

Public (1898) Department.

ORDINARY MS.

G.O., No. 19

DATED 5-1-98

[Despatch Abstract.]

Granting a Commission to the
Honorary Captain J.H. Bullivant
of the Malabar Volunteer Rifles;
& Stating that the Honorary
Quarter Master W.V. Baker is
not entitled to a Commission

Public (1897) Department.

BACK PAPERS.

BACK PAPERS.						
G.O	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O	No.	Department.

FURTHER PAPERS.

FURTHER PAPERS.					Order	
G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.
C.O. 1307/99 (A.H.S.)						

CURRENT No. 3267

R Nothing done with this
tell me as Refs to it
up the papers on this
matter. Mr. Putnam
wrote & in dia was
addresses were
to come. C. C. C. C.
12/9/97

The A. A. G.

No. $\frac{A}{0-13444}$

Dated 21 August }
Received 3/ " } 97
Registered 3/ " }

Enclosures -
Spare copies -

SUBJECT.

Forwarding letter from the
Commandant Malabar Volunteer
Regt submitting names of certain
Officers who have not received their
Commissions

(17) Pub. up.

(2) ~~The~~ the addresses
are for the
40 no 2774 with
in 938-36 938 at A

Where are the further
papers to them? ^(?) Are they
to have the 40 ^{over}
18 ^{over} 18 ^{over} 18 ^{over}

BACK PAPERS.			
G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.			
G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

No. 649

Adjutant's Office, M. V. R.
Calicut, 18 August 1894.

Heading

From

Sir: Col. A. H. Macrae.

CHIEF SECRETARY
COMMANDANT
MALABAR VOLUNTEER RIFLES.
GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

To

The

Asst Adjutant General
Southern District
Wellington

ENCLOSURES

Sir,

I have the honor, with reference to this office communication No 366. dated 19 July 1895, giving the names of officers that have not received their commissions, ~~I have~~ to point out that the undermentioned Officers have not received their commissions as yet.

Ranks & Names

Appointments

Honry Capt & Paym J. M. Bullivant 22nd May 1894
do Quarter Mr W. F. Baker 20th Feb 1894

I have the honor to be

Sir

Your obedient servant
A. H. Macrae
Lieut Colonel
Commandant Malabar Rifles

588

21 August 97

of
The D. & C. Madras Command

Forwarded with reference
to this office minute no.
580 dated 29th July 1895.

Edward Leach

Brigadier General
Commanding Madras District

Dotacamund,
30th August 1897.

To
The Chief Secretary to the Govt of Madras
Public Department.

Forwarded with reference to this
office memo. no. 4543 dated 23rd August 1895.

Chinnison

Br. Genl.,

S. A. G.

PBC. No. 3267.

NOTE FOR THE

S. A.

See the accompanying
note from the ^{late}
R to whom I ^{had} written
saying ~~the~~ ^{my} mission to
visit Cunningham to
see Bullivant and
he will be back
on Sunday next &
will explain the
circumstances fully
- May I keep back
Cunningham till his ar-
rival. The papers here

20. Sept 1917

Co

44 Ar
11/2/17

dear Sir

Your letter of the 24th. Has the matter
reached me at Card Lake this week into proper
ing. I returned to Parkburg this week -
after noon.

Ad
1910/197

With reference to the report
concerning the Volunteer Officers' Committee
to the end of 1915 the Lieutenant Colonel
had been asked to furnish
a list of Volunteer Officers to whom
should be issued. In answer
to this, received from the Dr. Ad.
the list of names to those Officers who
had been the necessary arrangements.
Only to attend I remember the Lieutenant
asked to call upon them to check
the names with proper to present
as required by Regs. Please see
copy of the Lieutenant on this point.

With regard to the names
 mentioned in your letter, please see
 the names were inserted in the
 furnished by the Comd. Malabar
 District Report & also whether these
 names have been proposed to President
 of the Council. I do not think any confusion
 made at the time. Mr. Rivett himself
 checked the names of the names after
 the names have been proposed.

If the names of the names
 have appeared to me with inserted
 the list furnished by the Comd. he
 responsible for the confusion.

