

Public (1897) Department.

ORDINARY NO.

G.O. No. 526

DATED 7 5 '97

[Despatch Abstract.]

Decl. 1914 to 1915. In the proposed revision of
the 1871 Act, the following additions
have been proposed: (1) to provide for the
better disposal of the property of the
State, and (2) to provide for the
better disposal of the property of the
State.

Public (189) Department.

CURRENT No.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
CNo 1118/98 (59)							

er

No.


Dated

Received

Registered

Enclosures

Spare copies



SUBJECT.

OFFICE OF SURGEON-GENERAL
WITH THE GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS,
Madras, 20 _____ 1896.

No.

From

SURGEON-MAJOR-GENERAL C. SIBTHORPE, F.R.C.S.

SURGEON-GENERAL WITH THE
GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS,

To

THE HON'BLE THE CHIEF SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT,

DEPARTMENT.

SIR,


G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
Go.	278	Pub	18-3-97

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Public (1897) Department.

CURRENT No.



From *Mr. J. M. Smith, Secretary of Agriculture*
Washington, D. C.
to Mr. J. M. Smith, Secretary of Agriculture
Washington, D. C.
No. *241*

Order

Dated *11 April 1897*
Received
Registered
Enclosures
Spare copies

SUBJECT.

Report of the
Commissioner of the General Land Office
on the
land in the State of Texas
which has been
set aside for the
use of the
Department of Agriculture

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

OFFICE OF SURGEON-GENERAL.

WITH THE GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

Mdras. 21st Decr. 1897.

No. 20

From

SURGEON-MAJOR GENERAL C. SHATHORTH, R.M.D.,

SURGEON-GENERAL WITH THE

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

To

THE HONBLE THE CHIEF SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT.

DEPARTMENT.

SIR,

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of the 18th inst. in relation to the above subject, and to inform you that the same has been forwarded to the proper authorities for their consideration.

Yours faithfully,

Public (1339) Department.

COUNTY No.

APR 1965

1. *Introduction*

The Secretary,
Secretary Board

1000

Revised 1

1895

Enclosure

020116 020115

SUBJECT.

Superior, Jan. 21, 1886



Informing that a school-
house has been built and
intended and submitted,
Sept. 20, 21 and 22

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.




DRAWINGS
SANITARY BOARD


TYPE DESIGN FOR CHOLERA HOSPITAL

DESIGN. No. 32


Approved by the Sanitary Board in Proceedings
No. 319 S dated 8th December 1896.




BLOCK N^o 10



BLOCK N^o 8




SECTION ON A-B




NOTE—The walls of buildings Nos. 2, 3, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9 & 10 to be of bamboo posts and framed matting. The roofs of all buildings Nos. 2, 3, 5 & 6 to 10 to be of bamboo matting, mats and thatch.


BLOCK N^o 5




SECTION ON A-B



BLOCK N^o 4



SECTION ON A-B




CLIMATE VENTILATION BOTH SIDES

AS APPROVED BY SANITARY BOARD
APPROVED BY SANITARY BOARD

THE SANITARY BOARD
TO GOVT


THE SANITARY BOARD
TO GOVT

GOVERNMENT



BLOCK No. 1

NOTE—The Basements of the hospitals should be built of such length as will admit of the sheets being extended to the length of 10 ft. to be required for rollers and pulleys—This length should be given in estimates when submitted to the Engineer-in-Chief of the station to be used. The portion A is the permanent portion formed of old rail supported and roofed as the rail. When in use it is supported by bamboo supports and bamboo roof may be used. This will be removed at the end of an epidemic. Floors, drains, etc. are to be formed as laid down in Rules for the construction of the station and dispensary.





OFFICE OF SANITARY ENGINEER
FORT SAINT GEORGE 30 OCTOBER 96


Revised 3032
Copies - 100

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS
SANITARY ENGINEER'S DEPARTMENT


STANDARD PLAN OF
WOMEN AND CHILDREN
APOTHECARY'S QUARTERS





FRONT ELEVATION




SECTION ON FF.





