seem we must wait for
the Sec. of S's ~~for~~ despatch so
as to see the conditions
under wh. extension of service
is granted.*

*Dr. H. may be informed
accordingly.*

AK 3.5.98

*AK
4/5/98*

Current no. 1429 (Pub. J)

NOTE FOR THE Hon^{ble} Sir Henry Bl^{ke} K^t

Submitted with reference to Hon^{ble}'s inquiry of Xth instant that the P. W. D. have been

Pub. Endowment no. 2039 fl^y Xth 2.10.07

fl^y @⁵

Pub. E. O. no. 1249 @⁵ 21.9.07

informed of the office accommodation required in the old jail at Botocannum by the Govt. Engineer, and that the increase in office rent allowance now asked for is required until he moves into the old jail.

am
S. S. S. S.

Public

6 May 1898.

do.

Hyden S. Hultzscho.

Wk. ref. to my do.

of the 25th April / inst.
has been the reason of
preparing your funeral can
be settled until the
Secretary of State's despatch
sanctioning your personal
relation is received.

Dr. E. Hultzscho) dec'd
6/5/98

AK
5/5/98

MA
5.5.98


Notes

Please see note below. The
P.O.D. will be asked, before the
file is again submitted for
order, whether the Episcopate
is likely to get the
accommodation for which he has
asked.

AK.

Mr. 1.5.98

Reply from P.O.D.
not sent yet
9/7/98.
Replied. Please see
C.O.P. 24 30/98. — B.



Public (189 D)

Department.

D. O No. 420

The papers are put up with
refd the C.S's orders
7. 11. 6. 1898. India has
received D. Hultzsch's
retention (fly Q.) He
was informed that the matter
of his furlough could not be settled
all the S. A's despatch re his
retention was received (fly R.).

From

D. E. Hultzsch

No.

Dated 7th June 1898

Received //

Registered //

Enclosures

Spare copies

CHIEF
SECRETARIAT,

D. O.

No. 320

Dated 16. 6. 1898.

SUBJECT.

Requesting to appoint
and leave to counsel person
for his furlough.

at 7 PM

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
DO.	245	Pub. ~~Int. and P.O.~~	6.5.98

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
108	1	1. 10/10/1911	10/10/1911
109	2	2. 10/10/1911	10/10/1911
110	3	3. 10/10/1911	10/10/1911
111	4	4. 10/10/1911	10/10/1911
112	5	5. 10/10/1911	10/10/1911
113	6	6. 10/10/1911	10/10/1911
114	7	7. 10/10/1911	10/10/1911
115	8	8. 10/10/1911	10/10/1911
116	9	9. 10/10/1911	10/10/1911
117	10	10. 10/10/1911	10/10/1911
118	11	11. 10/10/1911	10/10/1911
119	12	12. 10/10/1911	10/10/1911
120	13	13. 10/10/1911	10/10/1911
121	14	14. 10/10/1911	10/10/1911
122	15	15. 10/10/1911	10/10/1911
123	16	16. 10/10/1911	10/10/1911
124	17	17. 10/10/1911	10/10/1911
125	18	18. 10/10/1911	10/10/1911
126	19	19. 10/10/1911	10/10/1911
127	20	20. 10/10/1911	10/10/1911
128	21	21. 10/10/1911	10/10/1911
129	22	22. 10/10/1911	10/10/1911
130	23	23. 10/10/1911	10/10/1911
131	24	24. 10/10/1911	10/10/1911
132	25	25. 10/10/1911	10/10/1911
133	26	26. 10/10/1911	10/10/1911
134	27	27. 10/10/1911	10/10/1911
135	28	28. 10/10/1911	10/10/1911
136	29	29. 10/10/1911	10/10/1911
137	30	30. 10/10/1911	10/10/1911
138	31	31. 10/10/1911	10/10/1911
139	32	32. 10/10/1911	10/10/1911
140	33	33. 10/10/1911	10/10/1911
141	34	34. 10/10/1911	10/10/1911
142	35	35. 10/10/1911	10/10/1911
143	36	36. 10/10/1911	10/10/1911
144	37	37. 10/10/1911	10/10/1911
145	38	38. 10/10/1911	10/10/1911
146	39	39. 10/10/1911	10/10/1911
147	40	40. 10/10/1911	10/10/1911
148	41	41. 10/10/1911	10/10/1911
149	42	42. 10/10/1911	10/10/1911
150	43	43. 10/10/1911	10/10/1911
151	44	44. 10/10/1911	10/10/1911
152	45	45. 10/10/1911	10/10/1911
153	46	46. 10/10/1911	10/10/1911
154	47	47. 10/10/1911	10/10/1911
155	48	48. 10/10/1911	10/10/1911
156	49	49. 10/10/1911	10/10/1911
157	50	50. 10/10/1911	10/10/1911
158	51	51. 10/10/1911	10/10/1911
159	52	52. 10/10/1911	10/10/1911
160	53	53. 10/10/1911	10/10/1911
161	54	54. 10/10/1911	10/10/1911
162	55	55. 10/10/1911	10/10/1911
163	56	56. 10/10/1911	10/10/1911
164	57	57. 10/10/1911	10/10/1911
165	58	58. 10/10/1911	10/10/1911
166	59	59. 10/10/1911	10/10/1911
167	60	60. 10/10/1911	10/10/1911
168	61	61. 10/10/1911	10/10/1911
169	62	62. 10/10/1911	10/10/1911
170	63	63. 10/10/1911	10/10/1911
171	64	64. 10/10/1911	10/10/1911
172	65	65. 10/10/1911	10/10/1911
173	66	66. 10/10/1911	10/10/1911
174	67	67. 10/10/1911	10/10/1911
175	68	68. 10/10/1911	10/