I do not remember having
 heard of subsequent changes in the
 list. I hope to find any
 changes made by subsequent meeting
 of the Council for the names in the list
 of names. I shall be able to
 explain more fully if I see the
 names in the list. Please

Have the matter
 of Red into proper

ad
 1910/97

NOTE FOR THE UNDER SECRETARY.

Co
1/1/1 y^m AK
1/2/17

Have the matter
looked into properly
please -

ad
1/10/97

S. A.

Should this file be
sent to H. E. please? It
marked "b.s." in pencil.

1909
10/11/7

no.

Accom^d. for me

Wallerant will be
prepared for H.E.'s
signature

The Ref^{er} will be the D. H.
(D. H. put up)
ref. the papers may
be H. E. CW 10/11/7

Please see B.S's query
the top paper and
up paper.

198
13/10/7

me can be heard.
The Commission put up, which
was obtained from the Madras
the Guards office which will
to be returned before the
it will be seen that
is entered under H.E.
Murray's signature.

198
13/12/7

presented to the C.S.
with ref to his
meeting d/11/12/97
h.

198
13
211

1/97

Seened As to

2. To request Mr. Baker
Chair

Lucien

entitled to a Commission. From the papers and the G.O. Vols consulted, it appears that except in one instance the G.O. & Co. do not abstain from notifying the relative rank where put down in the appointment of Quarter Masters. The exception is in the case of Mr. Joyce who was notified as Temporary Lieutenant Quarter Master in May 1893, but this probably was due to ~~some~~ ^{an} oversight in notifying the resignation of his appointment in August 1893, the G.O. entry on the roll in the expression "Hon. of Lieut. and" was poorly deleted. In the nominal roll recorded in G.O. N. 938-9 Pub. of 14-11-95 in which the names of Messrs Bullivant

N. 267 of 17-1-93

N. 5199 of 9-8-9

G

NOTE FOR THE

vanburen Baker appears the name of Mr. A. J. Coors, Honorary Quarter Master of the L. I. by Volunteer Rifle Corps is also included in the last entry in the list. It is presumed that this officer too was not given Coors. from

3. It seems to Mr. Bullivant to be the Hon. Temporary Captain. Under the regulations, a Volunteer having no rank appointed to Paymaster rank ~~was~~ ^{has} ~~the~~ ^{the} rank of Temporary Paymaster. The list contains an exception for the grant to such a Paymaster of the Honorary rank of Captain on appointment, and of the Honorary

Honorary rank of Major
 after 15 years' service
 as a Commissioned officer
 with not less than 10
 years as a Paymaster.
 This Section implies the
~~Honorary~~ Paymaster's position
 in ~~the~~ Commissioned ser-
 vice, a view which is
 corroborated by India
 letter No. 3618-B, ⁹⁻²¹⁻¹²⁻⁷⁴ trans-
 mitted in G.O. No. 78, Mily.
 of 9-10-95 wherein
 the distinction between
 the ranks of Quarter
 Masters and of Paymas-
 ters is upheld, as the
 latter officers are
 referred to therein as
 being also Honorary Com-
 missioned Officers. The
~~Commissioned~~ Register
 maintained by the
 Mily. Dep. shows
 that the ~~unofficially~~
 listed gentlemen held
 the appointment of Pay-
 master with the Hon-
 orary rank of Captain,
 and

Mr. R. W. L. Darling (apt. 6-11-94)
 Mr. E. E. Davies (" 11-11-94)
 Mr. J. H. Bullivant (" 11-11-94)

