

Public (1897) Department.

ORDINARY MS.

G.O., No. 292

Dated 18-3-97

INDEXED.

[Despatch Abstract.]

Approving, with remarks, and transferring
to the P.W.D. the revised estimate for the
construction of quarters for the Resident
Physician, General Hospital.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

works
Public (1896.) Department.

CURRENT No. ~~3412~~
9714

*Reply C. No. 3910
bawatt.*

Encl. Order No. 2190

From

The Surgeon-General with
the Government of Madras.

No. 472

Dated 12th October 1896.

Received } *14th October 96*
Registered } 28.10.96

Enclosures & 6 (2 plans)

Spare copies

SUBJECT.

Forwarding for the sanction of Govt:
plans and estimate for the construc-
tion of the Resident Physician's
quarters at the General Hospital.

No. 4180 C of 16th Nov 1896.
To C.A.

Please return the plan & estimate
in question. They were transmitted
with this office on 2942 C and
29th July 1896.

*The Public Works
Dept is requested to be
good enough to return
for reference the plan
& estimate approved
for G.O. No. 649 Public
d/20th June 1896.*

*1 by order
A. L. Hannay
Ag Asst Secy*

*35B
26/10/96*

2nd. W. Dept

*(with file of papers to be returned)
J. H. S. George
27th October 1896*

BACK PAPERS

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
	649 2136 11	Publ - -	20-6-96 31-7-96 7-1-96

FURTHER PAPERS

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

[11]

No. 472

OFFICE OF SURGEON-GENERAL
WITH THE GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS,
Madras, 12th October 1896.

From
14 OCT. 1896
GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS
To

Colonel D.F. Bateman,
SURGEON-MAJOR-GENERAL C. SIBTHORPE, F.R.C.P.

AG: SURGEON-GENERAL WITH THE

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS,

THE HON'BLE THE CHIEF SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT,

Public DEPARTMENT.

SIR,

* N. 2942 C & 29.7.96

+ Approving the
plans & estimates
+ transfer of Rs 1000 for
+ Sent Separately
by book Post.

With reference to G.O.No.649, Public, dated the 30th
June 1896, I have the honour to forward for the sanction of
Government the accompanying plans and estimate for the con-
struction of the Resident Physician's quarters at the ----
General Hospital.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient Servant,

D. Bateman
Surgeon-Colonel,

Acting Surgeon-General with

the Government of Madras.

and

Public Works (1896) Department.

Public

CURRENT No. 3910

CURRENT No. 4439

No. 4287 of 20 November 1896

Order *sent*



*Forwarded to the
Public Department with
reference to its enclosure
under No. 2190 dated 27
October 1896, herewith
returned.*

From

*The Sanitary Architect
to the Government
of Madras.*

No. 4180

*J. Dr. Campbell Colman Esq.
Sanitary Engineer*

Dated 17 November 1896

Received 17.11.96

Enclosures *4* (2 Plans) + O.W.C.

Spare copies

NO: 9714 with its Enclos.

*Recd 20
Regd 21 11.96.*

*Enclos - C. No. 3417/96 Pub.
and under rule ad enclos. to 1744/96
Pub. recd.*

SUBJECT.

*Returning for reference
approved plan & estimate
for constructing Resident
Physicians quarters General
Hospital.*

BACK PAPERS.

[illegible]

FURTHER PAPERS.

[illegible]

Quarter for the Road Physician, Jail Hospital

Public Dept

C. N. 3412

Wm W. H. Olin & Co.
N.Y. the Governor.

Call for the plan
testimate already approved.
H24.1096
with C.3012.

This is ~~some~~ the final
plan, which was approved.

For Orders

J.D. 649 Public d/20th Jan
last approved, subject to
certain remarks, a plan
and estimate amounting to
Rs 8,500 for quarters for the
Resident Physician, General
Hospital.

A revised plan and estimate were not called for; but, on the contrary, the Public Works Dept was requested to take early action on those then approved.

The Surgebent, however, now submits a revised plan and estimate amounting to Rs 10,070 for the quarters, inclusive of drainage, water service and road.

Judging from the plan,
the accommodation to be pro-
-vided will be sufficient for
two bachelors.

The necessity for the "study" below, and the dressing room above, extending 4 feet beyond and out of the square of the building, is not apparent. A bachelor does not require a large dressing room, and not a comfortable drawing room ^{in which} to read and write in leisure. He probably do no more into his study than keep his books and specimens in it.

One bathroom and the corresponding maty's room measure 16-9" by 8-4½" and 8 respectively, while the other bath

only 8 ft in length; thus making one bath room too large and the other too small.

In para 3 of J.O. 649 Pub d/20.6.96, it was remarked that the necessity for a closed in circular staircase for the Sweep was not apparent; but one has been provided notwithstanding standing in the revised estimate.