I am very anxious
to consult you personally
regarding my furlough
and called today at Stone-
house but missed you.

Will you be good enough
to appoint a date and
place for the conference
about the new
curriculum
Mr. Hutchings
11/1/98.

CHIEF
SECRETARIAT

No.

Date, ... 189...

Date, ... 189..

Running, run of
Kiss app please
11/15/2010

P. K.

16 June 1898

W. J. S.

You do. of June
7th has been handed to
me by W. Arundel.
The dispatch from the
Secy of State regarding your
retention not having yet
been received I fear that
I can give you little
information about your
prospects. If however you
will desire it I shall be
happy to see you here
on ~~Monday~~ ^{Wednesday} next at 1
pm.

James L. Tucker

AK
14/6/85

11/15/48

D. Schultz

Prof. G. G. G. G. G.

164/90

Pills

✓
2
Despatch
Telegram to
Revenue. India.


320. Your telegram 577
dated 1st April 1891
and 10th April 1891.
Please communicate terms
of retention of Maltgosh
archived by sections
of Malt. Information
required to decide
whether further admittance.
Proposals for conduct of
work will be communicated
to G. N. of India before
further is printed

Mr
18/6/90
18/6/90

Public

Public (1898) Department.

CURRENT No. 220



E

Order

From
The Government Epigraphist

No. 220.

Dated 4 July 1898

Received 4.

Registered 4.

Enclosures -

Spare copies -

Wii yam to India

17/18

SUBJECT.

Requesting early orders
on his application
for furlough

Ret
620

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Correspondence No. 2134
 Ostacamund,
 No. 220.
 4th July 1898.

From
 Dr. E. Hultzsch,
 Government Epigraphist.

To
 The Chief Secretary to Government.

Sir,
 With reference to my letter No. 81,
 Dated 7th March 1898, I have the
 honor to submit that my heavy
 luggage is lying in Bombay
 since April and that I have
 with some difficulty prevailed
 on the Agent, Austrian Lloyd,
 Bombay, to transfer my and
 my family's passage, for which
 I had paid over Rs 2000 in
 April, from the 1st May to
 1st August. Under these
 circumstances

B²
 C.No. 932/1907

Papers to be read in Proceedings:—

Again G.O., No.	, dated	189 .
Again G.O., No.	, dated	189 .
Current No.	2134 of 1898, dated	4.7.98, from Govt. Epigraphist
" "	" 189 , "	" "
" "	" 189 , "	" "

C.S.