NOTE FOR THE

and that, of them, Mr.
 Darling received a Com-
 mission, the date of its
 despatch, ^{to the gentleman} being ~~noted~~
 shown as 23-2-94, (cc) about
 a year and a quarter
 after his appointment.
 The other two, it is true,
 are not shown to have
 received Commissions,
 but this can be ac-
 counted for. Of the
 two, viz, Mr. Davies &
 Mr. Bullivant, the latter
 is the gentleman who
 bore to a Commission
 is the subject of the pre-
 sent Correspondence,
~~where~~ Mr. Davies, who
 preceded Mr. Bull-
 vant, was appointed. The
~~substantive~~ (that is, ex-
~~tempore~~) Captain in
 the regular ranks of
 the Corps on 22-5-94,
 which was the within

year of his appointment
 as Paymaster,
 and was shown in the
 same nominal roll in 1875
~~in 1875~~ with Messrs
 Bullivant & Baker as an
~~officer~~ to whom a Com-
 mission as Captain was
 due, and was no doubt
 furnished with one in
 due course. It would
 thus seem that Mr. Bulli-
 vant is entitled to a
 Commission. The late
 Mr. A. doubts whether the
 old form of Commission
 would cover the
 cases of Honorary Captains
 and Paymasters. But
 Mr. Darling was given
 one, though it is known
 that form was adopted
 in his case. Copies of
 the obsolete forms
 available ^{applicable to Volunteers} ~~are~~ submitted
 for inspection as de-
 sired. The only departmental officers
 in Madras who have
 been consulted are
 unable

in the list
 of names
 Malabar 1875

NOTE FOR THE

unable to throw any
 light on the subject,
 apparently because
 they have not come
 across similar cases,
 and in fact, as the Old
 Commission Register
 shows, there was only
 one case ^{of a} prior to 1st April
 1895. - That in Malabar.

~~The following papers~~
 The undermentioned
 papers, the first three
 of which were sent
 to you on 15th May last
 for Pub. C. No. 1785, and
 the remainder on 23rd
 Sept for C. No. 3122,
 may be added to this
 file and examined
 in view to see whether
 they contain ^{any} ~~any~~ re-
 ferences or remarks
 regarding issue of
 Commissions to Hon-
 orary Paymasters:-
 G.O. No. 1117 Dated 20-2-94.

Public (1897) Department.

CURRENT No.

S.A.

The Volunteer Commission
have formerly prepared by
Major Ramaswami Reddy.

The late Military Reference.
Mr. Sakthara Reddy said that he
never prepared one in the ~~old~~
old form. This too, it is submitted,
may be done in the R. Office by
his successor or Muniswami
Reddy who is acquainted with
the military ~~records~~ ^{matters}. If so directed
I shall ~~in consultation with him~~
with his help do it.

Army Reg. No. 19 (1886 Edition)
is put up

R.S.
30/10/97

File sent up without the
6 Edition of Vol. 12 A.R.S.
2. You don't appear to
have read my note
the 10th below draft
no 2/12-11-97 ^{2. 2nd day} ₂₇₋₁₀₋₉₇

From

D. A. G.

No. ^A/₀ 1884 E

Dated 26-11-97.

Received ^{10/11/97} } 29-11-97.
Registered

Enclosures

Spare copies ^{2/20-97}

SUBJECT.

Stating that Mr. W. O. Baker
does not appear entitled
to a commission under the
rules in force prior to the
promulgation of S.G. O. No. 7 of 95.

CURRENT No. Ootacamund,
26th November 1897.

BACK PAPER

G.O.	No.	Department.
	3567	Act
	47	L-6
	3569	"
	357	"
	138-9	"

FURTHER PAPER

G.O.	No.	Department.

No.

From The DEPUTY ADJUTANT GENERAL, MADRAS COMMAND.

To The Chief Secretary to the Government
of Madras,
Public Department
(District.)

CHIEF SECRETARY
28 NOV 1897
GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

REFERENCE, YOUR LETTER, No. 2342 of 12th November
1897.

MEMORANDUM.

Honorary Quarter Master W. V. Baker, Malabar Volunteer Rifles, having been appointed Quarter Master of the Corps with effect from the 20th February, 1894 (G.O. No. 121 of 1894), his case falls under the provisions of paras 38 and 89, Army Regulations India Volume IX - 1886 edition. He therefore does not appear entitled to a commission under the rules in force prior to the promulgation of S.G.O. No. 7 of 1895.

G. L. L. L. L. L.

Brigadier General
Deputy Adjutant General

C.N. 3267-2000

SUBJECT:

Commissioners to
Volunteer Officers

TO HIS EXCELLENCY THE GOVERNOR.