The item however is small, being as under.

"Masonry spiral staircase made of terraced bricks in mortar & plastered with Madras cement" lump sum Rs 40.

It is not known to what the following two items at the end of the estimate refer -

"Altering the roof of Tiffin room" Rs 10
"Removing the window in Tiffin room" Rs 1

28.13
23.10.96

1. The first plan and estimate submitted were approved subject to 3 remarks.

1st Provision was to be made for a road leading up to the building. According to the Estt. now submitted this work cost Rs. 10.

2nd It was directed that the building should be moved 100 feet to the north or else the basement revised on this fact. According to the ground plans of the Hospital submitted with each estimate no change has been made in the position of the building, while according to

[G]
74
30-7-98

2. There shd. therefore have been a considerable reduction of the original estimate.

The following items are to be added to the estimate submitted for the building.

Orders.

NOTE FOR THE CHIEF SECRETARY.

the Technical designs, and as far as I can see from the 2 estimates, the basement has not been raised.

3rd Separate stable accommodation was decided to be unnecessary & it was ordered that an additional stable

shd. be put on to the existing stable for the Resident Surgeon. The original estimate for a Coach house

had come to Rs 830. while the estimate now submitted comes to only Rs. 298. including the items in

reference to the Tiffin room.

3. The large increase in the estimate now submitted appears to be chiefly due to the following items

Main building.

(a) New items.

Plotted steel gutters	No 228
Hoisting & setting do.	14.
Iron work wrought & put up.	21.
Cast iron pipe etc.	287
Masonry drains	213
Chickens.	85
Purkhos	103
Chocolate paint	173

White paint 10 7.
 Spiral staircase 40.
 Corrug drain with panicle 12.
 water pipes 200.
 (b) The cost of the floor has been raised by the contractor.
 Plastering with 2 coats 16 98.
 Doors 60.
 Windows 231.
 Joining 124.
 (c) The floor appears to be finished.
 Plastering also with 2 coats 192.
 2 doors connected with the servants stairs 76.
 4. The floor plan has been altered nearly as a new from No. 679 to No. 790.
 5. The items above mentioned appear to be (most of them) unnecessary and their omission from the list of the works seems to justify the criticism that has been made in these preparations.
 A.S. 24/11/06

It is not clear if these items have increased.

Call for explanation (with ref. to the E.O.) of the additions & of the apparent omissions i.e. change of site or raising of basement, and as to the additions which have been made to the estimate already approved. The spiral staircase was disallowed.
 H.T. 6.11.06

Note
 This is based on the C.S. order of the 26th inst. It is for orders whether the details extracted by the A.S. are to be embodied in the O.W.

S.D.B.
 30/11/06.

The following remarks.
 2. In para 2 (ii) of S.O. 649. Pub. 7.20.6.96 the Govt. in sanctioning the estimate originally submitted, directed that the Resident Physician's house should be moved 100 ft. further from the Coorn or that its basement should be raised another foot. A comparison of the ground plan, sectional plan & specifications now submitted with those first sanctioned seems to show that neither of these alterations has been effected. It is requested that this may be explained.

3. The P.O.D. Ept. 9-10-10 with plans & estimates Copy to the Surg. Genl.

Public Currents 3412
 O.W. 2560

The plans and estimates are returned to the Public Works Dept with the request that they may be explained in the report to para 2 (ii) of S.O. 649. Pub. 7.20.11.96, whether any change has been made in the site of the proposed building or whether the basement has been raised.

3. Information is also requested as to the circumstances under which several additions have been made to the details of the estimate which have already been approved by Govt. and as to the increase of cost under other items, such as doors, windows, masonry etc.

4. It is observed that although a closed-wind staircase was disallowed by the S.O. quoted above it has been provided for in the revised estimate. This should be explained.

S.D.B.
 30/11/06.
 4/12/06
 2.12.06

Public Works (1896) Department.

Public Dept (1897)

CURRENT NO 66 CURRENT No. ~~11111~~

No. 50152 of 19 Dec 1896.

Recd 22/12/96
Regd 5/1/97
encs. 13 (including 4 plans)

Order Encl.



Forwarded to the
Public Department, with
reference to its memorandum
No. 2560 dated 4 Dec 1896 - B

From
The Consulting Architect
to the Government
of Madras

2. A spiral staircase was
inserted in the original design

No. 4610

by this office, in accordance
with the recommendation
in para 2 of the Surgeon Genl's
letter No. 109/128 dated
21 May 1896, the outside
staircase having been con-
sidered objectionable by the
Senior Medical Officer. It
was not understood that
this item was especially
disallowed by G.O. No. 649
Public dated 20 June 1896.