PLAN OF ARRANGEMENT FOR WATER
SUPPLY AND DRAINAGE IN OPERATION
AND DISPENSING ROOMS.



SECTION ON AA.



OFFICE OF SANITARY ENGINEER.
FORT SAINT GEORGE OCT: 1896.



Description of work.	Quantities			
	Dormitory Building	Dining Room Building	Boys' Bath Building	Girls' Bath Building
Excavation	117 cu. ft.	824 cub. ft.	3742 cub. ft.	1451 ad. ft.
Concrete in Foundation	100 "	315 "	1100 "	115 "
Brick work in Chimney	1000 "	1400 "	6100 "	2400 "
Flat arch water	100 "	100 "	100 "	100 "
Plastering with Portland Cement	100 Sq. ft.	100 Sq. ft.	100 Sq. ft.	100 Sq. ft.
Plastering with Portland Cement	100 "	100 "	100 "	100 "
Plastering with Portland Cement	100 "	100 "	100 "	100 "
Cement work	100 "	100 "	100 "	100 "
Flashing	100 "	100 "	100 "	100 "
Roofing	100 Sq. ft.	100 Sq. ft.	100 Sq. ft.	100 Sq. ft.
Painting	100 "	100 "	100 "	100 "
Ironwork	100 lbs.	100 lbs.	100 lbs.	100 lbs.
Venetian Doors and Windows	854 "	110 "	100 "	124 "
Painting 2 coats	2501 "	456 "	2046 "	372 "
Cornice work	47 Rg. ft.	26 Rg. ft.	57 Rg. ft.	32 Rg. ft.
Wrought Iron Water Tanks (5' x 2' x 1½')	Nil	2 No.	Nil	Nil
Finials	3 No.	2 No.	3 No.	2 No.
W. I. Grating	3 Rg. ft.	2 Rg. ft.	Nil	Nil
Shelves	5 No.	Nil	Nil	1 No.
Side Boards	3 No.	Nil	2 No.	1 No.
Corrugated Iron sheets for Latrine	199 Cwts.	Nil	Nil	Nil
Angle Iron for do.	71-20 lbs.	Nil	Nil	Nil
Donaldsons Patent Metallic seats for do.	2 No.	Nil	Nil	Nil
Iron Buckets. for do.	4 No.	Nil	Nil	Nil

REFERENCES

Foundations	To be of Brick or Stone in chunam over Concrete base
Basement	To be of Brick or Stone in chunam.
Superstructure	Walls to be of Brick in chunam and plastered with chunam plaster; inside of Rooms to be plastered smoothly, to a height of 6 ft. from floor, with Portland Cement and the upper portions to be plastered with colored chunam.
Roofing	To be of Teak wood work with Mangalore Tiles.
Flooring	To be of Concrete 6 inches thick, plastered over with Portland Cement
Doors and Windows	To be of Teakwood and painted.
Drains	To be constructed in Concrete, with a fall of 1 in 100 and plastered with Portland Cement.

Blair MINISTRE.
SANITARY ENGINEER
TO GOVERNMENT.

Public

6-12-13

64 1814 80
93 24

2/6/92 morning

W/S
P/E/G

5,000-14-8-99.

Paper taken out of the Records from the charge of the Referencer.

Press
Miscellaneous No. 506, dated.
Routine

189

ROUTINE				FOR WHAT PURPOSE.		INITIALS OF CLERK TAKING THE PAPER OR OF ATTENDER		DATE RETURNED.		INITIALS OF ATTENDER.	
DATE TAKEN OUT.		DEPARTMENT.	C. NO.	YEAR.							
7-8-99		Pub	2169	01							

INDIAN

TELEGRAPHS.

Number.