~~RECEIVED~~

The following telegram will be forwarded to the Govt. of India:—

Telegram referred.

Reserve Link

363x my telegram 320 lightest

others. early order requested

Hultzsch's furlough.

Hultzsch urgently requires furlough. Proposes to continue

editing work while on leave

supervised by weekly letters

office. No acting incumbent required. Please wire if objection to grant of furlough.

Desirous, with reference to

Your letter No. 220 d. 4-7-98

I am directed to inform you that the Govt. of India

has again been addressed by wire regarding your furlough.

Yours

To Mr. Hultzsch. Mr. 6/1/98 Yours

Circumstances I request that the Government might be pleased to pass early orders on my application for furlough, so as to enable me to start from Ootacamund on or after

the 20th instant.

Yours most obedient servant,

Epigraphist.

Public (189 &) Department.

CURRENT No. 1112

Telegram

From

The Govt of India

Order

No. 1834

Dated 8 July 78

Received 9. -

Registered 9. -

Enclosures -

Spare copies -

SUBJECT.

*Regarding Dr. Kutzbeck's
appointment -*

*Ref
H.S. 5111*

Public (1898) Department.

CURRENT No. *1835*

Telegram

From

The Govt of India

Order

No. *1836*

Dated *8 July 98*

Received *9.*

Registered *9.*

Enclosures -

Spare copies -

SUBJECT.

*Requesting to be informed
if the Asst. Secy. has reported
on Mr. Maltby's claim to
have int. reference to leave
conditions of his original contract
of service & other circumstances
of the case.*

*Per
11-5-98*

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Code Class.

108

INDIAN

TELEGRAPHS

Monthly Number.

544

To (Office)

Ootacamund

From

Simla

Words.

Day.

Hour.

Minute.

Official Instructions.

52/11

8

10

27

12/10 -

To (Person)

Madras Revenue

From (Person)

Revenue

Page IX

32 Word Form.

1835 Your telegram number 363 of
fifth sep my telegram number 1834 of 6
th has accounted General reported on
Mullis's claim to leave with reference
to the conditions of his original
of service and other circumstances of
no copy of contract here

Recd. from

LN.

Sent to

LN.

At

By

Mts. by

OOTACAMUND 9-7-98

Telegraph Master

C.P. No. 501 F. 17 9 97-2609000.

x C.P. 2172/98

+ Please see IV

+ Please see 90 2519 11

Pulson 1048 Mrs
16898

impl dummy slip

Sent to vol-

23/6/02

~~23/6/02~~
23/6/02

C. No. 1

SUBJECT

~~HIS EXCELLENCY THE GOVERNOR~~

~~THE HONBLE CHAIRMAN, DEPT. OF JUSTICE~~

Mr. Marshall's Case.

FOR ORDERS

2. A first comment (2072)
his views that v. Bullock
the of Egypt has been reduced
by G.S. of S. in anticipation
of a new ~~document~~ scheme,
that as ~~regulation~~ about
perhaps one will.

2. A second comment (2072)
his ~~views~~ ~~on~~ ~~the~~ ~~issue~~
(Hypoth. ix) ~~is~~ ~~not~~ ~~in~~ ~~agreement~~ ~~with~~ ~~the~~ ~~A.G.~~
~~has~~ ~~been~~ ~~discussed~~ ~~and~~ ~~the~~ ~~document~~
claims to have with reference
to ~~the~~ ~~original~~
entirety. P. T. O. agree.
ment (Hypoth. ix) deals with his
absence from duty & from the
notes at (iv). The A.G.'s opinion
on the matter is apparently in a
Confidential cover. Orders are
requested. P. T. O.