Dated 16 December 1896
Received 17.12.96
Enclosures 12 (4 plans)
C Spare copies

SUBJECT.

Furnishing explanation
as to the remarks made
by the Public Dept. in
the plan estimates for
Resident Physicians quarters
General Hospital.

J. H. Russell Colonel R.E.
Secy to Govt.

cc

[illegible][illegible]

(Flag. a.)

to the Governor

The question of ~~this~~ the site and
details of this work ^{has} been, on other
papers, been opened, — as a result of
a recent visit by me to the
Genl. Hospital.

A.4H 20.1.97

Public. C. no. 66.

In P.O. Reg. Pub. d. 20. June
96, the Govt. sanctioned an
estimate for Rs 8500 for building
Quarters for the Resd. Physia-
ns at ~~the~~ Genl. Hospital, Subad-
in three remarks.

1. a Road was to be
provided, leading to the
house -

2. The building was to be raised a foot at the basement or moved 100 ft. to the north.

3. Instead of building a separate stable, it was decided to add to the already existing stable of the Resdt. Surgeon.

It was further pointed out that a closed in circular staircase for the Sweep was unnecessary.

2 The P. g. in his letter
no. 472 of 12. Oct. 96 Submitted
a revised letter for No.
10,070.

3. On comparing the 2
Estes. it was found (at that
remark no 2. had not been
attended to - (h) Several new
items had been entered in the

CR 1197 / 051197
R 101147 / R 101147

new south. wh. did not
appear in the first, while
the items had been increased
(C) a ^{closed in} spiral staircase
had been included.

4. In O.M. no 2560
4.12.96 (Flag B)

The S.P.W. was asked
to explain these defects.

5. As regards point (a)
in para 3. The C.A.
explains (please see letter
at Flag D) that the site
is the one ^{said to have been} selected by the
H.M. In this connection
please see H.M.'s last note
but one at Flag E. The C.A.
adds that the site is
3.64 feet above the Council's
recent high floods and that
an asphaltic damp-proof
course $\frac{1}{2}$ in. thick has
been provided ^{at a cost of 400/-} for base-
ment is raised a foot,
it will cost 100 No. more

As regards (b), the C.A.
explains that the 1st & 2nd
submitted was originally
intended for the Vice-
Principal's Quarters at the
Teaching College at Saidapet,
and was thought to be
suitable to this case. It
was only a rough plan

* On the second page. H.M. there
says he thinks the house has been
put further S. than he intended.

According to the C.A., Dr. Browne
thinks that if the building is more
further from the Council it will be
too near the isolation wards & the
Hosp. Sergeant's Qrs., so that he
(Dr B.) is apparently in favour of
raising the basement, if anything
is to be done. Please see Dr
Browne's remark (c) marked with
2 lines of blue pencil at Flag
C.

* The G.O. made it pretty
plain that it was 1/20

I think this will do
AS

not intended to be submitted
to Govt. and the 2nd
Plan & 2nd. are the
complete ones. The second
2nd. is certainly more complete
than the second

As regards (C) the C.A.
states that the cost of an
open staircase wd. be 115 No.
while the closed in spiral
staircase wd. cost three times
that amount. He entered the
spiral staircase in the 2nd.
2nd. because he was unaware
that it had been disallowed
and in the original being
a spiral staircase had been
drawn in by the ^{Chief Engineer} ~~Engineer~~
decreasent office. In the
current (no 66) the D.P.W.
admits that the spiral
staircase was put in by
them in accordance with
para 2 of the S.P.'s letter
no 128 Dated 21. May 96
(Flag C) as it was not
understood that it was
expressly disallowed by G.O.
no 649 (Flag A)

- 6 The points were for
orders are
1. Shd. the building be
moved further from the Council
or the basement be raised?
or is the asphaltic damp-
proof course sufficient?
 2. Are the additions and
increases in the 2nd 2nd. to

be sanctioned? For the reasons
given by the C.A. it is
submitted that they must be
sanctioned. *yes*

3. Should the closed in
spiral staircase be expunged? *yes*

No special reasons for its
retention have been put
forward. It seems to be

unnecessary and may therefore
be thought to be replaced
by a simple open staircase.

8/1/97

8/1/97

8/2

21/1/97

G.
26
3-10-96

Public DEPARTMENT.

C. No. 66.

H. E. THE GOVERNOR.

1. THE HON'BLE MR. BLISS, C.I.E.
2. THE HON'BLE MR. GROSE, C.I.E.

SUBJECT.

Quarters for the
Resident Physician,
General Hospital.

FOR ORDERS.

With reference to H.E.'s letter of Jan 17,
present in the first place remark that
it seems to me undesirable that matters,
which have already been fully
openly discussed, should be reopened.