Telegrams

Words.	Day.	Hour.	Minute.
	16	16	33

Instructions.

From

(Person)

F. C. S.

64 WOK

sent from

LN.

sent to

LN.

at

Recd.

Mtd. by

at

Recd.

Mtd. by

Telegraph Master.

Date: _____
Class: _____

INDIAN  TELEGRAPHS. At within 1
Number.

To _____
(Office)

Telephone _____

Words _____ Day _____ Hour _____ Minute _____
17 13 17

From _____
(Office)

From _____
(Person)

To _____
(Person)

C. A
chf. secy

The only standard
dispensaries are for
design for civil disp.
G.O. no 114 of 15-1-
design for municipal
water for no 174 of 2

Sent from _____ J.N. _____ Sent to _____ J.N. _____

Mts. by _____ At _____ H. _____

Telegraph Master

Public Dept.

C no 1236 of 1897

O. M. 279/1897

W-2
24/257

copy

There will be no copy
if we have them
later make it a
separate question
JK

Sec, San'y Bd. ad

The Secretary to the
Sanitary Board is re-
quested to be so good as
to furnish a copy of the
standard plan for
hospitals (as also of any
other institutions with
which the Pub Dept
is concerned) sanctioned
by the Sanitary Board

O. M. No 707
Adverting to his letter 171 of
15/3/97 The Surgeon General
is requested to forward
for reference and
return the enclosure
sent with No. 278th
d/16. 3. 97

copy 3/1

Surgeon General despatch
2/4/97

NOTE FOR THE UNDER SECRETARY.

130/r In reply to O.M. 796 d/2.4.97,
the Secy, San'y Bd, states that a
set of type designs for hospital
building is under consideration
but will not be ready for
issue for some time. He has
a copy of such as have already
issued, viz, O. N° 26 Dispen-
sary for women, Schiller's into
apothecaries quarters (2) 32
Cholera Hospital.

1475 The Secy Gen returns
the enclosures sent him
with J.O. 278 sub d/18.3.97 as
called for in O.M. ⁷⁹⁷2.4.97
Among them is the proposed
plan of the Dispensary
building.

Plan N° 26 I see
has only one dressing
room and may be
the plan you refer to
in your note d/18.3.97
re J.O. 278. I have
my own ^{note} draft for one
of the North wing which is below

since he has
has got out,
with the delay
during the
I have only
it. The paper
and by some
from amongst
of others which
it is as as
only.

Barbara L.
Sally S. B.
in March.

There are
for for' d'ne
Please re

Sub? that there is no
standard plan for
hooking penitaries

W. L. W.
1612/97

CA. Please see attached
question & answer.

Para 2 of the note
for orders refers to
an existing Standard
plan.

AK.

10/1/87

As. I have ascertained
from P.W.D. that no
standard plan was
issued in 1896. Please
pass draft ref: on the C.

3 DB 17/2/87

Ref
The A.S. says certain
telephones he seems to have
been in contact with. Please
say what you know of
this and ask H.C.

(2) Please draft a
short one to the Secy
saying that asking for
the plan referred
to in the letter.
Who is the Secy,
J. Edgar Hoover, Mr. Parsons?

(1) Ask the telephones
and ask H.C. if he knows what
H.C. does not know what
has become of the plan
(2) Perhaps Mr. Parsons
Ov.
2/1/2797
Ov.
2/1/2797

Asst
NOTE FOR THE ~~CHIEF~~ SECRETARY.

Please say
whether you want
the plan ~~designed~~
sanctioned by
the Saney Board.
Sent for. It is
not available
here — nor
is the Hospital.
Asst's letter
referred to in
your note of
5/3/97

yes — please.

Asst
20/4/97 The various telephone
+ cables on this subject
seem to have been mistand.
The P.W.D. who asked
+ knew nothing of any
standard plan: the
Saney Board.