9-7 ab

nothing is said in
 Dr. Hultzsch's original
 agreement about leave
 but from the letter from
 Dr. H. N. of Dec 1st 1911
 in C.O. para 5
 it will be seen that if
 Dr. Hultzsch's leave of
 office is extended after
 March 31, 1912, he has
 not been done, but
 becomes a permanent
 servant of Gov.
 Submitted with the
 following telegram may
 be dispatched
 Paris 17. Germany 1912
 You telegram 1834
 1836. Please refer
 to your letter 1837
 July 25. 1895 Paris.
 Hultzsch becomes
 March 1895 permanent
 Gov. servant. Accountant
 should report that
 on his understanding
 leave is now permissible
 11.7.98.

1912 17.7.12
 9/7/12

Please refer to
 a letter from
 Dr. H. N. of
 Dec 1st 1911

May 2 (in 1) C

Original agreement for
 five years only, with
 no reference to further
 Letti extensions by
 Executive order only, no
 further agreement
 entered into.

At
 9/7/12 19/7/12

The following telegram may
 be dispatched
 Paris 17. Germany 1912
 You telegram 1834
 1836. Please refer
 to your letter 1837
 July 25. 1895 Paris.
 Hultzsch becomes
 March 1895 permanent
 Gov. servant. Accountant
 should report that
 on his understanding
 leave is now permissible
 11.7.98.

shd c. 23/7

1

Public DEPARTMENT.

C. No 932, 1195, 1244, 1429,
1489, 12173, 2173, 2134, 173

SUBJECT.

Dr. Hultzsch's leave.

H. E. the Governor(Telegram to India) FOR ORDERS.

Dr. Hultzsch wrote in September
last saying that if he was
~~was~~ to be retained in his
appointment after March
31st 1915 (the date then
fixed for its expiration)
he would like to take
furlough. Mr. St. G. told him
that if he was retained he
would be eligible for two
years furlough (vide letter of
May 2nd in confidential
cover)

B²

On March 7th Dr. Hultzsch
reported that he had
taken a passage by the
steamer leaving Bombay
on May 1st. Thereupon
India was asked to

for early order regarding his
retention - On March 30th
India was asked by telegram
for its decision. In reply
(file Q) India said that
it would like to know
what arrangements ^{are} ~~were~~
proposed if Mr. Furler is
frankly. The reply (file
Q³) pointed out that
as the period of his engagement
has expired Dr. Hultzsch
could not be ^{kept here} ~~retained~~
unless definite orders for
his retention were issued.
India was told that the
leave could not be granted
until definite arrangements
were made for conducting
the duties of this office.

Dr. Hultzsch on 22-4-98
submitted his proposals.
The question of his future was
then held over pending
the receipt of the Secy of
State's despatch regarding
his retention, the more
information which India
had telegraphed on 25/4

3

that the retention was
suggested as being
conducive to the
public service as to
the grant of funds.

R
On June 18th India
was asked by wire to
communicate the terms
of the Secy of State's despatch.
On July 5th a further telegram
was despatched stating
the arrangements which
were proposed to make
during Dr. Hultzsch's absence,
and India was asked to wire
whether it had any objection
to the proposed plan.
India's answer on July 5th
the terms of Dr. Hultzsch's
retention, and asked
whether the Secy. had
expressed a view on Dr. Hultzsch's
eligibility for further
service. The Secy. replied that
Dr. Hultzsch had been
reappointed by the Secy. to
be eligible for service
on 15/7/98. A telegram

VII

IV

III
II
I

file V

4

be received from India
for a copy of
the report. The
be forwarded on the
same day, and on 17/25
India was forwarded
by air.

GO 914
15-7-25

Haltzsch has
been present for
Aug. 17. It is doubtful
if he thinks he can
catch his steamer. It
is submitted however
his departure for
back he has so long
been asking should
be granted.

The following telegram
is submitted for
approval.

To Mr. Simli

urgent

415x Correspondence during
my telegram was
transmitted instant.
Haltzsch requires leave
urgently. If order was
issued immediately

415x
247.98

5

doubtful whether he can
catch steamer of August
first by which passage
taken. Under Gov. of
India objects that Gov
purpose to grant
furlough.