THE HONBLE SIR HENRY BLISS, K.C.I.E.

THE HONBLE MR. GROSE, C.I.E.

FOR ORDERS.

In July 95 the L.G.C.F.
was asked whether any Volunteer
officers appointed before the
April of that year had
not received commissions.
In reply a list of:

Such officers was forwarded.
with Encl 0/4543 d/23.8.95

The commissions issued
to these must, ^{according to India's} ~~be~~ letter 2642 B d/12.10.95-B-
be prepared on the old form
and issued under the
signature of the Governor
in C.

For by commissions were
so issued to officers who
had passed the necessary
Examination - G.O. 938 Subd. 14.11.95 and India was

G.O. 938 Subd. 14.11.95

was asked whether ~~com-~~ commissions should be ~~issued~~ given to the others before they had qualified.

The reply was that commissions should be granted to Volunteer officers on appointment.

S.O. 47 P. 10/9.1.90

In C 3267 the Comd. Malabar Rifles points out that Hon. Paymaster J. H. Sullivan & Hon. Quarter Master W. V. Baker have not been granted commissions.

MR R. Ramasamy, Mudaliar, late Supdt of the Mil. Records had charge of this matter. He states that the commissions were prepared under Mr. Bird's instructions and that the latter was thoroughly conversant with Volunteer matters. An extract on the subject by the Record Dept is appended below.

Under the present regulations there is no question that both Hon. Paymasters

Hon. Paymaster appears in the I. as Hon. Capt. of no. dated Oct. 1st 1890.

have all Hon. Paymasters appointed before the abolition of the Presidential Army System.

This refers to Chap. Comm. but read with the memo at C it bears on this question.

and Hon. Quarter Masters are entitled to commissions.

Since April 1895 only two appts of Hon. Paymasters have been made; one - Hon. Capt. V. C. French in Jan'y 1896 and the other only recently - Capt. Penn of the Mil. Volunteers. The former has already been granted a commission: there is correspondence going on in regard to the latter. No appt of Hon. Q. M. has been made since April 1895.

The question now is whether under the old regulations Hon. Paymasters & Q. M. were entitled to commissions.

From G.O. 260-146 of 18.6.89 it would appear that an Hon. Q. Master is not entitled, there is being some doubt as to whether

was asked whether ~~com-~~ commissions should be ~~issued~~ given to the others before they had qualified

The reply was that commissions should be granted to Volunteer officers on appointment

S.O. 47 P. 49

In C 3267 the Comd^t, Madras Rifles points out that Hon^y Paymaster J. H. Sullivan & Hon^y Quarter Master W. V. Baker have not been granted commissions.

MR R. Ramasamy Mudaliar, late Supdt of the Mil^y Records had charge of this matter. He states that the commissions were prepared under Mr. Bird's instructions and that the latter was thoroughly conversant with Volunteer matters. A note on the subject by the Record Dept is appended below.

Under the present regulations there is no question that both Hon^y Paymasters and

Hon^y Paymaster appears in the I. as Hon^y Capt. of no. dated Oct. 1901.

have all Hon^y Paymasters appointed before the abolition of the identical Army Systems.

This refers to chaplains. but read with the memo at C it bears on this question

and Hon^y Quarter Masters are entitled to commissions.

Since April 1895 only two appls of Hon^y Paymasters have been made; one - Hon^y Capt V. C. French in Jan'y 1896 and the other only recently - Capt Penne of the Kilgore Volunteers. The former has already been granted a commission: there is correspondence going on in regard to the latter. No appl of Hon^y Q. M. has been made since April 1895.

The question now is whether under the old regulations Hon^y Paymasters & Q. M. were entitled to commissions.