*now available
have been
perhaps*

Pub Ref

Please flag

the references in
the Art Secy's
note in fo. 278

797 av.
38/41

Flagged. The paper
up to 20 is not
available. The Secy
says so will be able
to furnish a copy.

Wm.
38/41

Pub Ref

E. J. 1/10/41
M. J. 1/10/41

C-21079
R 6/10/60

[G.]
25
500-23-11-96.

F
G. No

~~H. E. THE GOVERNOR.~~
THE HON'BLE MR. BLISS, C.I.E.
~~THE HON'BLE MR. GROSE, C.I.E.~~

SUB.
Hory

FOR ORDERS

[Faint, mostly illegible handwritten notes in the left margin.]

*The Surgeon General now
seems a different "Standard
Plan", points out that
it contains a veranda &
separate dining room for
females*

reconsid
278 Publ
fusing t
proposed
veram of
ing room

was pass
is unne
ing roo
at a pla
Surgeon
of the
conside
that th
Sanitar
dressin

"standa
arison.
plan he
prossio

in his letter No. 74/7, dated 3rd February 1897 (disposed of in G.O. No. 278 dated 16th March 1897) the Surgeon-General referred to "the standard plan", he was asked, ^{to say} in O.M. No. 443, to which plan he referred. He replied that he referred to the plan "sanctioned by the Sanitary Board". The Board was then asked for a copy of this and the plan received was put up with the note for orders in G.O. No. 278. *referred to above.*

When the present current was received ^{upheld & approved} that plan had been returned to the Sanitary Board. The Board was therefore asked to send it back. It now replies that only two plans have been issued by it, viz. one for a women and children's dispensary and one for a cholera hospital. The ~~former~~ ^{one} is submitted.

The Surgeon-General in the ^{encloses a plan} current states that in ~~the standard plan~~ ^{it} which he ~~encloses~~ there are two dressing rooms: that plan is however not one "sanctioned by the Sanitary Board," (the description of the "standard plan" given by the Surgeon-General in his letter No. 98, dated 26th February 1897) but merely one prepared by the Sanitary Engineer. The Surgeon-General's wrong description of the plan to which he referred has thus given rise to the present confusion.

It is submitted that the use of the term "standard plan" as applied to any design which has not received the

Letter just before

[Neither of these plans seems to have put up with the for notes in the G.O. no 2 as far as my recollection goes the Sanitary Board. When they have no this has been a great deal of correspondence on this subject it does not appear who plan was which was submitted the previous note for

sanction of Government is objectionable and should be discontinued. —

— If the present practice is continued there seems no reason why different "standard" plans prepared by the Sanitary Engineer and the Sanitary Board respectively should not be in existence at once, leading to the greatest confusion.

The Surgeon-General states now ~~(a)~~ that the verandah is required as a waiting room for patients, who without it would be exposed to sun and rain. It is observed that this use of the verandah has not been mentioned before.

Orders are solicited as to whether under these circumstances any modification of the previous order is required.

As for the dressing room the Surgeon-General insists that separate dressing rooms for males and females are necessary and refers to the so-called "standard plan prepared by the Sanitary Engineer". That plan contains two dressing rooms, but it is intended for a far larger institution than that at Polavarani: it devotes one side of the building to males and the other to females.

It is submitted that in a small dispensary arrangements can easily be made for ^{treating at a separate house} ~~treating at a separate house~~ the few females who present themselves, and that no reconsideration

Public DEPARTMENT.

be read in proceedings:—

189 , No.

189 , No.

ated 25/3, from The Surgeon Genl

" 12/4, " do

" 14/4, " The Secy, Sany Bd.

ORDER. No 5268 7 May 1897

The Govt does not, for financial reasons, feel justified in reconsidering for No. 278 Subd 16.3.97. In a small dispensary such as that required at Palavaram arrangements could easily be made for treating the few females who may present themselves. The use of the term "standard plan" as applied to any design which has not received the sanction of Govt is objectionable.