415x
247.98

Mr.
23/7/25

24/7/25

The following telegram
is submitted for
approval.

The following telegram
is submitted for
approval.

Public (1898) Department.

CURRENT No.

Telegram

From

*The Govt of India
Revenue Dept*

No. 1966

Dated 25 July 98

Received 25 . . .

Registered 26 . . .

Enclosures -

Spare copies .

Order

SUBJECT.

*Requesting to obtain the Act
Govt's report on Mr. Hutzsch's
application for leave telegraph
it to the Govt of India stating
that if urgently required Mr. Hutzsch
may be granted extraordinary leave
without allowances commutable
to ordinary furlough if found
admissible.*

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

INDIAN TELEGRAPHS. Monthly Number. 128

OOTACAMUND From Simla

3/12 25 16 7 Official Instructions. 20-11-16

of Madras.

Revenue.

From

(Person)

Revenue

1966 Your telegram number 415 dated 24 instant the Govt Madras do not appear to have obtained the prescribed report of the accountant general under 910 Civil Service Regulations in the absence of which Govt. India are unable to see that furlough is admissible please obtain that report and telegraph it at until that is done leave can apparently only be granted without allowances

Recd. from

LN

Sent to

LN

At

Hrs.

Mts. by

At

Hrs.

Mts. by

Telegraph Master.

OOTACAMUND 25-7-98

Code
Class.INDIAN  TELEGRAPHS. Monthly
Number.To
(Office)From
(Office)

Words

Day.

Hour.

Minute.

Official
Instructions.To
(Person)From
(Person)

(21-

the accountant General's letter
to doctor Hultsch of
which you sent a
copy is apparently an
old one and is
not a report upon
an application for leave
if leave is very
urgently required doctor Hultsch
may be granted extraordinary
leave without allowances com-
parable to ordinary furlough if
such furlough is found
to be admissible.

64 Word Form.

Recd. from

LN

Sent to

LN

At

Hrs.

Mts. by

OOTACAMUND 25-7-98

Telegraph Master.

[G.]
[25 A]

500-8-12-97.

C. 26/79
e 21/79

Public DEPARTMENT.

C. No. 2341

AM v. C. C.

SUBJECT.

Dr. Hultzsch's leave

FOR ORDERS.

Please see the note at
page VII which contains a
statement of the various
steps taken in connection
with Dr. Hultzsch's leave. The
Memorandum here submitted for
approval was despatched to
the Gov. of India, and the
present answer is this reply.

India's action has effectively
prevented Dr. Hultzsch's catching
the steamer of August 1st. It
is understood that he
will now take a passage for
September 1st.

It is respectfully submitted
that a strong protest should
be entered by this Gov.
against the interference of

The Gov^t of India is thus
 better. It will be seen from
 the note above, the question
 on which the correspondence
 with India began was, whether
 or not Dr. Halligsch was to
 be retained after March 31st.
 The fact that he replied
 fully of his retention was
 sanctioned was mentioned
 only incidentally, not
 with any idea of leaving the
 question of granting the
 funds to the Gov^t of India.

To India's telegram at
flag & no objection could
be raised, as it was natural
that it should wish to
find out whether D. H. H. H. H.
abroad would effect the
scheme for dealing with
Archaeological subjects which
was about to be submitted
to the Secretary of State. The
answer of the Secretary of State
on 3/10/1900 was that

In his Telegram at
 Heg IX it was made
 quite clear that this

* (asking what arrangements
were proposed in Dr.
Hulstede's absence)

[illegible]

And that Mr. A.G. had
said that he would be
eligible for leave.