From G.O. of 260-1100 of 15.6.89 it would appear that an Hon^y Q. Master is not entitled, there is being some doubts.

distinction between
relative and honorary
rank ~~vide~~ correspondence
with memo T III of 16. 11. 87 C
~~On the other hand.~~

Section 58 of the old regulations
contains an express provision
for the grant to ^{Hon} Paymaster
~~of the~~ honorary rank
of Capt or apt of Major
after 15 years' service
as a Commissioned officer
with not less than 10 years
as a Paymaster. This was
with India's letter read in
Sd. 78 May of 9. 1. 95 and M
seems to imply that an Hon
Paymaster puts in Com-
missioned service. There
is also the fact on record
that a Commission
was granted to ~~Mr~~ Rev. J.
Darling a year and a quarter
after ^{he was} appointed
Paymaster (vide Recd
Office note) - tho' there is
nothing to show what
form was used. but
presumably it

Mr. Bullivant
should get his
commission.
ML

It was the honorary
form. The old forms
are attached.

It would seem
therefore that, in the cases
of Messrs Bullivant
& Baker who presumably
come under the regulations
in force prior to April 1895,
the former should get
a commission but not
the latter.

Orders are
however solicited.

CW.
1. 11. 97

There has been some
considerable delay in
disposing of this file.
The papers were sent up
on the 7th Oct to Col by the
Recd Dept. On the 12th
the H.S. called for the
old ^{former} regulations, which
was sent up by the
H.S. on the 29th
ultimo CW. 1. 10. 97

as noted above, Hon. Pay-
master Bullivant is entitled to
a commission, and is, in fact,
entered in the army list as an
Hon. Capt. already.

as to Mr Baker, I
cannot form any opinion on
the matter, I would suggest
that the question be referred
to the D. A. G.

ML

5/11/97

This may be done.

At 8. 11. 97 P.T.O

which has been done and the reply
(Sd. 506) is to the effect that Mr Baker
does not appear to be entitled to a
commission. Draft on the other side

The D.A.G. is of opinion
(C4506) that Mr Baker
is not entitled to
a commission. The
following draft is
submitted

C45 3267+4506

Order No. 1985. 1. 98

A commission
will be granted to
Hon'y Captain J.H. Bullivant
under the signature
of H.E. the Governor in Council.
2 Hon'y Quarter Master
W.V. Baker is not entitled
to a commission.

CW. 30.11.97

W.V.
6.11

11.12.97

The D.A.G. is of opinion
(with Commission)

must be filled in
before being sent
with H.E.'s signature.

11.12.97

done

It was marked for H.E.

Not Govt. of Fort St. George
or Govt. of Madras

entered under H.E.'s signature.
Which is correct?

M.B.

Nothing will be required
but H.E.'s signature.

11.12.97

Chief
Note for the ~~Asst Secy~~ Secy

referred.

The D.A.G.'s reply to
on C 3267 is C4506.
A commission in the for
at O has been prepared
for Hon'y Captain Bullivant
and a draft order put up
at the end of the note
for orders. This com-
mission must be signed
by the Governor vide in fo.

938 Pub d/14.11.95

The term Esquire

has been used in Mr.
Bullivant's case under
fo. 593 Pub d/12th July 95

Flagged M²

The file has been
delayed for the Commission
which had to be hand
printed. This was done
by the draughtsman who
prepared the Jubilee
certificates. Charges for
Scrib in writing have to be
passed by fo. The sum
concerned here is four
annas and it seems
a waste of time & trouble

Have to pass a
(Special G.O. for such
a pathy law - but
please see Art 98
of Civil Act Code

Would therefore
suggest that a general
order on the subject
may be passed
authorising the G.S.
to sanction these petty
charges for preparing (or, in some cases only)

CW

31/12/97

this matter needed
however, delay the
file any longer

Hon. Capt. Bullock's Commission
may be submitted for H.E. the
governor's signature, and when
this is forwarded to the Comdt.
Genl. Vol. Rifles he may be
informed that as Mr Baker's
case falls under the old rules
he is not eligible for a
commission. Draft G.O. put up.

W.H.
6
xii 7

(d) 'no person in receipt of
from government can be paid
retention - writing same with
sanction of the local govt

MA 11.12.97.

MA 11.12.97.

25/12/97
12/12/97
14/12/97 189

DATED

O. No.

MENT

MENT.

WITH DITTO.

UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
ORIGINAL.

Copy in full.
Not to be copied.
Copy abstract only.
Copy heading only