I will refer below to the parts
of H.E.'s letter marked with letters. -

A. The whole question of the allotment
of the several wards to the proposed
different classes and sexes. *Para 10 of H.E.'s letter*
I have been in to and decided
in favour of a full report from the S.I. &
cannot be highly in favour that Dr. Thorne
should in this way endeavour to work
against his superior's back. Apart
from this, it is obviously not correct
to say that the women & children cannot
be separated from the male patients. They
can be, to any extent desired,
as soon as the Station Hospital is handed
over. The ground before us for
building additional quarters on the roof
is now stated, but that
addition of would enable the staff
there to be clearing & whitewashing
whole "kissing block" at a time,
instead of merely one or two wards.

The attention of
Hon'ble Members is
invited to the note by
H.E. the Governor of
17.1.97 in G.O. 1340 Pub
of 19.12.96, and with
reference thereto to
H.E.'s remark of 29.1.97
in the current file
herewith submitted.

8/2
3/2/97

8/2
3/2/97

For the present distribution
please see G.O. 304 of 1896
80 247 of 1895, 13900 of 1894

Para 4 of S.E. letter flagged
in G.O. 204 of 1896

16/3/97

M.S.G. admitted, however, as being
proud, that there was not another
(or only another - forget which) hospital
in the whole world in which this was done
or could be done; and his proposal
was therefore negatived, and rightly
negatived, first would be unjustifiable
to spend Rs 80000 (the est. cost of the
work) in putting the Madras Genl. Hosp.
into a superior position to that occupied
by any other, or almost every other, hospital
in the world.

One has only to name the above
sum to show how utterly impractical
these doctors are.

B. In some time past but has
insisted that there should be two
resident med. officers. The reason
is obvious. In such a large hospital,
at least one fully qualified man should
always be present. This cannot be unless
there are two resident med. officers.

How unfortunate the absence from this
hospital of every fully qualified officer
maybe, needs no emphasis. But for
some reason I cannot fathom, the med.
authorities have always set themselves
against this necessary reform. The
existing rules should be maintained.

C. This question also has been fully

Portion of H. 91's note marked
with red chalk in the note or order
flagged IV in go 254 d. 8-2-96

Notes flagged C in go 80
649-96

Considered. I have always objected,
and always shall object, to putting a
res. med. officer on the second floor of
the hosp. among the messes. That floor
should be given up to them and to them
only. Dr. Brown has already assented
to the idea in practice in my presence.
I have not wanted the quarters there,
but them to be disposed with still both
the res. med. officers live in the existing
quarters. They would not be comfortable
quarters, and I therefore assented to the
proposal for separate quarters made
by the doctors themselves. But they had
done - they have recommended more
than one officer. I am before, writing
when Dr. Little was ~~then~~ their official
occupant, for he had then two friends
living with them. But it will be better
to build the separate quarters.

D. About this Dr. B. has altogether
misled H.E. - There is no proposal
on foot to build for the male attendants
who live in a barrack - The proposal
is to build for medical subordinates &
Dr. Steward.

RS

4/2/97

I agree with the observation
of the hon. Mr. B. in.

JS

Resubmitted to

5/2/97

H.E. The Governor

20 6/2/97

Public Dept

C. N° 34127

C. N° 39107

C. N° 6699

Hon. H. W. Bliss . C. D. E.

Hon. J. Groce . C. D. E.

with ref. to H. G.'s note
dated the 15th inst.

Order N° 292 of 15-2-97
Mis

In the circumstances
with the
Explained ~~the~~ addition
and increases ~~proposed in it~~

(the revised estimate)

is ~~not~~ approved and
it will be transferred
to the Public Works
Dept for favour
of the necessary action

2. An asphalt
damp ^{proof} course should

be provided ~~but~~

The ^{enclosed} spiral stair

case will be

disallowed: ~~if~~ ^{but}

~~in no way~~

a simple open stair -

- case should be sufficient.

~~from~~

P. W. D. with
enclosures 2.

Surgeon General '97

J. D. B.

16/3/97

H. K.

16/3/97

17/3/97

desp'd

19/3/97

019716

019716

I agree generally with the principle set forth in
the first para. of the Hon. Mr. Bliss's Note

of 4.2.97. But there are, necessarily, cases
in which I would not do to apply that principle.

In this particular case, I am, on re-consideration
ready to accept the decision arrived at. I share

the Hon. Mr. Bliss's objection to quarantining the
Med. Officer, on the roof, with among ~~the~~

nurses. The site fixed on for the new quarters

for a Medical Officer has objections, but I could

propose no better site. If the S. G. objects to that

site, the building of the quarters should be given

up. I agree that there should be two Randt.

Med. Officers.

J. H.

15.3.97