The Indian's present telegraph many objections may be raised. In this special place Dr. Hallysick is under the Provincial Govt. Much has been said in India to himself not justified in anything to himself. His right of joining him leave. The report that the report of the H. G. may now be obtained & submitted to India should therefore, it is submitted, not be amplified with. If there is any uncertainty as to the terms of the sanction given by the Secy of State to Dr. Hallysick's retention, as far as that sanction effects the question of funds, it is for India to obtain further information from the Secy of State. This it is submitted should be

probably has already stated
the matter. I have
to go to D. Halligan's office
for some time before I can
write this report. I have
not yet received the
report which became known
has delayed the matter
as to the receipt of the
letter time can be given
later. I have reported
that D. Halligan could
not be reached if by his
law or extended to
then we are still waiting to
transmit the
information and plan to
do so. These conditions have
been fulfilled. There
is therefore no reason
why we cannot report
the matter. The
report will have to be
given at a point, where the
letter probably only refers to
the same. I have
just reported in the
present telegram that

the Board. But to find him
 leave. This fact at hand pointed
 him down but in the circumstances
 it did not know "what" he
 was. The intention of course
 what. But the Board know
 that he is the intended and
 this is the intention of the
 Board. It is to
 to permit the long in the
 circumstances to be known to
 the Board. The Board is
 arrangements which are being
 have been made for his work
 We know he is entitled to
 leave. And I think the Board
 must have the leave and tell
 India we have done so.

I think there is strong feeling
 the Board. The Board is
 enough to be done.

26/7/98.

G.O. No 931 d. 20.7.98.

One is inclined to suspect of this,
 but perhaps better get a report from
 the A.G. first. There are unexpected
 facts for him to consider. He was
 previously reporting on a hypothetical
 anomaly.

BS
 26/7/98

Better get the report from
 the A.G. before anything else
 is done.

MS 26.7.98

J

Telegram to A.G.

(417) Please refer G.O.

921 Public dated
 July 20th; And you
 letter 2005, August
 twenty for the 1897. Govt.
 of India in which []
 h.e. telegram. Please report
 whether it is eligible
 for funds

cur 2341

MS
 26.7.98

do. 26.7.98

Dear D. H. H. H.

The Govt. of India has
 telegraphed asking
 certain facts regarding
 regarding your leave,
 & it is therefore impossible
 for you to get away
 in time to catch the
 steamer on August 1st.
 I will write to you again
 as soon as the question is

decided. I understand
that you intend now to
leave away by the steamer
of Sept 1st

Dr
26-7-95

Dr. E. Hultze

G.
9
11-6-97.

Pulebe (1898) Department.

D. O. No.

From

Dr. E. Hultze

Loops.

No.

Dated 27th July 1898

Received _____

Registered _____

Enclosures

Spare copies

SUBJECT.

Re his furlough leave

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Dear Sir,

Permit me to thank you for your kind D.C. note, yesterday. The Austrian Lloyd's Company, with which we have taken our passage, runs a steamer from Bombay to Trieste on the 12th and 12th of every month. Would you find it possible to urge the Govt of India to reply before the 5th August? In this way we might sail from Bombay on the 12th August. The worry and uncertainty due to the Govt of India's refusal begins to tell on me, and I have

Obtained,
27th July 1898.
GOVERNMENT OF INDIA

Public (1898) Department.

CURRENT No.

Telegram

Mr. Carr

From

The Accountant General
Madras

Orders

Submitted for orders
(with ref^{ce} to the note
for order just before
flag XVII.) as to
whether his leave should
be granted.

D. Huetzsch has
indicated that he
would like to get away
by the 5th August. (under his
do. of flag Q⁶)

M.
28/7/98

No.

Dated 27 July 98

Received } 28 ..
Registered

Enclosures -

Spare copies -

SUBJECT.


Stating that D. Huetzsch
is eligible for 2 years furlough
under Article 340(b) of the
Civil Service Regulations.

I would grant the furlough & let
the man go at once - & then
report to India

M.
28/7/98

yes - with a politely worded protest
against their action.

M 28.7.98



C. B. D.

No.

FROM.

THE ACCOUNTANT-GENERAL,
MADRAS,

TO

THE Chief Secretary to Government,
Coatacamund.

SIR,

I have the honor to confirm the following telegram sent to you ^{yesterday:-} ~~this day:-~~
yours 417 Mr. Hultsch eligible 2 years Furlough
Article 340 (b) Civil Service Regulations.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient Servant,

FORT ST. GEORGE,
ACCT. GENL.'S OFFICE,
28-7-1898.

Handwritten signature
Accountant-General

Corres. 2,900-18-7-95.

Handwritten: 28/7

BACK PAPERS.

8

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Code
Class.

Journal No 2360

INDIAN

TELEGRAPHS.

Monthly
Number.

1875

To
(Office)

Word

Day

Hour

Minute

Official
Instructions.

To
(Person)

From
(Person)

32 Word Form.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS
8 JUL 1908
SECRET

Recd. from

LX.

Sent to

LX.

At

By

Mtd. by

OOTACAMUND 27-7-98

O.P. No 779 T-19 897-18 0000

Telegraph Master.

CS.

Public DEPARTMENT.

LEAVE.

D. S. Heltzsch

Government Epigraphist

furlough 2 years and months

from or after the 5th August 1898,

under article 340 (6) of the Civil Service

Regulations.

Mr
29/7/20

Mr
29/7/20

Segette.

Segette 2/8/98

P. T. O

Public
20th July 1898.

Dear Dr. Hultsch.

I write to tell you
that you have been
granted furlough for
two years from or after
August 5th.

Yours sincerely

Mr.
25/7/98

Aug. 7. 98.

Dr. E. Hultsch

Ref. to C.S.

The letter to India will
be drafted as soon as
the doc. is despatched.

Mr.
25/7/98

Letter to India regarding
Dr. Hultzsch's leave.

H. E. The Secy:

244
4.8.98

Public Department.

18

C. Nos. 932, 1195, 1244, 1489,
2134, 2172, 2173, 2341 & 2360

ORDER
P.S. mis

1045
24.8.98

The leave applied for will be
granted

2. The following letter will
be addressed to the Govt. of India.

/from orders/

24/8/98

LETTER
P.A.

1046, 2161, 2171

To

The Secy. to the Govt. of India,

Dept. of Rev. & Agri:

Sir,

I am directed to refer to the
correspondence ending with your tele-
gram No. 1966, d/25th July 1898, re-
garding the grant of furlough to Dr:
Hultzsch, Govt. Epigraphist in this
Presy. In the telegram quoted the
Govt. of India observes that, from
the information at its disposal, it
is unable to see that Dr: Hultzsch is
eligible for furlough, and asks for a
further report on the subject.

2. The question of granting
furlough to Dr: Hultzsch has been
long pending. So far back as August

1897, he intimated his intention of applying for furlough leave, if his retention in the service should be decided upon. In March 1898 he submitted his formal application for furlough for two years, and this fact was mentioned to the Govt. of India in telegram No. 143, d/30th March 1898, in which the Govt. was asked for instructions as to Dr: Hultzsich's retention in the service after March 31st, when the period of his engagement expired. On April 28th this Govt. was informed that the Secy. of State had sanctioned Dr: Hultzsich's retention in the service. The telegram of the Govt. of India which conveyed this information (No. 1071, d/28th April 1898) was not, however, sufficiently precise to enable this Govt. to decide whether furlough should at once be granted. H.E. the Governor in Council therefore determined to await the receipt of the Secy. of State's despatch, in order to ascertain whether the conditions were fulfilled which were referred to by the A.G. in his letter No. 2585, d/24th August 1897, as those under which Dr: Hultzsich could obtain leave. No further communication on the subject having been received up to July 5th, this Govt. telegraphed on that date to the Govt. of India explaining the method in which it was proposed to arrange for the conduct of Dr: Hultzsich's duties during his absence

absence, and enquiring of India had any objection to his being granted furlough. Your reply (telegram No. 1834, d/8th July) / the stipulation regarding furlough had been made in sanctioning Dr: Hultzsich's retention. In telegram 1836 of the same date the Govt. of India enquired whether the A.G. had reported on Dr: Hultzsich's claim to leave. This Govt. replied that he had done so, and subsequently, at the request of the Govt. of India, forwarded a copy of the A. letter of August 24th¹⁸⁹⁷ already referred to. Finally, the Govt. of India the telegram now under reply, expressed doubts as to Dr: Hultzsich's eligibility for furlough and asked for further report.

3. A perusal of the papers connected with the subject shows that the correspondence which, at the outset, confined to the question of Dr: Hultzsich's retention, has now developed into a discussion of the question of grant of leave to that officer. This is a matter which, I am to observe, lies in the province of the Local Government. The question of furlough was incidentally introduced into the correspondence by this Govt. not with a view to obtain the sanction of the Govt. of India to the grant of leave, but merely to make matters clear. Subsequently when the Govt. of India expressed a wish to be informed of the arrangements made for carrying

absence, H.E. the Governor
ncil took it for granted that
for information ~~and refer-~~
~~ally to the Govt. of India's general~~
for the establishment of an

aeological Dept; and did not indi-
any desire on the part of the Govt.
ndia to intervene as far as the
it of leave by this Govt. was concern-
The subsequent requests for instruc-
is made by this Govt. related only
the views of the Govt. of India as
the suitability of the arrangements
in it was proposed to make. The Govt.
na appears however to have inter-
ed them differently, for in the
st telegrams it has called for in-
tion, and issued instructions on
estion whether or not the leave
be granted. H.E. the Governor
ncil trusts that the position now
up by the Govt. of India is due
me misunderstanding of the matter,
oes not point to any desire on the
f the Supreme Govt. to vest in
the power which has always
with the Local Govt. to grant
to public servants on the Pro-
vincial establishment.

4. Turning to the telegram now
under reply I am to say that the ques-
tion of Dr: Hultzsche's eligibility
for leave had been fully considered
by this Govt. and H.E. in Council had
satisfied himself that the view expressed
by the A.G. in his letter of August

du4th

absence

*has referred only with a view to the
possible bearing of
this question on the Govt
of India's general scheme*

24th 1897 was correct. The conditions
stated in that letter have now been
fulfilled, and no change having occur-
red in other respects, the Govt. found
no reason to doubt that the leave
might be granted. The Govt. of India
observes that the A.G.'s letter in ques-
tion is an old one and that it is not
a report on an application for leave, as
prescribed in Article 910, C.S.R. The
letter is old merely because the ques-
tion of the grant of leave has been
long pending. It is not however out of
date.

With regard to the second objec-
tion, I am to observe that article 910
C.S.R. only lays down that a report
should be obtained on an officer's
title to leave. It is a common prac-
tice in this Presy. for an officer
applying for leave to submit, with his
application, a certificate from the
A.G. to the effect that he is eligible
for it. This course has been found to
be convenient and no objection has
ever been raised to it. The procedure
in Dr: Hultzsche's case was exactly
similar, and the letter of the A.G.
appears to H.E. the Governor in Coun-
cil to have fulfilled all the re-
quirements of the C.S.R. In view how-
ever of the doubts expressed by the
Govt. of India, a further report has
been called for, and the A.G. now
states definitely that Dr: Hultzsche is
eligible for two years furlough, under
article

article 340 (b) C.S.R. The leave being
urgently required, and the Govt. of
India having apparently no objection
to the arrangements made for conducting
the work of the office, H.E. the Governor
in Council has considered it undesirable
to postpone further the decision of
this matter. He has accordingly granted
ed Dr: [redacted] [redacted] for two years
and permitted him to avail himself of
it at once, and I am now
directed to report the fact
for the information of the
Govt. of India.

AK (from orders)

2/8/85

3/1/87

copy sent to Press.

urned for signature.

atched in print.

AGAIN G.O. No.
137

DATED

REENT No.

RES TO C. No.

OF GOVERNMENT

OF GOVERNMENT.

END WITH DITTO.

NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
IN ORIGINAL.

3.-

A = Print in full.
B = Not to be printed.
P = Print abstract only.
Q = Print heading only.

NUMBER OF COPIES
REQUIRED. { Order complete.
Letter only. 55
Order complete with Letter.